1	NEW YORK STATE SENATE
2	
3	
4	THE STENOGRAPHIC RECORD
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ALBANY, NEW YORK
10	March 31, 2014
11	12:27 p.m.
12	
13	
14	REGULAR SESSION
15	
16	
17	
18	SENATOR JOSEPH GRIFFO, Acting President
19	FRANCIS W. PATIENCE, Secretary
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The
3	Senate will come to order.
4	I ask all present to please rise and
5	join with me as we recite the Pledge of
6	Allegiance to our Flag.
7	(Whereupon, the assemblage recited
8	the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.)
9	ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: In the
10	absence of clergy, I ask everyone present to
11	please bow their heads in a moment of silent
12	reflection and prayer.
13	(Whereupon, the assemblage respected
14	a moment of silence.)
15	ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The
16	reading of the Journal.
17	THE SECRETARY: In Senate, Sunday,
18	March 30th, the Senate met pursuant to agreement.
19	The Journal of Saturday, March 29th, was read and
20	approved. On motion, Senate adjourned.
21	ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Without
22	objection, the Journal stands approved as read.
23	Presentation of petitions.
24	Messages from the Assembly.
25	Messages from the Governor.

```
Reports of standing committees.
 1
 2
                  Reports of select committees.
 3
                  Communications and reports of state
     officers.
 4
                  Motions and resolutions.
 5
                  Senator Libous.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                     Thank you,
 8
     Mr. President.
 9
                  If I may, on behalf of
10
     Senator Marcellino, on page 12 I offer the
11
     following amendments to Calendar Number 144,
     Senate Print 4511, and ask that said bill retain
12
     its place on the Third Reading Calendar.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
15
     amendments are received, and the bill shall
16
     retain its place on third reading.
17
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                     And, Mr.
18
     President, at this time could we please adopt the
     Resolution Calendar.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              All in
21
     favor of adopting the Resolution Calendar as
22
     presented signify by saying aye.
                  (Response of "Aye.")
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Opposed?
24
25
                  (No response.)
```

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
                                              The
 2
     Resolution Calendar is adopted.
                  Senator Libous.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                     Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President.
                  At this time I believe there's a
 6
 7
     previously adopted resolution at the desk by
 8
     Senator Nozzolio. It's Resolution Number 4204.
     Could we have it read, please, in its entirety
 9
10
     and then please call on Senator Nozzolio for
11
     remarks.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     Secretary will read.
13
14
                  THE SECRETARY: Legislative
15
     Resolution Number 4204, by Senator Nozzolio,
     congratulating the Romulus High School Girls
16
     Varsity Basketball Team and Coach Damon Nicholson
17
18
     upon the occasion of capturing the title at the
19
     2014 New York State Public High School Athletic
20
     Association (NYSPHSAA) Girls Basketball Class D
21
     Championships.
22
                  "WHEREAS, Excellence and success in
23
     competitive sports can be achieved only through
24
     strenuous practice, team play and team spirit,
25
     nurtured by dedicated coaching and strategic
```

1 planning; and 2 "WHEREAS, Athletic competition 3 enhances the moral and physical development of 4 the young people of this state, preparing them 5 for the future by instilling in them the value of teamwork, encouraging a standard of healthy 6 7 living, imparting a desire for success and developing a sense of fair play and competition; 8 9 and 10 "WHEREAS, Attendant to such concern, and in full accord with its long-standing 11 12 traditions, this Legislative Body is justly proud to congratulate the Romulus High School Girls 13 Varsity Basketball Team and Coach Damon Nicholson 14 15 upon the occasion of capturing the title at the 16 2014 NYSPHSAA Girls Basketball Class D Championships on Sunday, March 16, 2014; and 17 18 "WHEREAS, The Romulus High School Warriors prevailed at the championship game 19 20 tournament, held at Hudson Valley Community 21 College in Troy, New York, in a 47-40 win over 22 Fort Edward High School's Flying Forts; and 23 "WHEREAS, The championship win caps an exceptional undefeated season record of 25-0 24 25 for the Warriors, bringing home Romulus High

School's first-ever championship title; and 1 2 "WHEREAS, The athletic talent 3 displayed by this team is due in great part to 4 the efforts of Coach Damon Nicholson, a skilled 5 and inspirational mentor, respected for his ability to develop potential into excellence; and 6 7 "WHEREAS, The team's overall record 8 is outstanding, and the team members were loyally and enthusiastically supported by family, fans, 9 10 friends and the community at large; and "WHEREAS, The hallmarks of the 11 12 Romulus High School Girls Varsity Basketball 13 Team, from the opening game of the season to the 14 championships, were a sisterhood of athletic 15 ability, of good sportsmanship, of honor and of scholarship, demonstrating that these team 16 17 players are second to none; and 18 "WHEREAS, Athletically and academically, the team members have proven 19 20 themselves to be an unbeatable combination of 21 talents, reflecting favorably on their school and 22 community; and "WHEREAS, Coach Damon Nicholson has 23 24 done a superb job in guiding, molding and 25 inspiring the Romulus High School Girls Varsity

1 Basketball Team members toward their goals; and 2 "WHEREAS, Sports competition 3 instills the values of teamwork, pride and 4 accomplishment; Coach Damon Nicholson and the 5 Romulus High School Girls Varsity Basketball Team's outstanding athletes have clearly made a 6 7 contribution to the spirit of excellence which is a tradition of their school; now, therefore, be 8 9 it 10 "RESOLVED, That this Legislative 11 Body pause in its deliberations to congratulate 12 the Romulus High School Girls Varsity Basketball Team; its members: Paola Figueroa, Danielle 13 14 Calabrese, Jenny Tompkins, Olivia Brandow, Zoe 15 McDonald, Emily Mastellar, Cheyenne Howe, Breanne Berlin, Maegann Mein, Jessica Rhone; Coach Damon 16 Nicholson; and Assistant Coaches Charles Luffman 17 18 and Erica Nicholson on their outstanding season 19 and overall team record; and be it further 20 "RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution, suitably engrossed, be transmitted to 21 22 the members of the Romulus High School Girls Varsity Basketball Team, Coach Damon Nicholson, 23 and Assistant Coaches Charles Luffman and 24 25 Erica Nicholson."

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 1 2 Nozzolio on the resolution. 3 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr. President. 4 5 Mr. President and my colleagues, I stand with great pride before you today to 6 7 welcome and congratulate the State Champion Class D Girls Basketball Team from Romulus, 8 New York. 9 10 Romulus is a small school, but its students have the biggest hearts. This team is 11 12 exemplary of that tradition. That the team so honored today overcame a great amount of 13 adversity. They were certainly well-coached by 14 15 Damon and Erica Nicholson and Charlie Luffman. 16 They have a great number of supporters here today: the superintendent of 17 18 Romulus Central School, Marty Rotz, and also a number of parents and supporters of the team who 19 20 came here to be part of the celebration honoring 21 their success. 22 It's not easy to be a champion of anything. To be a champion in New York State, 23 you have to have a great amount of dedication, 24 25 hard work, teamwork, and that intangible called

heart.

I'd like to reiterate a part of this resolution because I believe it exemplifies the spirit that the Romulus Women's Basketball Team have captured throughout their very successful season: "The hallmark of the Romulus High School Girls Varsity Basketball Team, from the opening game of the season to the championship, were a sisterhood of athletic ability, of good sportsmanship, of honor and of scholarship, demonstrating that these team players are second to none."

They were successful as champions because they worked together. They knew what it was like to work together for a greater good, to be unselfish, to ensure the appropriate member of the team could score because it was a benefit to all the team. That this team is unselfish, the members are grateful for each other. And all that spirit that we gain from athletic competition is exemplary in these fine young women.

I'd like to extend a formal and very personal congratulations to the Romulus Girls

Basketball Team, New York State champions, from a

```
1
     a lady who has been very close to me for many,
 2
     many years -- most of my life -- and that's my
     dear mother-in-law, Mildred Frankenfield
 3
     Sorrentino.
 4
 5
                  Mildred -- Millie -- was a former
     player in, a member of the Romulus Girls
 6
 7
     Basketball Team. And she is just so proud and
     happy that the team is being recognized here
 8
     today. A tradition going back many years, a
 9
10
     tradition important to the fabric of the
     Central Finger Lakes, a tradition that we all
11
12
     celebrate today.
13
                  And with that, Mr. President, I'd
     like to ask you to formally extend
14
15
     congratulations to the Romulus Women's Basketball
16
     Team upon the occasion of their championship of
     New York State.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
     you, Senator Nozzolio.
19
20
                  We want to extend sincere
21
     congratulations on behalf of the entire Senate to
22
     the girls varsity hoops team on winning the
     state championship in Class D.
23
                  Welcome to our chamber, and job well
24
25
     done.
            Welcome. Congratulations. Please rise.
```

```
1
                  (Applause.)
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
     resolution was adopted on March 25th of 2014, as
     indicated earlier.
 4
 5
                  Senator Libous.
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
 6
                                     Thank you,
 7
     Mr. President.
 8
                  There will be an immediate meeting
9
     of the Finance Committee in Room 332. And while
10
     Finance is meeting, the Senate will stand at
11
     ease.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              There is
     an immediate meeting of the Senate Finance
13
     Committee in Room 332.
14
15
                  The Senate stands at ease.
16
                  (Whereupon, the Senate stood at ease
     at 12:36 p.m.)
17
18
                  (Whereupon, the Senate reconvened at
     1:03 p.m.)
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
21
     Senate will come to order.
22
                  Senator Libous.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President,
     could we please return to reports of standing
24
25
     committees. I believe there's a report of the
```

```
1
     Finance Committee at the desk.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              We will
 3
     return to reports of standing committees.
 4
                  The Secretary will read the report
 5
     of the Finance Committee.
                  THE SECRETARY:
 6
                                    Senator
 7
     DeFrancisco, from the Committee on Finance,
 8
     reports the following bills:
 9
                  Senate Print 6350E, Senate Budget
10
     Bill, an act making appropriations for the
     support of government: State Operations Budget;
11
                  Senate 6351A, Senate Budget Bill, an
12
     act making appropriations for the support of
13
14
     government:
                  Legislature and Judiciary Budget;
15
                  Senate 6353E, Senate Budget Bill, an
     act making appropriations for the support of
16
     government: Aid to Localities Budget;
17
18
                  Senate 6354E, Senate Budget Bill, an
     act making appropriations for the support of
19
20
     government: Capital Projects Budget;
                  Senate 6355D, Senate Budget Bill, an
21
     act to amend the Vehicle and Traffic Law;
22
                  Senate 6356D, Senate Budget Bill, an
23
     act to amend the Education Law;
24
25
                  Senate 6357D, Senate Budget Bill, an
```

```
1
     act to amend the Highway Law;
 2
                  Senate 6358D, Senate Budget Bill, an
     act to amend the Education Law;
 3
                  Senate 6359D, Senate Budget Bill, an
 4
 5
     act to amend the Tax Law;
                  And Senate 6914, Senate Budget Bill,
 6
 7
     an act to amend the Public Health Law.
 8
                  All bills reported direct to third
9
     reading.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Libous.
11
12
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, I
     move that we accept the report of the
13
     Finance Committee.
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
16
     favor of accepting the Committee on Finance
     report signify by saying aye.
17
18
                  (Response of "Aye.")
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Opposed?
20
                  (No response.)
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
22
     Finance Committee report is accepted and before
     the house.
23
                  Senator Libous.
24
25
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, at
```

```
1
     this time may we please have the noncontroversial
 2
     reading of the supplemental calendar.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
 4
     Secretary will read.
 5
                  THE SECRETARY: In relation to
     Calendar Number 368, Senator DeFrancisco moves to
 6
 7
     discharge, from the Committee on Finance,
     Assembly Bill Number 8550E and substitute it for
 8
     the identical Senate Bill Number 6350E, Third
 9
10
     Reading Calendar 368.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
11
     substitution is so ordered.
12
13
                  The Secretary will read.
                                   Calendar Number
14
                  THE SECRETARY:
15
     368, Assembly Budget Bill, Assembly Print 8550E,
     an act making appropriations for the support of
16
17
     government: State Operations Budget.
18
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Lay it
20
     aside.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
21
     369, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6351A, an
22
     act making appropriations for the support of
23
     government: Legislature and Judiciary Budget.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Read the
```

1278

```
last section.
 1
 2
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 5.
                                               This
     act shall take effect immediately and shall be
 3
    deemed to have been in full force and effect on
 4
 5
     and after April 1, 2014.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Call the
 6
 7
     roll.
 8
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
 9
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 59.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The bill
     is passed.
11
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
12
     370, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6353E, an
13
     act making appropriations for the support of
14
15
     government: Aid to Localities Budget.
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Lay it
18
     aside.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
19
     371, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6354E, an
20
21
     act making appropriations for the support of
22
     government: Capital Projects Budget.
23
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                             Lay it
25
     aside.
```

1279

```
1
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
 2
     372, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6355D, an
     act to amend the Vehicle and Traffic Law.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Lay it
     aside.
 6
 7
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     373, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6356D, an
 8
9
     act to amend the Education Law.
10
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Lay it aside.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Lay it
11
     aside.
12
13
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
14
     374, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6357D, an
15
     act to amend the Highway Law.
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Lay it aside.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Lay it
17
18
     aside.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
19
20
     375, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6358D, an
21
     act to amend the Education Law.
22
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
23
                                             Lay it
     aside.
24
25
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
```

```
376, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6359D, an
 1
 2
     act to amend the Tax Law.
 3
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Lay it
 5
     aside.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
 6
 7
     377, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6914, an
 8
     act to amend the Public Health Law.
 9
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Lay it aside.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Lay it
     aside.
11
                  Senator Libous, that completes the
12
     noncontroversial reading of the calendar.
13
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President,
14
15
     could we now take up the controversial reading of
     the calendar, please.
16
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
18
     Secretary will ring the bell.
19
                  The Secretary will read.
20
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
21
     368, Assembly Budget Bill, Assembly Print 8550E,
22
     an act making appropriations for the support of
     government: State Operations Budget.
23
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
24
                                     Ouestion.
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

```
1
     Krueger.
 2
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you.
 3
     the sponsor would please rise for some questions,
 4
     through you, Mr. President.
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              The
 7
     sponsor yields.
 8
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you.
 9
                  Can you tell us exactly what
10
     programs are being cut or adjusted in the State
     Operations bill compared to the Executive
11
12
     proposal?
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Well, I can
14
     give you the major ones.
                               There's a lot of
15
     adjustments. But the major ones are that there's
16
     an additional $220 million -- $240 million for
     education, an additional $64 million for higher
17
18
     education, additional $78 million for health,
     Medicaid and mental health. There's a human
19
20
     services of $64 million, and there's other
21
     miscellaneous changes for $116 million, for a
22
     total increase of 562.
23
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you.
                  Through you, Mr. President, if the
24
25
     sponsor would continue to yield.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
     sponsor yields.
 4
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you.
 5
                  Does this bill include the
     elimination of funding for the Tenant Protection
 6
 7
     Unit within DHCR, or HCR as we now know it?
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         There is no
     funding for it, Mr. President.
 9
10
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: So that has been
     eliminated.
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
13
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you.
                  Does this include elimination of
14
15
     funding for the healthcare exchange? And if so,
16
     can you tell us exactly what funding was
     eliminated and what amounts?
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, just
     to -- Mr. President, just so you know, do you see
19
20
     the budget? It's this big (indicating). I
21
     haven't honed in on every specific aspect, so I
22
     may need some discussion with counsel here on
23
     that particular one.
                  The health exchange is funded
24
25
     through HCRA. The amount that's being used is
```

```
$28 million out of HCRA. I'm not sure what it
 1
 2
     was before that. No state money, if that's what
 3
     you're asking.
 4
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
 5
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
     yield.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 8
     sponsor yields.
 9
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: So my colleague
10
     has confirmed that they have removed state money
11
     for the healthcare exchange. Should we be
12
     concerned that any commitments the state needs to
     continue to have to run its healthcare exchange
13
     may be now at risk by removing that funding?
14
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: No, we're not
16
     worried at all.
17
                  (Laughter.)
18
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor could clarify.
19
20
     Does he think it will have an impact on the
21
     program we have created in New York State?
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
23
     DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
24
                                         Mr.
25
     President, the answer is no.
```

```
SENATOR KRUEGER: Mr. President, if
 1
 2
     through you the sponsor would continue to yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 3
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 5
     sponsor yields.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
 6
                                     Thank you.
 7
                  I note that there is a salary
 8
     adjustment factored in for SUNY salaries through
     this budget bill, but not for faculty and staff
 9
10
     at CUNY. May I ask what happened to CUNY in this
     budget deal?
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Basically,
     Mr. President, just so you know, this is all a
13
14
     part of the negotiations. And the Senate added
15
     funding for the SUNY colleges for that wage
16
     adjustment. The Assembly, who traditionally
     matches those funds for CUNY, did not. So that
17
18
     being the case, it's only adjustments in the SUNY
19
     budget.
20
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
21
22
     yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
23
                                              The
     sponsor yields.
24
25
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: So for the
```

record, Senator, the Senate only cares about 1 2 SUNY, not CUNY? 3 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. 4 President, Senator Krueger is not that naive. I 5 think she certainly understands that in a budget negotiation there are certain priorities of each 6 7 house, and they advance those priorities as best 8 they can in certain situations. 9 With respect to public protection, 10 for example, the Senate traditionally does adds. 11 And as far as some housing areas, especially in 12 the City, the Assembly does adds. Because there isn't enough money to do everything for everyone. 13 14 So it was agreed upon by the 15 Assembly. We're not saying that the Assembly does not care about SUNY, because they certainly 16 do. But the fact of the matter is that in the 17 18 negotiations some things have to fall off the 19 table. 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, Mr. President. For the record, I think many 21 22 people in this house who also represent the Senate care very much that there's equity between 23 SUNY and CUNY moving forward. 24 25 Through you, Mr. President, if the

sponsor would continue to yield. 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The sponsor yields. 3 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 5 I wanted to shift to the section about the Thruway Authority. Authority toll 6 7 revenues are projected to reach about \$650 million for 2014, but are projected to raise 8 by almost 50 percent to over \$950 million by 9 10 2017. Do we know what is driving the significant increase in anticipated toll costs? 11 12 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. President, I just wanted to confirm when we had 13 14 the -- when Senator Krueger and I got to be very 15 close during the budget hearings, she'll no doubt remember when I asked the director of the 16 Thruway Authority, Mr. Madison, "How is the 17 18 Tappan Zee Bridge going to be paid for? Is there 19 a financial plan for that?" And the answer was 20 it's going to be paid for by tolls. And the tolls are going to pay for that plus whatever 21 22 else the Thruway Authority has to provide. And I said, you know, "Several 23 billion dollars is a lot of tolls. You sure you 24 25 can do it?" He says, "We can do it because we

project the tolls at a certain level."

These are the projections that the Thruway Authority provided to us and the Governor had in his budget. And I've got a feeling it's along the same logic; namely, that it shows, at least according to the Governor's office and the Thruway Authority, that there will be more tolls to project that this Tappan Zee Bridge project is not going to be looking for additional funding.

So my guess is those tolls are the same concept dealing with the Tappan Zee Bridge financing. Whether they're real or not, it's the numbers that they gave us and that we agreed with.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you,
Mr. President, I appreciate my colleague raising
the Tappan Zee Bridge issue. Because yes, I
remember distinctly our having serious
discussions about exactly this during the budget
hearings.

I guess my question now for us, with this budget in front of us, is is there finally a financing plan included in the budget that will address these issues that I think he and I are both very concerned about?

1 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. 2 President, there is not. It's the same concept, that the tolls will be sufficient. And I think 3 this is the evidence that is being presented to 4 5 us by the administration. And we truly don't have an ability 6 7 to change that number because we don't really 8 have the information that we would need to do 9 that. 10 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 11 12 yield. 13 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 14 sponsor yields. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. I 16 appreciate my colleague's response. 17 So do we know what the tolls will 18 need to be in order to meet these obligations? 19 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. 20 President, I have not done a calculation. But 21 the Thruway Authority believes, based on these 22 projections, that the needs that are met throughout the system, including the Tappan Zee 23 Bridge, will be accommodated by these additional 24 25 revenues.

1 The real question is -- and we'll know more next year when we match this number to 2 what the tolls actually were. And we'd have a 3 better indication of whether the projection in 4 5 outyears are going to be real or not. SENATOR KRUEGER: 6 Through you, 7 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 8 yield. 9 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 10 sponsor yields. Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: 11 I think we still have a real issue 12 with the Thruway tolls and the costs of a 13 financing for the Tappan Zee Bridge that does 14 15 need to be continued and resolved since we are 16 building the bridge. 17 I'm shifting now to a different 18 section of the bill. The State Operations has 19 actually appropriation language for the Board of 20 Elections, even though there's also language and 21 funding in the Aid to Localities budget bill. 22 Can you explain to me what the budget is for the State Board of Elections in this, the State 23 Operations bill? 24 25 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: We'll check

it out for you.

The state operations part of it is \$11.1 million. We're modifying the Governor's recommendation, and it's creating an election enforcement officer or a counsel to enforce more vigorously the election laws of the State of New York.

The second part is modifying the Executive proposal in the Aid to Localities, \$30 million to the public campaign finance program. That's a placeholder because one of the things in the budget is a pilot program for a financing vehicle for the Comptroller's office that we're going to have a report later on as to how this works.

Those funds are projected, if there is a Comptroller's race -- which we don't know yet -- those dollars are projected to come or planned to come from the Comptroller's budget, the part that is for unclaimed funds. Since they belong to other individuals who haven't claimed them yet, at least for the pilot program, that's what the funds are going to be used for.

So this is what's reserved. Whether this much would be used is another story. None

```
of it would be used if there's no candidate
 1
 2
     against the current Comptroller.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Through you,
 4
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 5
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 6
                                          Yes.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 8
     sponsor yields.
 9
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     And I appreciate
10
     this is all confusing, because it's a little
     confusing to me to have Board of Elections
11
     budgets in two different unrelated bills.
12
13
                  So the sponsor answered 11-point-
14
     something million in the State Operations bill
15
     and $30 million in the Aid to Localities budget
16
     bill. So is it your understanding that it's --
     the $30 million is only the unclaimed property
17
18
     public financing if it is used money, and not
19
     anything for the expansion of the role of the
     Board of Elections?
20
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          That is
22
               That's why it's in a separate pot.
     Because this is an experimental pilot program to
23
     be reported on later by the Board of Elections.
24
25
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Through you,
```

```
Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 1
 2
     yield.
 3
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
 5
     sponsor yields.
 6
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
 7
                  Also in, I believe, the PPGG bills
 8
     there is an expansion for the Board of Elections
     to do a variety of things. Is the money for the
 9
10
     new responsibilities and I believe staff at the
11
     Board of Elections included in the Aid to
12
     Localities $11-something million? And is that an
     increase from previous years when it didn't have
13
14
     those responsibilities?
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: There is an
16
     $11 million increase.
17
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: There is an
18
     $111 million increase.
19
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: It's an
     $11 million increase. And that's because of the
20
21
     expanded role of the Board of Elections.
22
     program of which I can explain at great length,
     but that's what it's for.
23
24
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
25
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
```

```
1
     yield.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So working
 4
     backwards, it's an over $11 million increase to
 5
     Board of Elections within this budget bill, a
     $30 million hypothetical public financing funding
 6
     stream in a later bill. Is any of this money for
 7
 8
     technical upgrades for the filing of campaign
 9
     statements?
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I'm sorry, I
     missed the question.
11
12
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Is any of this
     money for technical and computer upgrades for the
13
14
     filing of campaign statements at the local or
15
     state level, some of which now are still done
16
     manually?
17
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: This is not
18
     for capital projects.
19
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
20
     Mr. President --
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: As far as I
22
     know.
23
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     So the sponsor
     does not believe any of this can be used for
24
25
     technological improvements.
```

```
SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: All right,
 1
 2
     here's -- it's broken down this way. Thank you,
 3
     Senator Hannon.
 4
                  The Legislature modifies the
 5
     Executive recommendation of $11.1 million by
     creating the elections enforcement program for
 6
 7
     $4.26 million. Within this program, $1.5 million
     will be used for compliance, $1.45 million will
 8
     be used for enforcement, and -- and $13 million
 9
10
     will be used for purchase and/or development of
     technology-related compliance and enforcement
11
12
     capital projects.
13
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Sorry, one
14
     second. You know what? The sponsor has
15
     satisfied my questions on this bill. Thank you
     very much.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
17
18
     you, Senator Krueger.
19
                  Senator DeFrancisco, thank you.
20
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         May I just
21
     speak very briefly on the bill?
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     DeFrancisco on the bill.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
24
                                         You know,
25
     there will be various questions about the entire
```

package. And believe me, I have questions about 1 2 I don't like everything that's in, and a it too. 3 lot of good things that I wanted are out. 4 However, this process has been a 5 very interesting and very challenging one. From the date we had all the budget hearings to the 6 7 date, the final date of negotiations, there's been a lot of disagreement on a lot of things. 8 To get this budget on time, together with under a 9 10 2 percent increase, including various tax cuts, including a \$1.1 billion increase in school aid, 11 most of is which is for GEA adjustment 12 reductions, to get in this program for campaign 13 finance reform in this budget, to get 14 15 transportation funding, including for upstate transportation a new formula, to get the rates of 16 the people that are now eligible as seniors for 17 18 EPIC programs -- the income level has gone from 19 \$35,000, for an individual, to 75,000; now 20 they're eligible up to 75. For couples or 21 families, \$50,000 was increased, doubled, to 22 \$100,000. There are a lot of great programs 23 24 while making necessary cuts to make this 25 possible. I'm sure I've missed a bunch of them.

```
1
     But all I wanted to point out here is that
 2
     despite all the different areas of disagreement
 3
     as we started this process, and taking into
 4
     account much of the testimony that came at the
 5
     hearings, we ended up with a budget that is a
     good budget, in my judgment, and a budget that
 6
 7
     everyone should support.
 8
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Is there
10
     any other Senator wishing to be heard?
11
                  Senator Hoylman.
12
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN: Yes, thank you,
     Mr. President. I just wanted to note on the
13
14
     bill --
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Hoylman on the bill.
16
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN: -- that what's
17
18
     missing from this volume is any reference to the
19
     Moreland Commission from the Governor's Executive
20
     Budget. And we know that it was just a few
21
     months ago, Mr. President, that the preliminary
22
     report of the Moreland Commission was issued.
23
     And it noted that "Our investigation thus far
     reveals a pay-to-play political culture driven by
24
25
     large checks, anemic enforcement of the weak laws
```

we have on the books, and loopholes and 1 2 workarounds that make these laws weaker still." 3 And among the ongoing 4 investigations, Mr. President, according to the 5 Moreland Commission itself, a number of so-called pay-to-play arrangements in which wealthy 6 7 interests allegedly exchanged targeted campaign contributions for targeted pieces of legislative 8 action, "gaping loopholes such as the LLC 9 10 loophole to allow wealthy donors to sidestep already sky-high contribution limits," what they 11 called the liberal use of campaign funds for 12 personal use by certain legislators, conflicts of 13 interest arising from legislators' outside 14 15 employment and their allocation of member items and other discretionary funding. 16 And the report concludes that in 17 18 just a few short months, out of ongoing 19 investigations that revealed phantom health 20 clinics, inexplicable statutes, misuse of party housekeeping accounts, and more -- and now, 21 Mr. President, we want to shut this operation 22 23 down? I think it's clear that there are 24 25 measures that we in the Legislature could and

should take now, Mr. President, to address the
most glaring deficiencies in our laws and enact
comprehensive and systemic reforms. It's clear
from the preliminary report, Mr. President, that
the Moreland Commission's work is not over. And
I'm very disheartened that we today are moving to
end its work as apparently part of a deal.

Which exposes to me part of what's wrong with our system. The fact that ethics reform was on the table as a bargaining chip suggests to me that we have much move work to do. And it's a terrible irony that the Moreland Commission is being defunded as part of a larger discussion and negotiation.

So for that reason -- I think it's in the public trust, Mr. President. Talk about integrity and service to our constituents. For that reason, I'll be voting no.

19 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 20 Stavisky.

21 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,

22 Mr. President.

There are many good parts to the

State Operations budget, but one part that I find

troubling is the response to Senator Krueger's

```
1
     questions about the unequal treatment between
 2
     CUNY and SUNY.
                     The CUNY contractual salary
     arrangements was omitted from this budget, while
 3
 4
     the SUNY appropriation of about $7.5 million was
 5
     included in the budget.
                  And I am concerned that CUNY is
 6
 7
     being treated as a second-class operation, when
 8
     it is not. It should be an equal partner with
 9
     the State University. And I care, quite frankly,
10
     as much about SUNY as I do about CUNY, but I
     think CUNY is at the short end of this
11
12
     appropriation.
13
                  And while I will vote yes, I think
     the record should note that inequality is wrong
14
15
     no matter where it happens.
16
                  Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Any other
17
18
     Senator wishing to be heard?
                  Seeing none, the debate is closed.
19
20
                  The Secretary will ring the bell.
21
                  Read the last section.
22
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2.
                                                This
23
     act shall take effect immediately.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Call the
24
25
     roll.
```

```
1
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Announce
 3
     the results.
                  THE SECRETARY: In relation to
 4
 5
     Calendar Number 368, those recorded in the
    negative are Senators Hoylman, Marchione and
 6
 7
     Perkins.
 8
                  Absent from voting: Senator
9
     Sampson.
10
                  Ayes, 57. Nays, 3.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The bill
11
     is passed.
12
13
                  The Secretary will read.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
14
15
     370, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6353E, an
16
     act making appropriations for the support of
     government: Aid to Localities Budget.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Read the
     last section.
19
20
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2. This
21
     act shall take effect immediately.
22
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Gianaris.
24
25
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: I believe
```

```
1
     Senator Gipson has some questions.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 3
     Gipson.
 4
                  SENATOR GIPSON: On the bill,
 5
     Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                             Senator
 7
     Gipson on the bill.
 8
                  SENATOR GIPSON: Mr. President,
 9
     this bill is a great example of what can happen
10
     when we put political parties aside and really
     try to work together for the good of our
11
     constituents and for New York State.
12
13
                  In particular, in this bill we have
     delivered relief that will help constituents in
14
15
     my district, help lower the cost of living, help
16
     lower the cost of doing business. There is in
     fact $3.5 million that will allow Dutchess County
17
18
     to repeal their energy tax. It's --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
19
                                             Can I
     have some order in the chamber, please.
20
21
                  Senator Gipson.
22
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Thank you,
23
     Mr. President. I appreciate that.
                  As I was saying, there's
24
25
     $3.5 million that will allow Dutchess County to
```

```
repeal their energy tax. It's been a hard
 1
 2
     winter, and the residents of the Hudson River
     Valley deserve tax relief, and this bill will
 3
 4
     help provide that.
 5
                  Also, we have managed to secure --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
 7
     {Gaveling.} Senator Gipson.
 8
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Thank you,
 9
     Mr. President.
10
                  Also, we have managed to secure
     $500,000 --
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Ball, why do you rise?
13
                  SENATOR BALL: Will the member
14
15
     yield for a question?
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Gipson, will you yield for a question?
17
18
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Yes.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
20
     Gipson yields.
21
                  SENATOR BALL: Just --
22
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Excuse me.
                                                Excuse
23
          I would like to finish my point. I would
     like to finish speaking on the bill, and then I
24
25
     will yield to any questions.
```

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 1 2 Ball, he will continue to speak on the bill and 3 then answer questions. 4 You may continue on the bill. 5 SENATOR GIPSON: As I was saying, Mr. President, the other thing about this bill is 6 7 that there is \$500,000 to go towards Lyme disease 8 and tick-borne-illness research. 9 This is incredibly important, 10 because as we've discovered over the last few years we've unfortunately been decreasing the 11 12 amount of money that we've been putting towards this type of research. And as we now know, based 13 on the statistics from the CDC, the rates of Lyme 14 15 disease and tick-borne illness are drastically

And this money will go a long way towards beginning to find relief for those individuals that are suffering. And I just want to thank all of those who have worked so hard to bring this relief to all the residents of New York State who are suffering from this terrible disease.

rising here in New York State and certainly in

the Hudson River Valley, where I represent.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I just want to point out that

```
this is a bill that really does show how working
 1
 2
     together to bring relief to all of those in
 3
     New York State, especially those in my district,
 4
     is certainly a way to go about doing business.
 5
     And I would encourage all of my colleagues to
     vote yes on this bill, and I will be voting yes
 6
 7
     as well.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 8
                                              Senator
9
     Gipson, do you yield for a question now?
10
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Senator
     Ball.
12
                                  Mr. President, I'd
13
                  SENATOR BALL:
14
     just be interested to know when the member became
15
     aware of this relief for Dutchess County.
     Because as somebody who shepherded this literally
16
     for the past month, I have not heard hide nor
17
18
     hair from the member.
19
                  And it's interesting that the member
20
     now stands up on the floor and talks about how
     it's going to help Dutchess County.
21
22
     Unfortunately, his voice was absolutely silent
     for the previous several months as his county and
23
     his district have been killed --
24
25
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President --
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
 2
 3
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: -- point of
 4
     order.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Point of order,
 8
    Mr. President. Personal --
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: What is
10
    your point of order?
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Personal attacks
11
12
     against members in this chamber is something
     we've never tolerated, and I would suggest we
13
     shouldn't tolerate it now.
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
16
     Gianaris, your point is taken.
17
                  Senator Ball, what is your question?
18
                  SENATOR BALL:
                                  Will the member
19
    please let me know when he first found out that
20
     this was even in the budget.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
22
     Gipson.
23
                  SENATOR GIPSON: Oh, absolutely,
    Mr. President. Thank you for this opportunity to
24
25
     answer this question.
```

You know, I think everyone knows 1 2 here I've been an outspoken proponent for mandate relief since the day I was elected. Both of my 3 4 counties, Dutchess and Putnam County, as I'm sure 5 everyone's counties in this chamber, have let us know that they need relief, they need mandate 6 7 relief. And as a part of trying to bring back help to my constituents, I immediately went to 8 work with my colleagues here in the State Senate, 9 10 my colleagues on the other side of the aisle here as well, as well as my colleagues in the 11 12 Assembly, as well as my colleagues in the Governor's office. 13 So working with all those partners, 14 15 advocating for mandate relief, it was clear that there was the willingness to do that. And 16 therefore, we advocated for a variety of 17 18 different lines in the budget to be allocated towards to bring back relief. Fortunately, we 19 20 were able to secure this \$3.5 million. I believe it was certainly a group 21 I think both Senator Ball, myself, the 22

other legislators that represent the Hudson River

Valley were all advocating for this relief.

I think together we achieved it. And I'm

23

24

25

```
1
     certainly glad that I was able to be a part of
 2
     that process.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                              Senator
 4
     Ball, do you want to ask Senator Gipson to
 5
     continue to yield?
                  SENATOR BALL:
                                  Well, Mr. President,
 6
 7
     yes, if the member would continue to yield.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Gipson, do you yield?
9
10
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Senator
     Ball.
12
13
                                  What would be great,
                  SENATOR BALL:
     Mr. President, if the member could actually
14
15
     answer the question of when he found out that
16
     this was in the budget. Because as I all
     understand, we can read things online and then
17
18
     credit. But certainly in the conversation of
19
     which he just spoke, including mandate relief for
     Dutchess County, Terry Gipson was absent.
20
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
22
     Gipson.
23
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                    Well, as Senator
     DeFrancisco pointed out earlier at the beginning
24
25
     of the session, this budget here is quite thick
```

```
and quite hefty. And sometimes it takes quite a
 1
 2
     while to sort through all the various parts and
     pieces of it. And certainly you don't want to
 3
 4
     begin to talk about information with your
 5
     constituents until you're sure the money is
     there.
 6
                  So within the last few days, it's
 7
     become apparent that the money we had been
 8
     allocating for was going to be in the budget.
 9
10
     And therefore we began to talk to our
     constituents back home about it.
11
12
                  And certainly, as I mentioned
     before, I do believe this was a joint effort.
13
14
     All the colleagues in the Hudson Valley, as I'm
15
     sure colleagues throughout this chamber, are
     advocating for mandate relief. And I am very
16
17
     happy that I could be a part of delivering that
18
     so that Dutchess County could repeal their energy
19
     tax.
20
                  SENATOR BALL:
                                  I'm happy with the
21
                 Thank you.
     nonanswer.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                              Senator
     Gipson has the floor. Anything further?
23
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
24
                                    Nothing further,
25
     thank you, Mr. President.
```

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 1
 2
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
 3
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Will Senator
 4
     Ball yield for a question, please.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Ball, do you yield for a question?
 6
 7
                  Senator Ball does not yield,
 8
     Senator Gianaris.
 9
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: On the bill,
10
     Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                             Senator
     Gianaris on the bill.
12
13
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Interesting that
     Senator Ball stands here attacking members of
14
15
     this body when we've tried hard to deal
     collegially with each other and had a rule about
16
     not personally attacking or using attacks for
17
18
     political purposes on this floor.
19
                  I should say I'm not at all
20
     surprised that he has no regard for that rule, as
     he doesn't have regard for many things in this
21
22
     chamber. But to then not yield for questions
     after he has --
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                             Senator
25
     Gianaris, will you please stand to the bill
```

```
itself in principle. You're speaking on the
 1
 2
    bill.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Well, I would
 3
 4
     ask for the same consideration when members of
 5
     the other conference are --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: We will
 6
 7
    do so. Senator Gianaris, your point was taken
    properly, so I would ask you now to keep your
 8
     comments germane to the bill, please.
 9
10
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      My point was
     taken, but the gavel was not banged when
11
12
     Senator Ball was having his moment. So I would
13
     ask you to indulge my own.
                  But for Senator Ball to act the way
14
15
    he did and then to not yield himself for a
     question is a new low from him. And so not
16
     surprising, not a tremendous amount of courage on
17
18
    his point, but with that --
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
20
     Libous, why do you rise?
21
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: -- yield the
22
     floor.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, I
    believe at this point Senator Gianaris is
24
25
     actually committing an exercise that he was
```

1311

```
raising a point of order on. And I would like us
 1
 2
     to get on the issue of the bills before the
     house. And if we could move forward, I believe
 3
 4
     everybody has made their points at this time.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Your
     point is well taken.
 6
 7
                  Is there any further discussion on
     the bill or questions on the bill?
 8
 9
                  Senator Díaz.
10
                  SENATOR DÍAZ:
                                  Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Díaz on the bill.
13
                  SENATOR DÍAZ: Mr. President and
14
15
     ladies and gentlemen, you should know for the
     past three years I have stood here and I
16
     criticized the Governor and I criticized the
17
18
     makers of the past budgets. And I voted no on
19
     all the budgets.
20
                  But today, Mr. President, ladies and
21
     gentlemen, today I'm about to change all of that.
     Last week -- and I'll tell you why, for many
22
              Today, for example, last week I wrote a
23
     column that I entitled "God Hates Ugly: What
24
25
     about COLA?" And today in this budget, senior
```

citizens are being treated nice. And as the ranking member of the Senate Committee on Aging, I have to thank Governor Cuomo. And I would like to express my appreciation to the leaders of this chamber, Senator Skelos and Senator Jeff Klein, because they have taken care of senior citizens.

Senior citizens of the state has been forgotten. But today I'm proud to stand here and again, one more time, express my appreciation to Governor Cuomo, the person that I have criticized in the past. Today, I tip my hat to him and thank him for taking care of the senior citizens. They got -- the budget, they got 2 percent increase on the COLA for the lower-paid workers. The ones that really does do the work, the ones that really need it, they are getting the COLA. They are taken care of.

They have added a line and provided Managed Care Consumer Assistance Program, they provided money for that program. So \$10 million have been added to the -- to that section of the senior citizens. And also they have increased the money on the Aging Committee -- on the Aging Department.

So, ladies and gentlemen, today I

1313

```
1
     just want to thank again Governor Cuomo.
 2
     many times have I thanked Governor Cuomo already?
 3
     Many times. So I want to be sure that Governor
 4
     Cuomo receives my appreciation, together with
 5
     Senator Klein and Senator Skelos. They have been
     thanked.
 6
 7
                  And all the seniors in the State of
     New York should be proud, should be thankful,
 8
 9
     should be happy that the leadership of this
10
     chamber have taken care of them this year. I
11
     hope that next year we continue doing that. And
12
     as the ranking member of the Aging Committee,
     thank you, Mr. President, thank you, Governor,
13
14
     thank you, Senator Klein, thank you, Senator
15
     Skelos. And thank you to all of you.
                  And I'm proudly voting yes.
16
                                                Thank
17
     you.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     O'Brien.
19
20
                  SENATOR O'BRIEN:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                             Senator
     O'Brien on the bill.
23
                  SENATOR O'BRIEN:
                                     This bill and
24
25
     other parts we vote on today is such an
```

improvement over the one-house bill in so many
ways, particularly with respect to the
opportunities that are afforded for lessening the
burden on upstate property tax payers.

We all understand that one of the biggest impediments to our economic development activities upstate is the burden of property taxes. And this bill does great work in providing relief for property taxes with increased state aid.

But it also does a number of other things that are particularly important to my region and our economic development activities in really three areas.

One of the things it does is that it provides money to help stimulate the emerging innovation in the high-tech economy that's such an important part to our regional economy.

The Centers of Excellence funding for really three different Centers of Excellence in our region is critically important. The Data Science Center of Excellence at the University of Rochester will bring researchers from all over the nation and the world and provide countless jobs and resources to the Rochester regional

1 economy. 2 The funding for the Center of Excellence in Sustainable Manufacturing at the 3 4 Rochester Institute of Technology, again, a 5 critical part and important to our future economic development in our region. 6 7 It's also very heartening to see 8 that there is \$500,000, a half a million dollars, to be awarded for degree programs in gaming 9 10 design. And at the Rochester Institute of Technology they now have one of top three or four 11 12 gaming design facilities, degree programs in the nation. With this extra funding, assuming that 13 14 RIT will be participating in that, they may be 15 the best in the nation and the best in the world. 16 And a really burgeoning industry in gaming 17 design, which is now in many respects passing 18 Hollywood and movie makers in terms of dollars generated. 19 20 The second thing this bill does in 21 terms of economic development opportunities is workforce development. There's 250,000 for 22 veterans outreach --23 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 24 Senator

25

Libous.

```
Senator, I want to
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
 1
 2
     hear Senator O'Brien. There's a lot of
 3
     conversations going on. If members are speaking
 4
     with staff, if they could take them outside.
 5
     We're passing a budget. What Senator O'Brien is
     saying is something that I think members want to
 6
 7
     hear. So if you could take your conversation
 8
     outside of the chamber, it would be appreciated.
 9
                  Thank you, Senator.
10
                  SENATOR O'BRIEN: Thank you,
     Senator Libous.
11
                  There are a number of workforce
12
     development efforts included in this budget bill.
13
     Rochester Tooling & Machinery, critically
14
15
     important, $50,000. The wildly successful
     Hillside Works program, $100,000. Summer Youth
16
     Employment Program of Rochester, $300,000.
17
18
     Rochester Preapprenticeship Program, $300,000. A
     quarter of a million dollars for the Veterans
19
20
     Outreach of Monroe County. And I've included in
     that category really a critically important thing
21
     that relieves taxpayers as well, $1.1 million for
22
     childcare in Monroe County.
23
                  And the third element and the final
24
25
     element, and then I'll sum up, is really
```

```
increased funding for Finger Lakes tourism
 1
     included in this budget. It's another important
 2
 3
     part of our future in the Rochester region, our
 4
     economic development activities. We've only
 5
     begun to -- the tip of the iceberg with respect
     to tourism and people beginning to understand all
 6
 7
     across the nation the wonderful attributes in the
     Finger Lakes region that we have, and all kinds
 8
 9
     of different opportunities for tourists to come
10
     and enjoy.
11
                  So I'm very encouraged.
                                            I think
12
     this budget bill really advances the interests of
     the Rochester region in a great many ways, and I
13
14
     vote aye.
15
                  Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
16
     you, Senator O'Brien.
17
18
                  Senator Valesky.
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Thank you,
19
20
     Mr. President.
21
                  I want to follow on Senator Díaz's
     comments; Senator Díaz, the ranking member of the
22
     Senate Aging Committee. As chairman of the
23
     Senate Aging Committee, I certainly agree with
24
25
     Senator Díaz this is an outstanding budget for
```

1 senior citizens all across New York State. And 2 in addition to some of the items that Senator Díaz mentioned, the money for the 3 4 Community Services for the Elderly program, 5 \$5 million. Other local items in the Aging budget all across the State of New York, 6 7 important for local services to be provided to 8 our seniors. 9 And also the first expansion in over 10 a decade of the EPIC program, the Elderly Pharmaceutical Insurance Coverage program, which 11 12 is so critically important and allows seniors to 13 better afford their prescription drug coverage. 14 In fact, through this expansion, tens of 15 thousands of additional seniors who had previously not met income qualifications will now 16 be eligible for the EPIC program. 17 18 So I also want to thank Governor Cuomo, Senator Klein, Senator Skelos, and also a 19 20 special thanks to Senator Hannon, who took 21 personal interest in the EPIC program. 22 included in the overall Health budget, which is certainly a very complicated section of the 23 budget, and very difficult and complicated 24 25 negotiations. So I want to thank Senator Hannon

for his personal attention to that as well. 1 2 Thank you. 3 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 4 Espaillat. 5 SENATOR ESPAILLAT: Thank you, Mr. President. 6 7 This budget bill does have many wonderful things in it. Appropriations to create 8 a two-year \$312 million program for foreclosure 9 10 avoidance is in this part of the budget, as well as a Local Assistance for Housing program, which 11 12 appropriates housing development funds, small cities and community development block grants, 13 low-income weatherization, rural rental 14 15 assistance, and periodic subsidies to local 16 areas. It also adds to the Executive 17 18 proposal for Local Assistance for Housing 19 programs, which include the Neighborhood 20 Preservation and Rural Preservation programs that are very important to us, and New York City 21 22 Housing Authority drug crime prevention money as 23 well. So obviously this part of the budget 24 25 has many, many wonderful allocations for

```
localities. But I tell you what it doesn't have.
 1
 2
     It doesn't have the $25 million that we fought
     for so hard this session for the Dreamers.
 3
 4
     is where the funding would have been for
 5
     thousands of young people that are undocumented
     that would be without that funding. Whereas the
 6
 7
     article of it will be in another budget, in 6356,
     the actual funding for it would have been here,
 8
     the $25 million.
 9
10
                  And so, you know, again, we must
     reflect that although this part of the budget
11
     does allocate some funding for many worthy
12
     programs, still the phantom of a very small
13
     amount of money, $25 million, for Dreamers is not
14
15
     in here.
16
                  I will be voting for this part of
     the budget, but I want to highlight the fact that
17
18
     in past years I have voted against it because the
19
     Dreamers were not funded. And again, we will
20
     continue to fight for these young people
21
     throughout the State of New York.
22
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
23
                                              Senator
     Kennedy.
24
25
                  SENATOR KENNEDY:
                                      Thank you,
```

Mr. President.

With this budget bill we provide critical investments in programs that help protect our children, give them opportunities they need to achieve their greatest potential. We increase funding for child advocacy centers across New York State, to give those on the front lines of the fight against child abuse the resources they need to keep our children safe and continue to support the victims.

This is an important step in the right direction with our ongoing efforts to prevent child abuse and neglect across our state. In Western New York, tragedy has prompted action. The deaths of Abdi Mohamud, Gage Seneca, Austin Smith, Eain Brooks and Mayouna Smith have been heartbreaking and intolerable tragedies.

And these tragedies should make all of us question whether our state and our counties are doing enough to keep our children safe.

Across the country, about 700,000 cases of abuse and neglect are reported every year. In New York State alone, 80,000 children suffer from abuse and neglect each year.

Child advocacy centers utilize a

multidisciplinary team approach to investigate
the most difficult and serious cases of abuse and
neglect. They ensure coordinated effort between
social workers, medical professionals, law
enforcement and legal representation. In
addition to saving lives, these centers also save
money. Studies show that multidisciplinary team
investigations save as much as a thousand dollars
per case per investigation.

The \$2.5 million increase in funding is going to go a long way towards strengthening the operation and saving children's lives across Western New York and across New York State.

This budget also boosts funding to Youth Development Programs by \$1.3 million, which supports the Cheektowaga Youth Engaged in Service, otherwise known as the Cheektowaga YES program. This will ensure young New Yorkers have access to enriching opportunities to get involved in their communities.

YES engages Cheektowaga kids in community service programs with nursing home residents, young children, and adults and children with disabilities. They're a great example of why we should commit to maintaining

```
1
     Youth Development Program funding, and these are
 2
     exactly the types of programs that our state
     should be investing in, among many other things,
 3
 4
     when it comes to investing in our children, which
 5
     is our collective future.
 6
                  With that, I vote aye,
 7
     Mr. President.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 9
     Squadron.
10
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: On the bill,
     Mr. President.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Squadron on the bill.
13
14
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: As many of my
15
     colleagues have pointed out, there are some
     better and more difficult parts of this budget.
16
17
                  I do actually want to start maybe by
     getting us back on track with a little bit of a
18
19
     more bipartisan commentary. I want to thank
20
     Senator Gallivan and Senator Savino and others
21
     for working with me on programs like the Nurse
22
     Family Partnership and Settlement Houses, the
23
     Neighborhood Preservation Program and Emergency
     Homeless.
24
25
                  These are seemingly small programs
```

in a well over \$130 billion a year budget. But in terms of having an impact on New Yorkers who most need it, these programs make an enormous difference. They are life-changing. And a brief respite from the hyperpartisanship we often see up here, to push those is a positive thing.

I also want to say that it's positive that New York City will, thanks to this budget, be able to propose a housing subsidy program to do something about the absolutely unacceptable homelessness crisis in New York City right now. I hope that the Division of Budget and the Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance work in a collaborative, open way with the City to actually get such a housing subsidy program started to move people out of shelter and into permanent housing.

Of course, one of the major issues of the year, and I know it appears in a number of bills, is the City's proposal to expand universal pre-K to every eligible student who wants it in New York City full-day. And the fact that this bill, along with some others, give New York City the aid that allows that to happen is really game-changing.

And once you peel back all the politics and all the discussion of it, as the father of a 3-year-old, the idea that this is now something that is my right in New York City and is even more importantly the right of my constituents and everyone else, really is something to be very proud of today.

There are programs that didn't get the funding we would have hoped, from Summer Youth employment to the New York State Supportive Housing Program's community services for the elderly. But I want to say is that Aid to Localities anyway does seem to have perhaps gotten past some of the ugliest, least transparent and historically discouraging ways that this place works.

I also do want to say, just for a matter of clarity, unfunded mandates and mandate relief are not as big a deal in my locality in New York City. But it was on my mind through this budget because Senator Gipson wouldn't let me stop thinking about it. And I know that's not just true of me but of some other Senators here in the place.

So I want to thank Senator Gipson

```
for doing that on behalf of his constituents and
 1
 2
     say that I would like to now continue to start
     thinking about my district again and stop
 3
 4
     worrying as much as about it since the victory
 5
     came. So thank you, Senator Gipson, for that.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     Hoylman.
 8
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN:
                                     Thank you,
9
     Mr. President.
10
                  I rise to support this bill, also to
     thank my colleague Senator Squadron for all of
11
     his work in working with our friends across the
12
     aisle to make these important services available.
13
                  I too wanted to note Senator
14
15
     Gipson's work in this regard. As a freshman, as
16
     a member of the minority conference, the way we
     advocate is with our voices with, is with working
17
18
     with our constituents, is with working with our
19
     colleagues on this side of the aisle. And
20
     Senator Gipson has certainly done that.
21
     want to thank him for making this issue, for me,
22
     top of mine.
23
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
                                              I'll be
24
     voting aye.
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

1 Savino. 2 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Mr. President. 3 4 I also rise to support this bill. 5 As the chair of the Subcommittee on Human Services, I also want to thank my colleagues who 6 worked with me on many of the things that have 7 been spoken about by the previous speakers. 8 Senator Avella, Senator Gallivan, Senator 9 10 Montgomery, Senator Felder, Senator Squadron, thank you for supporting us in our efforts to 11 secure a lot of the restorations and improvements 12 that many of you have spoken about. 13 Whether it's the small programs in 14 15 places like Rochester or Buffalo or in 16 Poughkeepsie, or the larger programs that affect the City of New York, we work together to find a 17 18 way to not only make restorations but in some 19 ways make historic improvements, like the EPIC 20 program, like senior citizen rent increase 21 exemptions -- many of the things that our 22 constituents depend on. 23 But earlier in this legislative term, my colleagues in the IDC and I put forward 24 25 a proposal that we defined as "Affordable

New York, " focusing on many things that affect 1 2 working families but really focusing in, in a laser-like way, on the issue of childcare. We 3 4 have been going backwards on childcare subsidies 5 in this state for the past seven years, continuously decreasing our commitment and 6 7 reducing the childcare block grant to localities, creating burdens on those localities who were 8 trying to provide programs to their constituents. 9 10 We turned that corner this year when 11 we took what the Governor proposed and we said we 12 need more, and we put an additional \$34 million into the childcare subsidy so that we can begin 13 14 to reverse our trend and provide quality 15 subsidized childcare for every working family in New York State. 16 17 Is it enough? No. But we are 18 certainly moving in the right direction. And I want to thank all of you for supporting me in my 19 20 efforts to get to that point at the human service budget table. 21 22 Thank you, and I will be voting aye, Mr. President. 23 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Is there 24 25 any other Senator wishing to be heard?

```
1
                  Seeing none, hearing none, the
 2
     debate is closed. The Secretary will ring the
 3
     bell.
                  Read the last section.
 4
 5
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 2. This
     act shall take effect immediately.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Call the
 8
     roll.
 9
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
10
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 61.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The bill
11
     is passed.
12
13
                  I'd remind members there are a
     number of votes being taken. Please remain close
14
     to the chamber.
15
16
                  The Secretary will continue to read.
17
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Calendar Number
18
     371, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6354E, an
19
     act making appropriations for the support of
20
     government: Capital Projects Budget.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
22
     Gipson, before you begin I'm going to ask for
     order in the chamber again, please. May I have
23
     order in the chamber.
24
25
                  Senator Gipson.
```

1 SENATOR GIPSON: Thank you, 2 Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill. You 3 4 know, I think today everyone would love to just 5 take a break right now and go for a walk in a park. And what a great thing about this bill is 6 7 is that it actually restores funding, \$92.5 million, to our parks in New York State. 8 9 As with the rest of the state, my 10 area in the Hudson River Valley has many, many parks that provide valuable resources and supply 11 an enormous amount of revenue into our local 12 economy. So I am so grateful for all those who 13 have worked on both sides of the aisle to restore 14 15 that funding. It will benefit not only my district, but it will benefit New York State as a 16 whole. 17 18 And also, as we all know, this winter has just been brutal, and all of our roads 19 20 and our highways throughout the state, and my 21 district is no different than that, we have many 22 potholes, many areas that need to be urgently filled and made safer so that people can travel 23 to work and back, and to the parks. 24 25 So the fact that we've put in about

```
1
     $40 million to help with winter recovery of our
 2
     repaving and potholes is certainly well-needed.
 3
     And again, I appreciate all those on both sides
     of the aisle that have worked so hard to make
 4
 5
     sure that this is in the budget. It will
     certainly make our state stronger moving forward.
 6
 7
                  Again, I will be voting yes on this
     bill, and I would encourage my colleagues to do
 8
 9
     the same.
                Thank you.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     O'Brien.
11
12
                  SENATOR O'BRIEN:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Senator
15
     O'Brien on the bill.
16
                  SENATOR O'BRIEN:
                                     Yeah, I'm
17
     encouraged that what Senator Gipson was talking
18
     about, the $40 million in extreme weather
19
     recovery funding, was included. This of course
20
     was not something in the Governor's originally
     proposed budget, or in either one-house bill.
21
22
     But it's really important that it's here.
                  I know that we had visitors from
23
     highway departments all across the state descend
24
25
     on Albany and make their case for increased local
```

```
1
     highway dollars. And particularly following the
 2
     weather conditions that we had this winter, this
     $40 million in extreme weather recovery funding
 3
 4
     is extremely important, and it is also critically
 5
     important for helping to relieve the burden on
     property taxpayers, because otherwise this falls
 6
 7
     on property taxpayers to repave our roads.
                  So I intend to vote aye on this
 8
     bill. Thank you.
 9
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
     you, Senator O'Brien.
11
12
                  Senator Kennedy.
13
                  SENATOR KENNEDY:
                                     Thank you,
14
     Mr. President. I too rise to support this
15
     portion of the budget.
16
                  In Western New York we've seen a
     total snowfall this year of well over a hundred
17
18
     inches, endured severe, unprecedented weather,
19
     two blizzards. In Buffalo, snow-removal costs
20
     have surged, roads have suffered. There was a
21
     recent study that showed that only 44 percent of
22
     roads in Western New York are in good condition.
                  This $40 million that is allocated
23
     in addition to the CHIPS funding that had been
24
25
     proposed is going to be just what the doctor
```

```
ordered for the roads in Western New York and
 1
 2
     across New York State that have suffered
     immeasurably due to the severity of the winter
 3
 4
     season that we continue to go through and
 5
     hopefully we see coming to an end in the very,
     very near future.
 6
 7
                  So with that, Mr. President, I will
 8
     be voting aye.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
10
     Perkins.
                  SENATOR PERKINS: I'd like to speak
11
     on the bill.
12
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Perkins on the bill.
14
15
                  SENATOR PERKINS:
                                     I would be deeply
16
     remiss if I allowed the passage of the Capital
     Projects budget appropriating $1.3 billion to the
17
18
     Urban Development Corporation, also known as the
19
     Empire State Development Corporation, to occur
     without taking the opportunity to talk about a
20
21
     gross and quite frankly despicable injustice that
     played out in my district at the hands of both
22
23
     ESDC and the New York City Economic Development
     Corporation.
24
25
                  I'm not sure how many of you are
```

familiar with the National Urban League's Land
Use Improvement and Civic Project, which is to be
located at 121 West 125th Street in the heart of
Harlem, my district. Under the guise of economic
development, the plan calls for the demolition of
several buildings which will be reconstructed to
house the National Urban League's headquarters as
well as offer market-rate commercial and
residential space for lease.

The plan required ESDC to transfer title to the property to the New York City EDC at hundreds of millions of dollars below market value, who would then enter into a 99-year lease term with the National Urban League. More importantly, the plan also effectively terminates the leases and livelihoods of four small successful business owners, three of which have long-standing ties to the Harlem community dating back to a time when no one wanted to invest or establish a business in our community.

Tounkara Massamakan, owner of Kaarta Imports, established his business over 20 years ago. Ron Walton, owner of Golden Krust, opened his business over 10 years ago. And Joseph Benbow, owner of Fishers of Men II, took over his

family business roughly six years ago. Now all stand to be displaced by the demolition and reconstruction and have been denied the option to lease commercial space -- on the strip that they single-handedly made successful -- once the project has been completed.

This plan that inflicts detrimental and irreversible harm on these small business owners and the community was pushed through with no formal notice to the business owners and rubber-stamped with little to no consideration for community input and participation, which included substantial negative commentary on the project due in part to the unceremonious ouster of the four small business owners, tenants who are like family.

Adding insult to injury, ESDC and NYC EDC made no legal effort to compensate or accommodate the business owners, instead opting to offer them loans and de minimis relocation and advertising services that fall woefully short of what would be needed to make these successful small business owners whole in the face of the loss of their current commercial space in a community where they are well-known and

```
1
     respected.
 2
                  I begrudgingly vote yes on this part
 3
     of the budget because of all the important
 4
     capital projects that are funded through this
 5
     bill. But I urge the Governor, ESDC and NYC EDC
     to come back to the table and fairly, wholly and
 6
 7
     adequately compensate these local small business
     owners for the capital that they cultivated at
 8
     121 West 125th Street.
9
10
                  I vote aye.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Thank
12
     you, Senator Perkins.
13
                  Senator Stavisky.
14
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       Thank you,
15
     Mr. President. Will the sponsor -- will someone
     yield?
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
17
                                              Senator
18
     Stavisky, Senator DeFrancisco is approaching the
     chair.
19
20
                  Senator DeFrancisco, Senator
21
     Stavisky has asked you to yield.
22
                  And Senator DeFrancisco yields. You
     may ask your question, Senator Stavisky.
23
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: In the CUNY and
24
25
     SUNY appropriations for capital projects, on
```

```
page 12 -- let's start with CUNY. On page 12 of
 1
 2
     the budget bill, there's a $127 million
     appropriation for various institutions within
 3
 4
     SUNY. And following that is a $67 million
 5
     appropriation for future strategic initiatives, I
     think is the phrase that's been used.
 6
 7
     requires approval by the Temporary President of
     the Senate, the Director of the Budget, and
 8
     ultimately the Legislature.
 9
10
                  Is this another form of bullet aid
     for colleges?
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Well, it
     depends what the definition of bullet aid is.
13
     It's sort of what -- well, I won't go into that.
14
15
     But it's sort of the definition of what bullet
     aid is. It's funding that has to be allocated in
16
     accordance with an agreement, almost like
17
18
     memorandum of understanding, between the Senate,
     the Assembly, and the Governor's office.
19
20
                  And when you say bullet aid, there
     has to be an understanding among all three that
21
22
     the projects that are going to be proposed are
     good ones, rather than trying to do everything in
23
     the budget and line everything out, which would
24
25
     be pretty difficult with the constraints of the
```

```
1
     time to get a budget done.
 2
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      So that the
 3
     language setting up both the bullet aid for K-12
 4
     and the bullet aid that you have here, it's
 5
     really the same language, isn't it?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yeah, sort
 6
 7
     of. It's sort of the same thing. It's we can't
 8
     agree on everything right now; rather than
     holding up the budget, there's a pot we'll agree
 9
10
     later, the same three parties are agreed.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                             Senator
12
     Stavisky, are you asking Senator DeFrancisco to
     yield?
13
14
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      Yes, I would
15
     appreciate the Senator yielding.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
17
     DeFrancisco, do you continue to yield?
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
20
     Stavisky.
21
                                      The original
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
22
     Senate resolution called for $700 million in aid
     for CUNY and $1.2 billion for SUNY for capital
23
     projects, and they were earmarked for critical
24
25
     maintenance.
```

1 These projects are not earmarked for 2 critical maintenance. What are these projects going to -- it's a lot of money. What are they 3 4 going to be doing? 5 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: There was critical maintenance and whatever the other one 6 7 was in our one-house budget, the strategic 8 portion of it, yes. 9 SENATOR STAVISKY: But I don't see 10 it in this budget, in the final agreed-upon 11 budget. 12 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: There's no 13 requirement that the monies that are outlined for various capital projects cannot be used for 14 15 critical maintenance. I mean, it's open. 16 The same thing with the agreement that later breaks up those dollars into specific 17 18 projects. There's no limitation on what that 19 money could be used for if it's agreed upon by 20 the three parties. 21 So if critical maintenance is the 22 priority and the three parties agree, that's 23 where it will go. SENATOR STAVISKY: How will the 24 25 allocation --

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
                                              Senator
 2
     Stavisky.
 3
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       I'm sorry, will
 4
     the Senator continue to yield?
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     Senator yields.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: How is the
 8
     allocation going to be determined, then?
 9
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Mr.
10
     President, the allocation is going to be
     determined just like we do in the budget.
11
12
     ones that are outlined here are those projects
     that could be agreed upon. The ones -- they
13
14
     wanted more money for capital projects for SUNY
15
     and CUNY, and since there was not enough time to
     agree on every single one of them, they're going
16
     to use the same process after the budget to
17
18
     allocate those funds.
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
19
                                       In other
20
     words -- if the Senator would continue to yield.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
22
     Senator yields.
23
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       In other words,
24
25
     SUNY and CUNY no longer can determine what their
```

```
1
     capital needs are going to be.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
     President, on the contrary, SUNY and CUNY -- not
 3
 4
     CUNY. But personally SUNY has contacted me, the
 5
     SUNY hospital in my area, ad nauseam, about a
     bunch of capital projects that they really need.
 6
 7
     And it's going to be up to me to try to advocate
 8
     for some of those projects.
 9
                  They tell us their needs; we
10
     determine if those needs can be met by the pot of
     money that is available.
11
12
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       In other
     words -- if the Senator would continue to yield.
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     Senator yields.
16
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       Those SUNY
17
18
     campuses that don't have the chair of the
19
     Finance Committee as their State Senator, what do
20
     they do?
                                          Well, they do
21
                  SENATOR DEFRANCISCO:
22
     the same thing. They exercise their persuasive
     abilities to try to get those programs in.
23
                  And by the way, the chairman of
24
25
     Finance and the Senate staff came up with a
```

```
magnificent homeland security college concept in
 1
 2
     Syracuse, where they already started a major one
 3
     at the law school. And despite the power of the
 4
     chairman of Finance, it's still not designated in
 5
     the budget what that money is going to be used
     for. And probably everyone in this house has
 6
 7
     probably asked for a piece of it by now.
 8
                  So it's been no means guaranteed no
 9
     matter who it happens to be that's advocating for
     a project.
10
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator
11
12
     would continue to yield.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     Senator yields.
14
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes.
                                               And as
     a graduate of Syracuse University, you should
16
     help me get that homeland security at Syracuse.
17
18
                  I'll yield.
19
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: That's called
20
     alumni guilt.
21
                  (Laughter.)
22
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator
23
     would continue to yield.
24
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       I brought up
 2
     this issue on the Senate one-house resolution,
 3
     where I pointed out that there were already
 4
     terrific programs in homeland security and
 5
     emergency preparedness management at both the
     University at Buffalo and at the University at
 6
 7
     Binghamton. And in fact, I understand that the
     folks in the North Country, Canton and the other
 8
     SUNY campuses, are looking to do a similar
 9
10
     program. So that has to be sorted out.
                  But if the Senator would yield for a
11
12
     totally different -- getting back to the
     original --
13
14
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: May I just
15
     respond to that?
16
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       Sure.
17
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          The
18
     difference here, and I'm going to be advocating
19
     more strongly with the Governor, is that we put
20
     together a program with the help of Bob Farley,
21
     in counsel's office, a detailed program for
22
     degree programs for homeland security, all the
     way up to graduate degrees at Maxwell or law
23
     degrees dealing in homeland security.
24
25
                  And we put it together, we gave all
```

```
1
     the information, we provided it to the Governor's
 2
     staff and so forth. It was a specific proposal
     for a specific project that made a lot of sense,
 3
 4
     and I think the Governor will agree.
 5
                  So it's different than -- in fact,
     the reason the $15 million was in the budget to
 6
 7
     start with was because of this advocacy that this
     is what we thought we would need. So obviously
 8
     everyone should be advocating for their various
 9
10
     districts, but this was a program-specific
11
     proposal that we were advocating for.
12
                  With that said, I will be happy to
13
     yield.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
15
     Stavisky.
16
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       Thank you.
17
                  But I think the record should show
18
     that the programs are at SUNY, and Syracuse is a
19
     private institution. And I will be happy to work
20
     with you on that project, but --
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         This would be
22
     a joint SUNY-Syracuse University project.
23
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      If the Senator
     would --
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
```

```
1
     Senator yields, Senator Stavisky.
 2
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: All right. Let
 3
     us go to the SUNY capital project, where
 4
     $82 million -- on page 430 of the budget bill,
 5
     $82 million is spelled out for various campuses
     at SUNY. And the same situation that existed for
 6
 7
     CUNY is for SUNY, the bullet aid part, which I
 8
     think is an appropriate description.
 9
                  And again, there's no transparency
10
     in knowing what these allocations are going to
     result in, is that correct?
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                        Not in this
     budget, but as we've done in every other similar
13
     situation, there will be a resolution to be voted
14
15
     upon once there's an understanding as to what
     projects the three leaders -- the two leaders and
16
     the Governor's office have agreed to.
17
18
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator
     would continue to yield.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
21
     Senator yields.
22
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: My sandwich
     is getting stale.
23
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      I know.
24
                                               So I
25
     think -- well, I'm not going to comment on your
```

```
sandwich.
 1
 2
                  (Laughter.)
 3
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Really the last
 4
     question.
 5
                  You were kind enough to provide a
     staff report on the adopted budget. And if you
 6
 7
     turn to page 48 in that booklet, you talk about
 8
     $33 million for additional future strategic
     initiatives. And yet the budget allocation is
 9
10
     for $33 million {sic}. Can you explain the
11
     discrepancy?
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         All right.
     The 98 is the list that's underneath it.
13
     Hopefully that will add up to 98 or close to it.
14
     There's an additional $33 million for additional
15
16
     future strategic initiatives. So the 33 is on
17
     top.
18
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      It says 33 in
19
     the printed budget and -- I'm sorry, it says
20
     $49 million in the printed budget on page 430 of
21
     the budget bill, but 33 million for the same
22
     description. And I assume that 33 million is a
23
     typographical error.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         What is in
24
25
     the budget bill itself, the 49 million, as you
```

```
can see in the first group, the 98 million, the
 1
 2
     last item is 16 million for future strategic
     initiatives -- do you see that 16 million? Page
 3
 4
     48, Capital Projects, Senate --
 5
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Ninety-eight
 6
     million, I see.
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Ninety-eight
 8
     million, okay. That's reprogramming existing
 9
     funds. So that all adds up to 98. The next one
10
     is an additional strategic initiative; right?
     Thirty-three million. But since the last
11
12
     category in the first listing is 16 million for
     future strategic initiatives, we added that
13
14
     programmed amount to the new money to come out
15
     with the 49.
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
16
                                      Okay.
                                             Thank
17
     you. Thank you, Mr. President. I hope the
18
     Senator enjoys his sandwich.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Thank
20
     you, Senator Stavisky.
21
                  Senator Bonacic.
22
                  SENATOR BONACIC:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President. Just a couple of things.
23
                  I know a couple of my colleagues
24
25
     stood up and started talking about how
```

appreciative they were for the CHIPS funding.

That's not in this bill, that's on the

transportation bill we will see in a little

while, hopefully, which will be Bill Number

6357D. But since you started the discussion of

CHIPS, I thought I would stand up and talk about

CHIPS also.

You know, I spoke to a couple of excavators in my area, and they tell me this winter was so bad that the frost beneath the ground was 42 inches. That's almost 3½ feet of frost.

But the strongest advocate in our conference was Senator Tom O'Mara, who held press conferences, who did press releases. Because as you may know, last year was a record year for CHIPS, \$438 million. And because of Senator O'Mara's efforts, he got that repeated this year, plus \$40 million for potholes. I don't want you to call him Senator Pothole. He's not that.

But my only point is, and my last comment on this, is we have to wait for spring.

We've all been waiting. The warm weather's not here yet. We've got to get the frost out of the ground, the weather's got to get better, we get

```
these funds out and fix all of those potholes
 1
 2
     throughout the State of New York.
 3
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
                                              Thank
     you, Senator O'Mara.
 4
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 6
     Serrano.
 7
                  SENATOR SERRANO:
                                     Thank you very
 8
     much, Mr. President.
 9
                  I rise on the bill to talk about how
10
     happy I am to see that the capital funding for
     state parks, the $92.5 million, has been
11
     restored. And as we all know here in this
12
     chamber, there are very few items that are as
13
14
     nonpartisan or bipartisan, whichever you'd like
15
     to call it, as our state parks.
                                      This is one of
16
     the few areas where this entire chamber agrees
     that there should be adequate funding, that there
17
18
     should be improvements, and that there is
19
     tremendous value in our state park system here in
20
     New York.
21
                  However, in the budget process,
22
     unfortunately our conference was not adequately
     involved. And had we had the chance to be
23
     involved, we could have discussed together in a
24
25
     very collegial fashion how important our state
```

parks are to our economy. Last year was a banner year for state park visitors. Over 60 million visitors enjoyed our state parks.

And our parks have much more than the obvious recreational and cultural benefit.

There's a tremendous economic impact that our state parks produce. A recent study commissioned by Parks & Trails indicated that spending in and around New York state parks has an estimated economic impact of \$1.9 billion annually, and that economic activity generates and contributes to 20,000 jobs statewide.

A very specific study that was done involving the Walkway over the Hudson in Poughkeepsie further confirmed the incredible economic impact of parks. The walkway attracts half a million visitors per year, with 48 percent of those visitors being from outside the local area, meaning outside of Dutchess and Ulster County. And these non-local visitors contributed nearly \$24 million in new sales. That translates to 383 new jobs that were created because of this park, including a whopping \$9.4 million in new wages, with an non-local visitor spending nearly \$64 each for a trip to the park. Twenty-one

dollars of that would be spent at local restaurants.

Our parks are very old and magnificent, but they were built a long time ago. And the infrastructure, the underpinnings of our parks are crumbling. Visit any one of our state parks and you'll be very sad to see how much of the infrastructure is in much need of repair and upgrades.

So this \$9.5 million is an important step, but it really doesn't solve the problem.

There is a \$1 billion backlog in capital repairs for our New York State parks. And this is having a very detrimental effect. If you have visitors who are going to travel far to visit a state park for a camping trip or hiking or picnicking, they'll be very sad to see that maybe the facilities are not open, restaurants are not operating, concessions are not in full effect.

And that's why we owe it to the visitors of our state parks -- which generate a tremendous amount of tourist activity for our state, tremendous economic impact -- that we adequately fund capital improvements in our state parks and that we make this a priority, not only

```
for this budget but for future budgets going
 1
 2
     forward. That we look at this as a pillar within
     our budget, something that is not just nice if we
 3
 4
     can have it, but something that is extremely
 5
     important to the economic future of our state.
 6
                  I will vote yes. Thank you.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
 8
     you, Senator Serrano.
 9
                  Senator Smith.
10
                  SENATOR SMITH: Thank you very
     much, Mr. President. On the bill.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Smith on the bill.
13
14
                  SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I
15
     understand that in any budget process there are
     things that you like, things that you don't like.
16
     Usually when both sides are unhappy, that means
17
18
     negotiations were fair.
19
                  But as in all budgets and in all
20
     politics is local. So I just rise,
     Mr. President, just to highlight just a couple of
21
22
     items that I am appreciative that we have chose
     to put in this budget.
23
                  Number one on the capital side is
24
25
     York College, where I have been fighting, along
```

with my colleagues in the Assembly, for a number of years to try to get this academic learning center put in place. And I am pleased that in this budget, after about three years of fighting, we chose to put \$30 million toward that. That will go a long way for the community of Southeast Queens, York College, and many of my colleagues who have been fighting quite some time for that.

Also a little closer to home, actually within blocks, serving our veterans is the St. Albans Nursing Home, which also has been highlighted for some capital improvements, which I am very appreciative of.

And last but not least,

Mr. President, early on in September I inquired to the Governor as well as to the Commissioner of Education about the inequities when it comes to technology and the various technological equipment that our public schools use. In particular, I cited even in my district where you can cross what is similar to the Mason-Dixon line, if you want to call it that -- but on one side of one street there are a number of schools

that have SMART Boards, iPads, iPods, and then on

the other side we have none.

Well, I'm glad to see that the Smart School Bond Act is in here. And I would encourage all my colleagues to remind your constituents that come November they need to vote for that referendum.

The only question I would raise here, Mr. President -- and I hope that Senator Flanagan and others will consider this -- the three-member board that will decide on how that resource is allocated is the Commissioner of Education, the Director of the Budget, and the chancellor of the State University of New York.

I would appeal to my colleagues to give an opportunity for the Chancellor of the City of New York, who has oversight over 1.2 million young people, to be a part of that, to make sure that that distribution and allocation of Smart School technology is appropriately appropriated to those school districts that need it and have nothing. And there are many that do not have.

So I will be supporting this bill.

There are a number of other capital projects in here that are critical to the state, critical to my district. And I thank my colleagues for the

```
time and effort, and the staff, that they put
 1
 2
     into putting this particular piece of legislation
 3
     together.
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 4
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Is there
     any other Senator wishing to be heard?
 6
 7
                  Seeing none and hearing none, the
 8
     debate is closed and the Secretary will ring the
9
     bell.
10
                  Read the last section.
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 2. This
11
12
     act shall take effect immediately.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Call the
14
     roll.
15
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Carlucci to explain his vote.
17
18
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                       Thank you,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  This past winter New York saw one of
21
     the harshest winters on record. And for many
22
     municipalities throughout the state -- and I know
23
     particularly in the municipalities that I
     represent in the Lower Hudson Valley, they've
24
25
     been saddled with the need of preparing and
```

paying for these unanticipated costs related to 1 2 this harsh winter. 3 And I want to thank my colleagues here for coming to the aid of localities, of 4 5 municipalities, in providing another \$40 million to provide that relief to our municipalities. 6 7 I believe this will go a long way to make sure that we keep our roads safe and at the 8 9 same time protecting our property taxpayers. 10 I want to thank my colleagues for working together to come to the need of our localities in 11 12 meeting those unanticipated costs. So, Mr. President, I'll be voting 13 14 Thank you. aye. 15 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Carlucci to be recorded in the affirmative. 16 I would ask staff to please take 17 18 conversations outside the chamber. It's getting a little noisy in here. 19 20 Senator Parker to explain his vote. SENATOR PARKER: 21 Thank you, Mr. President, to explain my vote. 22 You know, as I often say in this 23 chamber, the most important thing that I think we 24

deal with in the budget or anywhere is education.

25

1 In this capital part of the budget we are 2 providing \$35 million to Brooklyn College to 3 rebuild this Roosevelt Hall. 4 Particularly in this time when STEM 5 education is really, really critical for the advancement of not just education but for the 6 7 jobs that are being created in our society, you 8 know, providing more access to the kind of labs 9 and facilities that students are going to need to 10 improve their standing as relates to science, 11 technology and engineering as well as mathematics is going to be critical. 12 13 So I want to thank the authors of this budget for making sure that this important 14 15 funding is provided this year. 16 Thank you. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 17 Senator 18 Parker to be recorded in the affirmative. 19 Announce the results. 20 THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 60. Nays, 1. 21 Senator Maziarz recorded in the negative. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The bill 22 is passed. 23 SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President. 24 25 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

```
1
     Libous.
 2
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Calendar 372 and
     373 will be laid aside temporarily, and we'll go
 3
     on from there.
 4
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Calendar
    Numbers 372 and 373 will be laid aside
 6
 7
     temporarily.
 8
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: And you can follow
9
     the order of the calendar.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: We will
     return to the order of the calendar with Calendar
11
    Number 374.
12
13
                  The Secretary will read.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
14
15
     374, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6357D, an
     act to amend the Highway Law.
16
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
18
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
19
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
20
    believe there's an amendment at the desk. I ask
21
     that the reading of the amendment be waived and
22
     that Senator Tkaczyk may be heard on the
23
     amendment.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                             Thank
25
    you, Senator Gianaris.
```

1 Can I have some order in the 2 chamber, please. Senator Gianaris, I have reviewed 3 4 your amendment and believe that it is not germane 5 to the bill and therefore out of order. SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I 6 7 appeal the decision of the chair and I ask that 8 Senator Tkaczyk be heard on the appeal. 9 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 10 Tkaczyk, you may be heard on the appeal. SENATOR TKACZYK: 11 Thank you, Mr. President. 12 13 My amendment to this budget bill is 14 germane because it does not unreasonably expand 15 the object of the underlying bill, maintains the same purpose, and addresses the same areas of 16 law. 17 18 The amendment that I offer today provides for suspending the issuance of permits 19 20 for the drilling of wells for natural gas extraction in low-permeability natural gas 21 reservoirs like the Marcellus and Utica Shale 22 formations. My amendment would also require a 23 school of public health to conduct a 24 25 comprehensive health impact assessment, complete

with public comment and review.

As members of this chamber well know, the prospect of permitting horizontal fracturing in this state has led to a robust debate over the safety of this natural gas extraction process and attendant environmental protections to safeguard our natural resources.

Local New York State municipalities have enacted 75 bans and 102 moratoria on high-volume hydraulic fracturing, while 87 more are currently moving ban and moratorium proposals.

Opponents of hydraulic fracturing point to environmental risks including contamination of groundwater, depletion of fresh water, contamination of the air, noise pollution, the migration of gases and hydraulic fracturing chemicals to the surface, and surface contamination from spills and flowback. There are also reported increases in seismic activity, mostly associated with deep injection disposal of flowback and produced brine from hydraulically fractured wells.

The Department of Environmental Conservation has failed to lay out a

comprehensive plan to review and analyze the cumulative impact of a full build-out of a multiple-well drilling program.

Instead, their model is based on reviewing well applications in isolation from one another. And despite the fact that many of the chemicals used in fracking are classified as hazardous before they are pumped into the ground, the produced waste from fracking that contains these same chemicals is not classified as hazardous.

Delaying the Department of
Environmental Conservation's ability to issue
permits will provide the Legislature with
additional time to assess the true public health
and environmental impacts of horizontal
hydraulic fracturing and provide an opportunity
for the completion of a comprehensive health
impact assessment that, unlike the current
process, provides opportunities for public
comment, provides for baseline community health
analysis, and offers a transparent path for data
collection.

Thank you.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank

```
you, Senator Tkaczyk.
 1
 2
                  All those in favor of overriding the
     ruling of the chair signify by saying aye.
 3
 4
                  (Response of "Aye.")
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
     Mr. President.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Opposed?
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
 8
9
     Mr. President.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: A show of
     hands has been requested. All those in favor of
11
12
     overruling the ruling of the chair please raise
     your hand.
13
                  Announce the results.
14
15
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 30.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     ruling of the chair stands.
17
18
                  Senator Gianaris, why do you rise?
19
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President I
20
     believe there's another amendment at the desk. I
21
     ask that the reading of that amendment be waived
22
     and that Senator Gipson may be heard on that
23
     amendment.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              Senator
25
     Gianaris, I am reviewing the amendment and rule
```

```
1
     that it is not germane to the bill and therefore
 2
     out of order.
                  I will call upon Senator Avella --
 3
 4
     Senator Gipson. Senator Gipson.
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      That was the
     last bill.
 6
 7
                  (Laughter.)
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
9
     Gipson.
10
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President, for recognizing the difference
11
12
     between Senator Gipson and Senator Avella. I
     appreciate that.
13
                  My amendment to this budget bill is
14
15
     germane because it does not unreasonably expand
16
     the object of the underlying bill, maintains the
     purposes and addresses the same areas of law.
17
18
                  The amendment that I offer would
     allow our state to invest directly in New Yorkers
19
20
     to create jobs in New York by authorizing zero
21
     percent interest rate loans to businesses with
22
     fewer than five employees as an inventive to hire
     New York residents. The objective is to grow
23
     small business in the state, the backbone of our
24
25
     economy, and to get our New Yorkers back to work.
```

We need to incentivize small business growth.

There are far too many unemployed

New Yorkers right now, and as a result New York's

economy is suffering. Getting unemployed

New Yorkers back to work is the key to our

state's future economic development. Startups

and businesses with fewer than five employees

lack access to capital required to hire new

employees. And I know this because I used to be

a small business owner.

Unfortunately, New York's current economic incentives fail to target these businesses because most of them lack the taxable income to take full advantage of available tax credits.

While microbusinesses are the backbone of our communities and the engines of tomorrow's economy, we are failing to provide them with the necessary support required to spur significant job creation across the state. To get people back to work, we need to offer economic incentives that provide capital to microbusinesses for their use in hiring new employees, instead of relying almost entirely on tax credits that amount to feel-good measures and

```
cannot actually be used by the businesses to
 1
 2
     which we are trying to provide assistance.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                              Thank
 4
     you, Senator Gipson.
 5
                  All those in favor of overruling the
     ruling of the chair signify by saying --
 6
 7
                  Senator Gianaris.
 8
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
 9
     Mr. President.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              A show of
     hands has been requested. All those in favor of
11
12
     overruling the ruling of the chair signify by
     raising your hands.
13
                  Announce the results.
14
15
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 26.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     chair's ruling stands.
17
18
                  Senator Krueger on the bill before
     the house.
19
20
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
21
     Mr. President. Even though there is much to be
     pleased with in this bill, I want to highlight
22
     one particularly disturbing omission, and that is
23
     a continuing delay yet again in requiring
24
25
     compliance with the Diesel Emissions Reduction
```

Act, which was passed in this house in 2006, sponsored by Senators Marcellino, DeFrancisco, Flanagan, LaValle and Young as cosponsors.

This will be the fourth time in a row we are using the budget to inappropriately delay this important law which will require compliance for clean diesel fuel from heavy trucks.

Manhattan, along with Harlem, Central Harlem, the Bronx, are disproportionately harmed by the rate of diesel fuels in our air quality. It has an impact on children, disproportionately, and the elderly from asthma because of the transportation routes where these trucks cannot take the FDR or the Harlem River Drive, they go on the avenues down straight through Upper Manhattan, down the East Side all the way down, sometimes then crossing over to Queens or Brooklyn or New Jersey.

And so there has been documented studies of the negative impact on the diesel trucks going through these communities.

I find no justification for using a budget to continue to delay something that was

supposed to go into effect starting in 2008. 1 2 This will move it to 2016. You have to -- yes, 3 sir, Mr. President. 4 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: May I 5 have order in the house, please. 6 Senator Krueger. 7 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 8 The irony is because much of the 9 responsibility was on retrofitting, one wonders 10 if one will even have any trucks to be retrofitted by the time this law ever comes into 11 12 effect. According to the Clean Air Task 13 14 Force, New York ranks second in the nation in 15 terms of negative health impacts from diesel pollution, including respiratory diseases, heart 16 17 attacks, premature deaths and numerous other 18 health problems estimated to cost the country nearly \$13 billion per year. 19 20 Frankly, it is an embarrassment that 21 we yet again delay this important environmental 22 law from going forward within a budget document, which is not the right place to reverse a law 23 that this Senate carried in 2006. And my 24 25 understanding is it is my colleagues in the

```
Senate Majority who have continued to push to
 1
 2
     have this section of the law reversed each year
     in the budget.
 3
 4
                  It's not a reason for me to vote
 5
     against this same bill, but I felt it was very
     important to highlight my objection to this and
 6
 7
     to ask my colleagues, What do we have against
 8
     trying to ensure we are not being poisoned and
 9
     our children are not being poisoned by dirty
10
     diesel trucks? There is no excuse for this
11
     delay.
12
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
14
     you, Senator Krueger.
15
                  Is there any other Senator wishing
16
     to be heard?
17
                  Seeing and hearing none, debate is
18
     closed and the Secretary will ring the bell.
                  Read the last section.
19
20
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 3. This
21
     act shall take effect immediately.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Call the
23
     roll.
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

Kennedy to explain his vote. 1 2 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you very 3 much, Mr. President. 4 This important budget bill is going 5 to help to strengthen our transportation infrastructure and speed the growth of our 6 7 economy, especially in Western New York. With this vote we ensure New York State fulfills the 8 billion-dollar commitment to Western New York 9 10 through the Buffalo Billion initiative. This budget includes \$680 million in 11 12 capital appropriations for the Buffalo billion-dollar program, in addition to the 13 14 \$320 million already invested in our community. 15 This funding stream provides the state with more flexibility to ensure we can attract growing 16 industries to Buffalo along with companies that 17 18 will help create thousands of good-paying jobs 19 for hardworking Western New Yorkers. With 20 Governor Cuomo's support, the Buffalo Billion is 21 rebuilding and revitalizing our reimagined local 22 economy. This budget also provides increased 23 24 support for the Centers of Excellence in 25 Western New York and across the state. Centers

1 of Excellence translate to research happening at 2 our universities, like the University at Buffalo, 3 into growing industries that create jobs and 4 opportunities. 5 In Buffalo this funding will ensure continued groundbreaking research into the life 6 7 sciences, as well as material informatics, and support the development of high-tech industries. 8 9 They help to bridge the gap between academic 10 research and companies that can create jobs in 11 our community. 12 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Kennedy, excuse me. 13 14 Can I please have order in the 15 chamber so we can hear the member explain his 16 vote. 17 Senator Kennedy. 18 SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Mr. President. 19 20 Also, the over \$50 million 21 investment in the New York Genomic Medicine 22 Network partnering the University at Buffalo and 23 the New York Genome Center will speed the development of Buffalo's life sciences industry 24 and lead to new discoveries that will save lives. 25

1 And I know, as our colleagues in 2 this chamber already mentioned, the \$40 million that's going to go to improving our roads and our 3 4 infrastructure across Western New York and across 5 New York State, with the allocation of another \$40 million due to the severity of the weather 6 7 and due to the major improvements that need to 8 happen to our infrastructure. 9 Mr. President, I vote aye. 10 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Kennedy to be recorded in the affirmative. 11 12 Senator Gipson to explain his vote. SENATOR GIPSON: 13 Thank you, Mr. President, for the opportunity to explain why 14 15 I will be supporting this bill and voting yes. As a former small business owner, I 16 know how difficult it is to make a living here in 17 18 this state. And the fact that we were aggressively taxing our businesses for simply 19 20 turning on the lights so they could do business is really just not the way to incentivize 21 business growth. 22 And I'm so glad to see that in this 23 budget we're building on what we started last 24 25 year and continuing to accelerate the complete

```
1
     repeal of the 18A utility tax. I hope that we
 2
     can repeal it completely. But I am very much
     happy to see that we are moving it forward even
 3
 4
     faster than we had planned last year.
 5
                  This is going to save our
     hardworking families and businesses over
 6
 7
     $600 million over the next three years, and that
     is certainly a way to move towards making this
 8
     state more affordable to live in and more
 9
10
     affordable to do business in.
                  I'm also very happy to see that we
11
     have increased funding to the Environmental
12
     Protection Fund. Building upon what we did last
13
     year and improving our environmental
14
15
     infrastructure is really critical to having
16
     strong and vital communities where these
     businesses can grow and thrive.
17
18
                  I will be voting yes, and I am very
     happy to support this bill. Thank you.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
21
     Gipson to be recorded in the affirmative.
22
                  Announce the results.
                  THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 61.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The bill
24
25
     is passed.
```

```
1
                  The Secretary will continue to read.
 2
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     375, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6358D, an
 3
 4
     act to amend the Education Law.
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Explanation,
 6
     please.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 8
     explanation has been requested by Senator
 9
     Gianaris.
10
                  Senator DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes.
                                               The
11
12
     education part of the budget was a real challenge
     because there was a lot of different priorities
13
14
     out there. Some were pushing for pre-K, some
15
     were pushing for gap elimination, some were
16
     pushing for formula aid, and all were pushing for
17
     more money.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA: Excuse me,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
21
     Rivera, why do you rise?
22
                  SENATOR RIVERA: A point of
     clarification.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Point of
24
     information?
25
```

1374

```
1
                  SENATOR RIVERA: Yes. I understood
 2
     that that bill was high.
 3
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Correct,
     Senator Rivera. Your point of information is
 4
 5
     well taken.
                  Senator DeFrancisco, we are on
 6
 7
     Calendar Number 375, the health bill.
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr.
9
     President, never mind.
10
                  (Laughter.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
11
    DeFrancisco, one second.
12
13
                  Senator Gianaris, should I call upon
     Senator Hoylman?
14
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Yes,
16
    Mr. President.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
18
    Hoylman.
19
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN:
                                     Thank you,
20
    Mr. President. I rise to thank my colleagues --
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
22
    Hoylman, are you on the bill?
23
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN: On the bill, sir.
24
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
25
    Hoylman on the bill.
```

SENATOR HOYLMAN: 1 I was getting to 2 that. I rise on the bill to thank my 3 4 colleagues, Mr. President, to thank the Governor, 5 to thank Mayor de Blasio for this historic inclusion of the 30 percent rent cap for 6 7 low-income New Yorkers with HIV/AIDS. I've spoken on this before. 8 an incredibly important addition to our budget. 9 10 It's an important addition to our healthcare policy. Because really, at the end of the day, 11 12 stable housing is good healthcare policy. people who are low-income and have HIV/AIDS now 13 will no longer have to live off of \$11 a day. 14 15 They will have additional funds to pay for things, basic necessities like transportation and 16 clothing and food, because of this inclusion. 17 18 And it's so important because I think, Mr. President, it's going to show over 19 20 time that we're actually saving money. This is going to be an incredibly cost-effective 21 22 procedure that's going to keep people, keep people who are HIV-positive on their medications. 23 And as Dr. Shah, the Health 24 25 Commissioner, has unveiled in the last couple of

1376

```
1
     weeks, New York State now has a plan to end AIDS.
 2
     And if we keep people on their medications, they
     can bring their viral loads to virtually zero.
 3
 4
     And if their viral loads are zero, they're no
 5
     longer infectious. And from that standpoint we
     could potentially, potentially, Mr. President,
 6
 7
     eliminate HIV transmission in our lifetime.
 8
                  And the 30 percent rent cap which is
 9
     in this budget -- and due to the credit and the
10
     foresight of the Governor, the mayor and my
     friends on both sides of the aisle, will help
11
12
     achieve that goal. So I vote aye, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
13
                                              Thank
14
     you, Senator Hoylman.
15
                  Is there any other Senator who
     wishes to be heard?
16
                  Seeing or hearing no other Senator
17
18
     wishing to be heard, the debate is closed the
     Secretary will ring the bell.
19
20
                  Read the last section.
21
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 3.
                                                This
     act shall take effect immediately.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Call the
23
     roll.
24
25
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
```

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 1 Senator 2 Parker to explain his vote. 3 SENATOR PARKER: Thank you, Mr. President, to explain my vote. 4 5 I'm voting aye on this bill. You know, one of the things that we don't do nearly 6 7 enough in this chamber and in this body is to deal with the issues of people who are mentally 8 challenged in our society. We oftentimes deal 9 10 with situations where police are coming upon people who are in the middle of mental health 11 12 crises. Up until this point, very few municipalities have had an ability to deal with 13 those crises in the way they need to be. 14 15 There is a new method that we are going forward with, and hopefully we'll get the 16 support of this chamber over the course of this 17 18 year to do more and more around crisis 19 intervention teams. The County of Albany has a 20 great crisis intervention team, which is a 21 training and collaboration between mental health professionals and police departments, to deal 22 effectively with mental health crises. 23 We have in this budget, although in 24 25 the Aid to Localities bill -- not in this bill,

```
1
     but I wanted to speak because I thought it was
 2
     germane to mental health now, to say that I want
     to thank the authors of the budget for putting in
 3
     $400,000 for crisis intervention teams.
 4
 5
     really going to be a great start, but not nearly
     the end of what we need to do around making sure
 6
 7
     that people are safe when they have a mental
 8
     health crisis.
 9
                  Thank you very much. I vote aye.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Parker to be recorded in the affirmative.
11
                  Announce the results.
12
13
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                  Ayes, 61.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The bill
15
     is passed.
16
                  The Secretary will read.
17
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Calendar Number
18
     376, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6359D, an
     act to amend the Tax Law.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
21
     Krueger.
22
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you,
23
     Mr. President. I will happily --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              Excuse
25
     me, Senator Krueger.
```

```
Can I have some order in the house,
 1
 2
     please.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you.
 4
                  I'll skip the explanation, but I do
 5
     have a series of questions for the sponsor.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     DeFrancisco, do you yield?
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes, if
     someone will tell me what the correct bill is.
9
10
                  (Laughter.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              We're on
12
     Calendar Number 376, the revenue bill.
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Thank you.
14
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you,
15
     Mr. President.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
17
     Krueger.
18
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     When the Governor
     first proposed his Executive Budget he actually
19
20
     put out a five-year plan for revenue reductions
     starting at $496 million reduced revenue this
21
22
     year, rising over four years to $2.58 billion in
     revenue reductions by 2017-2018.
23
24
                  Can the sponsor explain to me what
25
     the four-year revenue reduction projections are
```

```
1
    based in this budget we're voting for?
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: May I seek a
 3
     clarification, Mr. President?
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 5
    Krueger, would you clarify for
     Senator DeFrancisco?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Are you
 8
     referring to tax cuts?
 9
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes. Revenue
10
     reduction, yes.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay. All
11
12
     right. I call them tax cuts. You got me
     confused. All right. Okay.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                             Senator
15
     DeFrancisco.
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: What the
     total number is of tax cuts over the next four
17
18
    years? It starts off this year at 532, and
19
     through 2018-2019 it's a reduction of
20
     $906 million.
21
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you,
22
    Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
23
    yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                             The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

SENATOR KRUEGER: 1 Thank you. 2 My numbers don't exactly jibe, so 3 I'll just for the record -- although I don't wish 4 to get into an argument. That it starts at 5 \$511 million in this fiscal year, growing to \$1.187 billion by 2017-2018. 6 7 But I guess I would just like to reaffirm that the Governor originally proposed 8 this revenue reduction growing to almost 9 10 \$2.6 billion in 2017-2018. And according to my colleague, instead of \$2.6 billion by 2017-2018, 11 12 it would just be \$906 million? I just wanted to reiterate that that's what you're saying. 13 14 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, I will 15 yield. And, Mr. President, it kind of goes up and then it kind of levels out. Maybe that's the 16 confusion. 2014-2015, \$532 million. 2015-2016, 17 \$757 million. 2016-2017, \$1.21 billion. 18 2017-2018, \$1.202 billion. And then in 2018-2019 19 20 it goes back down to \$906 million. And that may 21 be the confusion. 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, Mr. President, I appreciate the clarification. 23 Yes, my colleague went into the fifth year and 24 25 skipped the fourth year. So I think our numbers

do relatively -- they compare relatively. 1 2 want to thank him for that. 3 And to highlight again, we are being 4 asked to vote for a budget that would over a 5 four-year period lead to an annual reduction in revenue, which means less money for the state to 6 7 spend each year, up to a total of \$1.2 billion less to spend on state expenses in the fourth 8 year. Even though we only do annual budgets with 9 10 education and healthcare appropriations being allowed to be for two years. 11 So now I would like to ask a series 12 of questions around specific proposals within the 13 revenue bill, if the sponsor would yield. 14 15 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes, I would. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 16 sponsor yields. 17 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 19 Could the sponsor explain to me what 20 Part A, the corporate tax reform package, does 21 and how much revenue the state will not be 22 collecting per year, when fully implemented, because of this change? 23 24 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: The corporate 25 tax reform, if you're referring to that, this

```
year there's actually no effect in this budget
 1
 2
     year. But the savings start or the reduction in
 3
     taxes for corporations start, in 2015-2016,
     $205 million; 2016-2017, 346; and the same in
 4
     2017-2018 and 2018-2019. And that's from
 5
     reducing the rate from 7.1 to 6.5.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 8
9
     yield.
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
11
12
     sponsor yields.
13
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: And who is it
14
     that -- what types of corporations specifically
15
     save the annualized $365 million in taxes?
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          This is
     combining the bank taxes and the corporate tax
17
18
     rate. Because mainly -- and the real importance
19
     of this isn't necessarily the reduction in the
20
     amount of income, the importance is to simplify
21
     the manner in which taxes are reported and
22
     calculated.
                  And that was the main reason.
23
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, just on this section before I
24
25
     continue my questions.
```

If in fact it had simply been a simplification and reform of the way we require corporate taxes to be filed, I would be in total agreement with my colleague that this would have been an acceptable proposal. If and when we can simplify the process of paying your taxes in New York State and modernize and make New York State taxes parallel with federal and local for the purposes of accounting and filing one's taxes, I'm with him completely.

But this in fact also reduces the tax rate of large corporations and primarily financial institutions by \$365 million annualized.

And in fact, for the record,

New York State has reduced corporate taxes fairly
radically over the last several years. Corporate
taxes as a percentage of total state tax revenue
dropped from 16 percent in 1980 to 10 percent in
2013, and with these tax reductions will drop
corporate taxes to being only 8 percent of the
state's total tax revenue as compared to, at one
time, 16 percent.

So I am very concerned about how we decide who is getting a tax reduction and why.

```
And again, it's $365 million less in our budget.
 1
 2
                  And yes, the Senator is right when
 3
     he says revenue reduction means tax cut. So yes,
 4
     this is a tax cut for large corporations and
     financial institutions.
 5
                  Through you, Mr. President, if the
 6
 7
     sponsor would continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
10
     sponsor yields.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you.
11
12
                  In the original Executive Budget the
     Governor proposed a statewide circuit breaker for
13
     homeowners and a renters circuit breaker for
14
15
     renters throughout the state. That seems to have
     morphed into a loss of the circuit breaker
16
     proposal and a significant decrease in usefulness
17
18
     and value for the renters tax credit.
19
                  Can the sponsor help me understand
20
     what happened to the, in my opinion, better
21
     proposals that were in the original
22
     Executive Budget?
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes, I can.
     But let me just clarify something on the last
24
25
     issue.
```

There were winners and losers by the bank tax consolidation. And the losers were primarily the smaller banks. The reason for the reduction in the corporate rate for everyone was so that everyone was held harmless and none of the smaller banks actually realized an increase in taxes as a result of this simplification of the reporting requirements.

As to the second point, what happened was that there was compromise. The Governor wanted a tax freeze, and the tax freeze applied only to those jurisdictions outside of New York City that actually had a tax cap increase of 2 percent.

So obviously the whole Governor's proposal was literally dependent upon keeping people upstate -- schools, counties and municipalities -- from raising tax above the cap. And municipalities, through the citizens that are paying these taxes, are going to get rebates. So those increases in taxes up to 2 percent would be paid for by the state as long as they kept the rate down and showed consolidation of services and the like.

New York City did not -- there's no

way to have a similar system if there's no cap in 1 2 the first place. So the compromise was for the circuit breaker to be used for homeowners and 3 4 renters in the City of New York. Then there had 5 to be a determination as to how much this all could play out to, how much we could afford, so 6 7 Senator Krueger and others wouldn't say "We're reducing taxes and we're going to be in trouble 8 in the outyears." 9 10 So they balanced the numbers, came up with a plan that saved New York City residents 11 12 money, as well as the state with the freeze that the Governor wanted very badly. 13 14 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 15 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to yield. 16 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 17 Yes. 18 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The sponsor yields. 19 20 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 So I actually think in the last 22 question we were getting at three separate issues. So just for the record, since the 23 Senator went back to my previous question about 24 25 the winners and losers in the corporate tax

break, he said he thought the losers were the 1 2 small banks. But I think he meant the losers were the big banks. You said the losers in the 3 4 tax changes were the small banks? 5 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. President, the smaller banks were not getting --6 7 the smaller banks would have ended up paying more taxes. And since the smaller banks would have 8 been paying more taxes, the fix to that would be 9 10 to lower the tax rate on all corporations so at least they would be held harmless and not show an 11 12 increase. And that's why the corporate tax rate was changed in that fashion. 13 Obviously the larger corporations 14 15 realized a benefit as well. But it would have been a nightmare trying to change -- have 16 different tax rates depending upon the size of 17 18 the various corporations. 19 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 20 Mr. President, continuing on questioning the 21 Senator -- excuse me, the sponsor on the 22 disappearance and changes in the tax credit. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 23 sponsor yields. 24 25 SENATOR KRUEGER: So I think I'm

continuing the question. So he answered that the circuit breaker for homeowners statewide disappeared because the tax freeze won out, so to speak, over the circuit breaker, because originally they were two different proposals. My understanding is the property circuit breaker was by and large for the capped areas anyway, the renters credit was for the city.

But I'll just leave it as now we have the tax freeze proposal, we have no circuit breaker proposal for the outside-of-New York City homeowners.

When you looked at the original circuit breaker proposal, it actually translated in a model that the higher your property taxes were in proportion to your income, the more help you could get through a circuit breaker model.

When we look at the tax freeze proposal -- which is, I agree, quite confusing -- it appears to be the opposite in outcome. The greater the value of your home, the greater a tax reduction or rebate you may see, but not a relationship between your being in trouble with your ability to pay your property taxes.

So I'm wondering why, given there

were two options, and accepting the possibility 1 that in negotiations, because we did see a 2 loss -- we did see a reduction in the amount of 3 4 revenue cuts, that in negotiations one won and 5 one lost. How come the one that would have mattered more for people who really needed help 6 7 with their property taxes was the one that fell off the table and lost? 8 9 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. 10 President, I don't think that is the case, that 11 they actually lost. 12 The whole purpose of the tax freeze is to maintain taxes at a reasonable level. 13 14 another component of the tax freeze was to 15 provide a plan, a plan would have to be provided by the municipalities, school districts and 16 counties as to how they're going to further 17 18 consolidate and keep these taxes under control. 19 So it's tax relief over a period of 20 time with more of a chance of it actually happening. Municipalities could still raise 21 their taxes to 10 percent; their residents won't 22

get the benefit of the freeze, and they can

result in people leaving their homes.

continue on doing what they're doing, which will

23

24

25

1 So I don't think there's any losers I think you can make a case for either 2 But the concept that the Governor had for 3 4 the freeze is to make sure this is not just going 5 to go away by the wayside by municipalities increasing taxes at a much higher rate. Which 6 7 would be a greater savings by not allowing them 8 to do that. 9 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 10 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 11 yield. 12 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 13 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 14 sponsor yields. 15 SENATOR KRUEGER: I have to 16 disagree with the analysis of who are the winners and who are the losers between the tax freeze and 17 18 the circuit breaker. The data actually shows that in the tax freeze the wealthier the 19 20 homeowner and in fact the wealthier the specific school district, the larger the amount of money 21 22 coming back. 23 As opposed to in the circuit breaker proposal, it would have provided the property tax 24 25 relief to those most burdened by high property

taxes relative to their income.

And yet this tax freeze also has all the additional complications of the taxpayer having to, I guess, hope they live in different districts of government, and it can be multiple-layered districts of government that in fact are able to meet the tests of this tax freeze over a period of years.

And so can the sponsor help me understand what I, as a taxpayer who's really hoping I do see some kind of property tax relief through a rebate check, what can I do to assure that if I live in school district X, town Y, county Z, and special taxing district E, what can I do to make sure that I actually could get this tax rebate, since it requires all these things of each individual government district I might live within?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: As you recall, Senator, during the budget hearings I think it was my comment to the Commissioner of Taxation that this proposal will be a nightmare in administration.

SENATOR KRUEGER: We agreed then.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: And we

agreed.

Unfortunately, we are not the only people in government. And there are others who disagreed with us even though we were absolutely right.

So the fact of the matter is how a taxpayer can find out if they're entitled to this, well, they will know that if their school taxes or municipal taxes or county taxes are raised between zero percent and 1.9999 percent, they should be getting a check, because the state is going to be paying for that additional tax so long as it stays under 2 percent.

And I would imagine, in view of the transparency that government is moving more and more towards, that there will be some help on the Internet for the individuals to try to figure out whether they have gotten what they should be getting, to figure that out.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you,
Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
yield.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Only if you're going to point out other areas that we agree.

(Laughter.) 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 3 sponsor yields. 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: We might, 5 actually. I might be pointing out other. Because I do appreciate the sponsor and I both 6 7 sat through the budget hearings and shared many and perhaps disturbingly many agreements around 8 tax policy and other issues. 9 10 So I also share with him that this isn't really going to work as people think. But 11 12 I am disproportionately concerned that we had a better option on the table, the circuit breaker, 13 and that's what fell off. 14 15 But perhaps another issue we could 16 agree on is the new version of the renter/owner circuit breaker only for New York City that has 17 18 been reduced from I think a total of a billion 19 dollars in tax relief over a series of years to 20 simply \$85 million in tax relief per year for 21 two years for renters and owners in New York 22 City. 23 My calculations are that the average renter/owner could expect to see a \$50 tax 24

reduction a maximum credit of \$150. Do we really

25

think that's a great idea, Senator? 1 2 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 3 President, I don't know whether it's a great idea 4 or not, but it's the idea that was worked out in 5 this budget process. And the fact of the matter is it was never over a billion dollars, it was 6 7 between a high of \$400 million, if I'm not mistaken, and now around 160, according to our 8 9 numbers. 10 Now, why does this happen? Well, there are other needs in the budget. People want 11 12 highway money. The mayor of New York City wants a pre-K program, wants that funded. School 13 14 districts want GEA to be reduced, they want more 15 Foundation Aid. 16 So there's a balance that you have to make so that the cost of some of these tax 17 18 cuts are not too great to provide -- not to allow us not to be able to provide for the other needs 19 20 in the budget. And that's basically what 21 happened. 22 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 23 24 yield. 25 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes.

```
The
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
 2
     sponsor yields.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you.
 4
                  We agreed it's $170 million over two
 5
     years, 85 million per year. But previously I
     believe it was to up to $800 million a year. So
 6
 7
     that's a reduction.
 8
                  So we've moved the property tax
 9
     credit for manufacturers from outside the MTA
10
     districts to statewide. Can you explain what the
     reduction in revenue will be for the state from
11
12
     that change?
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         By the way,
14
     we really did that to make it statewide because I
15
     was very concerned about New York City and I
     wanted to make sure they got their fair share.
16
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
17
                                     Thank you.
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          In any event,
     it's $100 million.
19
20
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: A hundred million
21
     dollars annually? Just double-checking.
22
     it's phased in completely? Just one second.
     Because I'm looking at something saying the
23
     revenue loss is $193 million a year. Reduces
24
25
     manufacturing corporate tax rates to zero for the
```

```
1
     entire state.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: One hundred
 3
    ninety-eight, I think, if you're looking at the
 4
     same chart I have. It's actually one spot down,
 5
     statewide manufacturing at zero percent. That's
 6
    not the property tax credit.
 7
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Oh, I'm sorry,
 8
     it's combined, excuse me. So the property tax is
     a hundred million, and then the zero tax rate for
 9
10
    manufacturing is another 190 -- excuse me, you're
     right, two different pieces. So it's
11
12
     approximately 293 to 298 million annualized?
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         That is
14
     correct.
15
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. And while
16
     I'm delighted --
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
18
     Krueger.
19
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you,
20
    Mr. President, excuse me.
21
                  So while I'm delighted to see that
22
     it is statewide --
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Mr.
     President, just take a break. We'll resolve
24
25
     this. Just take a break.
```

SENATOR KRUEGER: I didn't want to 1 2 cut you out. I didn't mean to. 3 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: We're 4 giving you great flexibility and leniency, but 5 please continue to --SENATOR KRUEGER: 6 I share my 7 colleague's analysis that tax policy should be statewide, particularly when to comes to business 8 taxes. But I'm just curious, did we really think 9 that we needed to do across-the-board tax cuts of 10 this level? 11 12 Again, the last discussion about corporate tax rate reduction translated to over 13 \$350 million a year in tax reduction, and this 14 15 proposal reduces tax revenue for manufacturers a total of almost 300 million a year. 16 I believe in fairness in 17 18 distribution of tax obligations, but this is a 19 pretty big reduction in taxes. Do we think this 20 is actually going to increase the number of people who come here and open up manufacturing or 21 is this just reducing taxes for businesses that 22 are already here operating in our state? 23 going to -- or how would it lead to expansion by 24 25 these businesses or new businesses being

encouraged to come in?

2 | SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well,

Mr. President, we had hearings throughout the state on tax cuts. And the whole concept of our hearings was we should have broad-based tax cuts as opposed to credits and other groups that will get -- or the haves and the have-nots and that sort of thing.

But with the manufacturing credit, I don't quite frankly think it's enough. We in Central New York used to have Syracuse China, we used to have New Venture Gear, later Magna. We used to have Carrier, we used to have all these major manufacturers. And they all went elsewhere.

And we've got to maintain the manufacturing base and increase that base so that we -- and to do that, we have to make it more financially feasible for companies to stay and companies to come. And it's particularly important with manufacturing because they're the highest-paying jobs. And, as importantly, they need raw product or components from other companies to make their product, and the spin-off of other jobs from other companies is

substantial. 1 2 So I quite frankly thought that this was a wonderful thing, and I think it was 3 definitely needed, and I think it's really too 4 5 low. 6 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 7 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 8 yield. 9 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 10 The sponsor yields. 11 12 SENATOR KRUEGER: So yet again, 13 sometimes we agree, or we agree on half the pie. 14 The sponsor and I agree that we believe in 15 broad-based, fair distribution of taxes and 16 business taxes. 17 So while we were increasing this 18 from just upstate to the full State of New York, 19 why weren't we also then looking at existing tax 20 expenditures and business tax credits that are 21 special interest and not broad-based and across 22 the board? 23 There was a report put out by the New York State Tax Reform and Fairness Commission 24 25 in November 2013, "New York State's Business Tax

1 Credits Analysis and Evaluation, " that 2 recommended that there were \$1.7 billion of lost 3 tax revenue through special interest credits to 4 corporate entities, sole proprietors, 5 partnerships and S corporations that in fact could be better utilized if we distributed that 6 7 revenue fairly either within the budget or through models of tax reduction that had a fair 8 impact for everyone. 9 10 Would the sponsor agree with me that we got half a loaf here and we should have been 11 looking at billions of dollars of tax 12 expenditures and credits that it's very hard to 13 justify are on our books? 14 15 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: A half a loaf in one year is pretty good. In view of some of 16 the other years, we've had no loaf or not even a 17 18 slice of bread. 19 So I think sure, it's a half a loaf. 20 Sure, I would always like to have more and change some of these credits into broad-based tax cuts. 21 22 But again, it was a negotiated budget that we got substantial relief. And I'm happy with the half 23 24 a loaf. And hopefully next year and the year 25 after, we get the other half.

```
1
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
 2
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 3
     yield.
 4
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 6
     sponsor yields.
 7
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                    Okay.
                                             So we're
 8
     again sort of agreeing, but he said it was
     negotiated. Well, so the Assembly rejected this
 9
     property tax cut totally. And so how did we end
10
     up with this deal? So would he agree that it was
11
12
     the Senate who was actually carrying this
     version?
13
14
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          The
15
     Governor -- Mr. President, the Governor actually
     had the original manufacturer tax cut, two of
16
     them, I think, in the original budget. He just
17
18
     had it only for upstate as far as the one
19
     component. And quite frankly, it was made to
20
     apply everywhere else.
21
                  And yes, I proudly say that the
     Senate is standing for tax cuts for
22
     manufacturers, and the Assembly would rather
23
     spend it for something else. And we're looking
24
25
     long-term here, attempting to change the economic
```

climate around the State of New York. 1 2 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 3 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 4 yield. 5 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 6 The 7 sponsor yields. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 9 So reducing this manufacturing tax, 10 and adding also reducing the corporate financial reform tax cuts we discussed earlier, what impact 11 12 is that going to have on the surcharge in place on corporate taxes to be applied as a dedicated 13 funding stream to the MTA in the 12 MTA counties? 14 15 Because my understanding is if you 16 eliminate corporate taxes, you've have actually reduced the base of what they owe in their MTA 17 18 employer tax. Has anybody calculated what loss the MTA takes on these tax reductions? 19 20 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. 21 President, I think the answer is there's a little confusion on this one. The difference in 22 revenues that are projected from the surcharge at 23 the same rate that we have right now for the tax 24 25 is approximately \$300 million. That's my best

```
1
     answer.
 2
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     So the -- I'm
 3
     sorry, Mr. President, I just need a clarification
 4
     on the answer.
 5
                  Could you just repeat that, Senator?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 6
                                         That's my
 7
     best answer.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Could you repeat
 8
 9
     it for me? Because I couldn't quite understand
10
     it.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                         There is --
12
     it's purported to be, let me put it this way,
     revenue-neutral. Purported to be. And I'm not
13
     sure I understand how it could be, because there
14
15
     will definitely be a reduction in revenue at the
16
     rates that are being paid currently.
17
                  So I can't clarify more than that.
18
     Because if it's revenue-neutral, there's a
19
     missing piece that I haven't figured out. And I
20
     don't want to give you an answer that doesn't
21
     make any sense. So that's the best answer that I
     could give you.
22
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
23
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, on the bill.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

Krueger on the bill.

SENATOR KRUEGER: First I want to thank my colleague John DeFrancisco for doing his best to enlighten me.

As usual, I remain perplexed about a number of the proposals being offered to us within the budget, particularly each year, it seems, on revenue.

I'm going to end up voting no,
Mr. President, on this bill. Because while
people debate whether one thing is a tax cut or a
reduction in revenue for important public
services, people debate progressive versus
regressive in tax policy, I highlight each and
every year that we have large numbers of tax
expenditures and credits that each year go
unevaluated, unquestioned, and continued despite
little or no evidence that there is public policy
good coming from the reduction in so much revenue
to the State of New York.

I referenced one report because it
was a Governor's commission report listing
\$1.7 billion in unjustified business tax
expenditures. If I had referenced -- and I can
now -- the advocacy report that has been out for

approximately a year by a group called Align, they talk about our having \$7 billion in business tax subsidies and expenditures.

And please, although I will be misquoted later, this is not a question of believing that we just should raise everyone's taxes or business taxes. It is my continued argument that New York State should have a fair and even playing field for taxation when it comes to our citizens as individuals and businesses.

And New York State has gone so far afield from having an even playing field. And I believe if we did a true and honest evaluation of these up to \$7 billion in special interest tax expenditures and credits, we would find that we could actually lower the tax rate on businesses throughout the State of New York in a fair and equitable way even more than these small individual items within this state budget. And we would all be better for it.

But yet again, another year comes and goes and we don't see ourselves evaluating or even putting on the table any of these changes.

Instead, we're being offered a revenue package that is by and large disproportionately

advantageous to wealthy New Yorkers, whether it's corporations in finance being given large tax cuts, manufacturers -- some of whom desperately need some help and, to be honest, some of whom are large corporations being very successful already.

It's a serious question why those are the taxes we are reducing, why we are setting ourselves up with this complicated, perhaps impossible tax-freeze system where the only thing I know for sure is that the wealthiest homeowners in the wealthy communities are the ones who are the winners, and those people who truly are having trouble paying their property taxes throughout much of the state are the losers.

Although I suspect we will see that not so many people are really winners at the end of the day either.

It's particularly frustrating to me that there were circuit breaker proposals in the original Executive Budget that in my opinion needed some fixing also to become more progressive, both the renters statewide tax circuit breaker -- which could have been more progressive but instead has been diminished to

being irrelevant -- and the circuit breaker for 1 property owners throughout the state, which could 2 have had a dramatic impact on helping those most 4 in need of assistance to pay their property taxes. And that is the proposal that fell off the table.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also are including an estate tax reduction which will cost us I think \$375 million a year or \$400 million a year when fully implemented. Again, going to a small number of the wealthiest New Yorkers in the state.

We have made a series of changes, some bigger and some smaller, in tax loopholes that, again, are frankly completely unjustified.

I bring it up, but I'll bring it up The concept that if you buy your soda, again. your potato chips, your candy, your ice cream in a vending machine, you will not pay sales tax. And if you go to your local mom-and-pop store that hires someone and is dependent on sales of these small items to stay in business, you do pay a tax.

So we are yet again, even in those small changes, making our tax system more regressive, more disadvantaged to smaller

```
1
     businesses and lower-income taxpayers while
 2
     advantaging larger businesses and corporations.
 3
                  I wish one year we could do a
 4
     revenue bill that I could support. This is not
 5
     the year, Mr. President. I must vote no.
                                                 Thank
 6
     you very much.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 8
     Squadron.
 9
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
                                                   Ιf
10
     the sponsor would yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                          Yes.
12
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      I appreciate
13
            I know the sponsor has been doing a great
14
     deal of yielding. And this issue was briefly
15
     touched on by my colleague Senator Krueger.
16
                  But just to understand this New York
     City so-called enhanced real property tax circuit
17
18
     breaker credit for renters and homeowners, just
19
     take me through briefly how this would work
20
     for -- I guess there are sort of three
     categories, four categories in New York City,
21
     three of which are sort of different than the
22
     rest of the state. Because obviously the
23
     individual homeowner and -- you know, that would
24
25
     apply, I'm sure, in many of the same ways it
```

```
would elsewhere in the state. There are also
 1
 2
     co-operators, condo owners and renters. So for
     condo owners, how would it work?
 3
 4
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Senator
 5
     Squadron, I have no idea.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
 6
 7
     would continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         I have no
 9
     idea. I can't break it down. I know the general
10
     concept of a circuit breaker. I can't go through
11
     the calculations nor say how it differs between
12
     the various types of property in the city. I
     just can't.
13
14
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
15
     would continue to yield.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     sponsor yields.
17
18
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: So I suppose the
     same applies to co-operators who own shares in a
19
     larger housing corporation and don't actually pay
20
    property tax on the ownership of their unit.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
22
                                         That's
23
               I simply can't. And I'm sure if -- you
    know, we can contact the Tax Department and get a
24
25
     detailed explanation, or we can get the
```

```
1
     information for you. But I just don't have it at
 2
     this moment.
 3
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
 4
     would continue to yield.
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              The
 7
     sponsor yields.
 8
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Just to clarify,
 9
     the sponsor is not suggesting that we pause in
10
     the debate for that decision from the Tax
     Department; that would be subsequent to the vote.
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          It's up to
     you. But if counsel is not versed in it, your
13
14
     counsel, we could certainly get you together with
15
     one of our analysts and explain it much better
     than I could attempt to.
16
17
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
18
     would continue to yield.
19
                  And just as a brief prelude to the
20
     next question, I'm asking because I think it's
21
     important to get on the record how these things
22
     work. We actually have some understanding of it.
     In fact, in the case of co-ops, we think that the
23
     legislation has identified the issue and solved
24
          I wanted to make sure that that was in fact
25
     it.
```

```
1
     the sponsor's intent as well.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         May I
 3
     interrupt a moment?
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 5
     DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Would you
 6
 7
     yield for a question?
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Squadron, do you yield?
 9
10
                  SENATOR DEFRANCISCO:
                                          In order to
     simplify this, would you mind stating your
11
     understanding that I could listen to and the
12
     analysts can listen to, and I can tell you
13
     whether it's correct or not and we can get the
14
15
     answer that you really need.
16
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      I'm happy to
17
     yield to the sponsor's question.
18
                  There's language in the proposal
19
     that identifies the federally deductible property
20
     tax amount that would be attributable to the
21
     co-operator as a way of defining the
22
     co-operator's property tax payment. I just
     wanted to make sure that that was consistent with
23
     the sponsor's understanding of how this would
24
25
     work.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: It's not
 2
     consistent with my understanding. But the
     analysts have told me that that's exactly what it
 3
 4
     does.
 5
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: Clearly the
     framework consistent with the sponsor's
 6
 7
     understanding is not one that's going to get me
 8
     very far in this conversation.
 9
                  If the sponsor would continue to
10
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                         Yes.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     sponsor yields.
13
14
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: As applies to
15
     renters, how would this credit work for renters
16
     who lived in a subsidized housing situation such
     as Section 8? Would it apply to the overall
17
18
     rent, would the calculation apply to the overall
19
     rent or just the rent paid by the recipient of
20
     the Section 8 voucher?
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Mr.
     President, it applies only to the portion of the
22
23
     rent that they actually pay.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
24
25
     would continue to yield.
```

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
                                              The
 2
     sponsor yields.
 3
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Meaning not
 4
     including the subsidy.
 5
                  Yes, I will continue to yield.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: And I'll come
 6
 7
     back to that, because I'm not sure that's so
 8
     clear. I'm not sure that that's great policy
 9
     either.
                  How about renters who are paying
10
     rent to non -- to entities that don't pay
11
12
     property taxes, such as the New York City Housing
     Authority? Would they be eligible?
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
14
15
     President, could you please ask Senator Squadron
     whether he'd repeat the question.
16
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
18
     Squadron, could you repeat the question?
19
                  Before that, though, it's getting a
20
     little noisy in the chamber. Can I ask
21
     conversations to be taken outside the chamber so
22
     that the members can continue to engage in
23
     debate.
                  Senator Squadron, can you repeat
24
25
     your question, please.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       Sure.
                                              Through
 2
     you, Mr. President, how would the renters tax
     credit apply to the 500,000 or so residents of
 3
 4
     the New York City Housing Authority properties?
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Mr.
     President, I think the general rule, what I'm
 6
 7
     being told here, is that they get the credit
     based upon the portion of rent that they actually
 8
 9
     pay.
10
                  The credits are not being given for
     rents that are actually paid by the government,
11
12
     because the dollars are not coming out of their
     pockets. It's the -- whatever portion they would
13
14
     be required to pay, if any.
15
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      If the sponsor
     would continue to yield.
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
17
                                          Yes.
18
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       I'm sorry, that
     was sort of the question to the Section 8 piece.
19
20
                  In the case of the New York City
     Housing Authority, it's an entity that doesn't
21
22
     pay property tax. It has a PILOT agreement, a
     much-criticized PILOT agreement with the City of
23
     New York, one that many of us don't think should
24
25
     exist in its form. But that's what it has.
```

doesn't pay property tax.

I want to make sure that those renters, who are paying a lot of their income, 30 percent of their income in rent in many, many, many cases, are going to be eligible for this tax credit. Despite the fact that it doesn't seem to be a type of property that the sponsor, anyway, had an opinion on prior.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Right.

The answer is whether it's a PILOT or not a PILOT, the portion that's being paid by the resident is what gets the credit. Just on the portion that they pay.

And you said 30 percent? Of what?

SENATOR SQUADRON: Thirty percent
of their income.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Oh, I see what you're saying.

No, whatever portion that they pay.

And I'm not that familiar with various housing programs in the City, but that's the rule. If you pay something out of your pocket, whether the tax is a straight tax or a PILOT or whatever it might be, that is what the credit would be based on.

SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 1 2 would continue to yield. SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 3 4 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 5 sponsor yields. SENATOR SQUADRON: And just to get 6 7 a sense of this, and I don't want to put an 8 example in the sponsor's voice, but are there any examples of, for a renter -- or a co-operator, 9 10 for that matter, in New York City, you know, a hypothetical one -- sort of what they would get 11 12 in a tax credit? And I'll take any example that the sponsor considered when considering whether 13 14 to support this proposal that was reduced from 15 the Governor's. 16 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr. President, this first of all was a negotiated 17 18 amount. I don't know what went into consideration. 19 20 But as far as the specific numbers, 21 I don't think I could give you any numbers on any 22 The fact of the matter is there was a examples. 23 certain amount of dollars put into the tax freeze, a certain number of dollars put into the 24 25 renters credit circuit breaker. And as far as

```
how it's going to play out for different
 1
 2
     individuals depending upon their different
     housing requirements, I couldn't tell you that.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       If the sponsor
 5
     would continue to yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 6
                                          Yes.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 8
     sponsor yields.
 9
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: Just to make
10
     sure I understand that answer, the way that this
     formula was created was to -- what you would call
11
     maybe backing into it. A dollar amount was
12
     assigned to help New York City homeowners and
13
     renters, and then the formula was created in
14
15
     order to, you know, predictably meet that amount.
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Mr.
     President, I think the best way I can answer it
17
18
     is the way I've tried to before. And that is
19
     it's based upon what people actually pay. And
20
     the percentages were determined after to fit
     within the total dollars that are available for
21
22
     that tax relief. That's the best I could answer
23
     it.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
24
                                      Thank you.
25
                  On the bill.
```

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Squadron on the bill.

SENATOR SQUADRON: I have to say that when you're talking about the largest city in the state, when you're talking about the place in the state and just about in the country -- or at least within the top three or four -- with the highest housing costs, the idea that how this will actually work for the many, many New Yorkers who live in condominiums, co-ops and in different kinds of rentals is an important fact here.

And it's not an esoteric question, it's not a meaningless question, it's a question that for my constituents has a direct impact on their ability to stay in their homes, at a time that it's harder and harder for folks to stay in neighborhoods that they've invested in for years, at a time that it's harder and harder for people to stay in their homes as we see the homelessness crisis just continue to explode, as I've mentioned on the floor today and in the past.

And the details really do matter here. I think it's not nearly so clear that the subsidy amount can't be calculated to the benefit of renters. Although I'd be happy to continue to

look at this language; I know that this is a new proposal.

And that leads me to my next point. The Governor was very clear that renters and other homeowners in New York City should be included in his push to keep property taxes down and give some relief, and he proposed this at a \$200 million level in the first year, \$400 million moving forward.

And there were details to that proposal that I think we all could have quibbled with or suggested modifications to. But at the end of the day, based on the sponsor's description, that was a proposal that was four to five times per year better for those folks in New York City who are suffering under the highest housing costs in this state than what we see here before us today.

Now, look, I'm glad we see this, despite the fact that we haven't learned much about it on the floor today. I think that it's going to be a couple of hundred dollars, hopefully -- maybe as little as \$50 for a lot of folks who need it. But the Governor's original proposal was a lot better and had a lot more

money.

And let's be clear, when you look at the Governor's proposal and you look at what we have today, this was more taxes for New York City residents. Renters, co-operators, homeowners, condo dwellers. And that's hard to justify and hard to understand.

And I do appreciate the frankness of the sponsor in saying, look, the formula here doesn't necessarily make sense for renters, it doesn't necessarily make sense for co-operators who are struggling under the burden of their housing costs. It's just the formula that was created based on the much-reduced pot of money that was allowed to go forward.

That's not the way to set tax
policy. It's certainly not the way to give aid
to folks who desperately need it in a city with a
homelessness crisis, in a city where
neighborhoods are becoming increasingly out of
reach for an increasing number of people month to
month to month.

So it's disappointing not to learn a little bit more about this complicated credit today. It's really disappointing that the

```
Governor's proposal of $200 million in the first
 1
 2
     year and $400 million a year going forward has
     been so cut down. And I do hope that in the
 3
     future we'll see aid that will make a
 4
 5
     fundamental, meaningful difference for those
     folks in New York City and across state, but in
 6
 7
     this case in New York City, who really need it.
 8
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
10
     Hoylman.
11
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN:
                                     Thank you,
12
     Mr. President. On the bill.
                  I feel compelled to rise about the
13
14
     estate tax portion, which will in my opinion
15
     create greater disparities between the very
     wealthy and the very poor in our state.
16
                  Somebody said once that a
17
18
     progressive and meaningful estate tax is needed
19
     to curb the movement from a democracy to a
20
     plutocracy. And that wasn't some left-wing
     activist, that was billionaire Warren Buffett.
21
22
     And he, along with a group of other billionaires,
     have signed a pledge to make certain that we
23
     reexamine our state tax policies. Warren
24
25
     Buffett, Bill Gates, Bob Rubin, Abigail Disney,
```

the descendant of Walt, and one of the Rockefeller descendants as well.

They know, as we should, that we need continued revenue for deficit reduction, for public investment, for our public schools, our roads, our police forces. And it's shameful, Mr. President, in my opinion, that we're leaving so much revenue, so much revenue on the table in this bill, to the tune of \$600 million in the fourth year.

Now, why, why are we allowing the rich to get richer here? I don't quite understand it, Mr. President. It's kind of like you're in the line for the airplane and you're in coach and you see some folks in business, and the folks in business get the upgrade to first class.

Well, in my opinion, the guys in coach need the upgrade. And those upgrades are generally free, by the way. And that's exactly what we're doing here. We're giving away \$600 million a year.

Now, the other argument is that these rich people -- and believe me, a lot of them live in my district, they live in Senator Squadron's district, Senator Krueger's. The

argument is that they're all going to move to Florida.

Well, there was a study by the Governor's own Tax Commission which said "Migration studies regarding the impact of taxes such as the estate tax have shown that taxes generally are not a major factor in the decision of where to live or retire. These papers generally show that taxes have very little impact on cross-state migration and estate tax revenues."

So the scholarly material is at best mixed and, in my opinion, weighs in favor of keeping the estate taxes as they are.

And finally, it's just a matter of simple fairness. The super-rich in our society have benefited from the government investments that we want our kids to benefit from -- the schools, the infrastructure, the police forces. And I believe that it is morally and economically imperative to have estate taxes that require a significant contribution.

You know, I begin to think that there's a motive to starve government, to starve government of resources to protect the very

```
wealthy in our society. It should not be the
 1
 2
     fact that you're a member of the lucky sperm or
 3
     the lucky egg club in terms of being --
 4
     maintaining your estate. You should pay your
 5
     taxes just like everyone else, Mr. President.
                  I believe this policy should be
 6
 7
     reexamined, especially in light of the fact that
     we have 7.7 percent unemployment in this state,
 8
     8.9 percent in New York City, and, as Senator
 9
10
     Squadron alluded to, increasing homelessness to
     the extent of 14 percent over the last two years.
11
12
                  Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
13
                                              Senator
14
     Sanders.
15
                  SENATOR SANDERS: On the bill,
     Mr. President.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
17
                                              Senator
18
     Sanders on the bill.
19
                  SENATOR SANDERS: Although I cannot
20
     come up with a wittier line than the "Lucky Sperm
21
     and Egg Club, " I too must speak on this bill,
22
     sir.
23
                  There are many good things about
     this bill that we can point to. We can point to
24
25
     corporate regulation reform. You can point to
```

closing of the resident loophole trust. You can point to many things about this bill.

However, what I would consider the distinguishing features of this bill would have to be twofold. The renters tax cut, this is totally inadequate. It went from a proposed, I'm hearing, \$800 million for New York City to \$85 million over, I believe, two years. Totally inadequate and will not be able to meet the needs of the people in New York City.

Incidentally, what about the people outside of New York City? Are there not renters outside New York City? Do they not deserve a tax break? Well, that question is left to be answered, but not by this group.

The other point that has come out, of course, is the estate tax. Let's be clear what we have done. The reduction in the estate tax has shifted the tax burden from the very wealthy to the backs of the middle class and the working people. Somebody is going to have to carry the burden, and we have decided that, in our wisdom, that the wealthy need not apply. They don't have to carry their fair share, that the rest of us are better fit to do these things.

```
1
                  I don't think that that's the way we
 2
     should do things in New York State. And my vote
 3
     will reflect that.
 4
                  Thank you very much, Mr. President.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
     you, Senator Sanders.
 6
 7
                  Senator Gipson.
 8
                  SENATOR GIPSON: On the bill,
9
     Mr. President.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Gipson on the bill.
11
                  SENATOR GIPSON: I can remember a
12
13
     time as a business owner when it seemed like
     New York State knew nothing more than to try to
14
15
     come up with creative ways to tax business
16
     owners, to tax property owners. But over the
     last four or five years, this body, working in a
17
18
     bipartisan fashion, really has begun to take the
19
     issue of tax relief seriously.
20
                  And you're beginning to see the
21
     results of that over and over again.
                                           And this
22
     bill demonstrates even further how committed we
     all are to bringing tax relief to our various
23
     communities. The fact that we now have a zero
24
25
     percent manufacturing tax statewide is
```

phenomenal.

In my district alone, it will bring an enormous amount of jobs, it will bring an enormous amount of revenue, and it will encourage those who have good ideas about designing creative products and services that will lead to creating even more jobs, it will encourage them to come to New York State. And hopefully it will encourage them to come to my district in Dutchess and Putnam County, which was originally left out of this proposal.

But again, in working with the Governor's office and the other members of this body on both sides of the aisle, we have come together with a really good idea that is going to drive this state forward and make it competitive with all the other states, and I am very excited about this.

I also want to commend this body in providing \$1.5 billion in property tax relief.

This is going to build the foundation, the foundation that we have needed for so long to begin the real discussion, which is changing the way that we fund our public education system.

Now that we have this foundation of solid

```
property tax relief, we can begin to really deal
 1
 2
     with the very complex issue of changing the way
     we fund public education to try to find a way to
 3
 4
     get it off of the backs of the property owners.
 5
     And that's when we will achieve significant,
     significant property tax relief here in New York
 6
 7
     State.
 8
                  I'm very excited about the
 9
     opportunities this bill brings to my district and
10
     to New York State, and I look forward to
     continuing to work with my colleagues on both
11
     sides of the aisle to move in this direction.
12
     And I am be voting yes.
13
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
15
     Parker.
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Thank you,
16
     Mr. President. On the bill.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Parker on the bill.
19
20
                  SENATOR PARKER: First, let me
21
     again congratulate the members of both the Senate
     and the Assembly for the hard work that was done
22
     on this budget. Putting together a $143 billion
23
     package of priorities is not an easy task,
24
25
     particularly trying to figure out to pay for it
```

all. I am catching heck trying to figure out how I'd do it on far less every month. So I do have an appreciation for the process that it takes to get here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, I am somewhat critical, I think, of what we've done from a revenue perspective in the State of New York, and I certainly think that we can do better. I stand to rise to add my voice to the chorus of my colleagues who are getting up and talking about things about, you know, protecting tenants, about the estate tax, but certainly want to associate myself with the comments that Senator Krueger made when she talked about the fact that we are doing so much for the richest New Yorkers in the state. We certainly shouldn't be penalizing people just because they're rich, but those folks who have more certainly have an obligation to contribute more. And that's not the value system that we've set up vis-a-vis this budget that we are voting on today.

And certainly let me also just comment that we are doing a better job than the Executive Budget first proposed. The Governor initially proposed a \$350 million cash giveaway

to banks and to rich people across the state, and that's been modified significantly. But we certainly don't go far enough to make sure that we have a budget that is balanced in a way that in fact makes sure that people who have the most pay the most and those who have the least, you know, are relieved of some of the burden of having to maintain the costs of the state.

In particular, I think that we ought to look at some things that are innovative. I mean, I think that if we want to help businesses that we really ought to be looking at the businesses that employ the most kinds of people. Right? So, I mean, most of us talk about business in the context of employment and we assume that the larger the employer, the more people they employ. And that's in fact not in fact the case.

That when we look at where people are employed in the State of New York, as is around the country, it is small and mostly microbusinesses that in fact are doing the vast majority of that employment. But we do nothing in this budget to help microbusinesses for their tax burden, nor do we do anything really to help

small businesses in their tax burden.

But if you're a large corporation who really doesn't employ as many people and, you know, making most of the profits, you get the most help. It's really not a -- you know, this is not a common-sense approach to how we ought to be doing budgeting in the State of New York. We certainly ought to be looking at ways to help micro- and small businesses much more from their tax burden and their healthcare burden and some of the regulatory burdens that they have so that they can in fact not just do more business but continue the pattern that they already have of employing our constituents and making sure that the tax bases in our communities remain strong.

Also I want to comment on the stock transfer tax. And some of you who have been here for a minute know that this is my favorite tax if you've got to have a tax. I actually think we ought to raise the stock transfer tax and just collect that and just get rid of all other taxes, is really my proposal. But we'll get to that in later budgets.

Before I go to the stock transfer tax, though, Mr. President, one of the things

that we could do, Mr. President, is that we really could spend some time looking at every tax break we give to large corporations. And if we eliminate those tax breaks to large corporations, we actually could take the pool of money that we spend with these large tax breaks and these schemes to put, you know, businesses here and businesses there, and lower everybody's taxes, including micro, small and corporate taxes across the board. Right?

Instead of just figuring out
targeted here, targeted here, just get rid of all
of the specialties, how much that money is. You
know, so figure out how many billions of dollars
in breaks that we're giving for all these various
categories. Eliminate all the categories and
say, look, there's no special interest, there's
no special interest that's going to get a special
tax break because, you know, you're a left-handed
person living in Brooklyn on this street. You
know, like we have ridiculous things like that on
the books. Get rid of all of that and just lower
everybody's taxes. Right? It would be a much
easier way to simplify the tax code and certainly
I think get us to a place that we have a

common-sense tax approach.

But as relates to the stock transfer tax, the Governor was going to get rid of it. I thought that was a bad idea; I did mention that during the Senate budget resolution debate. I'm glad to see that we have not taken it off the books. But we're still not collecting any of it.

So again, as I have said before, you know, you're talking about somewhere between \$16 billion to \$18 billion that we would have collected from the stock transfer tax. This is a tax that is about a cent and a half per transaction that maxes out at \$150 dollar per any one transaction. So if you're buying a trillion shares, it's still not more than \$150. Right?

And then the beauty of it is
95 percent of the people who are paying the tax
don't live in the State of New York.

The other piece is that every other major exchange in the world has a sales tax that looks similar to the stock transfer tax. They may call it something else, but it actually works in the same way.

And some might say, well, the moment you do it, everybody's going to run to Jersey or

1 | everybody's going to do everything online.

That's not true. Because people are not doing it in other places.

And the moment that you send it to Jersey, you know what? The day after, Jersey is going to have a stock transfer tax. And they'll be getting the revenue that we should be collecting here in the State of New York.

And again, I'm not saying that we should go back and collect the whole \$16 billion to \$18 billion. But a Nobel laureate economist has said that if you collect 20 percent of it, which would be approximately \$3 billion, we could do that and we'd have a very, very minimal effect on the exchange.

And so, you know, what could we possibly do with \$3 billion? There's plenty of things that we'd like to see done as relates to education, healthcare, affordable housing, public protection, transportation that certainly could get done if we had -- you know, debt reduction; you know, mandate relief -- if we just had that extra money that we're now forgoing, inexplicably, in our budget.

And so because of the ways that I

```
1
     think that we are not in fact handling our
 2
     revenues, Mr. President, as efficiently and
     effective and in the common-sense way that I
 3
 4
     think we could, I'll be voting no on this
 5
     section.
 6
                  Thank you.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Stavisky.
 8
 9
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      Thank you,
10
     Mr. President. If the sponsor would yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                         Yes.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     DeFrancisco yields.
13
14
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: My question
15
     concerns the enhanced real property tax circuit
     breaker. Regrettably, my income is under
16
     $200,000 a year, but on the other hand, I happen
17
18
     to be a shareholder in a co-op. How would I
     benefit from the circuit breaker?
19
20
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Mr.
     President, you're under $200,000 but you have
21
22
     income from a co-op? Are you asking whether that
     co-op income is added to the 200,000?
23
24
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: No, no, no, no.
25
     No, no. My question is my income is under
```

1 \$200,000 a year. So I would benefit presumably 2 from the circuit breaker as a shareholder in a 3 co-op. 4 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: It's my 5 understanding that there's a tax for the co-op as whole, correct? And they apportion the tax 6 7 benefit based upon, I believe, the values of the property or the taxes -- of how many residents 8 there are, how many units, and they prorate it. 9 10 SENATOR STAVISKY: And if I may --11 then let me rephrase my question. The answer is 12 yes, toward the end of the tax year we do get a statement on what part of our maintenance and 13 14 whatever -- because obviously we own shares in a 15 corporation. A shareholder in a co-op does not own the property itself, simply shares in the 16 17 corporation. 18 But how would I benefit on my personal income tax filing from this circuit 19

breaker? Or would I benefit?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I don't know

if I can answer it any better. There's a tax -
if the tax increase for the whole co-op is

1 percent and it's under -- no, you don't have to

be under. Circuit breaker.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 So whatever the tax increase is, 2 there would have to be a proration of what you're entitled to. If there's a hundred members of the 3 4 co-op, I would imagine you'd get a one-hundredth 5 of the benefit. You get credited to have paid one-hundredth of the tax, and then you make the 6 7 circuit breaker calculation based upon that tax. SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator 8 9 would continue to yield. 10 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 11 12 sponsor yields. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: In that section of the revenue bill that deals with the circuit 14 15 breaker, it's part -- it looks like K. 16 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay. 17 SENATOR STAVISKY: In line 33, it 18 appears that I would have to claim this circuit breaker on my personal income tax. Is that the 19 20 way it would work? 21 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 22 The report would be based upon your pro rata share of the tax, and then you would 23 24 report it on your tax return. 25 SENATOR STAVISKY: And we have no

```
idea what that benefit would be.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         We don't
 3
            It depends upon the amount of the tax and
 4
     what your share of that tax would be.
 5
                  You will, once you get your
     statement from the co-op saying what your portion
 6
 7
     of the tax would be, sure.
 8
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator
9
     would yield to a last question.
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Sure.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
11
12
     Senator yields.
13
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: How is this
14
     circuit breaker going to be implemented? Are
15
     there going to be rules from one of the agencies
16
     or from city or state?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         I'm informed
17
18
     that there's currently a circuit breaker in
19
     New York City.
20
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: For renters.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Well, it's
22
     done precisely the way that the current circuit
23
     breaker that's in existence is computed.
     don't know that what is because we don't have a
24
25
     circuit breaker upstate.
```

```
SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      All right, thank
 1
 2
     you, Mr. President. On the bill very briefly.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                              Senator
 4
     Stavisky on the bill.
 5
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      This highlights
     the needs of co-op and condo shareholders and
 6
 7
     unit owners. Because while the figure of
     15.75 percent of a renter's income theoretically
 8
     goes for property tax, and homeowners in New York
 9
10
     City are capped at 6 percent, it's the co-op and
     the shareholder that really suffer. And we pay a
11
12
     disproportionate share of the property tax.
13
                  And the resolution of this
     difficulty should not really be in the
14
15
     State Senate, but in the City, where they have a
     classification system. And that's what's at
16
     fault with the whole system of property taxes for
17
18
     co-ops and condos. It's the way the taxes are
     calculated, based upon the classification system,
19
     that really cries out for change. And I hope in
20
     the future that legislation in the state can be
21
22
     developed so that this inequity can be resolved.
                  Thank you. I will be voting for
23
     this bill.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

```
Nozzolio.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. President,
     on the bill.
 3
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Nozzolio on the bill.
 5
                  SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you,
 6
 7
     Mr. President.
 8
                  Mr. President and my colleagues, I
     fully support this measure and rise to indicate
9
10
     that I believe it's critically important if
     New York is to be competitive ever again with
11
     other states.
12
13
                  That I hadn't intended to speak, but
     when hearing a discussion of academic studies
14
15
     that say or that are quoted as saying that tax
     policy doesn't motivate individuals into
16
     behavior, particularly leaving a state, I just
17
18
     had to rise and voice a concern that I've had for
19
     many years.
20
                  Students often ask me,
21
     Mr. President, those who wish to enter public
     service, what course of study would I recommend
22
     they participate in. Should they engage in
23
     studies involving government, should they study
24
25
     political science? Those are the typical
```

questions that young people entering into college and want to enter into public service often ask.

Well, my answer to them is clear, it's direct, and it bears no relationship to any of those subjects, however they may be interesting. A person involved in public service should be schooled in economics. Because every economic decision we have has a direct impact on the people that are served.

And that it's clear to me, in hearing some of the debate, that some of our colleagues could benefit from the experience of learning basic economics. Basic economics which would indicate that there are causes and effects, that policies do matter, and that one only need to do the math presented to us from the Census Bureau that shows New York State first was the largest state in this country, the largest of the 50 states, we were the Empire State, but we've lost our status as number one, we've lost our status as the second-largest state. We are now the third-largest state, and we are very close to being overtaken in population by other states.

And all the so-called economic theories that are being quoted for some reason

must be missing the fact that people are migrating from New York to other states because the taxes are too high in New York State. The property taxes, the business taxes, the taxes that you pay when you have to leave this earth are too high.

And it's not just the rich that you're talking about that are impacted by the estate tax. Go up and down the district that I have the honor of representing and talk to some of the farmers whose large capitalization requirements to run an average farm are causing serious questions as to whether or not they can pass the farm along to their family because of the large estate tax.

Mr. President and my colleagues, I don't want to belabor this, but I do believe it's so important. New York has to return to a place of competitiveness. This measure puts us on the right track. These tax cuts put us in the right direction. And, Mr. President, they're well deserving of our support. We want to become the Empire State once again. We need to be more competitive. That's why this is important.

Thank you, Mr. President.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 1 2 and hearing no other Senator -- Senator Rivera. 3 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, 4 Mr. President. Just something quick. 5 I actually would like to commend Senator Nozzolio, because he actually pointed out 6 7 something that I only became aware of when farmers that don't live in my district and might 8 live in yours came to my office and actually told 9 10 me about this particular issue. 11 And one thing that I told them was 12 that it was not something I was aware of as it relates to estate taxes and, second, that it 13 14 would be a good idea, as my good colleague 15 Senator Smith has said before, to actually take that notion and try to put it into the discussion 16 of whether, when we're talking about estate 17 18 taxes, whether there's a way to actually take 19 farmers and particular situations with farmers 20 and take them out of that. And so I would say that I would give 21 22 him the credit that that is a good point. However, as opposed to trying to take those 23 particular individuals and trying to take them 24 25 out of this consideration, Mr. President, instead we're saying that because it will impact this small number of farmers that we shouldn't implement it at all.

And when we're talking about, as a lot of my colleagues have already pointed out, the extreme inequality that exists in this state -- and yes, Senator Nozzolio, many people are leaving the state. I would argue that many people are leaving the state -- certainly they're hard-pressed in the Bronx, where the median income for my district is \$25,000 a year in 2014 in the City of New York. And these are folks that make the city work. They are the working men and women that actually make the city run and certainly provide so much economic activity in the State of New York.

So I would say that it is one of the things that we should consider when we're talking about this tax policy. But overall, I think the points have been made amply by all my colleagues that unfortunately this particular revenue bill does not take into account how tax breaks or the impact of taxes must be -- we must take into account what this has on our revenue, what impact does it have on our revenue, and, most

```
1
     importantly, the impact that it has on the
 2
     majority of the people in the state.
     certainly the folks that I represent in my
 3
     district I don't believe are well served by this
 4
 5
     particular budget bill at all.
                  So although I have voted in the
 6
 7
     affirmative on the other budget bills, I have to
 8
     say as it relates to revenue I am in agreement
 9
     with a lot of my colleagues on this side.
10
     even though I'm in agreement on one point with
     Senator Nozzolio, I must vote in the negative on
11
     this bill.
12
13
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Hearing
15
     and seeing no other Senator who wishes to be
16
     heard, debate is closed.
17
                  The Secretary will ring the bell.
18
                  Read the last section.
19
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                    Section 3. This
20
     act shall take effect immediately.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Call the
22
     roll.
23
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              Senator
25
     Young to explain her vote.
```

SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you,

Mr. President, to explain my vote.

It's always interesting to hear the exchange of ideas that we hear during the debate in this great chamber. However, I do want to point out the statement that people don't leave because of the tax burden is one that I strongly disagree with.

And I don't have to see a study to know that people have fled this state, jobs have left this state, companies have left this state because of the heavy tax burden.

And that's why this budget is so great. People are suffering in New York State. Seniors are suffering, families are suffering, homeowners are suffering, businesses are suffering because of the tax burden. This budget bill helps to turn that situation around. It will save jobs, it will help grow jobs, and it's a step, a major step in the right direction.

So I'm proud to stand up today to commend everyone who worked so hard to make this progress. This is truly great for the people of New York State and our economy. And I will be proudly voting aye.

Thank you, Mr. President. 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Young to be recorded in the affirmative. 3 4 Senator Parker to explain his vote. 5 SENATOR PARKER: Thank you, Mr. President, to explain my vote. 6 7 I'm voting no, but I think there's actually more agreement in this chamber than the 8 recent dialogue is indicating. I think the 9 10 members of the Democratic Conference certainly think there ought to be tax reform, certainly 11 12 there ought to be some tax relief, there ought to be mandate relief. We all agree with those. 13 14 Those are core principles of our conference. 15 However, we can't start from the top. Right? I think, you know, we're kind of 16 17 like, you know, we want to start from the bottom 18 and then wind up here, right, where we let everybody share in it. 19 20 This budget in fact does not do When we look at and when we talk about 21 that. economics -- and I know people don't want to see 22 studies. But unless somebody here has a degree 23 in economics and has been around the state 24 25 collecting the aggregate data, at some point we

actually have to look at the professionals that have looked at this.

And when the professionals look at this, what they say is in fact, one, taxes are not what drives people out of state. And I know that our upstate economy is not doing as well as it should be, but that's because of years of benign neglect, frankly, by the state, at times when we did not manage the outpouring of manufacturing jobs and agriculture jobs from upstate, our underutilization of SUNY as a primary resource. All things that we're now turning around.

But, you know, when we look at why people have left, the tax burden certainly wasn't there.

And certainly when we talk about the tax burden, you cannot say that normal everyday people left and they had a problem with tax burden, but then so we're going to give all the money back to large corporations and giving it to large corporations is going to bring back regular people. It just is a mismatch there in terms of what we are saying the analysis is and where we ought to be going.

```
1
                  And so I vote no on this.
 2
     hoping that we do better as we go forward as
 3
     relates to our revenue.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
 5
     you, Senator Parker.
                  Senator Parker to be recorded in the
 6
 7
     negative.
 8
                  I want to remind all members that
 9
     there will be a two-minute explanation allowed on
10
     the explanation of votes.
                  Senator Espaillat to explain his
11
12
     vote.
13
                  SENATOR ESPAILLAT:
                                        Thank you,
     Mr. President.
14
15
                  I join Senator Parker in voting in
16
     the negative on this bill.
17
                  Some of the factors that are
18
     considered when someone leaves the state are
19
     things like public safety. We can all agree that
20
     someone will leave a neighborhood or the state if
21
     they felt that their community was not a safe
22
     community.
                  Another factor that can drive a
23
     family out of a state is the fact that there is a
24
25
     poor equality of education for their children.
```

1 In fact, when people buy homes in a particular 2 neighborhood, two of the factors that they look at are safety -- nobody wants their house broken 3 4 into in the middle of the night -- and the 5 quality of education you have for your children. And the taxes that you pay, the real estate taxes 6 7 that you pay, you would like for them to go towards a good quality of education for your 8 children. 9 10 So if these services are impacted by any budget, if we have a model that is reversed 11 12 that is not a progressive tax reform model, then we will have certainly a reduction in services 13 that are vital for families to stay in this 14 15 state. 16 I don't think the rich will leave, you know, the cultural center of New York City. 17 18 I don't think that they will leave the

I don't think that they will leave the

Metropolitan Opera House or the Metropolitan

Museum of Art or the Guggenheim. I think that
they like to be in New York City and they like to
be in Manhattan because it provides all of these
amenities.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to say that people will run in droves out of the state because of our taxes I

```
think is mistaken. I think they will leave our
 1
 2
     state if our services are deplorable, if they are
     so bad that their families can no longer stay
 3
 4
            I remember my brother and sister left the
 5
     state in the '70s when crime was really --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                             Senator
 7
     Espaillat, how do you record your vote?
 8
                  SENATOR ESPAILLAT:
                                       I vote in the
     negative, Mr. President.
9
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
11
     Espaillat to be recorded in the negative.
12
                  Senator Marchione to explain her
13
     vote.
14
                  SENATOR MARCHIONE:
                                        Thank you,
15
     Mr. President.
                     I rise to --
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                   Mr. President, can
16
     we have some order in the chamber please.
17
18
                  SENATOR MARCHIONE:
                                       Thank you. I
     rise to explain my vote.
19
20
                  You know, in the State of New York
21
     farming is still the number-one industry.
                                                And in
     my district, it's the number-one industry. And
22
23
     when you look around and the age, the average age
     of the person who are owning farms now is 57 or
24
25
     58 years old, and their equipment and their land
```

and their buildings, everything you need to run a farm is so expensive. But they're not cash-rich. And you say when the farmer dies it's \$1 million, and you have to pay 16 percent after that, that's absolutely ridiculous.

We are losing our family farms.

This is an absolute necessity. You talk about the very rich. What about our family farmers?

What about the businesses that help feed each and every one of us in this room? What about the extra work they do, how it's a family farm, a family business? And we're looking at those people and saying, well, because someone in New York City is very rich and we don't want to start with people who are very rich, what about the farmers? What about the people who live in our districts?

It's critically important that this piece of legislation go through. You know, manufacturing as well, you talk about we're not successful upstate? You're absolutely right. We need help. And manufacturing taxes that will go down or become eliminated is a huge help to those of us who don't live downstate.

This is a terrific bill. I

```
compliment all of those who worked on it, and my
 1
 2
     vote will be aye.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                              Senator
     Marchione to be recorded in the affirmative.
 4
 5
                  Senator O'Mara to explain his vote.
                  SENATOR O'MARA:
                                    Well, I could just
 6
 7
     say "What she said" --
 8
                  (Laughter.)
 9
                  SENATOR O'MARA:
                                    -- because that
10
     pretty well sums it up.
                  But I think this bill clearly
11
12
     focuses on the two most important industries in
     our state, agriculture and manufacturing. It's
13
14
     what everything else is built off of.
15
                  Manufacturing, adding value to our
     economy, creating good-paying jobs with good
16
     benefits. The manufacturing jobs that are
17
18
     created in this state are the very best of jobs
19
     that we have. This legislation, in providing
20
     this broad-based tax support to the manufacturing
21
     industry, is going to help create opportunity for
22
     everyone in this state to earn a living on their
     own and not be supported by the state.
23
                  It's going to enable, with the
24
25
     inheritance tax, our family farms to be able to
```

```
hand their farm down to the next generation,
 1
 2
     which is critically important to our agricultural
     community. As well as the initiatives that we
 3
 4
     have in this budget for young farmers and
 5
     beginning farmers, to help improve that, we are
 6
     making a very strong step in the right direction
 7
     here today.
                  While every building is a compromise
 8
     and I think we need to do more in many areas --
 9
10
     particularly in the area of property tax relief,
11
     to provide meaningful property tax relief through
12
     mandate relief rather than the proposal we have
     here today -- although it's a compromise, it's a
13
     step in the right direction, and I will vote yes
14
15
     on this part of the budget.
                  Thank you.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
17
                                              Senator
18
     O'Mara to be recorded in the affirmative.
19
                  Senator Martins to explain his vote.
20
                  SENATOR MARTINS:
                                      Thank you,
     Mr. President.
21
                  I rise as well to support this piece
22
     of legislation. I'll be voting yes.
23
                  You know, when we talk about
24
25
     progressive, regressive -- we use terms like this
```

often in this chamber. But I represent a community of blue- and white-collar middle-America New Yorkers. People who are struggling to make ends meet, struggling to make their mortgage, raise their kids, maybe put a few dollars aside for education down the road. Those are the people I represent.

And when we talk about leaving money on the table, we don't leave money on the table. Every dollar beyond that which is necessary to support our education system here in New York State, every dollar beyond that which is necessary to support our local communities in providing vital services, every one of those dollars belongs to the taxpayers of New York State, they do not belong to us in this chamber.

So, Mr. President, I will be supporting this piece of legislation because there are tax credits, there is tax relief, there is real property tax relief in a meaningful way that puts money back where it belongs in the pockets of those people who are struggling to make ends meet in New York State. I vote aye.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Martins to be recorded in the affirmative.

Senator DeFrancisco to close explanations.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes, I would just like to point out that sometimes we are involved in this business of government and we wonder whether we're accomplishing anything, whether anything gets done, whether things that we want actually happen.

Well, over the summer last year

Senator Marcellino and I and many of you went

around the state, all ends of the state, having

hearings about tax cuts. And not knowing what

the result was going to be or not knowing whether

anything was going to go anywhere.

And when we look at this budget today, it's very interesting to see that many of these tax cuts were recommended in the course of those hearings by citizens in all parts of the state, including reduction in the manufacturers tax, the corporate tax, the real property tax.

We also got involved in simplification of tax filings. We got recommendations and pleas for 18A, reduction of the utility tax. We got farmers telling us about the estate tax. And we got people, those horrible rich people who want

to stay in New York rather than leave the state because their estates would be substantially wiped out by staying in New York. And we came up with a package much of which became part of this bill.

So I really am very happy that we were able to participate in this in a very, very real way, and thank my colleagues for the job well done.

I don't know the context, but it was mentioned that we shouldn't base tax policy on the lucky sperm or the lucky egg club. Well, let me tell you something. Some people have gotten that luck based upon who they were born to, there's no question about that. But the people that I'm mostly concerned about is those people who came from parents like mine that didn't graduate high school, that worked like animals painting houses, seven days a week, until they were in their late sixties, people who worked hard, had no education, had nothing, and succeeded to have their three children go to college and make something of themselves.

Those are the people I'm concerned

about. And that isn't lucky sperm. That happens 1 2 to be people that did the right thing, that worked hard, that took advantage of what this 3 state had to offer. And they are being rewarded 4 5 today as well by these tax cuts. 6 Thank you. 7 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 8 DeFrancisco to be recorded in the affirmative. 9 Senator Latimer. 10 SENATOR LATIMER: Thank you, Mr. President. 11 I rise in support of this bill. 12 must say I haven't said anything yet today, I've 13 been listening. And then in the last debate I 14 15 hear the fissures that exist in this state. 16 We have a state that stretches over 400-some-odd miles from one end to the other. 17 18 Bronxville and the Bronx and Ray Brook in the 19 Adirondacks are three very different places. Wе 20 all come from all those different places. This budget, in the aggregate, 21 compromises the interests of those different 22 There are things in this budget that 23 every one of us will hate, there are things in 24 25 this budget that every one of us will like, and

there is not one of the 63 of us that's going to walk out of here believing that we've gotten everything we believe or that we would argue that our people believe in.

Now, I'm in the same business the other 62 of us are in. I know what it's like to get up and give speeches and write quotes and all that. But I think today is a day where once we say what we have to say, we have to remember that there is more that unites us than divides us. And we can work those divisions, but that does not help us run this state.

We have to understand that other parts of the state are different, from the parts we represent, the most urban and the most rural. As one member, I'm going to do my best to respect the urban and the rural, as well as the suburban, where I come from.

I repeat that I vote in the affirmative. And I encourage my colleagues to remember that we are in the same state, not in two and three different states.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Latimer to be recorded in the affirmative.

Senator Boyle to explain his vote.

SENATOR BOYLE: To explain my vote, 1 2 Mr. President. New Yorkers need tax relief no 3 4 matter what income bracket they're in. I can 5 tell you that in my district on Long Island I've represented many people selling their house, 6 7 senior citizens -- usually widows -- that I look at the title report and the mortgage is long paid 8 off. They owe nothing on their house, but 9 10 they're selling their house and leaving. And they're usually very emotional 11 12 about it, crying. And I ask them why they're selling the house, and they tell me "Because I 13 literally cannot afford the taxes." They are 14 15 being taxed out of their homes, something the government should never do. This is a good step 16 in the right direction to stop that. 17 18 And it also happens at the high-end income. I have a number of very wealthy 19 20 constituents who moved to Florida. I distinctly remember last year one of my wealthier 21 constituents said, "Phil, I cannot afford to die 22 in New York State." 23 Well, we may not think that people 24 are leaving here because of taxes; I do. And 25

```
they do it in Florida too. You remember the
 1
 2
     commercial where Wayne Huizenga, the owner of the
     Dolphins, said "Come to Florida, because it's not
 3
 4
     what you make, it's what you keep"? They're
 5
     stealing our people, they're stealing our
     constituents. We need to keep them here in
 6
 7
     New York State with the lower taxes.
 8
                  I vote in the affirmative.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
10
     Boyle to be recorded in the affirmative.
                  Announce the results.
11
                                   In relation to
12
                  THE SECRETARY:
13
     Calendar Number 376, those recorded in the
14
     negative are Senators Espaillat, Hoylman,
15
     Krueger, Montgomery, Parker, Perkins, Rivera,
16
     Sanders, Serrano and Squadron.
17
                  Ayes, 51. Nays, 10.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The bill
     is passed.
19
20
                  Senator Libous.
21
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President,
22
     could we go back to motions and then please call
23
     on Senator Valesky.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: We will
24
25
     return to motions.
```

```
Senator Valesky.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Thank you,
 3
     Mr. President.
                  On behalf of Senator Avella, on
 4
 5
     page 20 I offer the following amendments to
     Calendar Number 278, Senate Bill 6599B, and ask
 6
     that said bill retain its place on the Third
 7
 8
     Reading Calendar.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
10
     amendments are received, and the bill shall
     retain its place on third reading.
11
                  Senator Libous.
12
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, at
13
     this time could we please call up Calendar Number
14
15
     373.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Calendar
     Number 373 will be called before the desk.
17
18
                  The Secretary will read.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
19
20
     373, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6356D, an
     act to amend the Education Law.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                             Senator
23
     Libous.
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, is
24
25
     there a message of necessity at the desk?
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              There is
 2
     a message of necessity before the desk.
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
 3
                                     Thank you.
                                                 I move
 4
     to accept the message of necessity at this time.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              All those
     in favor of accepting the message of necessity
 6
 7
     indicate by saying eye.
 8
                  (Response of "Aye.")
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 9
                                              Opposed?
10
                  (No response.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
11
12
     message is accepted, and the bill is before the
13
     house.
                                     The bill is before
14
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
15
     the house, thank you.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     Secretary will read the last section.
17
18
                  Senator Gianaris, why do you rise?
19
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Mr. President, I
20
     believe there's an amendment at the desk. I ask
21
     that the reading be waived and that I may be
22
     heard on the amendment.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Gianaris, I have reviewed your amendment and rule
24
25
     that it is not germane to the bill and therefore
```

out of order. 1 2 SENATOR GIANARIS: I'd like to appeal the decision of the chair and ask that I 3 4 heard on the appeal. 5 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: You may be heard, Senator Gianaris. 6 7 SENATOR GIANARIS: Thank you, 8 Mr. President. 9 My amendment to the budget bill is 10 germane because it does not unreasonably expand the object of the underlying bill, maintains the 11 12 same purpose, and addresses the same areas of 13 law. 14 The purpose of my amendment is to 15 eliminate proposals in the budget bill that would provide charter schools with special treatment 16 and hurt public schools. 17 18 The underlying legislation contains significant changes, including a requirement that 19 20 charter collocations approved prior to January 1, 21 2014, cannot be altered by New York City without the consent of the charter school, which has 22 23 significant and long-term implications. And the amendment that I'm offering 24 is not about charter school kids. They deserve 25

the best education possible. This is about making sure that all the schools in our system are treated fairly and equally.

I was a little bit surprised at how quickly this body moved with respect to dealing with this issue in reaction to a controversy that emerged a couple of weeks ago. I only wish that the same action would have been taken to address the Campaign for Fiscal Equity court case that's been dragging on for years and years.

Charter schools were created as a model of innovation and to discover new tools in teaching, not to become a parallel system of publicly supported quasi-private schools. The budget language would allow charters to challenge a school district if a collocation offer is not to their liking, and potentially force New York City to pay for any private rent charters would owe.

It is unfathomable, in an era where almost 90 percent of the space in New York City classrooms is already in use and we have severe overcrowding problems in all of our schools, that funds would be used to pay charter school leases instead of addressing overcrowding generally.

It's important that our traditional public schools don't have the ability of refusal of a collocation request the way charters would be granted in the underlying legislation.

And just one example of the problems that this would create involves the Mickey Mantle School in P.S. 149 for disabled students, who are scheduled to lose programs and space for their services if the collocation proposed at that school proceeds.

Charter schools make up about

4 percent of the total school population.

Instead of providing rental assistance to charter schools, this budget should focus more on all public schools, and any monies dedicated in the budget bill to supporting only charters should be redirected for the benefit of all public schools regardless of their status as a charter or not.

And that's what the amendment would do. I thank my colleagues for their time, and I ask for their support on this amendment.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: This is a vote on the ruling of the chair. All --

SENATOR LIBOUS: Can we have a show of hands on this vote, please.

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
                                              Yes,
 2
     Senator Libous.
                  This is a vote on the ruling of the
 3
 4
     chair. All those in favor of overruling the
 5
     ruling of the chair signify by raising your hand.
                  Announce the results.
 6
 7
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 25.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
9
     ruling of the chair stands.
10
                  Senator Gianaris, why do you rise?
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
11
     believe there's another amendment at the desk.
12
                                                      Ι
     ask that its reading be waived and that
13
     Senator Parker may be heard on the amendment.
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
16
     Gianaris, upon review of the amendment that is
     before the desk, I rule that it is not germane to
17
18
     the bill and therefore out of order.
19
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       I appeal the
20
     decision of the chair and I ask that
21
     Senator Parker be heard on the appeal, please.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
     decision is appealed.
23
                  Senator Parker, you may be heard.
24
25
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                     Thank you,
```

Mr. Chairman.

My amendment to this budget bill is germane because not only does it not unreasonably expand the object of the underlying bill but also maintains the same purpose and addresses the same areas of law.

The purpose of my amendment is to ensure that teachers and principals will not be penalized for testing results over the proposed three-year student testing moratorium, and to eliminate the use of merit pay for certain schools and school districts.

The Majority should be applauded for listening to the concerns of New Yorkers and applying a three-year moratorium to high-stakes testing consequences for students. However, the Majority failed to apply that moratorium to teachers and principals.

The result is that during this three-year period teachers and principals could be subjected to negative employment consequences due to testing results from their classes. It makes no sense at all to impose a moratorium on testing results for students but not do the same thing for teachers and principals.

Because of the student moratorium, 1 2 the results of the first three years will not properly demonstrate what students are actually 3 4 learning. If the Legislature is concerned with 5 the rollout of Common Core, then testing consequences should also apply to everyone. 6 7 Instead, the Legislature is simply placing the entire burden of Common Core and high-stakes 8 testing problems squarely on teachers and 9 10 principals. Teachers and principals should be 11 12 given the same three-year moratorium that students are receiving. It's the fair thing to 13 do for educators and the right move to protect 14 15 our children from the negative consequences of 16 the Common Core rollout. Mr. President, thank you. 17 18 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank you, Senator Parker. 19 20 Again, the vote is on the ruling of 21 the chair. All those in favor of overruling the ruling of the chair signify by saying aye. 22 23 Senator Gianaris. SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands, 24 25 please.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: A show of
 2
     hands has been requested. All those in favor of
 3
     overruling the ruling of the chair raise your
     hand.
 4
 5
                  Announce the results.
 6
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 27.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 8
     ruling of the chair stands.
 9
                  The bill is before the house.
10
                  Senator Tkaczyk.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                      Thank you,
11
12
     Mr. President. I have a few questions, if the
     sponsor would yield.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Senator
15
     Tkaczyk, Senator Flanagan to speak without
16
     objection.
17
                  Senator Flanagan.
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Mr.
19
     President, I was going to do that. I was going
     to refer questions on education to our education
20
21
     expert, Senator Flanagan.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
23
     DeFrancisco defers to Senator Flanagan without
     objection.
24
25
                  Senator Flanagan will yield.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Yes.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 3
     Tkaczyk.
 4
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
 5
                  One of the challenges we face as
     lawmakers is to make sure that our public schools
 6
 7
    have the resources they need to adequately
 8
     educate our kids without overburdening our
 9
    property owners. Can you tell us how many of our
10
    K through 12 public --
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                             Senator
12
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
13
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President,
     Senator Tkaczyk's mic doesn't appear to be
14
15
     working properly. It's difficult to hear her.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Can we
     adjust that, please, for the mic of Senator
17
18
     Tkaczyk.
19
                  And may I continue to have order in
20
     the house, please.
21
                  Senator Tkaczyk, would you try?
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
22
                                     Thank you,
23
    Mr. President. Is that better?
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: It's
24
25
     still not on, one second.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Testing.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              I think
 3
     the light is not on but the mic is working. Is
     that correct?
 4
 5
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     The light is not
          But is the mic working?
 6
     on.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Okay, the
 8
     mic is working. Technical glitch.
 9
                  Senator Tkaczyk, you may continue.
10
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
                                                  If I
     could ask my question to the sponsor.
11
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
12
                                              The
13
     sponsor yields. You may ask your question.
14
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     How many of our K
15
     through 12 public schools are facing educational
16
     and fiscal insolvency in New York State today?
17
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Mr. President,
18
     through you, there are a number of schools who
19
     have come to you, to my colleagues, and have
20
     spoken about the costs of properly educating
21
     students. I believe that there are a number of
22
     different ways to look at how people view that.
     The Comptroller has his own definition.
23
     are various outside entities that have their own
24
25
     interpretation.
```

And what I would offer is that in this budget we're providing, between aid to education and STAR funding, \$25.7 billion to public schools all across the State of New York. We have over a 5 percent increase in this year's budget, which is by far the single largest growth area in the entire budget. We have a personal growth income index to provide protections to schools.

Last year and this year we, in concert with the Executive, advanced more money. We are going to spend well over \$1.1 billion, closer to \$1.2 billion. And I have school runs for many districts, and I can look in -- frankly, all across the state there are a number of schools who did quite well in this budget, and I believe that addresses many of the concerns that they continue to raise.

And I will clearly say that the single largest obligation we have is the proper funding of education in the State of New York.

If you want to get to specifics, I believe the Comptroller in their report said it was approximately 90 districts. They have a different definition than the school business

```
1
     officials. There is no -- as you are well aware,
 2
     school districts legally cannot go insolvent or
     declare bankruptcy in the State of New York.
 3
 4
     you want to debate educational insolvency may be
 5
     a different matter.
                  But let's be clear, there's
 6
 7
     $25.7 billion, and this year's increase is again
     the single largest growth area in the entire
 8
 9
     budget.
10
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                      Thank you.
11
                  If the sponsor will continue to
12
     yield.
13
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                       Yes.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
15
     sponsor yields.
16
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                      One of the
     organizations that did look at educational and
17
18
     fiscal insolvency was the school business
19
     administrators, and they did come out with a
20
     report. And one of the things they did point out
21
     is that there are about 206 schools that they
22
     show are facing fiscal and educational
23
     insolvency, that they're cutting their
     educational programming and not able to make up
24
25
     the budget gaps that they've had to deal with
```

```
1
     over the years.
 2
                  We still have, in this budget,
 3
    bullet aid; is that correct?
 4
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      There is
 5
     educational grant funding in this budget.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Would the sponsor
 6
 7
     continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
10
     sponsor yields.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: How much money is
11
12
     dedicated to bullet aid in the Assembly and
     Senate budget bill?
13
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN: $19 million.
14
15
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Would the sponsor
16
     continue to yield.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
18
     sponsor yields.
19
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN: Yes.
20
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Wouldn't it be
21
     appropriate for that bullet aid to go to those
22
     schools that are obviously facing fiscal and
23
     educational insolvency?
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
24
                                      Senator Tkaczyk,
25
     we heard Senator DeFrancisco have a colloquy with
```

Senator Krueger or Senator Stavisky on a related matter involving higher education. There is -- and we have passed this in the past, which I believe you supported, and your colleagues; we did a resolution last year for a distribution of that funding.

The Assembly last year, they decided to line certain things out in the budget. And yet they had a pot of money that was set aside as well.

What we are endeavoring to do is to be responsive to schools that come to us and say we have a potential problem. And if you look at the school runs, we have about 700 school districts in the State of New York. Not everybody does as well as they would like, and sometimes not everybody does as well as we would like them to do. So there will be an agreement, there will be an understanding. Whatever that money and the distribution of that money will come before this body for a full discussion and debate at the appropriate time.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Thank you. Would the sponsor continue to yield.

SENATOR FLANAGAN: Yes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 1 2 sponsor yields. SENATOR TKACZYK: 3 I have a couple 4 of questions on the pre-K proposal in the budget. 5 The budget proposes to fund \$340 million to 3-and-4-year-olds under the pre-K 6 7 program. How many children would this be 8 assisting who are eligible in New York City? 9 SENATOR FLANAGAN: I'm not sure of 10 the exact number. But this is based on discussions with our colleagues who represent the 11 City of New York, based on discussions with the 12 Executive and, frankly, with the City of 13 New York. 14 15 And I should be clear that there's a 16 differentiation for the City of New York and the rest of the state. And essentially this is a 17 18 reimbursable program for schools that will be 19 involved. The City of New York has made this a 20 top priority. 21 For many of the districts outside of the City of New York, they embrace the idea of 22 pre-K but they are grappling with whether or not 23 they can maintain their core academic program 24 25 right now.

So this is sort of a spend-to-get 1 2 I don't have a finite number for the proposal. City of New York, for the rest of the state. But 3 4 what I do know is this. If they decide to access 5 the program, their needs will be met. SENATOR TKACZYK: 6 If the sponsor 7 will continue to yield. 8 SENATOR FLANAGAN: Yes. 9 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 10 sponsor yields. SENATOR TKACZYK: 11 Just so I 12 understand, 88 percent of the funding is going to pre-K in New York City. And we recognize that 13 New York City doesn't have 88 percent of the 14 15 children who might be eligible for pre-K. Are you saying that if there are more than -- more 16 children upstate than the 40 million that is 17 18 geared towards upstate would be eligible, those schools will get funded? 19 20 SENATOR FLANAGAN: I believe there 21 are couple of a factors that are involved. is timing, one is flexibility, one is financing. 22 And financing right now is probably the most 23 challenging. Because even if we are passing this 24 25 budget today, it would be very difficult for a

number of schools all across the state to create and build up a pre-K program.

I believe that for schools that are interested in that, that they will be able to do that, that the requisite funding will be available. And, you know, this is an annual document. If next year for some reason we come back and there seems to be a lot more people interested in a lot more school districts, and they make that a priority, that's something we could certainly discuss at the time.

But I'm confident -- and by the way, this is not just me, this is my colleagues listening and responding to many of our constituents. When schools came to us, when they were talking about pre-K, what they were saying is the pre-K proposal from the Governor was not helpful. It was not helpful because it was a mandate, it was underfunded and not properly funded in any way, shape or form.

We listened to them, and their number-one priority was GEA relief, which we have obviously very clearly and strongly delivered in this budget.

25 | SENATOR TKACZYK: If the sponsor

```
will continue to yield.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
 4
     sponsor yields.
 5
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: How will the
 6
     funding for pre-K programs outside of New York be
 7
     distributed?
 8
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      It's based on a
     number of factors. It is a $40 million pot
 9
10
     that's available. Schools can make application.
     And, you know, I should bring up as well we don't
11
     want to lose sight of the fact that we spend
12
     approximately $385 million statewide right now on
13
14
     pre-K funding. This is a new pot of money
15
     available.
16
                  I believe that schools will be able
     to make an application. SED will have to review
17
18
     those applications, and they will be the ones
19
     determining the final approval as to the validity
     or the merits of whatever the program may be.
20
21
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
                  On the bill, Mr. President.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
23
                                             Senator
     Tkaczyk on the bill.
24
25
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: This budget is
```

far from perfect, but it is also better than the one-house budget resolution that we passed a couple of weeks ago. It does provide, as was noted, \$1.1 billion for school aid, about \$300 million more than what was in the Senate resolution and \$500 million more than what was initially proposed in the Executive Budget.

While I'm very pleased to see the education aid increase, I have conferred with school superintendents in my district who believe that for some schools the increase will not forestall layoffs and educational programming cuts. And the pre-K funding is not fair and equitable in our state.

I just want to share some of the comments I've received from my school districts. One, a small city school district, would receive an additional \$1.1 million in aid, but the gap with their levy limit is still over \$2.9 million this year. "Teachers will be cut, programs will be sacrificed and our students will suffer. We have done the hard work. We've closed schools, consolidated resources, eliminated positions and become more efficient. This is not helping us deal with educating our kids."

From an average-needs school district, they expect that they'll be laying off about 10 positions, or 7 percent of their staff. The increase does not cover their health insurance increases.

And lastly, a rural school district is reducing their two-day pre-K/kindergarten program to a one-day kindergarten program and laying off a couple of teachers.

And I found what they said profound, and something that a lot of schools are dealing with: "Our fund balance and reserve accounts are depleting rapidly. At the current rate, we will deplete these accounts in two to three years. We are essentially balancing our budget on reserves and programming cuts, and this is a trend we cannot continue."

And I would just urge my colleagues, as we finish this budget, that we look to the future and make sure that we're working with our school districts throughout the state to make sure that they're adequately being funded without overburdening local property owners, who we can't do that without making sure that they have the state aid support that they need.

```
Thank you, Mr. President.
 1
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 3
     Peralta.
 4
                  SENATOR PERALTA:
                                     Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President. On the bill.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     Peralta on the bill.
 8
                  SENATOR PERALTA:
                                     I know most of
 9
     you are probably expecting fire and brimstone
10
     from me right now. After all, here we are, yet
11
     another year, another education budget bill,
12
     another missed opportunity to pass the DREAM Act.
13
                  And don't get me wrong. I'm very
14
     angry and I'm still very disappointed, because I
15
     believe that all New York students who meet state
     residency and income requirements should be able
16
     to access state higher education financial aid
17
18
     regardless of immigration status. Because I
19
     believe that the DREAM Act is a logical extension
20
     of the laws already in place for universal
21
     primary and secondary education and in-state
22
     college tuition for undocumented students, I
23
     believe that the DREAM Act belongs in the final
24
     enacted budget.
25
                  But I also know that, like educating
```

a child, the process of guiding a policy as important as the DREAM Act from an idea to a bill to an enacted law takes time, patience, and a lot of hard work.

I recognize that the bill we vote on here today is far from perfect. I am deeply concerned about the potential erosion of mayoral control, and I believe the failure to include the DREAM Act was a complete mistake. But this bill also represents the enormous strides progressive ideals and long-cherished priorities have made in just the last few months.

Primary among those progressive ideals is equality of opportunity and ensuring that every child, every child has the same opportunity to learn and grow and get ahead. And that starts with the most important building block of all, a great education for every New York child from preschool through college.

While universal pre-K has been policy in New York since 1997, it has never been adequately funded. Today we take an important step toward changing that and making pre-K in New York truly universal. But for me, as important as anything else in this budget is

something that has largely gone unnoticed:

language in the Smart Schools Bond Act that will

help get kids out of trailers and into real

classrooms.

For decades -- not years, decades -the children of hardworking immigrant families in
the Corona and Elmhurst neighborhoods that I
represent have had to try to learn in deplorable
facilities no one would expect to find in the
wealthiest city in the world. Forget
state-of-the-art technology; the dilapidated
classroom units many of our kids are stuck in do
not even have bathrooms. Many of those so-called
temporary classrooms have been used for more than
15 years.

If all children, no matter where they live or how much money their parents have, are to get a genuine chance to succeed in school, we need to provide them with real classrooms in which to learn. The bottom line here, folks, is until there's a seat for every child, it's a game of musical chairs. No matter what you do or how you zone or rezone, someone is left standing.

I have been saying for years that there has to be a state of emergency declared to

finally end three decades of school overcrowding and conditions you would expect to see in undeveloped countries, not the greatest city in the world. Doing away with the worst of these temporary classrooms is a long overdue first step, a very long overdue first step.

So for all the low-income working families in my district who couldn't afford to send their kids to pre-K, so for all the kids whose growth is stunted by overcrowded schools and makeshift classrooms, so for everyone who has fought to give our kids a leg up early in life so they don't fall behind later, today is a step forward. It is progress. Today we have achieved hope that tomorrow will be better.

But beyond making UPK a reality, beyond taking a step toward ending school overcrowding, this bill does another thing that is just as important. It brings us one step closer to writing the DREAM Act into the books of law. With this bill we ensure that every 4-year-old will get quality public pre-K education regardless of immigration status. We take a critical step toward ensuring every elementary, middle and high school student will

be taught in a real classroom regardless of immigration status.

While two weeks ago my colleagues across the aisle decided en masse that a group of hardworking teens and 20-somethings should be punished for the acts of their parents, every single yea vote today is a victory for the families of those same hardworking young people. You see, you may have deferred the American dream for kids 17 and up, but you're opening the door for their little brothers and sisters to seize on the dream.

The DREAM Act is a continuation of the work we do today, as it is a continuation of the bill this body passed with bipartisan support in 2002 that gave undocumented students access to in-state tuition, which afforded those students an opportunity to attend college. Now it's up to us to step up to the plate and give them an opportunity to finish college so they can become productive members of society.

Two weeks ago we fell two votes short of passing the DREAM Act into law. Today we take a step closer. With the exception of two mention of this chamber who were absent two weeks

```
1
     ago, every currently elected New York State
 2
     legislator is on the record. We have the vocal
 3
     support of the Governor, we have the vocal
 4
     support of the Comptroller, the Attorney General,
 5
     and two of three legislative majority leaders.
                  I look forward to passing this
 6
 7
     budget bill today and then getting back to work
 8
     on making the DREAM Act a reality. We have
     nearly three months left to make the New York
 9
10
     State DREAM Act the law in New York.
                                           This has
     been a difficult and deeply disappointing month
11
12
     for all New York Dreamers, but today we take
     another step forward. Tomorrow we begin the
13
     final push.
14
15
                  Mr. President, I vote yea.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
16
                                             Senator
     Espaillat.
17
18
                  SENATOR ESPAILLAT:
                                       Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
19
20
                  This is a bill that proposes to have
21
     an increase in the Foundation Formula of
     $250 million. In addition to that, there is
22
     $720 million in the appropriation bill. And
23
     there's language on this part of the bill for
24
25
     after-school programs which the City of New York
```

will be able to access for their schools.

Moreover, I want to congratulate both houses, Mayor Bill de Blasio, and the Governor for being able to get \$300 million, a commitment of five years, and for the next two years \$300 million for universal pre-K. All data shows that children that attend these classes have an advantage, a clear advantage. In fact, the Head Start program, which is the only surviving program from the '60s for the federal government, says exactly that, they have a head start.

And so they will have -- it manifests itself in their vocabulary, in their analytical reasoning, in their ability to do well academically. So this is a major, major accomplishment for the City of New York to have this \$300 million for five years. I think we will be able to go back to it five years from now and see the importance of this budget appropriation.

However, Mr. President, some of those young children that will benefit from the universal pre-K money or from the after-school programs or from the increase in the Foundation

Aid are undocumented. And they may very well be undocumented when they finish high school. And then they will not be able to access higher education because we do not have a DREAM Act.

A \$25 million investment -- now, let me return to the numbers. A \$250 million increase in the Foundation Formula, \$720 million for after-school programs statewide, and \$300 million per year for five years for universal pre-K. And yet we didn't have the political will in this body to come up with \$25 million for Dreamers, young men and women many of whom attended kindergarten through the eighth grade, high school, graduated from a New York State high school or got their general equivalency diploma, and now their backs are up against the wall. They can no longer access higher education, they can't afford it, and we're shutting the doors down on them.

This is a travesty, Mr. President.

We expected much more from this body. I will be voting yes on this bill because of the commitment to education, but we must never forget that we're leaving behind 8,000 Dreamers that should also have an opportunity.

```
1
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 3
     Hoylman.
 4
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN:
                                      Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President. On the bill.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     Hoylman on the bill.
 8
                  SENATOR HOYLMAN:
                                      Echoing my
 9
     colleagues, I wanted to congratulate the chamber,
10
     my friends on the other side of the aisle, and my
     colleagues in the conference on the successful
11
     initiative for pre-K, and of course Governor
12
     Cuomo and Mayor de Blasio.
13
                  I am concerned about aspects of the
14
15
     charter school management that are in the bill.
16
     In particular, Mr. President, this bill
     institutionalizes collocations, and the impact in
17
18
     my district is very concerning.
19
                  The process that's been put forward
20
     in terms of the city having to accommodate
21
     collocations or it going to arbitration or
22
     private rental space being found elsewhere was
     done without any consultation, I'd like to note,
23
     with teachers or principals or students.
24
25
                  And more importantly is that
```

New York City doesn't have the space for these collocations. The New York City Department of Education's own statistics show that elementary schools are at 96.8 percent utilization and high schools are at 94.8 percent utilization. So I don't know where these charter schools are going to go except in private locations.

And if you do collocate a charter school, Mr. President, what happens, unfortunately, is that you often end up losing a music room or an arts room, and that's to the detriment of the kids who are there, whether they be in the charters or in the traditional public school. And class sizes increase, too. So I think we should be mindful of these impacts.

We should also, though, be concerned about the issue of mayoral control, an issue that's going to be coming up for reauthorization here in a couple of years. I mean, this is basically mayoral lack of control, if you ask me.

We passed this -- this chamber

passed it in 2002, and I believe in 2009. But

now we're creating a dynamic, Mr. President,

where again we're institutionalizing

divisiveness, where charters will have an

```
1
     incentive to litigate, to arbitrate against
 2
     traditional public schools. And I think this
     runs counter to the theory of charter schools.
 3
 4
                  And I am a strong supporter of them.
 5
     Charters are meant to complement, not compete.
     Charters and the charter school advocates will
 6
 7
     tell you they are public schools, they're not
 8
     private schools.
 9
                  The Department of Education, the
10
     mayor of the City of New York should have control
     over where these schools are located. He or she
11
12
     should be working with the charter schools to
     make that determination. There should not be the
13
     divisiveness, there should not be the
14
15
     institutionalized antagonism. And I'm sorry to
     say that I think this bill will result in that,
16
     and therefore I'll be voting no.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
19
     Díaz.
                  SENATOR DÍAZ: Thank you,
20
21
     Mr. President.
                  Today is one of those days when I
22
     feel happy, one of those days when I raise my
23
     hand to heaven and say "Praise the Lord." Today
24
25
     is one of those days, and I'll tell you why.
```

As you know, ladies and gentlemen, for the past three years I always stood up here and I blast everyone, all my colleagues. And I was the only Democratic Senator that voted against the whole bill because of the DREAM Act. For the past three years. And I asked all my colleagues, join me, vote against the budget because of the DREAM Act. They all believed all the promises that were given to them, with cocoa butter and whatever, and they never follow me. I was the only one.

But today, today everything changes. Today I'm proudly voting yes. And I'll tell you why I'm proudly voting yes. Because the Governor of the State of New York, the Honorable Andrew Cuomo, and the leaders of this chamber, the Honorable Dean Skelos and Honorable Jeff Klein, has done an excellent job in protecting every single student in public school, every one.

Senator Hoylman just said charter schools are public school. And they are protecting every single student, especially, especially the black and Hispanic children in my district. And that's why I'm so happy today.

I'm happy, Mr. President, because

Mayor Bill de Blasio will be able to get the 1 2 money he wanted for UPK. I'm happy because parents of children in New York City will see and 3 4 have the opportunity to give an early childhood 5 education to their loved ones because our Governor -- I'm praising the Governor today. I'm 6 7 praising the Governor today. After three years, I'm praising the Governor today. And I'm 8 9 praising Jeff Klein and I'm praising Dean Skelos. 10 And I want to repeat that as many times as I could today. Because the Governor and 11 12 the leaders of this chamber found money, found money to establish UPK without increasing taxes. 13 14 I'm happy, Mr. President, because 15 black and Hispanic children in charter schools in New York City no longer have to be afraid of 16 losing their godsend opportunity. Charter 17 18 schools are a godsend blessing to black and 19 Hispanic children in my district and in poor 20 districts. 21 We keep fighting every year, 22 fighting, fighting, fighting to protect children, to protect the minorities. And when the minority 23 got a good opportunity, we want to deny those 24 25 opportunity. That's pure hypocrisy. Oh,

everybody come here, everybody come and make 1 2 their business fighting this case, fighting this case for the poor black and Hispanic children. 3 4 Everybody come. And everybody come here asking 5 for money because black and Hispanic children have been left behind. And now when we have an 6 7 opportunity to help black and Hispanic children, 8 now they want to take that away. 9 You should know, you should know 10 that I was one of the initial proponents of charter schools. Reverend Floyd Flake from 11 12 Queens, Reverend Wyatt Tee Walker from Manhattan, and myself from the Bronx, the three of us 13 together we fought for charter schools. And we 14 15 established the first charter school in Harlem, Canaan, Canaan Charter School. 16

And I was one of the only two

Democratic Senators, one of the only two

Democratic Senators that voted to increase the

number of charter schools from 200 to 460. Only

two Senators, Democratic, voted for that,

Senator Craig Johnson and myself. The rest of

the Democratic Senators voted against to increase

charter schools.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I kept saying, Mr. President and

```
ladies and gentlemen, charter schools are mainly,
 1
     mainly, not totally but mainly are located in
 2
     minority neighborhoods, in black and Hispanic
 3
 4
     neighborhoods. Ninety percent, 90 percent of
 5
     those students are black and Hispanic. Charter
     schools is a blessing. And you can see there are
 6
 7
     50,000 waiting children and their mothers and
     fathers waiting to see their kids, their children
 8
 9
     goes in.
10
                  Somebody might say, somebody might
     say -- and I heard that before -- Oh, but charter
11
12
     schools is only for the lucky ones because it's
13
     by lottery. It's by lottery, so not everyone
14
     have the opportunity.
15
                  Well, hello, what about housing?
                                                     Ι
     created -- ladies and gentlemen, I created
16
     Reverend Díaz Plaza. I created Reverend Díaz
17
18
     Plaza, a building with 50 units for 50 families,
     low-income. I got, we got 3,000 applications for
19
20
     50 apartments. We got 3,000 applicants.
                  The line was all the way around the
21
     block for Reverend Díaz Plaza. Fifty, only
22
     50 apartments, and we got 3,000 applications.
23
     And then I created Reverend Díaz Apartments for
24
25
     97 apartments, and I got close to 4,000
```

applications.

So what would I say -- Oh, because everyone cannot get an apartment, we should not do it, and we should stop creating low-income houses for the community because this is a lottery and because not everyone will be able to get an apartment, we should stop?

No, on the contrary, we should continue fighting, we should continue creating, we should continue building low-income family housing. Even though, even though, ladies and gentlemen, not everyone will be able to benefit.

So that's the case here with charter schools. It's a lottery. Not everyone will be able to benefit. But, ladies and gentlemen, let's save, let's save whatever we can. Stop this nonsense of trying to kill black and Hispanic children especially, forget about the rest of you, in my district.

I got 21 charter schools in my district. I want more. Give me all those charter schools in my district. Let all of the black and Hispanic children in my district benefit from this. They all children from God, black and white, brown and black and yellow.

```
1
     They're all children of God.
 2
                  Ladies and gentlemen, that's why I'm
 3
     happy today. And I praise the Governor.
 4
     Governor, listen to me, I'm praising you today.
 5
     And I'm praising Jeff Klein. Jeff Klein, Jeff,
     I'm praising you today. Thank you very much.
 6
 7
     And you, Senator Skelos, I'm praising you today,
 8
     Senator Skelos.
                      Thank you.
 9
                  Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
10
     And, Mr. President, let me see if I have
     something more to say here. You should know --
11
12
     what you should know. And today, Mr. President,
     you should know that I'm voting yes and that I am
13
     Senator Reverend Díaz, and this is what you
14
15
     should know. Thank you very much.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Sanders.
17
18
                  SENATOR SANDERS:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  There are many good things that we
     can praise about this bill, and indeed I will end
21
22
     up agreeing with it and voting for it. But I
     would be remiss if I did not speak about the
23
     forced accommodation of charter schools this is
24
25
     going to impose on New York City.
```

Now, I am not against charter schools. Indeed, I am a proponent that we have to have experimentation. You have to see what will work, and we should build on what works and move from there.

And the evidence says that some charter schools work and this is good, we should go that way. It also says some do not, and we should be aware of that.

I am saying that there's a couple of points that have been -- should be raised on this issue. Of course I'll start with why only New York City is forced to accommodate charter schools. If we think that this is a correct thing, then it should be made for the entire state. I believe that we are State Senators and not New York City Senators all.

This, my friends, is an unfunded mandate. We are going against the thing that we say that we never do or should not do. We are saying that New York City has to accommodate or pay the rent of a charter school or any other type of school. My friends, if you're not coming up with a hundred percent of the rent, then it's an unfunded mandate or a mandate that is not

adequately funded. 1 2 This abuse of power, I would argue, 3 will cause budgetary problems for the Department of Education in New York City. 4 5 I end where I began, and I encourage everyone that if we really think this is a worthy 6 thing, then let's pass it for the entire state. 7 Let's see if we can get every school district in 8 the state to accept the same guidelines. Let's 9 10 see how far that one will go. 11 Thank you very much. 12 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Stavisky. 13 14 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you, 15 Mr. President. 16 While Senator Flanagan is returning to answer my question, let me just perhaps 17 18 explain what I meant when I referred to bullet aid earlier. 19 20 I am not a proponent of bullet aid 21 in K through 12, but I have never seen bullet aid used in the higher education budget for SUNY and 22 CUNY capital construction. And that's the point 23 I was making, that I think we need clarity and 24 25 transparency, not backroom deals, so to speak, in

terms of aid to the colleges and universities. 1 2 If the Senator would yield for 3 really just two areas, real quick. 4 SENATOR FLANAGAN: Yes. 5 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The Senator yields. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay. Under the Higher Education Services Corporation budget, 8 that's Part G, on the \$8 million appropriation 9 10 for the STEM subjects -- and Senator LaValle has 11 held hearings on how to encourage people to major 12 in the STEM subjects, and it's an important issue, I think, for everybody. 13 14 But how do you account for the 15 disparity in the level of high school achievement that you find throughout the state? STEM is for 16 the top 10 percent, but the top 10 percent, there 17 18 may be a wide variation in ability. That's my question: How do you account for the wide 19 20 variation in high school programs throughout the 21 state? SENATOR FLANAGAN: 22 Senator Stavisky, I'll be clear, I'm happy to answer your 23 24 question. I'm going to give you my own opinion. 25 I want to be clear I'm not speaking for anybody

else.

I think it's a far-reaching question. It could probably entail a long series of debates and hearings on that subject alone.

And I know, for example, Senator DeFrancisco has constantly, in budget hearings and in the course of budget debates, has spoken to issues involving remediation, programs that go on through SUNY and CUNY.

But in relation to variation, I
think it's a combination of things. One is
educational leadership. Two is local control.
School boards run school districts; they are the
management team at the local level. They
jealously guard that local control. You may have
a school -- one of the schools in my area,
Three Village, is renowned for its science
program. That's a decision that they made, that
they continue to make at the local level.

So educational leadership, local control vis-a-vis school boards. And there are a lot of demographic factors. Poverty is certainly an overarching problem in every corner of the State of New York. It's not isolated to any one given area.

```
1
                  So it's not any one thing in
 2
     isolation. I think there are a number of things
     in totality that have an effect not only in
 3
 4
     education, but in society in general.
 5
     certainly in education those are very relevant
     factors.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: If the Senator
 8
     will continue to yield.
 9
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Yes.
10
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: My question
     really is targeted at the high schools and the
11
12
     disparity in achievement levels from one high
     school to the other throughout the state. And to
13
14
     give the top 10 percent may be unfair to other
15
     students in other parts of the state.
16
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Senator
     Stavisky, could you just repeat the last part? I
17
18
     want to make sure I'm answering properly.
19
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      There is a
     disparity in the achievement level between
20
21
     students -- since the STEM scholarships are
22
     provided for the top 10 percent in each school,
     how do you provide for the disparity between
23
     schools?
24
25
                  In other words, a student in
```

School A -- it may be a very competitive school. 1 2 Let me give you an example. I happen to be a 3 graduate of the Bronx High School of Science. 4 And to award the top 10 percent, I would never 5 have received it. You know, those folks were -but those students are competing against students 6 7 in other parts of the state where the students may not have received the kind of background and 8 training that those other students received and 9 10 therefore may not be in the top 10 percent. SENATOR FLANAGAN: If I follow some 11 12 of the logic of what you're advocating, it sounds to me as if you want to say that there should be 13 14 a distinction based on the qualitative nature of 15 the program. This proposal makes sure that 10 percent of each school, that they are 16 17 eligible. 18 It sounds like -- correct me if I'm wrong, but it sounds like you only want to be 19 20 dealing with the top-flight schools. Because that -- the proposal is equitable in the sense 21 that everyone is eligible, and it's the top 22 10 percent in every school. We don't go and say 23 that the Bronx High School of Science or 24 25 Harborfields High School, where I happened to

```
graduate from, that we're now going to measure a
 1
 2
     qualitative difference and say, well, you know,
 3
     Bronx is going to get more because it's a more
 4
     rigorous program. I certainly would have no
 5
     interest in doing that.
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      That's not what
 6
 7
     I'm suggesting at all. What I'm suggesting is
     perhaps there may be other standards that can be
 8
     used in addition to the top 10 percent.
 9
10
                  I'm going to vote for the bill, but
11
     I'm just throwing that out as a possibility.
12
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                       I am listening
13
     intently.
14
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       And in fact,
15
     there was a report in one of the newspapers where
     77 or something percent of the CUNY students at
16
     the community colleges required remediation.
17
18
     That, to me, is indicative of the problem.
19
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
                                      Yes.
                                             And I
     would respectfully offer that Senator LaValle has
20
     been extraordinarily outspoken in trying to
21
     address that, not only financially but
22
     policy-wise, along with Senator DeFrancisco.
23
                  There's no shortage of opinions in
24
25
     these areas. And I believe that all of our
```

```
1
     colleagues share the same concerns. Because, you
 2
     know, if you get through a high school and you're
 3
     not college and career ready, as the saying goes,
 4
     that's not really advantageous to that individual
 5
     nor to the community or the society as a whole.
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: And we shouldn't
 6
 7
     be spending money on remediation when the tax
     dollars are needed at the high school level, in
 8
     my opinion. Totally -- and I do appreciate the
 9
10
     role that Senator LaValle has played in the whole
     issue of remediation, and hopefully we will
11
12
     continue to do that in the days ahead.
                  Second area, and that is the TAP
13
14
     increase also in the Higher Education Services
15
     Corporation budget. You're increasing TAP by
     $150. If the Senator would yield.
16
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
17
                                      Yes.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     Senator yields.
19
20
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      Approximately
     how much has the tuition cost gone up in the past
21
22
     year?
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN: Approximately
23
     $300. And the increase is 165, it's not 150.
24
25
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      I'm sorry, 165.
```

But my point, if the Senator would yield for a question.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The Senator yields.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Would you agree that the cost of tuition is rising faster than the TAP reimbursement and therefore placing an unfair burden on the students as they accept more and more of the cost of higher education at SUNY and CUNY?

SENATOR FLANAGAN: I'm going to say a couple of things. First of all, this is one of the great aspects of this process. Senator

LaValle, who I'm in a neighboring district, we work together on a lot of different issues, he and a number of the members of our conference — and I would say he in particular has been the most outspoken about tuition assistance. He has been passionate in terms of advocacy for middle-class families. And obviously that is where TAP is directed.

I love TAP. I'm sure there's not a member in this Senate here who wouldn't embrace the idea of TAP. And I would agree with you it is about making sure there is no disparity.

```
In my priorities, certainly TAP is
 1
 2
     extraordinarily important. And yet I know
     for like my own family, I wouldn't be eligible.
 3
 4
     That's fine. But there are a lot of people,
 5
     there are tens of thousands of people who can and
     should be eligible. And I believe that we have
 6
 7
     been very fair and very aggressive at the same
 8
     time in terms of our advocacy in relation to TAP.
 9
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       I happen to
10
     agree.
             I think this is a marvelous start, and I
11
     am delighted with the increase in TAP.
                                              And I
12
     thank you for your responses.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
13
                                              Thank
14
     you.
15
                  Senator LaValle.
                  SENATOR LaVALLE: Thank you,
16
     Mr. President.
17
18
                  Senator Flanagan, thank you for
     remarks that you made, and compliments.
19
                                               Ι
20
     appreciate that very much.
                  I just wanted to try and bridge a
21
     gap here with some comments, discussion that been
22
23
     made.
                  There is no bullet aid for higher
24
25
     education. There has been pots of money, and
```

those pots, both at SUNY and CUNY, have been largely delineated in terms of need by the members and by the central administration in both SUNY and CUNY as to what their needs are.

There's always been a robust discussion by the presidents, who have pointed out that they had certain needs, capital needs.

This year the Governor put money for both SUNY and CUNY for critical needs. And because of the debt cap that we have, I think we have had a robust discussion on the needs, that we have capital needs outside of the economic development needs that the Governor has addressed both in this budget and previous budgets.

And so we're beginning our way back toward -- and I think we see some light at the end of the tunnel in terms of the debt ceiling.

And so this is the beginning of moving in steps towards meeting the capital needs.

And so what happened is that we took some of the money from critical maintenance and we put it into these pots to allow for other needs. But those decisions, as they have always been made, will be made by members in the area with their university, whether it be CUNY or

SUNY. And as we move forward, we will be meeting those needs.

For instance, I sent out to the campuses a letter asking what are your needs. So that this is not being made in the abstract, it's being made with the input of the campus presidents as to what their priorities are.

In regard to the tuition issue, I think both houses were critically focused on college affordability, people of all levels. And so if there were more money at the table, that area would have been even more robust than it was.

Tuition has gone up \$300. We placed \$165 in this budget. But each campus, each campus has adopted its own tuition credit program. And that was when we did SUNY 2020, it was critically important to the Legislature that while we were increasing tuition we were also ensuring that those who had the greatest need were being taken care of.

And so this budget will be very helpful to the campuses because it will take some of the pressure off that heretofore had been with the tuition credits.

Lastly, the whole idea of STEM programs. Well, our young people have gotten a message in the last two years where 50 percent of graduates have been unemployed. And so starting at the high school level, students are beginning to focus their attention on STEM programs. In Suffolk County we actually in the fall of 2014 will be opening a regional STEM high school. We have districts partnering with one another, sharing resources to provide STEM programs.

And so I think you will see that greater focus in each and every high school on providing better programs, more programs, so that students can be competitive in some of these programs that hopefully will lead to jobs.

I also say that we can't forget that we need philosophers and social workers and political scientists. But right now there is a great interest and young people are saying this is where the jobs are. And as I go around and talk to students at both the high school level and the college level, they talk about wanting to enter into some sort of STEM program when they go to college.

As you know, Senator Stavisky, this

was one of the Governor's programs that he talked 1 about both in his State of the State address and 2 was very dogged in negotiations that this be 3 4 included in the budget. 5 It's a first step. I'm very disappointed that we didn't have the resources to 6 7 include the independent colleges in this program. But it's a first step, and we will flesh it out 8 as we move forward. And we will also make sure 9 10 that at the high school level that the programs are there for the students so that every student 11 12 at every high school across the state will have a 13 fair opportunity to get a STEM scholarship. 14 So to Senator Flanagan, he has done 15 a remarkable job on the elementary and secondary. He deserves -- I think for most of us he stands 16 10 foot tall in the job that he did in elementary 17 18 and secondary. 19 I will be voting in the affirmative. 20 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 21 Latimer. 22 SENATOR LATIMER: Thank you, Mr. President. 23 It could be fairly argued that for 24 25 the first 90 days of this legislative -- I'm

sorry, on the bill, Mr. President. 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: On the 3 bill. 4 SENATOR LATIMER: It could be 5 argued that education issues have been front and center more than any other public policy area 6 7 over the course of these 90 days of our legislative session. And certainly the budget 8 that we have before us touches on so many 9 10 different public policy areas. We've heard, in the hour that we've started to discuss the bill, 11 discussions of UPK, of charter schools, the 12 combination of Foundation Aid and GEA adjustment. 13 I rise to address what this budget 14 15 talks about regarding the Common Core. And I've been a critic, pretty clearly over the course of 16 17 this year, of the path that the Board of Regents 18 and the State Education Department has taken on 19 Common Core. 20 And I think when we talk about 21 Common Core, we're really talking about a combination of things that include, from the 22 past, No Child Left Behind, Race to the Top, the 23 APPR program, all of which has put before us a 24 25 major change in the way we intend to educate our

kids in this state. It's something that can't be underestimated. It didn't end with the battle over who's going to be on the Board of Regents, it doesn't end with this particular budget.

But Senator Flanagan said something I thought was very prescient when we had Commissioner King into the Senate Education Committee meeting 60 days ago. He said, in essence -- I summarize what he said -- is that there needs to be change and action on the part of the Board of Regents and the Commissioner, or we, the Legislature and the Executive, will act.

What we have in this budget is part of that action. Because those of us who have been critical of this -- not because we hate the process, but because we care deeply about the way education is delivered in our own communities and for our children -- this is an example of us taking action in an important area.

And let me be clear. I'm a critic of what I've seen in Common Core because I believe, as I think most people in this room do believe, that there should be local control over schools. Because I think parents -- and I'll use the three communities I used in my earlier

comment. Whether you're in Brooklyn or Rye Brook or Ray Brook, in three parts of the state, parents want to have influence over the way their children are educated. They want to be able to go in and impact with a teacher, with an administrator, what they like and don't like about the way their kids are educated.

Because when it's all over with, a year of taxation, when we look cosmically about what we have left at the end of days, it is our children and their lives. And we care about that whatever our political party is, whatever our perceived ideology is, whatever the color of our skin is, wherever we may live in this state.

Rubén Díaz talked very passionately about the people in his district and the things that he's done for them. I don't have a George Latimer Plaza anyplace, probably never will, but I care just as passionately about the kids in my district, and I believe that every one of us has that sense.

In this budget there are some things that I think put the right balance on where we are heading in Common Core. Number one, and most importantly on this, I think this budget

articulates the concern that we have over data privacy and data collection. We want proper data that helps teachers teach. We do not want something intrusive that goes into people's lives, tracks them in ways that are inappropriate.

And so this budget I'm very happy to see creates a Parents' Bill of Rights for data privacy and security. It establishes guidelines for data collection, transparency with restrictions. There's a chief privacy officer. All of this addresses very directly one of the major criticisms when I first saw what the rollout of Common Core would be -- 400 data points, loaded up in the Cloud and accessed by who, and to track what Johnny did in third grade well into his adult life. All those concerns, I think this is the right step that we're taking.

I think it's correct for us to look at this and say we do not want to have the high-stakes testing in grades 3 through 8 reflect on the transcripts of students' performance records. And that's particularly important because we have said that they have not yet had the opportunity to be fully taught and go into

the pedagogy of what Common Core is. And to brand a child early in his career over this type of a sea change is inappropriate.

But I would also say -- this is at the heart of Senator Parker's amendment -- that it is also illogical and unfair for us to argue that the teachers can be judged by tests that we are not judging the children on. If our task is to change the way we delivery education and what we want is an intelligent way to make that sea change, then I don't think we want to track things, I think we want to properly implement them.

Senator LaValle first used the comment, at that Senate Education meeting, "We ought to hit the stop button." I repeated him, but I happened to slam the table, so I got the press, Ken, instead of you. You deserved it, it was your original thought. But I agreed with that.

And the bottom line is if it doesn't make sense for us to track the children until we're fully implemented, I don't think it makes sense to track the teachers.

I know we've got APPR and No Child

Left Behind. But you know something? Either we as intelligent people drive public policy or we use excuses for why we can't change public policy. And in this particular case, if we're going to redirect the way we teach kids from soup to nuts in all parts of this state, then I think we owe it to those children to have an intelligent, consistent rollout of what it is we're going to do. We're starting in the right direction on some of these things.

I will summarize, because the hour is getting late and we have other bills to deal with.

This is, in terms of Common Core, a first step in the right direction. However, what I hope, as has been expressed in other forums, is that the Commissioner and the Board of Regents understands that this legislative body and the other house and the Governor do not normally like to legislate in the area of legislation; this is not what we prefer to do for education. But we are doing it today in this budget because something is deficient and it needs to be corrected. And I hope that that message comes through loud and clear and we will see a

```
1
     more responsive Board of Regents and a more
 2
     responsive Department of Education on these
 3
     issues.
                  With that, I will cede the
 4
 5
     microphone, and I intend to vote yes on this
     bill.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 8
     Montgomery.
 9
                  SENATOR MONTGOMERY:
                                        Thank you,
10
     Mr. President. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise
     to speak on the bill.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Montgomery on the bill.
13
                  SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, thank
14
15
     you. I want to just comment on a couple of areas
16
     that are of concern to me.
17
                  But before I do that, I want to just
18
     compliment the Governor on the fact that he has
19
     instituted for the state and the city to be
20
     authorized to audit the charter schools, the same
21
     as they are authorized to audit any school in the
     State of New York. So I appreciate that.
22
23
                  I am, however -- I have a great deal
     of concern for the way that this budget in fact
24
25
     encroaches on the authority of the Board of
```

Regents. I note, for example, there is a particular area of the budget, on page 62 of this budget, it says that the chief privacy officer — the Commissioner shall appoint a chief privacy officer. When did we ever encroach upon the ability of the Commissioner to appoint his staff? That seems to be very, very far-reaching into the authority of the Commissioner.

And there are a number of other areas that are specifically, it seems to me, under the purview, should be under the purview of the Board of Regents but we are now legislating it.

So certainly I agree that we, generally speaking, do not do education policy in this way. And I hope that we're not going to -- this will not be a precedent being set here where it's in fact the Governor that legislates and the Legislature that legislates education policy to the point where we direct the Commissioner who to appoint on their staff. So that's one area.

The other area that I also am concerned about is the fact that this budget also legislates policy for the City Department of Education. So it's no longer even the mayoral

control, this is gubernatorial control that we 1 2 have established. And the legislation specifically says -- and this is only for 3 4 New York City. So every other part of the state, 5 I'm assuming, will maintain, as Senator Latimer says, their local control, but New York City 6 7 loses its local control in this legislation. For instance, in Part BB on page 71 8 in this bill it talks about the city school 9 10 district in a city having a population of 1 million or more. That's us, New York City 11 12 only. And it says that we must, the New York City Department of Education must allow any 13 charter school that wishes to collocate with an 14 15 existing public school in that building, they must be allowed to do that. 16 And furthermore, if there's not 17 18 space, they must be required to find space for 19 that charter school at no cost to the charter 20 school. And if the charter school is not happy, the charter school can take the Department of 21 Education into arbitration. So that, to me, is 22 specifically a policy that reaches way into 23 New York City's local control of their schools. 24 25 The other issue that I have great

concerns about is the fact that these charter schools -- I do not see anywhere in this budget, while you're reaching in to force the charter school issue as it relates to New York City's Department of Education, there is no resources applied to forcing an integration of charter schools as a model -- and any other models -- into the public school.

Everybody is saying that charter schools are public schools. Well, that's for sure. And we want the best models to come forth and to be integrated into schools broadly. I don't see any indication that budget enforces that process.

So while we are being forced to accept charter schools relocation, we are not being helped, nor are the charter schools being helped, to make sure that whatever it is that is so great about them becomes integrated into the larger public school system.

So in my mind, what we are doing in this budget is we are supporting the development of two separate systems. One, the charter system, and the other the so-called public charter system and the other public school

system.

So we go backwards from Brown. We go backwards from the time that it was required that we have a single standard for all children

So I'm very concerned, I'm very unhappy with this direction. It is not local control. It is establishing a central control located here in Albany, and especially the control of New York City.

that we fund through a public education system.

So, Mr. President, I'm not going to be supporting this education bill. And certainly I know that many of the constituents that I represent have come to me -- especially parents -- in tears, because we have set up a civil war among parents specifically around collocation. And that is very unfortunate, because this bill ensconces collocation as a procedure, a process, that the charter schools in that city will be able -- or the Department of Education will be forced to comply with and to accommodate this system that has created so much unhappiness and chaos and unfairness among children in the City of New York.

So, Mr. President, I will be voting

no on the education bill. 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 3 Krueger. 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you, 5 Mr. President. So, So many people have spoken, and 6 7 I appreciate what they said, so I am not going to 8 spend a lot of time going back over territory that has been raised. But yes, there are many 9 10 improvements for education and related activities 11 in this bill. Not far enough, but better than 12 when we started. And I would like to highlight that I appreciate that we are making some 13 progress on our commitments to education and 14 15 higher education. 16 But I would also remind us as we do so that we were so far behind the ball of what we 17 18 had already committed, we are still not where we 19 expected to be in 2014-2015. But I do appreciate 20 many important issues have been covered at least 21 financially. I share my colleagues' concerns both 22 23 about Common Core and what more needs to be done there and what needs to be clarified in respect 24 25 for our teachers and our students and our school

districts.

I share the concerns of my colleagues particularly from New York City vis-a-vis the yet to be completely understood language regarding charter schools. It actually is disturbing to me that on the day we are passing a budget bill relating to language going forward with collocations and rent obligations, no one can actually explain exactly how this will work, neither the Governor's people nor the City of New York nor anyone who I have chatted with who has actually read and reread the budget language when it comes to how the disputes will be resolved, what will be the future obligations of the City of New York vis-a-vis perhaps additional charters.

I think every schoolchild deserves the best education in a quality location. But speaking for New York City, our schools are already at 95 percent capacity and the schools in my district are actually at -- you can see the numbers in the blue books -- 115, 125 percent of capacity. So when you talk about wanting to add additional schools with collocation, without the ability of the City of New York to say this isn't

going to work -- does it worry me? It worries me. And the fact that the language here in the budget bills don't really give us a clear-cut explanation of how we're going to deal with it, that creates a real concern for me.

I wanted to highlight something that
I believe was part of my colleague Senator
Velmanette Montgomery's concerns, the concept
that in this bill we are taking language
supposedly to address the parental privacy
concerns vis-a-vis giving private student data to
shared learning infrastructure service providers,
but we're not really explaining what the rules
would be and how parents could opt out or how
school districts could opt out.

And in fact there are bills much stronger than the language in this budget bill. There's a bill by my colleague Joe Robach and Assemblymember Danny O'Donnell that would go much further to explicitly explain what kind of student data could be shared with outside vendors and what kind of information could not. And the language in here is very gray area at best.

It also creates, as my colleague pointed out, some kind of privacy officer to be

1 appointed. But when I look up the information 2 about who came up with the idea of privacy officers to oversee private data of students 3 4 being distributed to middlemen or for-profit 5 vendors, I learned that that proposal comes from the organization ALEC, and I tend to have a very 6 7 knee-jerk negative reaction to proposals recommended by the organization ALEC because 8 every time I read one of their proposals, I know 9 10 I'm supposed to run the other direction. And yet that language is within this 11 bill. And it also leaves me with real concern 12 about not having thought through the details 13 14 enough. 15 So personally I believe I need to 16 vote for this bill because the goods outweigh the bads. But I would urge my colleagues to 17 18 immediately after the budget revisit the question 19 of student privacy and distribution of their 20 information to organizations like inBloom and other companies. And we can still fix this and 21 22 get it right by bringing a separate bill to the 23 floor. 24 And again, there are several in both 25 houses that would address these concerns by

```
1
     moving beyond the budget to address that specific
 2
     set of privacy-rights issues on behalf of parents
     and students throughout the State of New York in
 3
 4
     a separate freestanding bill. We don't have to
 5
     start from scratch. I'd be very happy to support
     Senator Robach's bill coming to the floor and
 6
 7
     being passed, and I bet many of my colleagues
 8
     would share that view on both sides of the aisle.
 9
                  I'll be voting yes, Mr. President.
10
     Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Thank
     you, Senator Krueger.
12
13
                  Senator Parker.
14
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Thank you,
15
     Mr. President. On the bill.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Parker on the bill.
17
18
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    I'll try to make
     this brief. My colleagues are telling me I'm
19
20
     talking today like we have "Free Nights and
21
     Weekends." Less is more as we wind up the
22
     budget.
23
                  But I felt like I really couldn't go
24
     pass this budget without making a couple of
25
     comments about some things that I think that are
```

actually really good in this budget. And I'm really pleased to stand up with my colleagues who are in fact, you know, lauding just some really, really good things that we are seeing here.

This budget is better on primary and secondary education than any other budget that we've seen in the last five years. And as you may remember, a large number of Democratic Senators and Assembly people joined me and Assemblywoman Cathy Nolan in the beginning of the session in signing a letter requesting \$1.9 billion additional money for this year's school funding.

Our goal was really to live up to the states's promise under the Campaign for Fiscal Equity to fully fund our schools. We haven't quite gotten there yet, but we did add another additional \$1.1 billion for schools this year.

And there's other good news in this budget, including the Smart Bond, which will allow our schools to upgrade their technology.

These are really, really important and good things for the children of the State of New York.

As it relates to UPK, you know, we

all should be declaring victory. And I really want to congratulate not just the legislative leaders but, as well, the Governor and the Mayor for all the work they've done in terms of making sure that students and parents are able to ensure a good future for the children of our state.

We engaged in a lot of debate this year about universal prekindergarten and, you know, what plan was better and what we were going to get to -- you know, what was going to happen. But we worked that stuff out and I think we got to a place that we're on the right track. Three hundred forty million dollars statewide, \$300 million for New York City public schools this year, another \$300 million next year, I think is a very, very good start. But there's still much more to go.

In that debate that we had about funding and how we go forward, what we never debated was that universal pre-K works, point-blank, period. And we must continue as we go forward to live up to our responsibility to educate every single child in this state to the best of our ability.

We also do, I think, a good thing in

this budget about granting the authority in the budget to expand after-school programs. However, we don't fund it. And so after-school programs we must see, as we do our next budget, as an extension of the full school day. That as we look towards, you know, doing K through 12 and UPK and so on and so forth, we must extend the day by doing after-school programs and funding them.

And then as we go to that, looking at our sports, athletics, arts and music and dance programs also as extensions of our educational programs to make sure that young people are being engaged, not just through test preparation but in terms of rounding themselves out as full people in our societies.

As relates to charters, you know, I am somebody who supports charters, I'm on the record on that. I've worked, you know, with many of the charter schools in my district. But, you know, this is a microsolution. And we really shouldn't be giving so much, you know, energy to something that educates less than 4 percent of the children in our state.

Again, if we put every charter in

New York City, you know, and they all did what they do now, you're talking about, you know, 230 kids -- you're talking about, at most, 37,000 kids in a system that has 1.2 million kids in it.

And, you know, it hasn't worked in the same way that it should actually work. And I think I share Senator Montgomery's concerns here that we're taking away local control from our School Chancellor and our Mayor to manage the schools and institutionalizing an educational apartheid that Mayor Bloomberg put in motion by elevating charter schools above traditional public schools in the system.

And again, I think that it's not about, you know, charter schools versus traditional public schools, but it's how you in fact manage that process. And when you create a system in fact in which you bring charter schools into a traditional public school setting and only rehab and paint and fix up and refurbish the areas where the charter schools are, you create an unequal system. And that's what educational apartheid is about. And that's unfortunately what we have done, and I'm scared that that

system is going to be institutionalized in this.

And again, this is not a knock on charter schools, but we need a better way to manage the system so that we don't create these civil wars over education in our districts.

I think, you know, Reverend Díaz is right when he in fact says that the people in our communities are fighting over these lotteries to have charter schools. But that's only because we've allowed the traditional public schools to fail. We've allowed them to fail. And if we keep saying, well, public schools are your only option but then your kids can't all get into the charter schools, what does that mean for the 98 percent of the kids in the rest of the system who are not getting into charter schools?

They must maintain their intended role of being laboratories for educational progress that then gets duplicated into traditional public schools. And that's the process that I'm committed to and I'm looking forward to working with this body on doing so that we make sure that the successes of charter schools are in fact duplicated in other places.

But we should be wary as we have

this debate right now, when you look at the evaluation and test scores at charter schools, they're frankly doing no better than public schools. So, you know, people are desperate but they don't have all the facts. There are some charter schools that are doing well, but on average the charter schools are not really outperforming the traditional public schools. So buyer beware.

As relates to Common Core, I want to associate myself with Senator Latimer's comments. And, you know, I think that we're on the right track when we say that we need to do a better job at managing the process of bringing Common Core into our schools across the state.

And again, as I've said to some of my colleagues, you know, people are like, "How come you're not up in arms about Common Core?"

Because in my neighborhood, which is primarily black and Latino kids, they've been failing the tests. And we've been yelling about that, you know, from the very beginning, that our kids are failing the tests. Now that good white kids are failing the tests upstate, now we have to stop everything and slow it down.

And that's fine. And hopefully everybody will benefit from the slowdown and we will in fact implement this thing in a way that in fact takes into account the learning styles of every single child and makes sure that all communities get both testing and a curriculum that in fact addresses the educational needs of each community.

That being said, to repeat my argument from the hostile -- which I'm disappointed did not pass today, the hostile amendment which I introduced that I'm disappointed did not pass today -- it is actually illogical to in fact say that we need a moratorium to implement Common Core for students and don't give teachers and principals the same kind of latitude to have a moratorium on the evaluations that take into account the children's performance on these same tests.

And so I still continue to call for, after this budget process is over, an opportunity for us to fix this injustice to both our teachers and our principals. Because I think that if we don't do that, we're going to wind up again with additional problems.

As I wrap up, I want to speak to something that again I am disappointed was not addressed, and this is the issue of the GED and the new Test Assessing Secondary Completion, the TASC. And the TASC is replacing the GED test.

And, you know, again, like Common Core, we have failed to see the management problems in making TASC work and training and getting everybody up to speed on it before we started rolling it out. So we rolled this thing out on January 1, 2014, but we didn't train teachers, we didn't train test centers, we didn't train anybody on how this thing is actually going to work going forward.

And I again am calling on us to have a moratorium and slow this process down so that we can implement it correctly before we face the same kind of failure that we're facing now as relates to Common Core. We need to give everybody an opportunity to get up to speed on TASC.

As relates to higher education -and I only have about five or six more things I
want to talk about. I'm joking. I'm joking.
Take my time? Okay thank you

25 | Take my time? Okay, thank you.

As relates to higher education, you know, higher education is as important as K-12 education. Right? A two-year degree now is what a high school diploma was 10 or 15 years ago. So it's critical that we are developing an education system that makes a smooth transition from K-12 education to higher education.

Part of that transition is not just competencies in reading, writing and arithmetic, but also within the context of fully funding these issues. And I think that Senator Toby Stavisky has made a really, really good point about support for the actual higher education institutions. And I agree with her that we have not nearly done as much as we need to do for CUNY.

Again, as earlier, I'm happy that this budget provides \$35 million for Roosevelt Hall at Brooklyn College, which is in my district, because I think it's going to be a good start to helping making sure that we have a facility that encourages the proper learning environment at that important institution, what we call "the Harvard of public education."

And the budget also does a lot of

good things in terms of increasing the base aid to CUNY and fully restores the \$544,000 that was cut from CUNY childcare centers. Which is critical, because we know that at CUNY these are nontraditional student. The average age of a CUNY student is actually 25 years old.

And it restores \$1.2 million that was cut from the Search for Education Elevation and Knowledge, which is, you know, the SEEK program. It fully restores \$1.7 million cut from the Accelerated Study in Associate Programs, that's the ASAP program.

And most importantly, the budget and the process bringing TAP and other opportunity programs into the 21st century. TAP was increased by roughly 3 percent in this budget, or \$165 this year, which is the first increase in TAP in a decade. In a decade.

But we still fall woefully behind within the -- so we're creating a gap, though. A number of years ago, like about two years ago, we voted for a rational tuition model that increases tuition every single year at both CUNY and SUNY. However, we have failed our commitment to make sure that TAP keeps pace with the increases that

automatically happen at CUNY and SUNY.

I in fact want to suggest that we pass a bill in the Legislature that creates an automatic COLA for TAP, that automatically makes sure that CUNY and SUNY students are at least -- but I think all students should in fact be getting an automatic increase in their TAP award that is commensurate with the increase from the rational tuition model that we implemented in both CUNY and SUNY.

For the first time ever, orphans, foster children and wards of the state have been made eligible for TAP instead of denying them help to go ahead in their educational process.

The budget also authorizes the science, technology, engineering and mathematics -- a STEM initiative program for undergraduates.

Which brings up an interesting point. So on one hand we're saying everybody should have STEM education, but we don't in fact acknowledge the fact that for you to make it in STEM fields, right -- so if you're in science, technology, mathematics or engineering -- for you to advance in those fields you have to have a graduate degree. Yet once again we submit a

budget that fails to create graduate TAP.

Members of this body, I am begging you to in fact go forward and find the resources to create graduate TAP. It is absolutely nonsensical for us to say we're encouraging STEM education when we in fact know, sitting here today, that for you to get even an entry level job in a STEM field you have to have a graduate degree, yet we're not providing the kind of base financial assistance for students to get those degrees.

And so, you know, although again it's been really important for us to have STEM education and to be encouraging it, we haven't done that in a proper way until we in fact are providing for graduate TAP.

And lastly, we still have not fixed the nonsensical way that part-time TAP is administered. Right? Part-time TAP is administered in a way that you have to be going for a year or two full-time and then you have to apply -- it's all these things that -- take off the red tape. Just real simple. From going full-time, I apply for TAP, if I meet the income eligibility, I get it. If I'm a part-time

student, I'm applying for TAP, if I meet the income eligibility I should get it.

It shouldn't have -- if you're a part-time student, you shouldn't have to jump through additional administrative hoops just because you're going part-time. We still want part-time students to have the ability to achieve that education. We know they cannot do it without the financial aid. And so it was actually a fiscal trick and really a joke for us to say that we have part-time TAP when in fact we've moved the goalposts so far away no one will ever reach it.

You know, we've done a good job, I think, in this budget, and this is why I'm voting for this budget, in terms of increasing the base aid for SUNY and CUNY, both the childcare centers, opportunity programs, and for technology training. There's some very, very good things in here. There's some things that we still need to fix. But I'm going to vote aye, Mr. President, because I think that we are on the road to making sure that education is achieved for all of our students in the State of New York.

Thank you.

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
 1
 2
     you, Senator Parker.
 3
                  Is there any other Senator that
     wishes to be heard?
 4
 5
                  Seeing or hearing no other Senator
     who wishes to be heard, I call upon
 6
 7
     Senator Flanagan to close debate.
                  SENATOR FLANAGAN:
 8
                                      Thank you,
 9
     Mr. President.
10
                  I appreciate all the comments from
11
     my colleagues. I wanted to go over some things
12
     and respond to some of the concerns that were
     raised, speak to the issues involving the overall
13
     education budget.
14
15
                  But I'll go back to some of the
16
     things I said early on. There are many, many
     good things in this budget. There are many, many
17
18
     stellar things in the education budget. And
19
     collectively, we are advancing almost
20
     $25.7 billion of New York State tax money to
21
     public schools. No one should apologize for
22
     that. That's a billion, well over $1.1 billion,
     closer to a $1.2 billion increase from last year.
23
     Again, the single largest growth area in the
24
25
     budget.
```

1 My colleagues and I listened to many 2 of our communities, our school districts, had tons of meetings. I'll give you a perfect 3 4 example. In my office -- you see this stack of 5 bills here -- I have letters and resolutions from school districts all across the state. And every 6 7 one of those letters begged us for GEA help. That's what they were. There was a whole 8 9 campaign put together so there was a statewide 10 effort to address those issues. So \$25.7 billion, almost a 11 12 \$1.2 billion increase, the single largest growth area in the budget, without question. And there 13 are components in there, \$280 million for 14 15 expense-based aids. Those are things that school districts are entitled to and they should get. 16 There's \$250 million of new money 17 18 for Foundation Aid. Foundation Aid is important 19 for some of our colleagues. Someone mentioned 20 small cities and school districts like that; 21 that's an important component. It doesn't work 22 everywhere. GEA. That is what we heard more 23 than anything else, probably 25 times over. 24 25 year's budget provides \$602 million more GEA

```
relief.
              That is the largest area of the
 1
     education expenditure, and it is purely and
 2
 3
     wholly and completely responsive to our
 4
     constituents.
 5
                  The Governor came in, gave us a good
     starting point. Problems with his budget, no
 6
 7
     question; $323 million, we're now up at
     $602 million. Our priorities were GEA relief.
 8
     That's what you see in this budget.
 9
10
                  So in terms of overall funding,
     there's a tremendous amount of good things.
11
12
     Things that didn't get talked about, there's
     $14 million for Teacher Centers. That's money
13
14
     that was restored, cut out by the Governor, put
15
     back in, so we have an agreement there.
                  Libraries. The Governor cut funding
16
     to libraries. Senator Farley, champion of
17
18
     libraries. We put that money back and added a
     million dollars on top.
19
20
                  Nonpublic schools. My colleague
     Senator Felder has been probably the most
21
     outspoken. There are four different pots of
22
     money: $4.5 million for safety grants,
23
     $8.1 million for transportation for after-school
24
25
     programs, primarily in the City of New York;
```

\$5.23 million in nonpublic school aid; and another pot of \$16 million. That is recognition of services that they provide, the fact that they're educating children all across the State of New York, and that's a good and positive thing.

Parker spoke to this fairly briefly. But that, you know, for me, the best thing about the Smart Bond Act is it's going to go to the voters. That will be out on a referendum. That will allow them to make a decision. There has been a healthy debate about that: How should we do it, who should be eligible. But that's going to be on the ballot, and ultimately the public decides. So that's the great equalizing factor for us.

There was discussion about a large variety of things. So charters, I want to speak directly to charters. I have heard so many different things about charter schools. And I think part of what happened, in fairness, in the last couple of years there's been very little discussion about charter schools. But because of actions taken this year and, frankly, actions taken in the City of New York by the new

administration, that created a juggernaut in some 1 2 ways and broke a logjam in some other respects that led to, in my opinion, a healthier debate. 3 4 So I look at it this way -- and I 5 don't have a charter school in my district, so let me be very clear. I have part of the 6 district called Longwood, they have a charter 7 school that they deal with. But by and large, 8 there's 70,000 kids in the City of New York, 9 10 8,000 kids outside of the City of New York. It's a statewide issue. They represent slightly less 11 than 3 percent of all the students in the State 12 of New York, but they're public school students. 13 14 So when we're talking about 15 non-public school kids and we're talking about public school kids, we're talking about almost 16 3 million students in the State of New York. And 17 18 we have an obligation, if we have existing 19 laws -- and we do. There will always be people 20 who say, I don't like charters at all. That's fine. But when we have laws, they should be 21 22 properly enforced. And the last thing in the world, I 23

don't care if it's a charter school or a

non-charter school, kicking kids out in the

24

25

middle of the year, bad policy. Just bad form. 1 2 Doesn't matter what the community is. And that 3 is the reason that we see some of the things that 4 are in here. 5 So I believe the protections are They're valid. People may disagree. But 6 there. 7 I also want to reiterate, for school districts outside of the city, there has been a lot of 8 9 comments raised about cost. Any changes, any 10 changes regarding tuition will be absorbed by the State of New York. There will be no further 11 costs to local school districts. I've heard it a 12 hundred different times. 13 The reality is there is no 14 15 additional cost to the local property taxpayer. Whatever increase there is going to be will be 16 absorbed by the State of New York. And that's 17 18 frankly the way it should be. If we're going to 19 be looking to deal with these issues 20 prospectively, that might open a window for things to be done that would be helpful in that 21 22 area. Now, Common Core. Senator Latimer 23 spoke to this, and a number of my colleagues 24

commented on this. I believe that we have some

25

terrific products in this legislation, and 1 2 there's a lot of reasons for it. We did statewide hearings starting last fall. Many of 3 4 my colleagues participated. We issued a report, 5 advanced legislation, had a multitude of discussions. 6 7 I sit as the Senate representative on the Governor's Common Core panel. All the 8 issues that were raised in the context of the 9 10 Governor's panel had been raised previously through the Senate, through the Assembly. And 11 12 all of you know, we heard from parents. This thing started out from educators, and then it 13 morphed into the people who are at the forefront, 14 15 the parents and the students. 16 And when I speak about the parents, I'm no different than anyone else. Parents 17 18 represent the students. They're obviously interrelated and integrated, so they are 19 20 synonymous, frankly. In what we had advanced and now what 21 is in this legislation, there are many good 22 things. And I believe that they should be 23 highlighted. We're basically banning 24 25 standardized testing for pre-K through 2. And

the crazy part is that we actually even had a discussion about whether or not that be public policy, that it never should have been public policy. The kids who are 5 years old and 4 years old sometimes have trouble holding a pencil, let alone taking an exam.

There's legislation in here -- or there's comments in here about unnecessary testing. There's issues involving privacy.

There are at least 13 different points that have been -- now will be put into statute. And these are all in response to things that we heard from the public, from educational professionals. And I would concur that this is an excellent start, but it is by no means finished, and I'll explain why in a second.

There is a Parent Bill of Rights.

Where did we did get that from? We got that from parents, listening to people out in the field, out in our communities. And there are extensive provisions in there, and we are now in a position where we're telling SED and the Regents how to do their job. And frankly, ladies and gentlemen, I don't like that. That's not the public policy that I would like to see in the State of

New York.

The Regents came out with an action plan, but it's not fast enough, it's not swift enough, it's not detailed enough. And we did tell them -- Senator LaValle certainly spoke at the committee meeting, as did many others -- that if you don't act, we will act on your behalf. Fortunately, some of the recommendations that they made are now being codified.

So a Parent Bill of Rights. Senator Krueger alluded to an organization called ALEC. I've had as many meetings on these subjects as any member of this body. I have never had correspondence, I have never had any meeting with anyone from a legislative entity called ALEC. I know who they are, but they never came to see us, never talked to us about privacy.

The people who came were parents.

And I'm going to throw out a name, most of you may know the name. Her name is Sheila Kaplan, she is an ardent advocate. She's one of many, many, many parents and community members who came and talked about a Parent Bill of Rights, privacy, the creation of a chief privacy officer. So there are no outside organizations.

We did get good input at a hearing in Syracuse from national organizations about how we could enhance the privacy laws, go beyond what the federal government does. All those things are generally if not specifically contained in this legislation.

Having said that, the timing on this is very important. Because as we wrap up -- we even feel like we're in a little cocoon sometimes, so we go back out into the real world at some point tonight or tomorrow to our constituents. In large part what you see here is timely action that will directly affect students, students in the next month, because ELA and math exams are going to be administered.

This provides protection for those students so that they don't have adverse impacts, so it's not made part of their permanent transcript or record. This is responsive to the needs of students right now.

We still have work to do on

Common Core, because SED and the Regents are

still not doing it as well as they should. And

if we have to continue to intervene, I am very

confident that we will, because today we're

proving we're not hesitant to take action when action is appropriate.

Now, I mention that this is timely right now because of students in this coming month. There is no question, every one of my colleagues cares deeply about educational professionals, principals and teachers in particular. They're at the forefront. And there's nothing more important than having a good teacher and a good principal in a classroom and in a school.

I know that there will be continued ongoing debate, robust discussion, healthy exchanges in terms of what should be done to protect teachers. I don't want to have any adverse impacts on teachers. And I believe as we finish the budget and move into the second phase of our session, that we will have many, many discussions on that. And I am confident that in some way, shape or form before we leave here in this session, that we will take further action. If SED and the Regents decide to do something sooner, more power to them.

So there are a whole litany of things that we have accomplished in this budget

collectively, collectively. And I'm going to 1 just touch on one more thing regarding Common 2 Core. Here's where we all need to do more work. 3 4 We need to go to the federal government, we need 5 to go to our colleagues -- because the reality is those tests that we keep talking about, ELA 3 6 7 through 8, those are not our tests. administer them, and we'll put them together, but 8 the federal government is making us do it. 9 10 We need to be going to our federal representatives. Those are really federal tests. 11 12 That's not the State of New York saying to school districts we want you to do this. We're 13 constrained or restrained by law that we have to 14 15 administer these exams. We need to do a better job of going to our federal representatives and 16 having them advocate for changes in regulation, 17 18 in statute and educational policy at the federal level. 19 20 So on the whole, I think there are many positive things in this budget. Again, 21 going back, GEA, GEA, GEA. It's like the old 22 adage, real estate is location, location, 23 location. There's over \$600 million. Again, 24 close to \$1.2 billion overall. 25

```
1
                  There will be those who will always
 2
     have comments that say the glass is half-empty.
     I believe it's more than half-full and we're
 3
 4
     moving in the right direction. And I know we
 5
     have plenty more work to do, but this is a very
     solid education budget that everyone should be
 6
 7
     able to embrace.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
9
     debate is closed.
10
                  The Secretary will ring the bell.
                  Read the last section.
11
12
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                    Section 3. This
     act shall take effect immediately.
13
                                              Call the
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
15
     roll.
16
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
18
     Gipson to explain his vote.
19
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
                                     Thank you,
20
     Mr. President. I rise to support this bill.
21
                  One of the things that I'm just very
22
     happy to see in there is that the thing that so
     many of us have advocated for, which is to
23
     eliminate the standardized testing for pre-K
24
25
     through second grade, I think is a huge step
```

forward. 1 2 We know that at such an early age we 3 should not be trying to standardize our young children's minds, we should inspire them to be 4 5 individuals, to reach their maximum creativity. That's how we're going to come up with new ideas 6 7 and new products in the future. And I am so 8 happy to see this eliminated so that our kids at 9 an early age can reach their maximum creative 10 potential. And I will be voting yes. 11 Thank 12 you. 13 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Gipson to be recorded in the affirmative. 14 15 Announce the results. 16 THE SECRETARY: In relation to Calendar Number 373, those recorded in the 17 18 negative are Senators Hoylman, Montgomery and Perkins. 19 20 Ayes, 58. Nays, 3. 21 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The bill 22 is passed. 23 SENATOR LIBOUS: Can we proceed with Calendar Number 377, Mr. President. 24 25 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The

```
Secretary will read Calendar Number 377.
 1
 2
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     377, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6914, an
 3
     act to amend the Public Health Law.
 4
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Libous.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, is
 8
     there a message of necessity at the desk?
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: There is
10
     a message of necessity before the desk.
11
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: I move to accept
12
     the message.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                            Can we
14
     have some quiet in the chamber, please.
15
                  All in favor of accepting the
     message of necessity signify by saying aye.
16
17
                  (Response of "Aye.")
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Opposed?
19
                  (No response.)
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
21
     message is accepted, and the bill is before the
22
     house.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
```

```
1
     Rivera.
 2
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
 3
     Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a few
 4
     questions.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
     sponsor yields.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I will not.
 8
     I'm going to hand it over to the expert of
9
     health, Senator Hannon.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
10
                                             The
11
     sponsor defers to Senator Hannon, without
     objection.
12
13
                  Hearing none, Senator Hannon, do you
     yield to Senator Rivera?
14
15
                  SENATOR HANNON: Yes, I do.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
     Rivera.
17
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA: And I don't have
19
     an objection either. Senator Hannon, through
20
     you, Mr. President, is certainly an expert, an
21
     excellent person to answer the following
22
     questions.
23
                  I have a couple of things I wanted
     to clarify. On Section 2801H, on line 31 on
24
25
     page 24 of the bill I wanted to see if you could
```

```
1
     explain that part of the legislation to me,
 2
              Through you, Mr. President.
     please.
                  SENATOR HANNON:
 3
                                     That was a
 4
     provision in regard to having a public forum in
 5
     regard to certain medical facilities in a certain
     county, and to have that open forum to be held.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 8
9
     yield.
10
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                     And, Senator
11
     Rivera, perhaps I could ask that Senator Klein,
12
     who had suggested that particular section,
     provide a further explanation of it.
13
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Do you
15
     require further explanation, Senator Rivera?
16
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     I have other
     questions, if Senator Klein is going to yield.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
18
                                              Senator
     Klein, without objection?
19
20
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                    Sure.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
22
     Klein.
23
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. President, I'd just like to just briefly
24
25
     describe the amendment.
```

```
1
                  The purpose of the portion of the
 2
     budget bill is simple. As more and more large
     clinics are being built without any sort of
 3
 4
     Department of Health approval, this bill creates
 5
     a demonstration program in the County of the
     Bronx to allow for a public forum so that the
 6
 7
     community can have input before such projects are
 8
     built.
 9
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
10
     Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to
11
     yield.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Klein, do you yield?
13
14
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Yes, Mr. President.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
16
     Rivera.
17
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
18
     Mr. President.
19
                  Is there a particular reason why the
20
     provision only refers to the Bronx and not the
21
     rest of the state?
                  SENATOR KLEIN: Well, this is an
22
23
     issue that certainly eventually is going to have
     an impact throughout the state. As many of you
24
25
     know, a lot of hospitals are now sponsoring
```

clinics, ambulatory care programs. Because really the future is to have many doctors housed under one roof in these large facilities.

Also it is not profitable for hospitals, as you know, or not very efficient, to offer very long hospital stays. So most of these procedures can be done not even having the individual required to stay overnight.

So while I agree with the concept of efficiency in healthcare, agree with what a lot of these providers are proposing, to sort of streamline healthcare and make it very easy to for the patient to obtain services sort of all under one roof, this is something that presently has no oversight by the Department of Health.

Certainly locally this hit home in my district, in the community of South Riverdale, where Montefiore, an excellent healthcare provider, decided to put right smack in the middle of a residential community a proposed 11-story ambulatory care center. It may not be considered a hospital by the Department of Health, but certainly it's very, very large, very, very cumbersome, and it caused a community outcry.

1 People are outraged that this large 2 institution is going to be put right in the middle of a residential block, not take into 3 4 consideration the density of the neighborhood, 5 not take into consideration the traffic patterns. They're expecting over a thousand people a day to 6 7 come in and out of a roadway on a very narrow 8 block. 9 So I thought it was important that 10 we at least, at least require that the Department of Health really take some community concerns. 11 And so what this would do is allow for a 12 community forum, which would be set of by the 13 14 Department of Health, making sure to get local 15 community board input, having them explain what they're doing about the density, the need, as 16 well as traffic. And then really leaving it up 17 18 to the Department of Health to either mandate, modify, or disapprove the potential project. 19 20 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to 21 22 yield. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 23 Does the Senator yield? 24 25 SENATOR KLEIN: Yes, Mr. President.

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 1
 2
     Rivera.
 3
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you.
 4
                  So if this is a -- I'm trying to
 5
     figure out if it's something that is -- you
     mentioned this clinic of Montefiore or the
 6
 7
     proposed building that Montefiore is potentially
     putting up. And while I certainly have been --
 8
 9
     I'm familiar with some of the stories, this is
10
     something that would impact the Bronx as a whole.
     Is that -- so my --
11
12
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Through you,
     Mr. President -- oh, I'm sorry.
13
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA: So my question,
15
     through you, Mr. President, if it's going to
16
     impact the Bronx as a whole, what type of impact
     could it have on other facilities that are
17
18
     potentially attempting to do something, to build
19
     one of the three in the categories that are
20
     established in this bill?
21
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Through you,
22
     Mr. President. This would apply to very large
     facilities. These would have to be facilities
23
     that are over three stories in height and over
24
25
     30,000 square feet. So this is not your little
```

small neighborhood doctor's office. These are very large facilities.

And again, I don't think it's too much to ask, when anyone is building a project of this size in any community, let alone the Bronx, that they explain to the community and answer some basic questions: What is the impact that this is going to have on the quality of life of the community? How are you going to deal with the traffic? How are you explaining the need for this facility in the community -- you know, is there a market, are there people who need to utilize these services?

And again, this in no way gives -the Department of Health sort of having to
respond to the community, it doesn't give the
community a veto power. What it really does,
pure and simple, is give the community a voice
and then allows the Department of Health to
really weigh and listen to what the community has
to say and act accordingly.

SENATOR RIVERA: Through you,
Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to
yield.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

Klein, do you yield? 1 2 SENATOR KLEIN: Yes, Mr. President. 3 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The 4 Senator yields. 5 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, Mr. President. 6 7 When I spoke about institutions or facilities, I was less referring to the 8 facilities to be built and more referring to the 9 10 institutions that might be impacted or plans that might be impacted from other institutions. Like 11 12 certainly Montefiore serves both of our constituencies, it serves most constituencies in 13 14 the Bronx, it's a huge hospital. 15 In the case of St. Barnabas, for example, which is a safety net hospital, which is 16 17 actually potentially going to build something 18 like one of these categories, may be in the plans of doing it, was there any thought -- through 19 20 you, Mr. President -- of the impact that it would have of kind of adding another regulatory 21 framework to what these institutions have to go 22 through now, because it is not -- I do not 23 believe that it is as simple as choosing a space 24 25 and just building something without actually

```
having some other -- you know, making sure that
 1
 2
     the Department of Health actually approves the
 3
     services that they're going to provide in this
 4
     new space.
 5
                  So through you, Mr. President, was
     there any thought about the impact that it would
 6
 7
     have on other institutions, like safety net
     hospitals such as St. Barnabas?
 8
 9
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Sure. Through you,
10
     Mr. President.
                  Really the idea for this
11
     legislation, really the genesis of the
12
     legislation was when myself and my colleague
13
14
     Assemblyman Dinowitz, who also represents the
15
     same community, actually wrote a letter to the
     Department of Health asking why, if this proposed
16
     facility kind of looks like a hospital, feels a
17
18
     like a hospital, is serving a large number of
     people like a hospital, why don't they have to go
19
20
     through the certificate of need process. And we
     received a very lengthy letter to say if it's not
21
     considered a hospital, it's not a hospital, as
22
     simple as that.
23
                  So I think requiring them to at
24
25
     least hear from the community is not unduly
```

burdensome.

And as far as the concern you have about St. Barnabas, I spoke to Dr. Scott Cooper a couple of days ago. I know he's planning on doing affordable housing and some other clinic, which I helped and supported him a couple of years ago when that was actually within my Senate district. And I do not believe that it would be covered.

First of all, what he's talking about is affordable housing. He's not talking about expanding a healthcare unit or providing any type of ambulatory care.

But again, as I explained, we're not giving veto power to the local community, we're not allowing the local community board to just stop a project. But we are giving a voice to the local community and hoping and kind of mandating that the Department of Health at least hear the concerns of the community and then act accordingly.

SENATOR RIVERA: Through you,
Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to
yield.

SENATOR KLEIN: Yes, Mr. President.

1 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 2 Klein yields. 3 SENATOR RIVERA: I have a 4 particular question, through you, Mr. President, 5 on the language that says -- I want to see if you could help me define what it's supposed to --6 7 when it says that the Department of Health is supposed to consider "the physical 8 characteristics and social fabric of such 9 10 community," what exactly does that mean? Particularly the term "social fabric," I'm not 11 sure I understand what that means. 12 13 SENATOR KLEIN: That would apply to 14 what I said earlier. You know, a lot of times 15 they would bring, you know, sort of an ambulatory care center or something like this into a 16 17 community and they would need to identify really 18 the need in the community -- who are you targeting, what population. 19 20 You know, I think as economics go, I understand why Montefiore is proposing this. You 21 22 know, they rely very heavily on Medicaid dollars. I think they're the largest Medicaid recipient in 23 the United States. And they're trying to tap 24 25 into a market that is private-pay and Medicare.

```
1
     Makes sense.
 2
                  But again, they should be able to
     have to show that there is a need for that type
 3
     of facility here. I think that's probably the
 4
 5
     easiest burden or hurdle that any potential
     healthcare institution should have to meet. I
 6
 7
     think the more serious ones are the height, the
 8
     density, the traffic and things of that nature.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 9
                                    Through you,
10
     Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to
11
     yield.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Klein, do you yield?
13
14
                  SENATOR KLEIN: Yes, Mr. President.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
16
     Rivera.
17
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
18
     Mr. President.
                  I want to refer a second to line 30
19
20
     on page 25, which reads as follows: "After due
21
     consideration of the comments of the community
22
     forum, in consultation with the Education
23
     Department, the commissioner shall either
     approve, modify or deny authorization,"
24
25
     et cetera.
```

```
Is there any particular reason why
 1
 2
     the Education Department is in that language of
     that bill?
 3
 4
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Yeah, because what
 5
     this really is, in the present characterization,
     is really considered right now large doctor's
 6
     offices. And as you know, that certification is
 7
     done by the Department of Education.
 8
 9
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
10
     Mr. President, if Senator Klein would continue to
11
     yield.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     Senator yields.
13
14
                  SENATOR KLEIN: Yes, Mr. President.
15
                  SENATOR RIVERA: So I'm still -- so
     it is something that is going to impact one
16
     particular -- in this case, impact that one
17
18
     particular institution.
19
                  As it relates to what the Department
20
     of Health has to do, what -- right now, what kind
     of expertise or experience do they have in
21
22
     evaluating what the language of the bill is
     calling "the appropriateness of the size, height,
23
     bulk, dimensions and scope of such clinic,
24
25
     facility or center when compared to the
```

surrounding physical characteristics"? 1 want to know if the Department of Health would be 2 able to perform this. 3 4 SENATOR KLEIN: Remember something. 5 You know, as I said, the genesis of this had to 6 do with locally zoning variances weren't changed, 7 weren't met. So this project really would be known as an as-of-right project. That doesn't 8 mean it's right. That doesn't mean that the 9 10 institution shouldn't have to explain and answer those questions. 11 But I think the key, Senator, is 12 line 30 that you talked about before. After this 13 14 entire process takes place, after this entire 15 hearing takes place, after all of these questions are answered, the commissioner shall either 16 approve, modify, or deny authorization for the 17 18 establishment of any such clinic, facility or 19 center. 20 So ultimately the final hurdle -- or 21 the final decision, I should say, is made by the 22 Department of Health. SENATOR RIVERA: 23 Through you, Mr. President, if Senator Klein would yield for 24 25 one more question.

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 1
                                              Does
 2
     Senator Klein yield?
 3
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Yes, Mr. President.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 5
     Rivera.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    As far as the time
 6
 7
     and money that it would add to the process if an
     institution wants to do something, put one of
 8
     these up, either a freestanding clinic,
 9
10
     outpatient healthcare facility, or ambulatory
     care center, as far as the time and the money
11
     that would be added to all the regulatory
12
     framework that they would have to undergo right
13
     now, has there been a consideration of what that
14
15
     would be?
16
                  SENATOR KLEIN: Puts the burden on
17
     who, the Department of Health or the proposed
18
     project?
19
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
20
     Mr. President, through the institution
21
     itself that is trying to -- that is building one
22
     of these.
23
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Well, again, I
     don't think it's too much of a burden or too much
24
25
     of an expense to require any health facility and
```

their sponsor to actually show up at a hearing, talk about your plans.

Remember, these hearings are being set by the Department of Health. It's just a matter of the sponsor showing up with diagrams, I guess, and everything else to explain to the community how this project will work. So I don't think it's much of a burden at all.

And as a matter of fact, you know, moving forward, this is going to become an issue in other places. I think in some cases you're going to see some of these places located in industrial parks. Which are not near any communities, and you're probably not going to have community members or the community board even want a hearing.

But I think more and more when you see these really clinics or very large healthcare institutions that aren't considered hospitals in residential communities, you're going to see communities that are very, very concerned. Not necessarily that they oppose the healthcare institution, not necessarily that they may disagree with the whole concept. But I think they have a lot of questions, and I think the

```
1
     community, first and foremost, deserves answers.
 2
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
 3
     Mr. President, just actually one more thing if
 4
     Senator Klein would yield.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Do you
     yield for a final question, Senator Klein?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Yes, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 8
                                              Senator
9
     Rivera.
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you.
11
                  Again, if it's -- this actually goes
     to the core of it. If it is such a good idea,
12
     why not impose it on the entire state? What kind
13
     of -- why would you put it just for the Bronx?
14
15
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   As I said, the
     genesis of this legislation came out of, you
16
17
     know, a community concern.
18
                  As you know, Senator, 38 percent of
     the people employed in Bronx County are employed
19
20
     in the healthcare profession. This is something
21
     that means jobs. But I think also when you're
     building these large facilities, I really don't
22
     think it's too much to ask that the local
23
     community, in the sake of the community board or
24
25
     other interested parties, get their say.
```

And I think that's going to make for a better facility. I think it's going to really cause better planning. And really, I think really better PR, where the communities will hear. This came out of, as I said, a very, very large proposal. It's 11 stories that they're proposing. Didn't contact anyone. Didn't contact the local community board. No one really knew what they were even building there until finally someone found out and they had a big rally.

So I think this will prevent that.

This will cause these proposals or these sponsors to be good neighbors, understand that they're locating in a community, understanding that this will be their future client base and they should respect their wishes.

And I think this is something that really needs to be done statewide eventually.

And I thought it was important that in the Bronx, especially as it involves this project, the pilot program be in Bronx County and see how it works here if we want to follow through with the rest of the state.

SENATOR RIVERA: Mr. President, on

the bill. 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Rivera on the bill. 3 4 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, 5 Mr. President. I certainly want to thank 6 7 Senator Klein for the time that he spent 8 answering some of the questions. 9 And although I certainly agree with 10 the general thrust of having more community involvement, I don't think that this particular 11 bill would be the -- or this particular language 12 would be the way to do it. 13 I do feel that there is limited 14 15 experience or expertise in the Department of 16 Health to be able to evaluate some of the things that the language is asking the Department of 17 18 Health to evaluate. I'm still not sure what "the 19 physical characteristics and social fabric of 20 such community" means. 21 And I do think that there is -- that it has -- that the impact that it might have on 22 institutions -- I certainly know where the 23 genesis comes from. I read the local papers as 24 25 well, and certainly I saw some of the rallies,

and our local news station, Bronx 12, certainly covered a lot of them.

But I do think that the impact that it might potentially have on other institutions that this is not directly aimed at, who have done things like this in the past and have done it with a very -- and in this particular case I'm talking about other safety net institutions, certainly St. Barnabas, which is in my district. And as Senator Klein mentioned, it was previously in his district.

And there's a lot of work that

St. Barnabas does with safety net clients, and
they serve a very needy population. They're in
the process of considering how they're going to
expand to make sure that as it relates to the
waiver I'm going to be talking about in a second,
the waiver that we just got from the federal
government that allows us to be able to figure
out how to serve people better, how to get less
hospitalizations, et cetera, they're figuring out
how they're going to expand their services to be
able to achieve this. And this will actually
impact directly whether they would to be able to
do that. And they have already a very good

relationship with the local community.

It seems to me that in the ways that this is written, and some of the language is little bit vague -- and I certainly wanted to say that even though, again, I agree with the overall thrust of the purpose of the language, I certainly was surprised to find it there and would really like -- you know, would potentially want to work with Senator Klein afterwards to see if there's some way that it can be cleaned up.

But I wanted to mention that because I do think that it is one of the parts of the healthcare bill -- we voted on one earlier,
Mr. President, and we're voting on the second one now -- and there's actually a lot of positives in it. I did want to go through that because it impacts certainly my constituency and might potentially impact another institution which is very core in my district.

But as far as the rest of the healthcare bill, I actually think that we did quite well. And I certainly thank Senator Hannon as well as Assemblymember Dick Gottfried on the Assembly side, since I know that there were a lot of battles that were fought there, and at the

staff level as well. But I think that we have a very good health bill in front of us.

We have a couple of issues -- and we actually voted on a couple of health things in other parts of the budget. Certainly there were the \$1.2 billion as it relates to capital of the healthcare facilities was in the capital budget, and I think it is a very positive thing. We certainly need more of it, but 1.2 is going to help us along.

The Basic Health Plan, we've talked about it on the floor of the Senate before. And the language that was in the original Executive proposal made it to the final version. I am very happy about that. And certainly hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers who will be impacted positively by this are also happy that it is there.

I'm also happy about the 2 percent cap extended for another year. It is what we have been trying to do to control Medicaid costs for the last couple of years. It has been successful, and we will continue to do that in the years to come.

There's also funding for the

New York State of Health, as we clarified a little bit earlier in the debate. It is not in the same place where it was before, but importantly, the funding is there. And we will continue to have one of the exchanges that is most successful across the country.

There's also repeal of third-party audits for residents, which is something that there was debate about as far as how residents should be audited and whether there should be a a third-party audit. There were some concerns that we had there and that ultimately made it to the final version.

I am concerned that the prevailing wage did not make it to the final version as it relates to nursing home workers. I'm certainly very disappointed in that and was under the impression, right up until the end, that it was going to be in there. Unfortunately, it did not make it.

I think we have to ask a very key question, how did that not happen. And it is something that is going to impact thousands of workers across the state, people that serve constituents in all of our districts. We have to

ask what happened with that. So that's a concern that I have.

And finally there is -- as refers to home care worker parity, there was a conversation that we had had about how much money was in the Executive proposal and that we wanted more -- \$100 million more, there was an increase of \$100 million, and I think that is a positive thing. We didn't get as much as many of us were fighting for, but it definitely helps us along as far as home care worker parity is concerned.

These are just some of the highlights, but I do think that overall we have a good health budget that achieves a lot of the things that we wanted to achieve this year and moves us along in the path of making sure that we control costs and provide quality healthcare to New Yorkers all across the state.

So besides those concerns that I expressed earlier related to the Bronx in particular, as well as the prevailing wage aspect of it, I think that this is a good bill, I will be voting in the affirmative, and ask my colleagues to do the same.

Thank you, Mr. President.

```
Senator
 1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 2
     Hannon.
 3
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 4
 5
                  Thank you, Senator Rivera, for a --
     I thought you were just going to ask questions,
 6
 7
     but you got into the whole part of this bill.
 8
                  I just want to talk about some of
 9
     the highlights that we were able to do in the
10
     health budget. And it's certainly a
     collaborative type of effort because it has such
11
     far-reaching implications.
12
13
                  I think the biggest thing that's
14
     going to be a transformation will be when and if
15
     the proposed $8 billion waiver is granted by the
16
     federal government. And that in and of itself
     could be something that will cause policy changes
17
18
     for years to come. Because the stated purpose of
19
     that waiver is to decrease hospital admissions by
     25 percent over the next five years. Decrease
20
21
     hospital admissions.
                  Now, people who don't get better
22
     quicker; what's going to happen under that waiver
23
     is the place of care will change. And so the
24
25
     debate we already had in regard to the proposal
```

by Senator Klein that's in the budget will continue. And we will have other places throughout the state where hospitals will find less and less usage and the question will be how do we find an available, appropriate place for the care to be given to the people of New York.

And accompanying that waiver there is a proposal by the Executive, which we have accepted, for \$1.2 billion in construction money for places throughout the state to be -- and that money would be administered by the Dormitory Authority.

Both for the waiver money from the federal government and for the construction money, we have added to the budget what we believe to be an appropriate input by this body, by the public, by other members of the healthcare community. Because the last thing we want to do is have all these transformations go forward by the so-called experts talking to the so-called experts. And if that happens, we'll find the same type of adverse reaction by the general public as we found in education when we had the educational experts talk to each other and be very surprised when parents showed up at protests

throughout the state.

Other parts of this budget, there is continued, and on a rolling two-year basis we have continued it, the global cap, which fixes an amount of money in regard to Medicaid spending and says that if the total amount of spending is pierced, then the Commissioner of Education, in consultation with the Director of the Budget, can reduce the allocations of money to each of the providers.

However, that a cap has worked so well that this year we're going to be able to turn back 2 percent to all the healthcare providers, the 2 percent that we took from them a few years ago because we didn't have the money to keep funding them.

There's other successes, I think, in regard to installing in the Insurance Law to prevent surprise billing and to have a fairness in reimbursing physicians for what's called out-of-network medical procedures. We've added for another class money for the Doctors Across New York. We were able to increase the funding for spinal cord injury research; the total Assembly, Senate, will be \$5 million, getting us

back to what we hope to be eventually the pre-fiscal-crisis funding of \$8 million or even more.

Early Intervention, a source of consternation throughout the past year to everybody in this house. We were not able to have a fundamental change in how we do Early Intervention. I think that is coming about gradually by the administration.

But we did point out that the oldest bills, the bills for nine months ago, hadn't really been paid and it was not proposed to pay them. So we are appropriating \$3.9 million in order to make up for that for people who have provided services who, without this money, might go out of the business of providing the services.

And this is not just some type of low-key good-wish type of thing. Children who get Early Intervention services can often do far better in terms of their future health, do better in their future education. And so by this early intervention we save society and ourselves a considerable amount of money.

It's been mentioned that we're adopting the Executive proposal for the Basic

Health Plan. This is a health plan that's neither fish nor fowl. It's not Medicaid, it's not a total insurance. It will be offered by the same insurance folks. It will be there for people between 138 percent and 200 percent of poverty. There will be a heavy, heavy federal participation, 95 percent federal participation.

It will also, neither fish nor fowl, take much of its participants from people who are already in the exchange. And it has been the subject of a lot of study. The budget does not absolutely authorize it, it authorizes the administration to go forward if there are savings to be had. And the savings are projected for almost zero to \$300 million a year, certainly one of the widest estimates I've ever seen.

We have altered significantly what's called the Statewide Health Information Network, unfortunately named the SHIN-NY. But there is a need, we've altered it in a way that there will be input and a deep look at how it's going to be configured, what's going to be done, what the governance of it will be.

People often say, well, just join everything together. It's not that easy. We

need to make sure we're not duplicating what's already there. Every hospital in this state already has electronic medical records. The question will be what is the authority to go forward. If you want to join up physicians, are we going to go after physician's offices. Open questions, very important questions.

A couple of things we didn't do, and I just want to talk about them. It was mentioned before about the certificate of need, the process of going to the Health Department to determine if you're going to get a license to go ahead with a certain given medical facility.

The reform that ought to be there to make this far more streamlined I don't think happened whatsoever. But we did not go ahead with what was proposed for the urgent care regulation. The case hadn't been made for it.

Neither did we go ahead with the office-based surgery increased regulation. The case had not been made for it.

I did think -- we passed in our one-house that the retail clinics would have been a great addition to primary care in this state.

The Assembly did not agree with that, and so

that's not in the final bill.

There were proposals in regard to how the reimbursement of nursing homes would take place in terms of the acute care and the degree of acute care of their patients. That was called the case mix index. That's been rejected.

We also rejected the prescriber prevails changes which the Executive had proposed.

And we rejected the regional health information collaboratives that the Executive had proposed. This was another quasi-attempt at adding to the layer of regulation in this state. It had the feel-good nature of, well, we really need to get the communities involved, but it did not have four corners to the proposal. It was not definite as to what the proposal would consist of. And it needs more work.

With the vagueness of that proposal we thought let's let the administration move forward, you can make grants to localities, you can make grants to localities under a drawing-board plan called SHIP, State Health Insurance Plan, that has been funded by federal grants and is really is the blueprint for much of

the transformation that's taking place in healthcare in this state.

And with those grants and also with the preventive agenda in regard to wellness, which is now tied into all the hospitals in the state, the thought would be those need to be done before you start formalizing some type of superstructure that does not exist now, and even though there are some people who'd like to harken back to the old HSAs of 40 years ago. But anything in healthcare that's 40 years old really is just to be studied for history, not to be reactivated for the current needs.

So those are some of the things that have been done. I'd simply sum up -- oh, wait, no. Counselor Baldwin tells me we also rejected the proposal to look at how the pharmaceutical costs are determined for purposes of reimbursement.

We do reimburse pharmacies, independent chains. The idea is to strike a fairness and a balance. What had been proposed, average acquisition costs, just didn't strike that balance. It really had too many tweaks to it. It gave the administration unfettered

discretion to change it at will. And we put it off for a year while it's studied.

And supposedly that was at great cost. The mysteries of modern medicine are matched only by about the mysteries of modern pharmaceuticals. The costs vary sharply from month to month. And we're going to have to address it as part of our modern healthcare system, but for the moment it's been postponed for a year.

And so those are the things. Oh, the comment is there is more change happening in healthcare now in this state. It goes far beyond exchanges and Obamacare, it goes to the fundamental way we deliver care in this state.

Obamacare just changed how we do some reimbursement. And in fact Obamacare is more of an individual basis while the rest of what we're doing in this state is more towards managed care.

And it's that managed care where we're changing how we do our physical health, our mental health, behavioral health, people with substance abuse, people who are covered dually under the state Medicaid and Medicare system.

All of those are the great changes that are

```
1
     taking place. And I think that this budget
 2
     mirrors well where we need to go in that
 3
     direction.
 4
                  Thank you.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Tkaczyk.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you,
 8
     Mr. President. Would the sponsor please yield
     for a couple of questions.
 9
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Hannon, do you yield?
11
12
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    Yes.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
14
     Hannon yields.
15
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
16
                  I appreciate how complex the health
     budget is, and I really appreciate that you
17
18
     mentioned the Early Intervention program. And I
19
     know you've been working on that diligently, and
20
     I greatly appreciate that. I have some questions
     about that specific program.
21
22
                  How much in the budget was allocated
23
     for this program for the 2014 fiscal year?
                  SENATOR HANNON: Well, we added for
24
25
     the bills for 12 months to nine months ago.
```

```
1
     Which were, when you think about it, when the
 2
     fiscal agent that we required of the State Health
 3
     Department got going, and they didn't get going
 4
     very fast. Those are the bills that really
 5
     weren't paid. And so that's $3.9 million for
     that.
 6
 7
                  The rest of the program, we'll get
 8
     the number for you in a minute.
 9
                  What we had hoped to do was to
10
     establish a far better process and procedure so
     that as the services were rendered, the providers
11
12
     submitted the bills, it went through the fiscal
     agent, that that would be clearer and faster.
13
14
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Mr. President,
15
     I'm having trouble hearing the sponsor.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
17
     Hannon, can you maybe move over to the chair?
18
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    It's right here.
19
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Okay, that's
20
     better.
21
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    Okay.
                                           Turning the
22
     volume up helps too.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Tkaczyk, do you want to continue?
24
25
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Yes.
                                           So what
```

```
1
     you're saying is the money that was added, the
 2
     $3.9 million was for those three months where the
     fiscal agent was not acting as a fiscal agent.
 3
 4
     So the budget didn't cover a certain portion of
 5
     the year?
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                     The rest of the
 6
 7
     appropriation was over $160 million.
                                           So this is
 8
     obviously one of the bigger endeavors that the
     Health Department undertakes, but they did not
 9
10
     cover that specific quadrant of the unpaid claims
11
     for there, and they had actually made the
12
     representation perhaps we'll just let that stay
     and let that work its way through.
13
14
                  But it was working its way through a
15
     system that hadn't worked a year ago and we
16
     didn't think it would be sure to work now. And
     we're fairly confident that the system proved the
17
18
     services had been rendered.
19
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Will the sponsor
20
     continue to yield?
21
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                              The
     sponsor yields.
23
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Is there enough
24
25
     money in the budget that we're voting on today to
```

```
make sure that all of the claims will be covered
 1
 2
     by the Early Intervention providers that have
     been submitted?
 3
 4
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    We're reasonably
 5
     sure. It still depends, at the end of the day,
     with clean claims. Sometimes that all tends to
 6
 7
     work out well; sometimes it doesn't.
 8
                  But I do know that we've gotten the
 9
     full attention of the Department of Health. I
10
     certainly know that the counties are wary, so
     they don't feel they're going to get any extra
11
     burden added to them. And we do have a fiscal
12
     agent functioning. So it seems to be reasonable.
13
14
                  I wasn't totally happy.
                                           I would
15
     have liked a guarantee. Assemblyman Gottfried
     and I have legislation in. We'll probably even
16
     amend that further and submit it to this body for
17
18
     consideration. But we need to move forward with
19
     it.
20
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you. Will
21
     the sponsor continue to yield?
22
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
23
     sponsor yields.
24
25
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     So it's my
```

```
1
     reading that we still need a legislative fix.
 2
     think the funding is there, but the problem the
     with the fiscal agent needed a legislative fix so
 3
 4
     that, going forward, those Early Intervention
 5
     providers would get paid timely and would not
     have to check from third-party insurance
 6
 7
     companies.
 8
                  Is it your position that we don't
     need a legislative fix, or we still need a
 9
10
     legislative fix through your legislation?
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    I would be far
11
12
     happier if we had legislation. I do believe,
     though, there is a reasonable projection that it
13
     will be working now. But I could be happier, as
14
15
     I said, for legislation.
16
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     On the bill.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
18
     Tkaczyk on the bill.
19
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: I have another
20
     question for the mental health piece, so maybe
     I'll ask that and then go on the bill.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                              Sure.
     Senator Tkaczyk, who would you like to ask?
23
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: I think Senator
24
     Carlucci is chair of Mental Health.
25
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Without
 2
     objection, Senator Carlucci?
 3
                  Senator Tkaczyk.
 4
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you,
 5
     Senator Carlucci.
                  There's a lot that I like about the
 6
 7
     mental health budget and I'm going to continue to
 8
     work with you on making sure that we're doing
     everything we can to get the Community Investment
 9
10
     Act working and increasing local mental health
11
     services.
12
                  One of the questions I have, though,
     is on the developmental disabilities portion of
13
14
     the budget. Both the Assembly and Senate budget
15
     bills added language to give transitional-care
16
     adults the same due process rights: The right to
     an impartial hearing and judicial review, as it
17
18
     exists for all other disabled adults in
19
     OPWDD-operated or certified institutions.
20
                  Why was that due process language
21
     dropped from the final budget bill?
22
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI: As of the budget
23
     negotiations, we weren't able to come to a final
     agreement on that issue.
24
25
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Would the sponsor
```

```
1
     continue to yield?
 2
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                       Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
 4
     sponsor yields.
 5
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Why?
                                      Well, there was
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
 6
 7
     a lot of moving parts there. And we tried to
 8
     work towards an agreement, but unfortunately we
     weren't able to come to one as of today.
 9
10
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Would the sponsor
11
     continue to yield?
12
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                       Yes.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
14
     sponsor yields.
15
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                      Thank you.
16
                  Would you be working on this through
     the legislative process and possibly coming up
17
18
     with a bill that addresses that language?
19
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                       I'm sorry, would
20
     the Senator please repeat that question?
21
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     My question was
22
     since this language was dropped from the final
     budget bill, will you be working through the
23
     legislative process to bring that language to the
24
25
     floor in the form of a bill?
```

SENATOR CARLUCCI: 1 Yeah, 2 absolutely. I look forward to working with Senator Tkaczyk and any other Senators that wish 3 4 to work with me and the Mental Health Committee 5 on this important issue. And this is something that we wanted 6 7 to address, and we were working with the 8 Assembly, with the Governor's office, to make 9 sure that people that are out of state that are 10 transferred in, that come back into the State of 11 New York, are given the same protections, the 12 same rights as anybody else. 13 So I think this is an important issue and something that I'd love to work with 14 15 the Senator and any other colleagues in the 16 chamber on this issue. SENATOR TKACZYK: 17 Thank you. 18 On the bill, Mr. President. 19 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 20 Tkaczyk on the bill. 21 SENATOR TKACZYK: I'll be voting 22 yes on this bill and appreciate much of the work that's been done by my colleagues. 23 But I want to speak about our most 24 25 vulnerable citizens, our very young disabled

children and their families, who are getting help through the Early Intervention Program. And I think we are at a crossroads.

I appreciate that there's money specifically added to help pay for past payments, but I still believe we need a legislative fix.

And because of the change and what we did last year in the budget, the billing process for Early Intervention providers is not working.

These providers are not getting paid timely.

This has resulted in children not getting the services they need at a very young age. Providers are going out of business. And many of those providers are women-owned businesses, and those providers are often women. This change was not business-friendly. It hurts children and frankly makes no sense and needs to be fixed.

As John Hofmayer, who's director of the United New York Early Intervention Providers, said to me this past week, "I have yet to meet a therapist or agency who has not suffered greatly through this transition. There are skilled therapists who have left the field, agency owners who have closed their practices. Many who remain

have maxed out their lines of credit and mortgaged their homes."

payments, as we discussed, from bills that are more than 100 days old. One provider in Greene County said this week: "As providers are stopping work and going out of business, counties are feeling the shortage." She has received three emails this week from three different counties begging providers to come back, and they're asking for services for their children.

Children today are being born with disabilities like Down syndrome, autism, cerebral palsy, to parents who need help. And they need help on how to care for their disabled child.

April is even Autism Awareness Month. But when the parent calls for help, no one is answering.

We have to fix this. We have a very damaged system.

As this provider said, "In order for us to be willing to come back to Early

Intervention after this year of sacrifice and uncertainty, we need more than assurances that things will get better. We need Senator Hannon's bill to pass into law so that we have stability

```
and we can get back to doing what we love,
 1
 2
     providing services to the needy infants and
     children in Early Intervention."
 3
 4
                  I look forward to voting for Senator
 5
     Hannon's bill, and I urge the Majority Coalition
     to bring that legislation to the floor.
 6
 7
                  Thank you.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 9
     Hassell-Thompson.
10
                  SENATOR HASSELL-THOMPSON:
                                               Thank
11
     you, Mr. President. Just a few comments on the
     portion of the bill that was earlier discussed
12
     with Senator Klein.
13
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
15
     Hassell-Thompson on the bill.
                  SENATOR HASSELL-THOMPSON:
                                               Thank
16
17
     you.
18
                  Members of the hospital team of
     Montefiore, Simone and WestMed came to see me
19
20
     about this facility because my district is
21
     contiguous to that of Senator Klein's, and a lot
22
     of the people from those neighboring communities
     would be using that facility.
23
                  And there was a deep concern -- and
24
25
     Senator Klein, I won't challenge anything that
```

you've said in terms of the order, because many times facilities, when they have as-of-right, may not necessarily contact the community on the front end. But I know that at some point they did have conversations with the community about this project.

The comments I want to make, however, is that this facility is not a hospital, certainly not a hospital as we think of and now hospitals in the traditional sense. But we have to recognize, as I listened to Senator Hannon talk, how medicine is changing and how we provide services to people makes a significant difference in terms of where they are provided.

I also heard the word "clinic" being used. And this is not a clinic. And it's important that we make that distinction because many times when we think of clinic, we think that the people who are coming are only poor people. But what we're talking about is the fact that many people still don't have primary physicians. And even with their new health benefits and insurance, they have nowhere to go to take these benefits that now they have.

So one of the things that many of

the hospitals are doing as a public/private partnership is looking at creating WestMeds. WestMed is one of the partners in this project. What's important about that is that WestMed is the medicine of tomorrow. It is a facility where you go, you see your primary physician and then every other service that you need, whether it's x-rays, whether it's any kind of lab work. Everything is under one roof. And while that might look like a hospital, certainly there are no beds, this is not a residential stay. But this is a service.

The thing that a little bit surprised me when I talked with each of the partners was that the building was very tall.

And one of the things, as somebody who's served on the city council in my city, anyone that wants to build anything above six stories, we worried about things like shadowing, and so what happens to anybody who is in the shadow of this building.

More than that, we begin to talk about what the parking is going to look like and what the traffic is going to -- how the traffic is going to impact communities where these facilities come.

I admonished the hospital -- because that's who approached me first -- that they were coming at this juncture, when there was a problem, to talk about it. And I agreed with all the things that I heard because I encourage people to come to the city councils. And in the case of New York City, it's to your community boards, because your community boards are the place where there's real open discussion and the whole community usually comes and expresses their opinion.

They have assured me that they have worked with the community, but the push-back continues to be that they don't want the facility there.

Now, what they've attempted to do, as I understand it, is to consolidate. There are several different facilities in this surrounding area of Riverdale, and what they're doing is consolidating all of them into one facility. And the doctors are first-rate. These are not students, but these are people who are specialists in their field.

All of this becomes very important in terms of what's going to be provided and how

that service is going to be delivered. I think that what we have is a case of too often when people have as-of-right -- and for those of you who are what we call up in the country and you don't have to worry about as-of-right as much as we do in the city, you come in and no matter what the objections, you will build anyway.

But one of the things that I've always encouraged, particularly because I've worked with Montefiore in many instances, as has the Democratic Leader, Montefiore just took over our two hospitals and have folded them into the whole umbrella of Montefiore in order to save those hospitals and to make sure that care continues to be provided in our communities.

And so having worked with them, I know that the capacity to be good neighbors. But I think one of the difficulties is that this is a project that Riverdale doesn't want. They just don't want it. So no matter what you ask them to go, there's always going to be -- you give a checklist and then they correct all the things that you tell them and you go back and you get another checklist. And it's very clear that that's not a facility that they want.

We need the facility. And I'm not sure how we can work out the differences in terms of height, in terms of the parking, but those are things that all of us are going to have to work on. Because healthcare has to come to our communities. And if we continue to be NIMBY, we don't want it in our communities, then the people who really need the services are suffering.

And so that we can't be the bureaucrats that always speak for the people who have the greatest needs. We should be speaking on their behalf, and not on our own behalfs, and in many instances that's what does occur.

I saw this in the budget, it was called to my attention. I was deeply concerned, partly for some of the same reasons that Senator Gustavo Rivera talked about, but mainly because my concern is I don't want bad feelings about this facility and I don't want a self-fulfilling prophecy that it comes and it becomes all the nightmare that the people of Riverdale are afraid will happen.

But I also have the distinct feeling no matter what we do, that feeling is not going to go away. I'm not sure what we're doing to be

able to do, but I was concerned that the way -- I think my real concern was the way this action was taken in the budget, in a manner that seemed to be somewhat surreptitious.

And I'm glad we've had the opportunity to at least discuss it on the floor and to state that the County of the Bronx, which is highly represented in this chamber, is deeply concerned about how we provide healthcare in the best possible manner. And if every community is going to be closed to us, then what are we going to do about how we move forward?

We're having hospitals that are closing all over the place, and those that are staying open, they're going to be changing.

There's going to be a real revolution in the way hospital facilities look, and more of them going to be to moving toward a WestMed model. And so we have to anticipate that.

But what we can hope is that there will be a consideration and an understanding of the demographics of an existing community and how do we blend into that community and not overshadow it and create animosity on the part of the people who are residents. And particularly

because of the location of this particular facility, it becomes more important that we do that.

And just my last comment on this budget, certainly I am deeply concerned that we're not addressing additional issues around HIV and AIDS. I wanted more money in the educational facility, more outreach to be done in certain communities. Even though, you know, we're talking about the fact that there are almost no new cases of transmittal of HIV by mothers through breast milk. But we are having a continued increase among young girls, ages 12 to 22, that continues to be a major issue and a concern.

And a lot of that is because we're just not doing as much as we need to be doing in our -- and one of Senator Velmanette Montgomery's favorite things is school-based clinics. We're not expanding them, we're not funding them at the level that they could and should because we're not teaching our girls particularly about sensuality and their own sexuality. We're afraid to do it in the home because parents are not educated to do it or whatever their reasons, and

we're prohibiting our institutions from doing it. 1 2 So there has to be a point at which we help to do something different. Because I am 3 4 concerned about the numbers of young girls who 5 continue to -- and pregnancy today is the least of their concerns. But certainly other 6 7 transmitted diseases are continuing to be a major issues, and we're not addressing that in a wide 8 9 broad public policy in the way that I think it 10 ought to. So I appreciate, Senator Hannon, the 11 12 extensive work that you've done in this budget. And I can appreciate that, but those are just 13 some of the concerns that I needed to share in 14 15 terms of my concern about where we need to be looking as we go down the road. 16 17 Thank you. Thank you, 18 Mr. President. 19 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 20 Stavisky. 21 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you, Mr. President. 22 She doesn't appear to be in the 23 24 chamber, but I do want to thank Senator Tkaczyk 25 for her comments about the Early Intervention

issue, because I too am troubled at the lack of a legislative solution.

This is an issue that I have been working on also for a number of years. And last summer I was in downtown Flushing and a group of south Asians come up to me and said, We own some facilities that provide the Early Intervention services to children who are autistic or developmentally disabled or have some type of handicapping condition, and they weren't being paid. And to me, that's not fair.

And in fact, St. Mary's Hospital in Bayside sent out a letter saying that they were eliminating their Early Intervention program because they weren't being paid.

Now, the fact that it's not included in the budget or with its own freestanding bill seems to me to beg the question. And --

SENATOR HANNON: I'll take that as a question.

SENATOR STAVISKY: All right. And
let me just say that it's important because Early
Intervention --

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

25 | Hannon --

```
1
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me just
 2
     finish my one sentence.
 3
                  SENATOR HANNON: Could I yield to
 4
     that question?
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
     Stavisky --
 6
 7
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Yeah, let me
 8
     just finish the one sentence.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
10
     Stavisky has the floor.
                  Senator Hannon, why do you rise?
11
                  SENATOR HANNON: To yield to her
12
     question.
13
14
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Let me just --
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Okay.
16
     Senator Stavisky will continue, then yield to
17
    you.
18
                  Senator Stavisky, continue.
19
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: -- because Early
20
     Intervention does work.
21
                  I will be happy to yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
22
23
     Hannon.
                  SENATOR HANNON: I agree with you,
24
25
     it does work. We had legislation that we put
```

1 out. When we brought it up in the negotiations, 2 they scored it at virtually \$700 million. we thought that was pretty ridiculous and 3 4 outrageous. 5 But they continued to add to the problem by talking about the successes. They 6 7 issued a report. Pretty much everybody in the Early Intervention community said the report was 8 not correct. We continue to want to have 9 10 legislation. They decided they would have nothing more than about a two-day discussion of 11 this whole thing. 12 13 So the fact that there is no further 14 legislation in there is because we could not get them to agree. The "them" being the Executive, 15 16 the Department of Health. 17 We will continue to pursue this. As 18 I said before just when I was talking about 19 health, getting this program going well saves 20 those kids future problems, saves their health 21 future problems, and saves society an immense amount of money we'd otherwise have to address 22 down the road. 23 24 So I agree with you.

If you --

SENATOR STAVISKY:

25

```
Senator
 1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 2
     Stavisky.
 3
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      Would the
 4
     Senator respond to a question?
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 6
     Hannon, do you yield?
 7
                  SENATOR HANNON: Yes.
 8
                  SENATOR STAVISKY: Have there been
 9
     any other independent studies to assess the
10
     monetary cost?
                  SENATOR HANNON:
                                    No, but there's
11
     been a lot of discussions that we think that --
12
13
     the question of cost is a very interesting thing.
14
     Because part of the adoption of a fiscal agent,
15
     which had been proposed by this administration
     and several prior administrations, was it was
16
17
     really a shifting of cost, can we get insurance
18
     companies to pick up something that is covered by
19
     their policies.
20
                  Getting a determination as to which
21
     companies offer which policies is somewhat
     difficult. The stumbling block comes not because
22
     of, say, if we're covered by Empire and we know
23
     what the policy is and we know if you're a state
24
25
     employee you're covered by Empire, et cetera.
```

really comes because of the companies that are self-insured.

And self-insured are a special category under ERISA, one of the federal labor acts. And we're not even allowed as a state to ask a company if you're under ERISA. It's a total anomaly, they changed it only a little bit in the Affordable Care Act, but not enough.

So that the fiscal agent purports to just say to the insurance company, Are you self-insured or you're not? And then you have to presume, if they're not, who's going to pay for it. And if there isn't coverage, the state has to pay for it. All of that adds to delay, and there wasn't a decent mechanism.

So the question of cost is -- it's a question really of who's paying, not the total cost. Is there an independent study? No, there's not, because you have this barrier as to what the state can ask of the self-insured companies.

22 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you,
23 Mr. President.

Thank you, Senator. That was pretty much what the EI providers had said to me. Thank

```
1
     you.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Thank
 3
     you. Is there any other Senator wishing to be
     heard?
 4
 5
                  Seeing none, hearing none, debate is
              The Secretary will ring the bell.
 6
     closed.
 7
                  Read the last section.
 8
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 3. This
     act shall take effect immediately.
9
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Call the
     roll.
11
12
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Carlucci to explain his vote.
14
15
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                      Thank you,
16
     Mr. President.
17
                  I want to thank my colleagues for
18
     working on this very important piece of
19
     legislation, this budget bill. I want to thank
20
     the members of the Mental Health Committee.
21
     were able to do quite a bit.
22
                  Some of the most important pieces, I
23
     think, are respecting and acknowledging the hard
     work that direct-service professionals do. The
24
25
     direct-service professionals in the State of
```

```
New York, the tens of thousands of them are the
 1
 2
     backbone of the Office for People With
     Developmental Disabilities. They do some of the
 3
 4
     hardest work. And unfortunately, they haven't
 5
     seen a cost-of-living adjustment in about a half
     a decade.
 6
 7
                  So with this budget we're able to
     raise their salaries by 2 percent --
 8
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
10
     Carlucci, excuse me.
                  Can we have some order in the
11
     chamber, please?
12
13
                  Senator Carlucci, continue.
14
                  SENATOR CARLUCCI:
                                       Thank you,
15
     Mr. President.
16
                  Our direct-service providers are
     really the backbone of the Office for People With
17
18
     Developmental Disabilities, the DD system.
19
     have some of the hardest jobs.
20
     unfortunately, the average salary for a
21
     direct-service provider is around $29,000 in the
     State of New York. And think of it, they haven't
22
     received a COLA in five years.
23
                  So I want to thank my colleagues for
24
25
     coming together to find a way to say we can do
```

that COLA, a 2 percent COLA, starting January 1st of 2015.

Another important piece is that we've got to really pay attention and make sure that there's a career ladder that we engage people who are doing some of the hardest work. That we say yes, if you want to make a profession in being a direct-service professional, that there's a career for you, there's a career ladder.

And in this budget we were able to put in a Direct-Service Professional Credential Pilot Program to make sure that there is a career ladder, that hopefully people that are doing this work don't have to work two to three jobs to do this, to raise a family, that they'll be able to live in the community and be able to do this over a long period of time.

Some of the other important pieces that we were able to do. Right now the Centers for Medicaid Services are putting a mandate on the state to close our sheltered workshops. We have 8,000 people with developmental disabilities currently in sheltered workshops that are going to be forced to close over the coming years. We

need to find ways to put people into integrated 1 2 employment settings. 3 With our developmental disability 4 tax credit, we're going to give employers up to 5 \$5,000 to hire someone with a developmental disability. So this will not only give people a 6 7 meaningful job, but it will allow people with developmental disabilities to really achieve 8 their full potential. 9 10 Another very important piece is updating the Community Reinvestment Act of 1993. 11 12 We've now increased every bed from a floor of \$70,000 to \$110,000 that will be invested back 13 14 into the community any time there's a closure at 15 any one of our facilities. 16 So there's a lot of good things in this budget. I want to thank my colleagues for 17 18 working together and protecting some of our most vulnerable populations. 19 20 Mr. President, I'll be voting yes. 21 Thank you. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 22 Senator Carlucci to be recorded in the affirmative. 23 Senator Rivera to explain his vote. 24 25 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you,

Mr. President, just to briefly explain my vote. 1 2 As I said earlier, I think that there's a lot of very positive things in this 3 4 budget bill. Just a couple of things I didn't 5 point out before. Certainly, as we talked about Early 6 7 Intervention, I certainly thank everyone who fought to make sure that that was in the budget. 8 That was a very positive thing. 9 10 Also, the private equity provision, something that was rejected, was something that 11 12 we in the Democratic Conference certainly thought that needed to be out of the budget, and it was, 13 14 and that was a positive thing.

Also we have the -- as Senator

Hannon mentioned, we have Doctors Across

New York, we have \$2.5 million for a physician

loan program. And the physician practice support

is something that we also supported.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just thought it was necessary to stand up for a second and say that those are things that were also in this budget and I think are positive overall, and that's why I will also be voting in the affirmative.

Thank you, Mr. President.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 2
     Rivera to be recorded in the affirmative.
 3
                  Announce the results.
 4
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 61.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The bill
     is passed.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, can
 8
     we now go to Calendar Number 372.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The
10
     Secretary will read Calendar Number 372.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
11
12
     372, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 6355D, an
     act to amend the Vehicle and Traffic Law.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
14
15
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
    believe there is an amendment at the desk. I ask
17
18
     that the reading be waived and that
19
     Senator O'Brien may be heard on the amendment.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
21
     Gianaris, upon review of the amendment I rule
22
     that it is not germane to the bill and therefore
     out of order.
23
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      I'd like to
24
25
     appeal the decision and ask that Senator O'Brien
```

be heard on the appeal.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: An appeal has been so made and, Senator O'Brien, you may be 4 heard.

SENATOR O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. President.

My amendment to the budget bill is germane because it does not unreasonably expand the object of the underlying bill, it maintains the same purpose and addresses the same areas of law.

The purpose of my amendment is to ensure that public officials who are found guilty for acts of corruption do not profit from their wrongdoings. Public officials collect pensions accrued while in office even while they are in jail for crimes they committed while in office or even through their abuse of their office.

The 2011 ethics package signed by Governor Cuomo prevents this from happening in the future, but did not apply to any public officials who held office prior to that date.

In order to protect the well-being of our constituents, we must make sure that public officials cannot profit from their

wrongdoings. Allowing a convicted official to collect a six-figure pension is bad for the taxpayers and sends the wrong message to the voters.

This proposal simply allows a court to decide whether or not to pursue restitution for an official's crime. That means that where taxpayers were cheated by an official's conduct, the taxpayers have a right to collect that money through the official's pension. This is a common-sense way to protect taxpayer dollars being administered by impartial judges.

When public officials violate the public trust, they are breaking an oath to uphold the laws and Constitution of the State of

New York. Officials agree to uphold the law, and they violate that agreement when they commit acts of public corruption. Because of that violation, courts should be free to decide whether an order of restitution is necessary to make the taxpayers whole.

Thank you, Mr. President.

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank

you, Senator O'Brien.

The vote is on the ruling of the

```
chair and overruling the ruling of the chair.
 1
 2
     all those in favor of overruling of the ruling of
     the chair signify by saying aye.
 3
 4
                  Senator Gianaris.
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
     please, Mr. President.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: A show of
 8
     hands has been requested and so ordered.
 9
                  All those voting in favor of
10
     overruling the ruling of the chair please raise
     your hand.
11
                  Announce the results.
12
13
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 27.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
15
     ruling of the chair stands.
16
                  Senator Gianaris.
17
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
18
     believe there is a final amendment at the desk
19
     for the night. I ask that the reading of that
20
     amendment be waived and that Senator Krueger may
21
     be heard on the amendment.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
23
     Gianaris, upon review of the amendment, I rule
     that the amendment is not germane to the bill and
24
25
     therefore out of order.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       I appeal the
 2
     decision of the chair, and I ask that Senator
     Krueger be heard.
 3
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 5
     appeal is so noted, and Senator Krueger will be
     heard.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you,
 8
     Mr. President.
 9
                  My amendment to the budget bill is
10
     germane because it does not unreasonably expand
     the object of the underlying bill, maintains the
11
12
     same purpose, and addresses the same areas of
13
     law.
                  This amendment that I offer today
14
15
     would add $340 million for allocations to cities,
16
     towns and villages in the Aid and Incentives for
     Municipalities program, or AIM, under "Local
17
18
     Government Assistance" in this appropriations
     bill.
19
20
                  The AIM program is a property tax
21
     relief program that has a proven history of
     success in this state and, when sufficiently
22
23
     funded, effectively suppresses the growth of
     municipal property tax levies.
24
25
                  Under my amendment, AIM funding
```

would increase by nearly 43 percent over current funding in the next fiscal year, providing \$140 million more to the cities, towns and villages outside of New York City and \$200 million to New York City. As we know, AIM funding has been flat or reducing for several years.

AIM funding has provided significant municipal property tax relief. Since local governments across the state are under immense fiscal stress due to unfunded mandates, the aftermath of the Great Recession, a declining property tax base and now a 2 percent cap on property tax levies, growth in AIM funding is more critical now than ever. The time has come to restore the AIM program as a key component for providing fiscal relief to local governments and their property tax owners throughout the state.

I sat through budget hearings and heard local officials from towns, villages, county officials, board experts from the State School Board Association, an endless stream of examples of the problems that our local governments were facing and our local school districts were facing.

```
1
                  It is our responsibility as the
 2
     state government to ensure that we're providing
     adequate funding to guarantee that the actual
 3
     services that need to be delivered at the local
 4
 5
     level are delivered. This is a perfectly
     reasonable increase in AIM funding, and we should
 6
 7
     not go home here tonight unless we're prepared to
 8
     provide it to our localities.
 9
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
     you, Senator Krueger.
11
12
                  Again, this will be a vote on
     procedure, on the ruling of the chair. All those
13
     in favor of overruling the ruling of the chair
14
15
     please say aye.
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
     Mr. President.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
19
     Gianaris has requested a show of hands.
20
                  All those in favor of overruling the
21
     ruling of the chair please show your hand.
22
                  Announce the results.
23
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 28.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              The
25
     ruling of the chair stands.
```

```
The bill is before the house.
 1
 2
                  Senator Squadron.
 3
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
 4
     the sponsor would yield.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     DeFrancisco, do you yield?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes, I would.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
9
     Squadron.
10
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
                  I wanted to ask the sponsor a little
11
12
     bit about this pilot program that's in this bill
     for public financing of election.
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
14
                                         I've been
15
     asked to refer that question to Senator Valesky.
16
     He would like to respond to the questions and to
     explain that part of the budget.
17
18
                  And I'll answer anything else,
     except about housing in New York.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
21
     DeFrancisco defers to Senator Valesky without
22
     objection.
                  Hearing none, Senator Valesky, do
23
     you yield to Senator Squadron?
24
25
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes, I yield.
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 2
     Squadron.
 3
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
                  And as much as I do object to not
 4
 5
     being able to discuss this with the sponsor, I
     look forward to discussing it with Senator
 6
 7
     Valesky.
 8
                  If Senator Valesky just would
 9
     describe what this pilot for this single election
10
     is.
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Certainly.
11
                                                  This
12
     is a pilot project, a voluntary matching program
     in the office of the State Comptroller for this
13
     year's election for the State Comptroller.
14
15
                  It's a six-to-one match.
16
     Contributions from $10 to $175 from individuals,
     residents of New York State. Two thousand or
17
18
     more of those would be matched once that
19
     candidate received $200,000 in contributions.
20
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      If the sponsor
21
     would continue to yield.
22
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                                             The
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
     sponsor yields.
24
25
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: And this would
```

```
be for which election cycle?
 1
 2
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     This would be,
     Mr. President, for the election for the office of
 3
 4
     State Comptroller in November of this year.
 5
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      If the sponsor
     would continue to yield.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
9
     Valesky yields.
10
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
                  And under this proposal, when would
11
     the first distribution of public dollars
12
     potentially happen?
13
14
                  SENATOR VALESKY: This pilot
15
     program would also apply to both the primary and
16
     the general election for the office of
     Comptroller. This provision of the bill would
17
18
     take effect on the first of May.
19
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
20
     continue to yield.
21
                  And I appreciate that. Based on the
22
     calendar --
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Valesky yields, Senator Squadron.
24
25
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Based on the
 2
     calendar laid out in the bill, when would be the
 3
     first day that candidates would be eligible to
 4
     receive public dollars for the election?
 5
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     They would be
     eligible to receive matching funds once the
 6
 7
     candidate received at least 2,000 contributions
 8
     from a $10 to $175 range. And when that total
     dollar amount equals $200,000, they would be able
 9
10
     to apply for those matching funds.
11
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
12
     would continue to yield.
13
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Senator
15
     Valesky yields.
16
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: And I appreciate
     the thresholds.
17
18
                  Just in terms of dates, is it fair
     to say that the first distribution of matching
19
20
     funds would be sometime in mid-July?
21
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Yes, that's fair
22
     to say.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
23
     would continue to yield.
24
25
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
```

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 1
 2
     Valesky yields.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
 3
                                      Based on our
     analysis of this proposal, in fact the first
 4
 5
     distribution of matching funds could be in
     77 business days from today. Does that sound
 6
 7
     about right?
 8
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Give or take.
 9
                  (Laughter.)
10
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
     would continue to yield.
11
12
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Certainly.
13
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      When New York
14
     City enacted a system of matching funds, does the
15
     sponsor know the period of time between the
     passage of that provision and the distribution of
16
     the first set of matching funds?
17
18
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Well, that was a
     number of years ago, and I'm sure you're aware of
19
20
     that.
21
                  But I'm glad you raised that issue,
22
     because the system that has been in existence in
     New York City has certainly given the State of
23
     New York a template upon which to pattern this
24
     pilot project for the office of State
25
```

Comptroller. 1 2 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 3 would continue to yield. 4 SENATOR VALESKY: Yes. 5 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Valesky yields. 6 7 SENATOR SQUADRON: And it's the 8 reading of the bill that it would require the 9 promulgation of rules and regulations, the hiring 10 of apparently 12 full-time people to administer this program. It would all have to happen within 11 12 that 77-day period. 13 Does that lead to any concern for 14 the sponsor about the ability to have a 15 successful matching funds program in an 16 environment where we know some very members of this house have real concerns about matching 17 18 funds programs? 19 SENATOR VALESKY: Well, I don't 20 share those concerns. I certainly have 21 confidence in the Board of Elections' ability to 22 comply with this piece of law, and I'm sure they will carry it out. 23 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 24 25 would continue to yield.

Certainly. 1 SENATOR VALESKY: 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 3 Valesky yields. 4 SENATOR SQUADRON: Has the sponsor 5 had or been aware of any conversations with the Board of Elections in which they have suggested 6 7 that they are comfortable enacting this sort of 8 matching funds system in 77 business days? 9 SENATOR VALESKY: I have not. 10 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor would continue to yield. 11 12 SENATOR VALESKY Yes. 13 SENATOR SQUADRON: I actually am a big supporter of matching funds systems. 14 15 run for office under a system, the Wild West system in the state, and I've run for office with 16 a matching funds system, and there's no question 17 18 that it empowers regular people. And the system encourages all of us to do what all of us are in 19 20 this business to do, which is speak to folks 21 about the public service we want to provide if we 22 are given that privilege. 23 And I do worry, though, about any proposal that would undermine the argument for 24 25 this. You know, I know that just a couple of

weeks ago we had a provision on here in which 1 2 Senator DeFrancisco expressed a real concern 3 about this sort of system. And I also know that 4 the City Campaign Finance Board has over many 5 years had a lot of legitimate concerns raised about its function. And there's a lot of 6 7 legitimate concerns about when you're using these kinds of dollars, you want to make sure they're 8 9 really going to their purpose. So I want to make 10 sure that we're not undermining it. Let me ask, in that context, why 11 12 only the Comptroller? Why only the Comptroller? 13 SENATOR VALESKY: Mr. President, 14 through you, this is a result of negotiations 15 between the Governor, the Senate and the Assembly. And as a result of those negotiations 16 17 and as a good first step down the path toward a 18 matching system in the State of New York, it was 19 determined that the Comptroller's office or the 20 election of the State Comptroller would be the place to begin a pilot project, the appropriate 21 22 place. SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 23 would continue to yield. 24 25 SENATOR VALESKY: Certainly.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 2
     Valesky yields.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: Is it because of
 3
 4
     the fact that statewide candidate in New York
 5
     State can take more than $60,000 from individuals
     or limited liability corporations, what amount to
 6
 7
     essentially unlimited sums, that we started with
 8
     a statewide race, or is it because of some other
     factor?
 9
10
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Well,
11
     Mr. President, you have to start somewhere. And
12
     it was determined through the negotiation process
     of this budget that the office of State
13
     Comptroller would be a fine place to begin.
14
15
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      If the sponsor
     would continue to yield.
16
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Yes, I'll yield.
17
18
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Was there any
19
     discussion of including other offices in this
20
     77-day pilot?
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     There have been
21
     lots of discussions among the Governor, the
22
     Senate, the Assembly, about matching funds,
23
     offices that would be eligible for a matching
24
25
     fund program, years in which the program would
```

1 start. 2 But as far as this budget is, in this final budget bill, this Public 3 4 Protection/General Government budget bill, as a 5 result of those negotiations it was determined among the Governor, the Senate and the Assembly 6 7 that the office of Comptroller would be an appropriate place to begin a pilot or a test 8 9 project. 10 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor would continue to yield. 11 12 SENATOR VALESKY: Yup. 13 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Valesky yields. 14 15 SENATOR SQUADRON: In a scenario in 16 which no one chose to participate in this pilot program and this were a test, as the sponsor 17 18 refers to it, would the sponsor's view be that 19 that would make it likely that we would then take 20 the next step in expanding a matching funds 21 system in this state? Well, I do think 22 SENATOR VALESKY: 23 that whether any candidate for this office participates in the matching program or not would 24 25 have very little to do with whatever this

1 Legislature, in the next three months or so 2 between now and the end of the legislative session, or the next Legislature would do on this 3 4 question. I'm not sure there's any correlation 5 at all as to whether participation in this pilot has any influence on what any future Legislature 6 might do around matching funds projects. 7 8 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 9 would continue to yield. 10 SENATOR VALESKY: Yes. SENATOR SQUADRON: So just to 11 understand, the idea is that this is a test to 12 see if this works, but whether or not the test 13 14 happens will have no impact on the ability in the 15 future to expand a matching funds system? 16 SENATOR VALESKY: Mr. President, 17 through you. Whether or not the test happens is 18 directly the responsibility of the candidates who 19 are running for the office of State Comptroller, 20 not the Legislature that is enacting this pilot 21 project. 22 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor would continue to yield. 23 SENATOR VALESKY 24 Yes. 25 SENATOR SQUADRON: Has there been

any sense that any candidates for Comptroller 1 2 would participate in this test, thereby allowing 3 us to show its impact? 4 SENATOR VALESKY: That would be a 5 question that would have to be asked of the numerous or not so numerous candidates that may 6 7 be running for the office of State Comptroller 8 this year. 9 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 10 would continue to yield. SENATOR VALESKY: 11 Yes. 12 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: The sponsor yields, Senator Squadron. 13 14 SENATOR SOUADRON: Thank you. 15 Moving forward, is there anything about this -- well, actually, moving forward, the 16 sponsor talks about sort of the process by which 17 18 we ended up with this test that may or may not be 19 a a test. Just to understand, because I know the 20 Assembly in their one-house budget put a system 21 of matching funds, I know that Senator Klein has 22 in the past sponsored a system of matching funds, 23 I know that Senator Stewart-Cousins, I believe, currently sponsors a system of matching funds. 24 25 Just to understand sort of where we

```
1
     are in the dynamic here as this very quick test
 2
     happens, why didn't we end up with more this
     year? Is it the belief of the Majority Coalition
 3
     overall that this is as much as we should do
 4
 5
     right now? Or was this a negotiation based on
     the fact that many members of the Majority
 6
 7
     Coalition oppose matching funds?
 8
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     This pilot
 9
     project was the result of three-way negotiations
10
     between the Governor, the Senate and the
     Assembly. This is the result for this particular
11
12
     budget that was agreed to.
13
                  But certainly going forward, as I
14
     indicated earlier, we have three months of the
15
     session left this year, and there's certainly
16
     every indication that negotiations and
     discussions among those three parties will
17
18
     continue as to the potential of enhancing a
19
     matching system for other offices in future
20
     years.
21
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor
22
     would continue to yield.
23
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

1 SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you. 2 I appreciate the implicit fact in that statement that the sponsor at least believes that expanding 3 4 this would be a positive thing, that this is too 5 little and too late when it comes to putting the kind of matching funds system in that we so 6 7 desperately need and that so many folks and of course the Governor himself -- and I was remiss 8 in saying this -- in his budget address, in his 9 10 State of the State, made very clear how important exactly this kind of matching funds system would 11 12 So I'm glad to see hear the sponsor at least seems to share that view. 13 Just so that I can really 14 15 understand, as affects the races for Governor, Attorney General, and State Legislature, would 16 this bill do anything to close the so-called 17 18 LLC loophole by which corporations can contribute 19 essentially unlimited amounts of money if their 20 principal starts new businesses? 21 SENATOR VALESKY: This bill's focus 22 in terms of campaign financing is on establishing this pilot program for matching 23 funds. 24 25 SENATOR SQUADRON: I'll take that

```
as a no.
 1
 2
                  If the sponsor would continue to
 3
     yield.
 4
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yup.
 5
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: Would this bill
     do anything for -- for Governor, Attorney General
 6
 7
     and State Legislature do anything about
 8
     contribution limits, which for statewide
 9
     candidates are over $60,000, for State Senate
10
     candidates are more than $16,000, for State
11
     Assembly candidates are nearly $8,000 -- would it
12
     do anything about the extraordinarily high
13
     contribution limits that currently impact this
     for the offices of Governor, Attorney General and
14
15
     State Legislature?
16
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Mr. President,
     this bill's focus or this part of this bill's
17
18
     focus is to demonstrate the efficacy of a
19
     matching system in the State of New York through
20
     a pilot program for the office of State
21
     Comptroller this year.
22
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: So I'll take
23
     that as a no.
                  If the sponsor would continue to
24
25
     yield.
```

1 SENATOR VALESKY: Yeah. 2 Would this bill SENATOR SQUADRON: 3 do anything about the fact that according to a 4 recent study by the New York State Public 5 Interest Research Group, more than 50 percent of all contributions made in this state don't come 6 7 from individuals but come from non-individual 8 entities, nonresidents of the state by nature? 9 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 10 DeFrancisco, why do you rise? Yes, I think 11 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 12 I -- I just wanted to mention that I referred the questions with respect to this bill as to the 13 14 pilot program to Senator Valesky. I would be 15 happy to answer all the other campaign finance issues you may have because he has explained all 16 that it is and that there may be discussions in 17 18 the future. 19 So I would be more than happy to 20 answer all your other questions, if you don't mind, Senator Valesky. 21 22 SENATOR SQUADRON: I'm pleased to hear that Senator DeFrancisco is back in the 23 24 ring. Welcome. 25 SENATOR VALESKY: Well, if I may

1 just --2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 3 Valesky, you may continue. 4 SENATOR VALESKY: If I may just 5 answer that final question to address some of the issues that Senator Squadron has raised, I think 6 7 it's worth noting that as we complete this budget process, the minority in this house has offered a 8 total of six amendments -- all were ruled by you, 9 10 Mr. President, not germane -- everything from 11 pensions to hydrofracking to Hire New York bill, charter schools, Common Core, AIM funding 12 13 increase. Yet there is no amendment or no 14 attempt of an amendment to anything that Senator 15 Squadron has presented during his series of 16 questions. So I think that's worth noting. 17 Thank you. 18 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank you, Senator Valesky. 19 20 Senator Squadron, you still have the 21 floor. 22 SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you. 23 Thank you. And I'll talk about that in a second. But, Senator DeFrancisco, I think we 24 25 were coming to the end of this, but let's just

Is there anything that -- would this bill 1 do anything about the fact that for races other 2 than Comptroller -- for Governor, Attorney 3 4 General and State Legislature -- the fact that 5 there are essentially 250 contributors in New York State that give the lion's share of all 6 7 the contributions in contributions well over \$5,000 and that less than 3 percent or around 8 3 percent of contributions in this state to any 9 10 candidate running come in \$250 or smaller chunks? SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, there 11 12 is no change in here with respect to levels of donations and caps on expenditures, caps on 13 14 donations. There's nothing in this bill on that. 15 However, now that you ask, there are some very important things that are in this bill. 16 17 And the very important things that are in this 18 bill is that there are several additional changes in the law making higher penalties for violations 19 20 of the Election Law. In fact, one Election Law provision dealing with public corruption has been 21 22 removed from the Election Law and put in the Penal Law for criminal enforcement. 23 In addition, there's a new offense 24 25 called corrupting the government which has

substantial penalties.

In addition, bribery nowadays -- a bribery has always been someone offers, someone accepts. Well, the DAs throughout the state have been saying wait a minute, the offer should be enough for the highest penalty, because that's the crime. Whether it's accepted or not, they're trying to bribe a public official.

So now the definitions of a bribery and attempt are the same as they currently are. An attempt is someone doesn't accept, and it's just an offer. A bribery is an offer and acceptance. But the penalties of a bribery and an attempted bribery are now the same. So you can't just say that someone didn't accept the bribe and therefore I should get the lower charge, the attempt.

In addition, there's a new compliance enforcement unit that's put in the Board of Elections. And a lot of good things there. How many technical violations never get picked up? Now the compliance unit is going to have to pick them up and not say "I gotcha," but give the candidate 30 days to cure if it's an inadvertent violation and not an intentional one.

Which gives all of us the opportunity to fill in a blank that maybe someone didn't fill in correctly.

But once it's turned out to be intentional, you continue to refuse, well then there's substantial penalties and that's where another important point happens. There is a -- this is the last thing I'll say, and then you can go on. But you're looking for a forum, I'm giving you a forum.

The last thing that I'll mention right now is there is now an enforcement counsel that's going to be assigned to the Board of Elections. The Board of Elections will continue to do their job. However, this individual, selected by the Governor with the advice and consent of both the Senate and the Assembly, that individual -- because the criticism has been, well, two Democrats, two Republicans, they always result in a tie, nothing ever gets done. This individual not only can investigate and present these problems to the board, but, in the event there's a tie, he or she can break the tie.

So even though, even though some of the things you were looking for with respect to

```
public finance and limits and so forth didn't
 1
 2
     occur, there are substantial reforms in this
 3
     budget bill.
 4
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: And I thank the
 5
     sponsor for that walk down Distraction Lane.
 6
                  On the bill, Mr. President.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 8
     Squadron on the bill.
 9
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Excuse me.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
11
     DeFrancisco.
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          I thought
13
     that maybe the public might want to know what
     reforms we have done in this budget, good
14
15
     reforms, not just listen to someone complain
16
     about things that are not in the bill.
17
                  So that wasn't a diversion, it was
18
     an attempt to educate.
19
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              We
21
     appreciate you providing information on the bill.
22
                  Senator Squadron, continue to keep
     your comments germane to the bill.
23
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: As I have,
24
     Mr. President. Thank you.
25
```

ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: On the 1 2 bill, Senator Squadron. 3 SENATOR SQUADRON: On the bill, Mr. President. 4 5 And it's interesting that when we're talking about the very small number of heavily 6 7 invested, deeply pocketed individuals and entities that contribute the lion's share of 8 dollars to candidates for election in this state, 9 10 instead what we get is distraction. 11 Because the truth is we have a huge problem in this state. We have some of the most 12 lax campaign finance laws in the country. 13 have contribution limits that shock individuals 14 15 across the state when they learn about them. We have a system and a culture where a very, very 16 small number of folks even participate in order 17 18 to help elected officials raise dollars. And we have loopholes like the LLC loophole that let 19 20 individuals, through a pretty simple mechanism, literally contribute unlimited sums to candidates 21 22 in this state. We need better enforcement on the 23 Board of Elections. And we agree on that, and 24 25 let's just be clear. We agree. That's positive,

it's good. The reason we have to talk about this is you have a Governor, a State Assembly majority and members of both the minority and the majority in this house that support doing something about the absolutely shameful campaign finance system that we have in this state, and yet we don't have a chance to vote on that in this budget.

And I appreciate Senator Valesky requesting more hostile amendments in this process. But as he points out, all six of those hostile amendments have not only failed, they've failed to even get a vote on the substance of the matter.

And this is not an issue where we need to raise the question again, it is an issue where we need a result. And I would hope that Senator Valesky would share our commitment and my commitment to reaching a result on this issue, not simply having another bill come up and be voted down through parliamentary procedure.

The fact that we have a proposal here that would require within 77 days or so a state entity to be ready to put out matching funds, and we haven't spoken to the state entity that's going to do that, the Board of Elections,

according to the sponsor, haven't gotten any commitment from any candidates that they're willing to do this, means that it's not a real test. It's a system that's designed to undermine the arguments for matching funds and for finance financing over time.

And I know that that's not the sponsor's intent. I know the sponsor is a long-time supporter of this. But unfortunately that is going to be the consequence here. By the sponsor I mean Senator Valesky, who spoke on the bill, which I appreciate.

The truth is we know what we need here. We know what works. The Governor was very clear laying this out at the beginning of the year. Senator Klein, that member of the Majority Coalition has been clear. Senator Stewart-Cousins has been clear. Speaker Silver and the Assembly majority have been clear.

And we don't have anything that's going to change the system in the state before us right now. In fact, I fear what we have before us is something that's going to undermine the argument for fundamental reform that we so desperately need.

It might lead to distraction, it might lead to some patting ourselves on the back for dealing with a Board of Elections that's been underfunded and unable to do its job, but it is not going to deal with the core problem in this state, small numbers of heavily invested, deeply pocketed individuals and entities who have a vastly outsized influence instead of the regular people across this state who should be empowered in elections for Governor, for Attorney General, for Comptroller, for State Senate and for State Assembly.

This won't do that. And I really fear what it will do is give opponents of this -- of whom we know there are a whole lot in this house -- ammunition to prevent a system of matching funds and public financing from ever in the foreseeable future being implemented in this state.

That's the reason I'm going to have to vote against this bill, it's the reason I'm so disappointed today. And I really do hope that Senator Valesky's suggestion that we will see this again this year turns out to be true. If we do, I look forward to standing shoulder to

```
shoulder and figuring out how to make it a
 1
 2
     reality.
 3
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
 5
     you, Senator Squadron.
 6
                  Senator Gianaris.
 7
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Thank you,
 8
     Mr. President.
 9
                  I would ask Senator Valesky to
10
     excuse my back, but ask him if he would yield for
     some questions.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Valesky, do you yield?
13
14
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Certainly.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Gianaris.
16
17
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Thank you.
18
                  I would ask if the sponsor remembers
19
     when this body passed the Public Integrity Reform
     Act in June of 2011.
20
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
22
     Valesky.
23
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
     Mr. President, I do recall that.
24
25
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: And if the
```

```
sponsor would continue to yield.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                    Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                             Senator
     Valesky yields.
 4
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Does he recall
     that in that act that we passed in June of 2011
 6
 7
     there was a requirement that the Board of
 8
     Elections would establish regulations by
 9
     January 2012 dealing with the disclosure of
10
     independent expenditures?
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Mr. President, I
11
12
     appreciate Senator Gianaris reminding me of that.
     We've passed a couple of thousand bills between
13
     then and now, so I appreciate his refresher.
14
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Thank you.
16
                  And if he would continue to yield
17
     again.
18
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
19
                                              Senator
20
     Valesky yields.
21
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Allow me to
22
     refresh some more. And I would ask if Senator
     Valesky is aware that the Board of Elections,
23
     given a full six months to implement regulations
24
25
     dealing with disclosures of independent
```

```
1
     expenditures, took almost a year and a half to
 2
     actually get as far as adopting those
                   It took till October of 2012 before
 3
     regulations.
 4
     they were adopted.
                                     Well,
 5
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
     Mr. President, I will repeat what I said in my
 6
 7
     answer to Senator Squadron's question. I have
     confidence in the Board of Elections' ability,
 8
     subsequent to the passage of this legislation, to
 9
10
     comply in a timely fashion with the statute at
     hand, which is a pilot project in matching funds
11
     for the office of State Comptroller.
12
13
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: And if Senator
     Valesky will continue to yield.
14
15
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
16
                                              Senator
     Valesky yields.
17
18
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Does Senator
     Valesky have any evidentiary reason to believe
19
20
     that a Board of Elections that could not adopt in
21
     almost a year and a half simple disclosure
22
     regulations somehow in three months or so will be
     able to adopt something much more ambitious in
23
     terms of implementing for the first time in this
24
25
     state a public matching fund program?
```

```
1
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     For one race,
 2
     Mr. President -- one, one race, the office of
 3
     State Comptroller -- yes, I am definitely
 4
     confident that the Board of Elections will be
 5
     able to comply.
 6
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Again, if
 7
     Senator Valesky would continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
10
     Valesky yields.
11
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      The question was
12
     not whether he's confident, the question is
     whether he has any evidentiary evidence that the
13
     board can do something this ambitious in this
14
15
     short a time, because we do have some evidence
16
     that they cannot.
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Mr. President, I
17
18
     am convinced that they will be able to comply
     with the statute.
19
20
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: If the Senator
21
     would continue to yield.
22
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yup.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: And I apologize
23
     if I'm being redundant with some of the things
24
25
     Senator Squadron covered, but if I could ask
```

```
1
     again, why is this applied to the State
 2
     Comptroller only and no other offices?
                  SENATOR VALESKY
 3
                                    Mr. President, can
 4
     Senator Gianaris repeat the question? I'm sorry.
 5
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Why the
     Comptroller only, is the question. Why was that
 6
 7
     office selected and no others included?
 8
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     The office of
 9
     Comptroller was selected as a test pilot for the
10
     2014 election based on negotiations between the
     Governor, the Senate and the Assembly.
11
12
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       And again, if
     Senator Valesky would yield.
13
14
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       I would ask if
     Senator Valesky can enlighten us regarding those
16
     negotiations, if he could take us into that back
17
18
     room for a moment and explain to us which of the
19
     parties in that room had a problem with expanding
20
     it beyond just the Comptroller's office.
21
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Well,
22
     Mr. President, that's a question that I am not
     able to answer. I am not in that room, so he
23
     will ask have to ask others who perhaps were.
24
25
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Would Senator
```

```
Klein like to yield? I believe I was invited to
 1
 2
     ask others in the room to answer the question.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                             Senator
 4
     Klein has not spoken on this bill, Senator
 5
     Gianaris.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I'll answer
 6
 7
     it, but I don't know what it is.
 8
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: You weren't in
9
     the room either, apparently.
10
                  (Laughter.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Would you
11
     like Senator DeFrancisco to attempt?
12
13
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: If he'd like to
14
     give it a try.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
16
     DeFrancisco, do you yield to Senator Gianaris?
17
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
18
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: The question
19
     was -- and I'm afraid to say I think you might
20
     not have standing to answer it either. But the
21
     question was in the room in which these
22
     negotiations were ongoing, which was the party
23
     that was opposed to expanding this beyond just
     the Comptroller's office?
24
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, you
```

know as well as anyone does that you negotiate 1 2 with an object to try to attain some goal, and 3 those negotiations in many situations are private 4 negotiations so no one is unduly blamed for one 5 position or another. I can tell you quite candidly I 6 7 personally was in some of the discussions, and I'll tell you I would not have necessarily done a 8 pilot. There was a difference of opinion on many 9 10 people. Each person in this room may have a 11 different position. But to suggest who took this position or another, different people in each 12 conference would have different answers to that. 13 14 So Senator Valesky mentioned that 15 there will be additional negotiations. If people start pointing fingers that this person wouldn't 16 do that, this person wouldn't do that, the level 17 18 of trust to try to reach a result I think would be hurt. And so -- and plus it's irrelevant. 19 20 SENATOR GIANARIS: Would somebody continue to yield, I don't know which --21 22 (Laughter.) SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I'm here. 23 Ι 24 was starting to, you know, lose my energy. 25 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

```
DeFrancisco yields.
 1
 2
                  Senator Gianaris.
 3
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Thank you.
 4
                  Well, initially, let me just take a
 5
     tremendous issue with what was just stated by
     Senator DeFrancisco. The idea that letting the
 6
 7
     public be aware of who has what position on an
     issue somehow hurts the cause is exactly the
 8
     problem we're facing, not only in the State
 9
10
     Senate but in state government in its entirety.
                  And I would ask -- I guess I'll go
11
12
     back to Senator Valesky, if he would yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
13
14
     Valesky, do you yield?
15
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Senator Valesky,
     forget about the room for a moment that you
17
18
     weren't in. But do you yourself support the
19
     inclusion of the State Legislature in a public
20
     matching program?
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
21
                                              Senator
22
     Valesky.
23
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: And if Senator
24
25
     Valesky would continue to yield.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                      Yes.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 3
     Senator yields.
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Thank you.
 5
                  Can Senator Valesky please explain
     to us how it is that a bill is approved to come
 6
 7
     to the floor of the Senate for a vote?
 8
     approval is required?
 9
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                      Well,
10
     Mr. President, I certainly am quite confident
     that Senator Gianaris and every member of this
11
     Senate is fully aware of the rules that govern
12
     this Senate that say that the co-presidents of
13
     the Senate establish the daily active list.
14
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Thank you.
16
                  And if Senator Valesky would
     continue to yield.
17
18
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                      Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
19
                                              The
20
     Senator yields.
21
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      And if he can
22
     speak for the leader of his conference -- I don't
     know if he can -- but my question is why would
23
     the leader of his conference, the co-leader of
24
25
     this Senate, agree to bring this bill to this
```

```
1
     floor for a vote when it contains a matching
 2
     program that he believes is inadequate by his own
 3
     words?
 4
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Mr. President,
 5
     this is, as I have stated a number of times, as
     Senator DeFrancisco has just stated, this is --
 6
 7
     this bill, this provision of this bill, this bill
     is one bill of a 10-bill package that is
 8
     comprising a $138 billion state budget that will
 9
10
     be due in three hours and 15 minutes.
                                             It was
     subject to the same sort of negotiation process
11
12
     that every element of this $138 billion budget
           The Governor, the Senate, and the Assembly
13
14
     negotiating in good faith, different positions,
15
     different proposals, coming to a common
16
     conclusion so that we can complete a fourth
     consecutive on-time budget.
17
18
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Would Senator
     Valesky continue to yield.
19
20
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
22
     Valesky yields.
23
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Would Senator
24
     Valesky agree that his answer reflects a
25
     determination by someone who supports a more
```

```
robust public matching system, by his own words,
 1
 2
     that that better possibility was sacrificed in
 3
     order to get the rest of this budget agreed to?
 4
                  SENATOR VALESKY
                                    No, I would not
 5
     agree at all with that, Mr. President.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      If Senator
 6
 7
     Valesky would continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                     Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
10
     Valesky yields.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      I took his
11
12
     previous answer to say that as part of -- excuse
     me, take it back a second. The previous question
13
     was why was this bill agreed to when the proposal
14
15
     the co-leader supports was not in it, and I was
     told that it's the result of negotiations.
16
                  Well, how does he not agree that
17
18
     that inevitably leads to the conclusion that a
19
     more robust public financing system was not
20
     enough of a priority to not conclude those
     negotiations without it included in the budget?
21
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
22
                                      Well,
     Mr. President, I apologize, I'm a little
23
     confused. Senator Gianaris has certainly been
24
25
     here in Albany much longer than I have, but I'm
```

```
1
     sure he knows and has been aware through his many
 2
     years of service that there are budget priorities
 3
     that the Speaker of the Assembly has, some that
 4
     make it into the final budget, others that don't.
 5
     There are budget priorities of the Senate
     Temporary President or Co-Presidents; some make
 6
     it into the final budget, others don't.
 7
     are priorities from the Governor of the State of
 8
     New York; some make it into the final budget,
 9
10
     others don't.
                  This is the art of compromise.
11
12
     That's how a budget is put together. I'm sure
     Senator Gianaris is aware of that.
13
14
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Thank you.
15
                  If Senator Valesky will continue to
     yield.
16
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
17
                                      Yes.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Valesky yields.
19
20
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       With respect, I
21
     know Senator Valesky has been here for many years
22
     as well, because when I was in the Assembly we
     worked together on many bills. So I know he's
23
     got experience here as well as I do.
24
25
                  It's not as if everyone puts their
```

priorities in a grab bag and random ones get
pulled out and included in the budget and other
ones don't. The fact is the leaders make greater
or lesser priorities of what their positions are
and agree or don't agree to bills getting
concluded without them being in it.

So again, why is it that we're dealing with a bill today that does not include a system that's more robust that the Assembly supports, that the Governor supports, that the Democratic Conference supports, that the Independent Democratic Conference supposedly supports, and only the Senate Republican Conference seems to not support -- although Senator DeFrancisco won't tell us exactly who does what in that room, it seems from public statements that that's clear -- why are we dealing with a bill that doesn't include something that everyone in the State Legislature and the Executive supports except the Senate Republicans?

SENATOR VALESKY: Mr. President, I think that it's very clear that the Majority
Coalition in this Senate, the majority in the
Assembly, and the Governor, perhaps most

```
1
     significantly, are extremely interested on behalf
 2
     of the people of the State of New York in
     achieving a fourth consecutive on-time budget,
 3
 4
     which we are about to do momentarily.
 5
                  As I said earlier in my response to
     Senator Squadron, this is an issue that will
 6
 7
     continue to be negotiated past the budget
     deadline. This is a first start, it is a good
 8
     first step. And I'm quite sure and very
 9
10
     confident that negotiations will continue after
11
     the budget is adopted.
12
                  You know, I would just be concerned,
     though, that Senator Gianaris appears to be
13
14
     saying that the on-time budget and the
15
     responsibility for the fourth consecutive year of
     doing a budget on time is secondary, apparently,
16
     to one particular issue that's included in this
17
18
     budget.
19
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      If Senator
20
     Valesky would yield for one final question.
21
                  SENATOR VALESKY:
                                      Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
22
                                              Senator
     Valesky yields.
23
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      I heard him
24
25
     indicate that he has confidence in the ongoing
```

```
1
     negotiations on this issue. I would ask, is his
 2
     confidence level that something more robust will
     get done in the next three months the same
 3
     confidence level he has that the Board of
 4
 5
     Elections will implement these regulations in
     time?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR VALESKY: Mr. President, as
 8
     I've indicated earlier, I am confident that
     good-faith negotiations between all parities will
 9
10
     continue just as soon as this budget is adopted.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
11
                                       Thank you,
12
     Senator Valesky.
13
                  On the bill, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Senator
15
     Gianaris on the bill.
16
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      We're dealing
17
     with something that has pretty widespread support
18
     in state government. Every party in terms of the
19
     State Legislature, both the Assembly and the
20
     Senate, the Governor, members of our conference,
21
     members of the Majority Coalition all support a
22
     more robust program than that which we're dealing
     with tonight. And yet we're unable to get there
23
     because not enough of a priority has been made
24
25
     about achieving that goal.
```

It's the reason why a broad coalition of good-government groups, from the Brennan Center to Citizen Action to Citizens
Union to Common Cause to the League of Women
Voters to NYPIRG, all agree that this pilot program is a mistake and, to use their words, is destined to fail.

Now, I have been here a long time, which means I'm a bit of a cynic at times. And I can't help but ask the question, are we propping something up here so that it will be unsuccessful this year and then the issue of public financing going forward will end up taking on water and will make it more difficult, shattering Senator Valesky's great confidence that we're going to have some successful negotiations later in the session.

It's unfortunate, too, that this is included in a bill that has a lot of important things in it, which is going to lead me to vote yes because I do want ethics reforms and I do want some of the changes that are included in this bill.

But this particular Part H, subpart D, title 2 of S6355D, negotiated in a

back room that we're not allowed to know who took 1 2 what positions in, is an abomination. 3 Thank you, Mr. President. 4 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank 5 you, Senator Gianaris. Senator Díaz. 6 7 SENATOR DÍAZ: Thank you, 8 Mr. President. 9 Today I have been praising people 10 from left to right. But now I'm praising no more. I'll tell you why is it that now I'm 11 12 stopping praising. Because we're about to ask the residents of the State of New York to pay, to 13 14 put money, who work, get up early in the morning 15 go and work, pay taxes, and out of that money give us politicians some so we could pay for our 16 17 campaigns. 18 It is outrageous to ask the people of the State of New York to start planning, with 19 20 a pilot project, to make it bigger, to ask the people of the State of New York to give up money 21 so we could go and spend money, their money, in 22 our campaigning. 23 There is no money to comply with the 24 25 court ruling on CFE, Campaign for Fiscal Equity.

The court decided, the court issued a ruling that 1 2 we should find money and that we have to pay 3 money and bring and find money to be equal in the 4 education, to get money for education -- but 5 there's no money nor that. There is no money. 6 We cannot give that money, we cannot comply, we 7 have not been complying with the state ruling to give money, that money that we owed for 8 education, because there is no money. 9 10 But there is money for us 11 politicians to spend in our campaigns, political 12 campaigns. There is no money to pass the DREAM Act, there's no money, 20 or 25 -- 25 million, 13 14 there is no money for the DREAM Act. 15 therefore we deny 8,000 students the opportunity to get a college education because there is no 16 17 money, but there is money for us politicians to 18 spend in our political campaign. There's money for that. 19 20 There is no money to keep hospitals

There is no money to keep hospitals open, clinics, and many communities need services. There is no money. The hospitals have been closing in the State of New York, hospitals are closing, but we are asking the people of the State of New York to give money while their

21

22

23

24

25

health is put in danger, where their hospitals have been closed, where their needed services have been cut because there is no money -- but now we are telling them there is money, we have to give money to politicians so they could spend in their political campaigns.

There is no money to give increases to working people in the State of New York, but there is money for us politicians to spend in our political campaigns. There is no money. There is no money even to increase the salary of our staff members.

We ask our staff members, go work and work and work, Saturday, Sunday, night, day, go represent me there, go represent me there, go over there. But there is no money to give our staff members an increase in salary. There is no money. But there is money for us politicians to spend in our political campaigns.

There is no money, there is no money to build housing, to provide those thousands of families in shelters a decent apartment. And we're putting them in places that looks like I don't even want to mention the name. But there is no money for that, there is no money to build

houses, there is no money to build decent 1 2 housing. But there is money, there is money for 3 us politicians to spend on our political 4 campaigns. 5 There is no money, there is no money for prevailing wages in the State of New York. 6 7 There is no money. But there is money, Mr. President and ladies and gentlemen, there is 8 money for us to spend in political campaigns. 9 10 And they call that -- how they call that? They call that campaign finance, public financing. 11 12 And we call it great thing that we're going to do for the State of New York. There is no money. 13 14 Ladies and gentlemen, that is a 15 travesty. That is wrong. That is evil. That is ugly. And God hates ugly. If we have any 16 decency, if we have any decency we should all 17 18 vote against this and anything that has to do with using money for our political campaigns. 19 20 We should be ashamed of that, 21 ashamed to stand here saying, Oh, we've got to 22 give money to a politician and to every person in the New York State that wishes to run for a state 23 office, we should give money to them so they 24 25 could run their campaign. And then we go to our

community: Oh, I'm fighting for you, I'm 1 2 fighting for you. You're fighting for whom? 3 It is incredible what we are asking 4 here. I ask all of you, all of you, Democrat, 5 Republican, all of you, come on, stop this nonsense. This be for real. There is no money, 6 7 there's no money to help our communities. district there are many community organizations 8 closing their doors because there is no money to 9 10 help them keep their doors open so they could give help to the needy. And then we're going to 11 12 say there, there's no money for that but there's 13 money for us to run for political campaigns. 14 Whoever wants to run for a political 15 campaign, go over there also. Go over there and find your money, all money. Go over there and 16 17 ask for it. But stop asking the government, stop 18 asking the people of State of New York to pay for 19 your campaign. This is wrong. It's evil. Stop. 20 For God's sake, stop. Vote no. Send a message to all those lobbyists, all those unions, all the 21 22 people who say, Oh, you got to do this, you got to do this. Show them that you have some kind of 23 24 decency. Stop this nonsense already. 25 Thank you, Mr. President.

```
1
     voting no.
 2
                  (Laughter.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                             Senator
 4
     Rivera.
 5
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President. If the sponsor would yield for a
 6
 7
     few questions.
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator
10
     DeFrancisco yields.
                                    Thank you,
11
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
     Mr. President.
12
13
                  I have a couple of questions about a
14
     couple of aspects that we haven't spoken about
15
     yet, but I found them and I wondered if you'd
16
     explain them to me.
17
                  One is a section in this bill that
18
     creates -- that expands Start-Up NY for Butler
19
     Correctional Facility, Chateaugay Correctional
20
     Facility, Monterey Shock Incarceration
21
     Correctional Facility, and Mt. McGregor
22
     Correctional Facility. Could you explain that
     piece of the budget to me, please? Through you,
23
     Mr. President.
24
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: It's in
```

1675

```
1
     Public Protection. It actually deals with prison
 2
     closures. And there's a program associated with
 3
     it so that when a prison closes, that there are
     certain dollars allocated for economic
 4
 5
     development.
                  In this case I think it's $8 million
 6
 7
     per prison, areas where the prisons are closed,
     in order to help redevelopment of that area.
 8
 9
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
10
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
11
     yield.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
13
     sponsor yields.
14
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
                                                And on
15
     the Start-Up -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
16
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President. I haven't asked a question yet.
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          I didn't
     realize Start-Up was involved with it. But it's
19
20
     making these areas a Start-Up area to make it
     easier to attract new businesses coming in.
21
22
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, that's precisely why I wanted to
23
24
     ask about the program. What you're referring to
25
     is something that we voted on -- I believe
```

something we voted on in years past as it relates to correctional facilities that are closing.

In this case the reason I'm asking about Start-Up NY is, through you, Mr. President, it was my understanding when we voted for it last year -- and I voted against it last year for a few different reasons. But it was my understanding that the program was tied to -- was to do CUNY and SUNY campuses and properties of CUNY and SUNY campuses precisely because of the type of businesses, Mr. President, that they wanted to attract would be businesses that would be tied to the educational mission of the institution.

If that being the case, was there any particular reason -- what was the reason that that particular program, as opposed to another one, was expanded to correctional facilities when obviously they don't necessarily have an educational mission?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: First of all,
I too -- yes, Mr. President, excuse me. I too
voted against Start-Up NY, for probably the same
reasons you did.

But the thinking is that with prison

```
closures and the devastation it has to
 1
 2
     communities and jobs that are lost to those
     communities, tying a Start-Up NY program with
 3
     that area that has to be done in affiliation with
 4
 5
     a university makes it more likely that there's a
     chance of getting more jobs there quicker because
 6
 7
     of the tax benefits.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 8
                                     Through you,
 9
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
10
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                          Yes.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
13
     sponsor yields.
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
15
16
                  So you're saying that what this
     would do is extend Start-Up NY to correctional
17
18
     facilities and then connect it to an educational
19
     institution's property? I still don't
20
     understand, I'm sorry.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Mr.
     President, the Start-Up NY proposal was really
22
23
     initially limited to certain properties that were
     either on university grounds or adjacent to them
24
25
     or whatever.
```

```
This does not apply to all
 1
 2
     correctional facilities, it applies to those that
     are subject to closure so that it gives a better
 3
 4
     opportunity as long as they affiliate whatever
 5
     their business is through a university.
                                               It's
     kind of a -- it's a stretch, but you can see the
 6
 7
     logic behind it, that if the Start-Up NY program
     is good, then under those circumstances it's
 8
     going to help those areas of prison closure --
 9
10
     not all correctional facilities -- to try to
     rebound.
11
12
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
13
14
     yield.
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
16
17
     sponsor yields.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  So, Senator DeFrancisco, I know that
21
     last year we had conversations about Start-Up NY.
22
     And you just reminded me that you voted against
     it, as I did. Could you remind what were your
23
24
     objections to the program last year?
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, the
```

objections to the program last year were the same reasons why we had hearings all over the state, to get broad-based tax cuts so government doesn't pick the winners and losers and so that companies that are already in the State of New York trying to make a living don't fear -- don't have undue competition not only for the product that they sell but, more importantly, for the people that they have working for them.

Because those people, when offered a job, if they're -- let's say it's an IT person who's the greatest IT person at a company that's in existence, and you tell them: You can go to our factory here in Start-Up NY and don't have to pay taxes for 10 years. That's sort of an unfair advantage.

So you can't do anything about the fact that the program is in existence. It's in existence. It's just another opportunity to try to help those areas that are devastated by prison closures to try to get employees there earlier.

SENATOR RIVERA: Through you,
Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
yield.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
 2
     sponsor yields.
 3
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 4
 5
                  So am I to understand that you are
     an opponent and a supporter of the program now?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         No, I'm not
 8
     saying that at all.
 9
                  You can oppose a bill and hope that
10
     it doesn't become law, but once it does and it's
     available to people from out of this state to
11
     develop certain areas, and you have a real
12
13
     problem where correctional facility closures are
14
     resulting in areas being devastated by lack of
15
     employment, you should be able to use what is in
16
     existence.
17
                  And I don't say -- when I vote no on
18
     a law, I don't selectively decide which ones I'm
19
     going to abide by.
20
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
21
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
22
     yield.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

```
SENATOR RIVERA: Fair enough on all
 1
 2
     of those.
                  Moving on to another issue, also in
 3
 4
     this bill there is an exemption of three-family
 5
     buildings in part of the Bronx from 421A
     requirements. There's a couple of lots that are
 6
 7
     named specifically. Could you tell me what
 8
     exactly does that mean and why it was included?
 9
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         At this
10
     moment I have no clue. But I will get the answer
11
     for you momentarily because I'm not familiar with
12
     that aspect.
13
                  Repeat the question, please.
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. President, absolutely. There is a part of
15
16
     this bill that includes an exemption for
     three-family buildings in part of the Bronx from
17
18
     421A requirements. There are a couple of
     buildings that are named specifically.
19
20
                  So I wanted to find out why they're
21
     in there, because I just didn't have clarity on
22
     that.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Oh, we have
24
     an expert in this area, Senator Klein.
25
     would be more than happy to answer your question.
```

```
SENATOR KLEIN:
                                    I'm sorry,
 1
 2
     Mr. President, I didn't hear the question.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                              Senator
     DeFrancisco defers to Senator Klein. Without
 4
 5
     objection, Senator Klein can be heard.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 6
                                     Yes,
     Mr. President, I will repeat the question.
 7
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Klein, do you yield to the question?
9
10
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                    Yes, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Senator
12
     Rivera, you may ask the question.
13
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
14
15
                  There is a part of this bill which
     includes apparently an exemption for three-family
16
     buildings in Bronx County from 421A requirements.
17
18
     So I wanted to find out what those buildings
     were, what that referred, and why it's in here.
19
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
20
                                    I'll be happy to
     answer the question, again, with my colleague
21
22
     from the Bronx on behalf of hardworking
     Bronxites.
23
                  This is a piece of legislation which
24
25
     really corrects a very grave error. The purpose
```

of the bill is to provide certain excluded 1 2 homeowners --3 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Can I 4 have some order in the chamber, please. 5 Senator Klein. SENATOR KLEIN: 6 Through you, 7 Mr. President, the purpose of the bill is to provide certain excluded homeowners with the 8 ability to apply for and obtain a real property 9 10 tax exception pursuant to the Real Property Law Section 421-a. 11 12 This is a development known as Shorehaven Condominiums at Harbour Pointe. 13 14 a development in my district in the Bronx, which 15 I share with Assemblymember Marcos Crespo. The bill applies to these developments which were 16 inadvertently, due to the operation of the law at 17 18 the time and the period in which such dwellings were constructed, were excluded from 19 20 participating in a tax-abatement program. We tried to deal with this directly 21 with the City department of Finance, the City 22 Department of Real Property. They told us last 23 year that we needed to have an amendment to be 24 25 able to include them so -- these are individuals

```
who actually bought into this development later.
 1
 2
     These are homeowners. They're sort of like -- I
     can't describe it, sort of small two-family, some
 3
 4
     of them are one-family homes in the Bronx. And
 5
     being that they bought later, the more recent
     developments of the project, they were excluded
 6
 7
     from the tax abatement that was enjoyed by people
 8
     who bought previously.
 9
                  So this affects probably anywhere
10
     from 100 to 120 of the newest homeowners in this
     development. And this is really correcting an
11
12
     inequity which we tried to do last year, but
     unfortunately it passed the Senate and never
13
14
     passed the Assembly. So we decided this year to
15
     include it in the budget.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
16
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Rivera on the bill.
19
20
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President.
21
22
                  And thank you both, Senator
     DeFrancisco and Senator Klein, for the answers on
23
     both those questions. These are two issues that
24
25
     were outstanding and I didn't have much clarity
```

on, so I wanted to get some clarity.

But I wanted to speak on a couple of different aspects of the bill. First of all, on Start-Up NY, since we were already speaking about it. As I share with Senator DeFrancisco and a couple of my colleagues, I voted against it last year for very similar reasons. It seems that what you're creating is a situation where you create an unfair advantage for the inside a tagged zone and outside a tagged zone.

And while I certainly understand the situation of many correctional facilities closing and some of the economic hardships that it creates there, I think that the concerns that we brought last year are still concerns that I have to this day.

There are businesses that might be located right outside of the area where Start-Up NY is determining to exist, and these folks have been giving back to the community, may have been based there for generations and have all sorts of employees and employee taxes and property taxes that they have to pay. And once you figure out that you can establish yourself as a new business inside the area, inside the zone,

that all of a sudden gives you an unfair advantage.

And on top of that, there was at least the fact that last year the program was tied to an educational institution and a mission of the educational institution. The argument was made, certainly was made directly to me and a lot of our colleagues that ultimately the point was to bring the type of businesses that would be connected to the educational mission of the institution and therefore would not compete directly with businesses that would be outside of the zone. That's not necessarily what we have here, which is one of the reasons why I also think it's problematic.

But as far as the rest of the bill, it's one of those situations where you have a complex bill that has a lot of things in it and then you have to decide how you're going to vote. There are certainly some positives in here, there is a program that we have been fighting for for for many years in the Bronx to make sure that we get SNUG funding. And I know that there's been a lot of fight that has been done certainly by Senator Ruth Hassell-Thompson as well as Senator

1 Klein to make sure that we get it in the Bronx.
2 And it is included in here, which I think is a
3 positive thing and will have a positive impact on
4 communities all across the Bronx and certainly
5 some of the ones that I represent.

And there's a few other things that are in here which are positives. But I have to say that taken overall, this is not a bill that I'd be able to support, Mr. President. I think that the biggest poison pill is certainly the attempt at campaign finance reform.

I believe in campaign finance. And I believe in it -- and we can certainly have a debate about it, but I believe in it because I believe that when done right, it is a way to extract special interest money from the process.

I think that it is a little obscene that if somebody is running for President, an individual can give him or her \$2500, and yet that same individual can turn around and give me somewhere in the neighborhood of \$17,000.

I've seen plenty of evidence which tells me that when a system is designed correctly, a public financing system can actually encourage more participation from people that are

local and in the district, since there are requirements that would be set so that you would have to talk more to your constituents. And with smaller contributions, these would be multiplied.

There's a lot of reasons why I'm supportive of a campaign finance system. And while I've been, certainly since I was elected have been fighting to make sure that we have a true campaign finance reform done at the state level, this is not it. And I think that both my colleagues Senator Gianaris and Senator Squadron really got to the heart of a lot of this.

Ultimately it is a pilot program that seems on its face to be destined to fail.

I certainly am one who believes that government is a good thing, as my good colleague Senator Robach, who's not here, he always walks over and calls me his favorite communist, sometimes he calls me, because I talk about government all the time and how government is a force for good and can do good things. So I certainly think that government can operate well.

But what we're asking the Board of Elections here to do in such a short period of time -- it is one race. Senator Valesky is not

here. It is one race, but it is a very complicated system that we're trying to establish here. And to ask the Board of Elections, that has not done this before, to be able to in a couple of months, where just in a month or a month and a half our the petition process is starting -- so the campaign is just right around the corner, and we're asking them to do a series of tasks which are not really practicable for the Board of Elections to be able to do.

So ultimately it is -- what we're talking about is setting up a system which seems to be designed from its inception to fail. And that is exactly the opposite of what we want to do. We want to be thoughtful about how we establish this. We want to make sure that we establish a system which truly serves the interests of what we're trying to do here. Certainly for us who believe in campaign finance, to support a system like this would be to support something that is bound to fail.

So as a good colleague of mine said, basically we're saying this pilot is really doomed to crash. The pilot just going in that direction.

1 I would say that there's a lot of 2 other aspects that my colleagues have spoken 3 about, and I could go on for a long time. But I 4 will only say that when you're considering budget 5 bills, there's certainly a lot of things that are in it. Sometimes there's good things and bad 6 things. And in this case I have to say that even 7 with the couple of positive things that are in 8 it, there is enough in there that makes it a bill 9 that I cannot support. 10 So, Mr. President, I will be voting 11 12 in the negative. Thank you. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 13 Senator 14 Serrano. 15 SENATOR SERRANO: Thank you, Mr. President. On the bill. 16 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 17 Senator 18 Serrano on the bill. 19 SENATOR SERRANO: I was listening 20 to the debate amongst my colleagues about the campaign finance portion of this bill. 21 really I believe that this doesn't need to be a 22 contentious issue. 23 And I'm speaking from the point of 24 25 view of an elected official who participated in a very robust campaign finance system when I was a member of the New York City Council. So coming out of that system for three years, one that I had a very good experience with, one that I believe that without it I would not have been as competitive in my first council race in 2001.

And I think it also provided a situation where smaller contributions in that system, smaller contributions meant so much more. Thereby people in my district -- where I was representing was not a wealthy place. We didn't have any high-dollar donors in my community. But, you know, Doña Juana could contribute a small amount and still feel very vested in the campaign of a candidate. They could feel sort of on an even playing field with some of the wealthier donors because of that match.

So I really, really believe that a robust campaign finance system or public matching funds system would make all of our lives easier. I truly believe that. Because it would require less time for us spending raising money, and we can focus more time on governing. We can focus more time on connecting with our constituents. We can focus more time on smaller events and not

having to think about, oh, I have to raise so much money because I have an election coming up.

So I really do believe that a robust campaign finance system -- and again, I had a very good experience with the New York City campaign finance system, of which I was a member throughout my years in the New York City Council. I believe that it provided an opportunity for, you know, the not-such-well-heeled candidates out there to be competitive with those wealthier candidates out there. It allowed us to focus on getting our message out there and having a debate based on those issues rather than about how much money you can raise.

And by doing so, increasing public participation is really the ultimate goal here. I think that the investment in something like campaign finance and public financing, if it creates ultimately a more engaged society, a more in-tuned and more vested public, then we've all won. And it doesn't matter what ideology you're from or what political persuasion you're from. I think that this runs across all political spectrums, and there really is no Democrat or no Republican ideology, or there should not be, when

1 it comes to this issue. 2 So I believe -- and again, I am speaking from a position of authority in that I 3 4 participated in a campaign finance system. And 5 yes, it was difficult. Yes, there was a lot of reporting to do. And yes, there was a lot of 6 7 requirements. But ultimately it freed me up to 8 be able to focus on my message. 9 And I do wish that we could explore 10 that. And I do believe that there is a good amount of political will here, in this chamber 11 12 and the Assembly and on the second floor, to make 13 that a reality. And I think we should lower the

a large amount of finger-pointing, because I really, truly believe that this is something that will benefit everyone when you get down to it and you come up a good system.

temperature in this debate, not really engage in

19 Thank you.

20 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

21 Hoylman.

14

15

16

17

18

22 | SENATOR HOYLMAN: Thank you,

23 Mr. President. On the bill.

24 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

25 | Hoylman on the bill.

SENATOR HOYLMAN: You know, I do want to acknowledge that there have been efforts made to move this issue forward, and we have made progress.

But the bill before us I think
misses an important point, Mr. President, which
is we don't need a test case. We already have
one. And we've had one for about 20 years in
New York City. And we've had one in New York
City that was actually put forward by a
Republican, Rudy Giuliani. And we've had
Republicans, including the last Republican mayor,
participate in the system, and the candidate for
mayor participated in the system, and I believe
we'll have future candidates.

So I hope that my friends on the other side of the aisle will begin to see this less as a partisan issue and more as one that the public as a whole can benefit from.

This bill, unfortunately, doesn't take into consideration all the problems that we have in the current system in New York that would lead voters to be cynical. There aren't real campaign limits. There isn't the elimination of loopholes and party housekeeping accounts. There

isn't a robust system of matching funds for all candidates, obviously, that will help level the playing field. And there isn't an independent agency to monitor and administer the program like we have in New York City, the Campaign Finance Board.

Now, the people at the State Board of Elections are hardworking and I think a lot of us have a lot of respect for them. But the word "competence" is not one I would necessarily use at all times to describe their endeavors. And I would argue, Mr. President, that we need an independent body set up as part of this bill.

I also think that we won't get a better bill because of a very basic fact that I alluded to earlier, which is there's a belief among some of our colleagues that this won't help them, that this will in fact hurt them. And some of those colleagues are the biggest beneficiaries of the dark money in Albany, whether it's the real estate lobby or LLPs. And we need to make certain that they see, as my colleague Senator Serrano has said, that campaign finance reform can actually be a system that works on behalf of everyone, Republican and Democrat alike.

```
1
                  You know, as we move forward I'm
 2
     hopeful that we'll see a new bill hit the floor
 3
     that encompasses all state bodies, all candidates
 4
     for office.
                  Since we've eviscerated the Moreland
 5
     Commission today, I think we have the
     responsibility, Mr. President, to come back to
 6
 7
     the people of New York with a full-throated
 8
     proposal for campaign finance reform that takes
     money out of politics, that levels the playing
 9
10
     field, and that restores public confidence in our
11
     Legislature.
12
                  Thank you.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
14
     Parker.
15
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Thank you,
16
     Mr. President. On the bill.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
18
     Parker on the bill.
19
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Ladies and
20
     gentlemen of the Senate, I know the hour is
21
     getting a little late, but this is a really
22
     critical issue that we have to deal with when we
23
     talk about campaign finance reform.
                  And let's be really honest. We have
24
25
     a bad perception about the work that we do here
```

1 and how in fact that it happens. People think 2 that it's just about the money up here, and 3 that's what it appears to be. And clearly the 4 state system of finance for campaigns needs a 5 significant overhaul. The bottom line is that this bill 6 7 that's before us does nothing to curb Albany's pay-to-play mentality or its culture of 8 9 corruption. 10 So during our one-house budget debate we had in this chamber about a month ago, 11 12 we were told that, you know, something significant would happen and we just needed to 13 get through the resolution and, as we got through 14 15 that resolution process, that there would be more significant conversations around campaign 16 finance. 17 18 However, the very next day when the bill's released, the entire Part HH was 19 20 intentionally omitted. It was clear then, as it's clear now, based on this watered-down 21 proposal, that, you know, the coalition wasn't 22 really committed to true reform. 23 I don't know why we would accept --24 25 some people say this is a half a loaf. This is

```
not even a half a loaf. This is a slice of
 1
 2
     reform. It doesn't -- this bill does nothing to
 3
     address --
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 5
    DeFrancisco, why do you rise?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Would the
 6
 7
     sponsor yield to a question?
 8
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Senator
    DeFrancisco, I would love to yield for a question
 9
10
     after I finish. I'm not the sponsor, though.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yeah, but
11
12
    you're speaking.
                  SENATOR PARKER: Yes. After I'm
13
14
     finished, I would love to respond to a question.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
     Parker has the floor. He may continue.
16
                  SENATOR PARKER: Thank you very
17
18
     much, Mr. President.
                  This bill in front of us today does
19
20
    nothing to address the New York's sky-high
21
     contribution limits. Senator Squadron spoke to
22
     this very eloquently about how much money, I mean
     just staggering amounts of money that individuals
23
     and limited liability corporations can give to
24
25
     individual candidates.
```

So this LLC loophole must be closed. It does nothing to lower or ban unlimited contributions to soft-money campaign accounts. And really all we do at this point by passing this legislation is kicking the can down the road. And we can wind up in a hole before we

actually catch up with the can again.

On this issue of campaign finance we have been, in this chamber, all talk and no action. We haven't done anything. We've been talking about this for three or four or five or six years and we have not put forward a bill that really in any kind of significant way addresses the issue of campaign finance reform.

And contrary to what people may say, and with all due respect to my good friend and my neighbor in the LOB Reverend Díaz, this is not about directing money towards political campaigns. This really is about fixing a problem about access. This is opening up a system for way too long in this state that has been accessible only to a few special interests with loads of money.

Ultimately this bill -- you know, what we ought to be doing about campaign finance

is improving access to the political system to
ensure that we can accomplish a true progressive
agenda, which we know that the majority of
New Yorkers want. Just like when we talked about
the revenue bill, what we typically do in this
body and in this Legislature is really cater to a
few select, well-financed, mobilized individuals
instead of the large masses of the people that we
really represent in the State of New York.

Now, the Governor believes that the way to address this is to take money out of politics by tightening the state's campaign finance laws. And frankly, the Senate Democrats agree with him. We need to reduce the contribution limits, close the loopholes that will neutralize the army of special interests that currently control Albany.

We also obviously have to do better at disclosure. And I really appreciate the chairman of the Finance Committee's report about all the changes that were going on, and I think that those are actually some great starts. But it really doesn't get to the heart of what the real problem is.

This conference, the Senate

Democratic Conference, has consistently 1 2 introduced legislation not only to be blocked by the Majority Coalition that would lower campaign 3 4 finance limits, fix the corporate LLC donation 5 loopholes, require better disclosure of campaign bundlers, and would lower the contribution limits 6 7 to housekeeping accounts. And this is just a few 8 of the things that we would like to do. 9 And as Senator Squadron also 10 indicated, you know, every time we brought up a hostile amendment, we haven't been able to 11 discuss the amendment. We have been, over the 12 last three to four years, been relegated just to 13 debate the germaneness of the bill and not really 14 15 get into the meat of the issues. This is not any way to run a 16 Legislature right now. And I know that we're 17 18 better than this. And I hope that as we go forward that we will show the New Yorkers who 19 20 really are counting on us and who sent us here to 21 represent their interests that we are better than this, that we really care about them and not 22 about large-dollar campaign contributors. 23 Thank you, Mr. President. 24 25 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

```
1
     DeFrancisco.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Senator
     Parker indicated that after he was done I could
 3
     ask him a question.
 4
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Parker, would you yield to a question?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. Chair, yes.
 8
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
10
     DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                         Senator
12
     Parker, how long have you been a State Senator?
13
                  SENATOR PARKER: Mr. Chair, about
14
     11 years.
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I just have a
16
     question. You had indicated that you can't stand
     this all talk and no action, there's too much of
17
18
     that around here, and that this bill is not half
19
     a loaf, it's not even a slice.
20
                  Could you tell me, when you were in
21
     the majority in 2009 and 2010, what morsel of
22
     campaign reform did you pass during that two-year
     period?
23
24
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Through you,
25
     Mr. Chair. Senator DeFrancisco, in 2010 we
```

1703

```
1
     actually did some campaign finance reform through
 2
     the ethics reform that we did, including that
     would make us disclose bundlers, as well as some
 3
 4
     of the other pieces that I mentioned today.
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Well, I think
     that -- let me just mention -- just speak on the
 6
 7
     bill just for a second. I have one other
 8
     question, one other question.
 9
                  I just believe that he may be
10
     mistaken on real finance reform that he's talking
     about that was in any kind of ethics bill.
11
12
     Otherwise, we wouldn't have to do it now.
13
                  Last question. Can you tell me the
     bills that you talked about that the Senate
14
15
     Republicans blocked, and we blocked consistently,
     who blocked legislation during the two years that
16
     you had the majority? Did the minority
17
18
     Republicans do that?
19
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Actually, the bill
20
     I had spoken to, we actually did do it and it was
     vetoed by the Governor.
21
22
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Was it public
     financing?
23
                                    No, it was not
24
                  SENATOR PARKER:
25
     public financing. But it did close some of
```

```
1
     the -- many of the loopholes that we're talking
 2
     about now, including the LLC loophole.
 3
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Thank you.
 4
     Thank you.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
 6
     you, Senator Parker, Senator DeFrancisco.
 7
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                     Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 8
                                              Senator
 9
     Stavisky.
10
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                       Thank you,
     Mr. President.
11
12
                  It's been said here this evening
     that the public financing of the race for
13
     Comptroller will be a pilot project. We had one
14
15
     since 2001. In New York City we've had the
16
     campaign finance going on and working -- with
     some problems, but nevertheless it has enabled
17
18
     candidates who otherwise would never have run
     much less been elected. It works.
19
20
                  Yes, some of the requirements of the
21
     Campaign Finance Board can be annoying, tedious,
     difficult to enforce. But overall the proof is
22
23
     you take a look at the New York City Council
     today, and those are candidates who would never
24
25
     have been able to run.
```

Secondly, it's been said this evening that the role of money in elections has had a terrible impact, an insidious attack upon integrity and upon, even more significantly, the integrity of this legislative body and any legislative body.

This has been a problem, and the Brennan Center has had repeated reports on the role of money and how it has led to all sorts of misappropriations and illegal acts. We have no way of curbing individual contributions, and that is a problem due to the Supreme Court in the Buckley decision.

So these are problems. And to reduce the impact of money we have a half-hearted, watered-down proposal.

People have said that money is not everything, but the absence of money is. And public financing will help in that respect. It will enable people who have support in the community but the community may not be able to make contributions, the community may be a poor community, and they will help somebody get elected.

Having said that, there are good

```
things in this bill, particularly the
 1
 2
     strengthening of the ethics provisions.
 3
     legislative ethics should no longer be considered
 4
     an oxymoron. We shudder when people talk about
 5
     ethics reform, and yet that's what's needed in
     this body. We need an ethical legislature, and
 6
 7
     hopefully strengthening some of the penalties
     here, making misdemeanors a Class E felony,
 8
     strengthening the current laws I think will have
 9
10
     a salutary effect.
11
                  And really I'm very disappointed in
12
     the lack of public financing in this bill, but
     there are good parts to it. And it's very
13
     difficult for me to vote no because there are so
14
15
     many other points that I think will be helpful.
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
17
                                              Senator
18
     Savino.
19
                  SENATOR SAVINO:
                                    Thank you,
20
     Mr. President.
                  You know, I wasn't actually planning
21
22
     on speaking because the hour is late. But as I
     sat here and I listened, I felt compelled to get
23
     up because some of my colleagues in their
24
25
     rhetoric seemed to be rewriting history, a
```

history that I have been part of for the better part of nine and a half years here in this Legislature.

When I arrived here, I was a member of the Senate Democratic Conference, along with the other members -- except for the two younger ones -- of the IDC. We were members of the Senate Democratic Conference engaged in an effort to take the majority away from the Republicans.

Part of our efforts, of course, involved raising lots of money. We were all engaged in lots of fundraising towards that end, and we all talked about the issue of campaign finance reform as one of the platform issues that would make us different when we took the majority.

Lo and behold, we managed to secure enough money, we won enough seats, we won a majority. And during the period of time that we held the majority, we never once brought a campaign bill to this floor through committee or even for discussion. Why? Because we didn't have the votes. We didn't have the votes then, they don't have the votes now, you heard it right here.

I heard some comments like this is a half-baked loaf or a slice of bread. Well, my suggestion to my colleagues is bake your own bread. When you can pull together sufficient votes to get campaign finance reform done the way you want, maybe we'll get it done in this house.

Last year the IDC embarked on a campaign across the state of hearings to solicit the input of good-government groups, of constituents and people all over the state to help develop a comprehensive campaign finance reform proposal. We put forward a bill that the advocates themselves -- many of them are here -- said was the best bill that was being offered. And yet and still, we got no support. Not from this side of the aisle, not from that. But they never claimed to support campaign finance reform; we did.

So no, what we're getting here tonight, this Comptroller pilot project, is not what any of us have wanted who've talked about campaign finance reform. But it was what we were able to get by the deadline of the budget because the state budget is more important than achieving this issue tonight.

this done as we have been. And unlike some people who talk the talk, we've been walking the walk. And so my suggestions to those of you who don't think this is good enough or don't think we've done enough, we haven't reduced limits, try practicing what you preach. Raise limits under the proposal that you think we should adopt. Don't take money from LLCs. Don't take money from unions, don't take money from corporations, don't take money from the dark money that I've heard thrown about here, the dark money that helped win the majority for the Democrats, the dark money that they hope to depend on to regain the majority.

Let's not be hypocrites on this issue. Let's admit that this is not where we want to end up with campaign finance reform.

Some of us believe we must do better, we have to do all of those things about reducing contribution limits and engaging small-dollar donors, we have to do that. But let's stop pretending we believe in stuff that we don't believe in, and let's stop pretending we can do it when we know we can't.

```
Thank you, Mr. President.
 1
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 3
     Latimer.
 4
                  SENATOR LATIMER:
                                      Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President. On the bill, on a completely
     different part of the bill.
 6
 7
                  (Laughter.)
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
9
     Latimer on the bill.
10
                  SENATOR LATIMER: This is a very
     relatively small element of the bill, but I think
11
     it bears thought by leadership and I would
12
     encourage them to take a look at what I'm about
13
     to highlight, because it does affect folks in
14
15
     Rockland County, in Nassau County, and in
16
     Westchester County.
17
                  Page 81 and 82 of this bill, Part U,
18
     refers to an expansion of the SCRIE program, the
19
     Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption program.
20
     Everyone knows what the program does, it helps
21
     freeze rent and it provides a tax reduction to
22
     the owner of a building where the rent is frozen
     for those individual tenants.
23
                  And they're raising the threshold of
24
25
     eligibility from adjusted gross income of $29,000
```

1 to \$50,000, which is an expansion of the program 2 and I think we would all say a good thing to do. What it also does in Section 3 of 3 4 Part U on the next page, 82, it says the state 5 shall reimburse the City of New York for the differential costs of this expansion. Which is 6 7 also a good thing to do. It holds the city 8 harmless. 9 Now, you have to opt into this And so to opt into it, there's an 10 program. incentive to opt into it, which is you're held 11 harmless. 12 However, this program also applies 13 14 to those communities in Westchester County, in 15 Rockland County and in Nassau County that have deposited ETPA. So that means that if you live 16 17 in, in Rockland County, the Town of Haverstraw, 18 the village of Spring Valley, if in Nassau County you live in the cities of Glen Cove, Long 19 20 Beach -- I know I sound like an LIRR conductor --21 (Laughter.) 22 SENATOR LATIMER: -- North Hempstead, Cedarhurst, Floral Park, Flower Hill, 23 Freeport, Great Neck, yadda, yadda, up to Baxter 24 25 Estates, or in my home county of Westchester

```
1
     County, which includes communities I represent,
 2
     there is an expansion of the program, an
     expansion of the program costs, but no relief of
 3
 4
     the additional costs on the municipalities.
 5
                  I don't know how large a cost this
     will be for these villages and towns and small
 6
 7
     cities if they adopt into it, and they will have
     to adopt into it. But I think fairness mandates
 8
 9
     that as we look at making an expansion, it should
10
     be uniform for everybody.
                  And I would say if there's a chapter
11
12
     amendment that could expand that coverage to
     include -- because it's only these communities in
13
     these three suburban counties that are subject to
14
15
     ETPA -- that would be a fair thing to do, and I
     would assume that my colleagues in Nassau and my
16
     colleagues in Rockland and my other colleagues in
17
18
     Westchester would favor that.
19
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
21
     Sanders.
22
                  SENATOR SANDERS:
                                      Thank you,
     Mr. President. On the bill.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
24
                                              Senator
25
     Sanders on the bill.
```

SENATOR SANDERS: All my life,
Mr. President, I've heard of a golden rule, a
political golden rule. No, not the biblical one,
I'm talking about a political golden rule, the
one that says "He who has the gold, rules." And
that's the rule that I think that we need to
attack in this house tonight.

I think that we have to make sure that Mr. Smith can go to Albany or to Washington or to wherever we need him or her to go to. We need to make sure that there is an even playing field where people can have a chance at speaking for the voice of the people.

Now, I'm no idealistic romantic when it comes to campaign finances. I've had the pleasure of being in New York City under that process. But I'm here to say that I support campaign finance. I think that we really need to find a way to even the playing field and return to a type of idealism that we read about that we once believed and, God willing, we will believe again.

I however alert you that I wasn't here in the days of yesterday, so I can't really speak of what this fine body did in days before I

got here. I can only speak -- and I'm sure there's a couple of my colleagues who may have the same conversation. We can only speak of the here and now. We can only speak of from this moment forth.

I am concerned that this pilot that we're coming out with, that this pilot is doomed to crash. That if you design a program that anyone in their right mind is going to say "No, I'm not going to opt into it," then you've already made sure that it is going to crash.

Now, I will take Senator Savino's suggestion to heart where she did say design and put forward something. Because I don't believe in just talking it, I think that she's right, that we do need to reach out and do something.

So I'm going to do something different. I'm going to reach out to my colleagues in the days to come and speak about what can we do to design a program that is acceptable and yet has the idea of being a genuine program. And I will -- I'll take it upon myself to start working, and anyone who has ideas, please, I've got a couple myself.

But I'm scared of getting on this

plane with this pilot, because I think it's going 1 2 up in flames in just a few minutes. 3 Thank you very much. 4 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 5 Bonacic. 6 SENATOR BONACIC: Thank you, 7 Mr. President. 8 I'd like to talk about this subject from a different point of view. I think every 9 10 Senator here works very hard. And for you to get 11 here, you have to have intelligence, you have to 12 have passion of what you believe in, and the community knows you. They trust you to be their 13 14 voice. 15 And I think the people in this chamber and in the other chamber get a bad rap 16 from the media how they stain -- when they stain 17 18 one, they stain all of us. That somehow we're not what we should be. And I know it's not true. 19 20 Because I know how hard I work, I know how hard my colleagues work. And, you know, I know how 21 some of the members on the other side work. But 22 the media, again, defines and paints a picture. 23 Now, let's talk about money. 24 25 money in itself intrinsically evil? That's the

question I ask. The media is saying that money by itself is somehow evil, and therefore maybe rich people are evil or rich organizations are evil. This is the spin that's out there.

When the SAFE Act got passed, guns in themselves were evil. Money is not evil.

Guns are not evil. It's the abuse of those things that make them evil: the people that abuse money, the people that abuse guns. That's what makes it wrong.

Now, for me, this is not a complicated issue. I don't support campaign finance reform, and I'm going to just tell you some common-sense reasons.

Number one, I think it's a form of free speech. I think if someone wants to give me money or to give you money, they believe in you. They think your philosophies and values and influencing public policy is something worth investing in. So that's reason number one, for good public policy.

The second thing is what happens if I don't like an opponent because I think they're a racist or I think they're radically opposed to my public philosophy and where I want to promote

this state? Why should taxpayer money be invested, my taxpayer money be invested in that kind of person? I don't think we should ask a taxpayer to do that.

And to Senator Díaz's argument, we have much better priorities than political campaigns. You want to put more teachers into classrooms, you want to talk about your DREAM Act? There's a million other priorities rather than invest in political campaigns.

And the other thing that bothers me is when government controls the money, they're going to control the profile of the candidate.

Because they're going to set up these rules, okay? And maybe a Soros or the Working Families will not like the profile of a particular candidate. But the rules of campaign financing, they will find a technicality and they will do an interpretation and they will say, You know what, you're not getting government campaign money, matching funds.

So in a way, through manipulation and interpretation, they can start defining of the profile of a particular candidate that they want to run, if it's abused that way. But these

are potential pitfalls.

So this is a simple issue for me.

And I enjoyed the dialogue between Senator

Valesky and Senator Gianaris. But up in my

district and upstate, they don't believe in

campaign finance because they believe that it

should go to higher priorities.

So for that reason, I'm voting yes. And I see this as a spin by the media and by the Working Families and the Soroses of the world. You're complaining about where the Republicans get their dark money, but there's just as much dark money on the other side. So let's not be hypocritical about, you know, who's getting the money and who's not getting the money.

But again, money in itself is not evil, it's the right of free speech. People have the right to invest in anybody they want for good public policy. And that's on campaign finance reform.

And I want to talk a little bit about ethics, because this is a slippery slope we're getting into. I've been in public service for 40 years. I think the people in my community and who I represent, they know me, they know my

```
family, they know my kids, they know how I have
 1
     acted throughout my political and public life.
 2
 3
     And they know how you have acted, how each of us
 4
     have acted. Some have been in public life longer
 5
     than others. But the people are not dumb, they
     know who's ethical or who could be corrupt.
 6
 7
                  And when government starts defining
     what's ethical and what's interpretation, good
 8
 9
     people, good people are going to get in trouble.
10
     This is a slippery slope. Don't know where it's
11
     going to end, but it's a slippery slope and it's
12
     going to deter good people from getting into
     public service because they don't want their name
13
     stained, who needs all the crap.
14
                                       That's the
15
     direction this state is going. It's dangerous
     for every elected official in this chamber.
16
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
17
                                             I vote
18
     yes.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
20
     Krueger.
21
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would yield.
22
     Either one from Onondaga County will work for me.
23
24
                  (Laughter.)
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
```

```
1
    DeFrancisco.
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Thank you. Do
 4
    you know what months of the year DEC approves
 5
     snipe hunting in Onondaga County?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: If you tell
 6
 7
    me what a snipe is, I might be able to answer the
 8
     question.
 9
                  (Laughter.)
10
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     It's a small --
     excuse me, Mr. President, through you. It's a
11
     small water bird. I believe they're actually
12
     found on Lake Oneida and Onondaga lake.
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
14
                                         I have to
15
     confess I do not know that. It's not one of my
16
    normal pastimes.
17
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you,
18
    Mr. President, on the bill.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
19
                                             Senator
20
    Krueger on the bill.
21
                  (Laughter.)
22
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: For the record,
23
     it is not snipe hunting season. That is not till
     September, except right here on the floor of the
24
     Senate. Because in fact this entire bill, this
25
```

entire attempt to argue is it campaign finance reform, couldn't we do better, what does it really mean, is actually the other definition of snipe hunting, when you send naive people off in search of impossible tasks.

And that is exactly what this bill is tonight, Mr. President. This is a bill that claims to be as far as we could get, and yet it's absurd in its design. As my colleague very early on pointed out, there is no way to actually operationalize this pilot for one election, the State Comptroller, to be implemented in all ways in 77 days and then a pilot that will only be through the November election.

And so it will probably be a model no one will participate in, so then can we declare, aha, we tried it, it was a failure because no one would sign up or participate.

It's an unfair test. But again, it's a snipe hunt.

We've listened to a series of questions about does it close any loopholes, no. Does it reduce any maximums in donations, no. Does it provide actual limits on being able to use the money to send your children to school or

buy yourself a fancy car? No. Does it even require better disclosure? No.

There's many things campaign finance reform are and there are many of us who agree with it or don't agree with it. But I hope we can all agree in this exercise tonight, an out-of-season snipe hunt, you're not getting any kind of campaign finance reform.

So for those of you who think you don't like campaign finance reform, don't worry, you're not getting it here. And for those of who us who think we do like campaign finance reform, worry, because we're not getting it here.

And I've heard many people wax poetic about the religious basis of why they're against or the philosophical basis for why they're against or even the concept of the philosophy of what the role of money is in our society. We're not naive. Even if we're all on the snipe hunt, we're not supposed to be this naive. Who in this room doesn't know about pay-to-play politics? Who in this room doesn't know the influence of money on decisions that are made here every day we're in session and particularly at budget time?

So some people say how could we spend public money on elections when we aren't funding schools and education and our children. Well, guess what? The reason we don't have the money to spend on schools and our children and healthcare and affordable housing and fair tax policy is because special interests have bought us to give them everything they want.

Again, earlier today I referenced the \$7 billion in special-interest tax subsidies that are within our tax code. Did they magically occur, Mr. President? Did no one ask us for those, Mr. President? Did no one who asked us for this make large contributions to the campaign committees of people sitting in this room, and party committees? Hell, yes, they did, Mr. President.

So in fact if you care about having the money for the important issues in all 63 districts, you'd better understand and the public better understand they're not getting the government they deserve because we are a pay-to-play culture up here.

The fact that we are doing away with the Moreland Commission within the budget bills

today, without their following through on any of the investigations, any of the commitments they made, any of the statements they made in their reports -- it's all going to quietly disappear.

And apparently a real effort for campaign finance reform is going to remain simply a snipe hunt.

And my colleagues who ask, well, who blocked bills when the Democrats were in charge, guess what? It was the head of the working Rules Committee, who's now one of the two Temporary Presidents of the State Senate. So let's find out about that.

And if another one of my colleagues says, If you don't think you have enough votes here on the floor to get real campaign finance reform, as the Democrats and the IDC says they want, what are we going to do to get more votes, well, I certainly hope they're going to work to make sure we replace Senators who are opposed to campaign finance reform with Senators who support campaign finance reform. Because that's how you get the votes to get this in done on the floor of the Senate, Mr. President.

So I am appalled at how everyone --

```
ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 1
 2
     Ball, why do you rise?
 3
                  SENATOR BALL: Will the Senator
 4
     yield?
 5
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: As soon as I
     finish.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              She will
 8
     yield when she finishes, Senator Ball.
 9
                 Senator Krueger, you may continue.
10
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very
     much.
11
12
                  So I'm actually appalled that we're
     playing this game. And again, we can do better,
13
     we must do better. It is inexcusable that this
14
15
     is what we're being offered tonight. That we're
     going to some of us go home and tell our
16
     constituents, Oh, no, we got half a loaf -- this
17
18
     is not half a loaf. This is actually the
19
     destruction of any real campaign finance reform
20
     going forward.
                  And there will be some of us who
21
22
     will be challenged for voting against a bill that
23
     had public trust language in it and had
     anti-corruption language in it. I will remind so
24
25
     many of my colleagues, we thought we all did that
```

in a bill we passed to create JCOPE, also known now in public and press as JJOKE.

So I think the people of New York

State are figuring out when you do fake pretend
legislation to address problems, you don't
address them. You actually put yourself further
behind in what should be the commitment and
agenda of this Legislature to clean up government
to represent the interests of the 19.5 million
people, not the handful of people who are the
political donor base.

The City of New York, several other states have successfully shown that you increase diversity, you increase the debate about what a government is supposed to do, and you decrease the rate of corruption when you get money out of politics and into a small-donor matching fund.

And to argue maybe we'll do it someday after this pretend pilot fails, in fact, I can guarantee you that a pretend pilot that will fail is not going to be any pilot for moving forward.

I am now happy to answer Senator Ball's question. Thank you.

25 SENATOR BALL: Mr. President, it

was mentioned as a matter of fact that the

Senator, who also is the ranking Democratic

member of the Senate Finance Committee, suggested

that she is part of a pay-to-play culture, which

suggests quid pro quo. And it's my understanding

that if you see any activity like that, it's your

responsibility to report it.

So my question is this. Is the ranking Democratic member of the Senate Finance Committee stating as fact, that you know as fact -- because I'm going to tell you, on this side I have never seen anything like that, and I would never want to be part of a culture that did anything like that.

So is the ranking Democratic member of the Senate Finance Committee suggesting that on her side she has a culture of quid pro quo?

And if so, have you reported it?

Thank you.

20 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator

21 | Krueger.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Mr. President,
through you, I don't actually believe the culture
of pay-to-play is unique to either party or
either house. And those cases that I have in

```
1
     fact been able to document, I have reported.
 2
                  Thank you, Senator Ball. Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 3
                                             Thank
 4
     you, Senator Krueger.
 5
                  Senator DeFrancisco, why do you
     rise?
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Would Senator
 8
     Krueger yield to one question?
 9
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                     Certainly.
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         It has
11
     nothing to do with snipes.
12
                  Did you vote for JJOKE? JCOPE, I
13
     mean.
14
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: I have to
15
     double-check. I actually don't remember. I know
     we preferred --
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: He told you
17
18
     you did.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: He said he thinks
19
20
     so.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Okay.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER: Yes, I think I
22
           I know I spoke out about it not being as
23
     strong a bill as the earlier version that should
24
25
     have, I believe, been the correct version of the
```

ethics reform. 1 2 So I did speak on the floor at the 3 But I will accept either your evidence or 4 my chief of staff's memory that I did vote for 5 it. 6 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. 7 On the bill. 8 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator DeFrancisco on the bill. 9 10 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: The point I 11 was trying to make is that there are incremental 12 changes and at the time we vote on things, we believe it's the right thing to do. If when it's 13 implemented it doesn't work as well as we 14 15 thought, I really don't think it amounts to a 16 joke, I think it just means that maybe more has to be done. 17 18 And as far as reform here, one that thing that wasn't mentioned -- and by the way, 19 20 John Bonacic did a wonderful job in stating most 21 of our positions, definitely my position on 22 campaign financing so-called reform. And so did Senator Díaz -- who, by the way, is a Democrat, 23 even though all the Republicans have been blamed 24

25

for stupidity on this issue.

The fact of the matter is that 1 2 there's another piece in there. In my judgment, the best solution to uprooting fraud is 3 4 disclosure. Not disclosure as to how much assets 5 you have, which means nothing, or how little assets you have, which means less. Because if 6 7 it's little assets, does that mean that you're somehow more subject to corruption or graft? And 8 9 if you've got so many more assets than somebody, 10 does that mean that you've been a crook and you've taken advantage of your position or you've 11 12 made it in an honest way? 13 Some of the disclosure is asinine, 14 to put it mildly. But disclosure of who gives 15 you campaign donations is extremely important. And in this bill which hasn't been mentioned yet, 16 the expenditures, the independent expenditures 17 18 have funded tons of ads for people who want 19 public financing and who win close races. 20 the ads say some committee that means nothing to 21 anybody, and you try to go back --22 Mr. President. SENATOR GIANARIS: ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 23 Senator Gianaris, why do you rise? 24 25 SENATOR GIANARIS: Would Senator

```
1
     DeFrancisco yield for a question?
 2
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Can I just
 3
     finish this thought?
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Of course.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     DeFrancisco, you may continue.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         And then to
     find out who actually financed that, you'll never
 8
     find out till after the election.
 9
10
                  But by independent expenditures now,
     when that commercial is out there demanding that
11
     the candidate who wins be supporting public
12
     finance reform, and it shows the name, you can
13
     now go back to the Board of Elections. And those
14
15
     expenditures for the company or the organization
     that's listed on that ad, you can -- you have to,
16
     in the last several weeks of the campaign, every
17
18
     48 hours, any contribution more than $5,000 has
19
     to be reported.
20
                  And similarly, it's less as you're
21
     further away from the election, over specific
     periods of time they have to be disclosed.
22
23
                  So you're opening the daylight.
     someone who will runs for an office and gets
24
     $500,000 in the last two weeks of the campaign
25
```

from some unknown source that you can't find out till after, is now going to be disclosable. Now the voters have something to base their vote on. They base their vote on who is actually supporting this person.

You know, there was a situation in

New York -- I learn so much from New York City,

because some of the things that goes on there I

never seen when I grew up. And I'm not grown up

yet. But there was really one of these

organizations, independent expenditure

organizations, Save the Horses in Central Park or

some nonsensical -- I mean, it was unbelievable.

And actually, if you -- later on, well after the election, later on it turns out that this organization was funded by someone related to another one of the mayoral candidates. Had no interest whatsoever in horses or saving anything other than making sure that that candidate, that that candidate -- that money could be used to defeat and to humiliate another candidate who's trying to become the candidate for mayor of the City of New York. And there's the model, New York City public financing.

Well, disclose everything. If you

```
1
     don't like where I'm getting my money and I
 2
     should be defeated because I'm getting my money
     from a bad source, you'll know. That's what this
 3
 4
     bill does. That's more important than any
 5
     artificial limits that can be manipulated any way
     you want to manipulate it. If the maximum
 6
     contribution is X dollars, you divide a $10,000
 7
     check amongst 16 employees. It's just so
 8
 9
     ridiculous.
10
                  So I happen to disagree with some of
     the public financing from some of the so-called
11
     limit situations. But there is a valuable way to
12
     really get behind everything so people can
13
     intelligently vote, and one of them is right in
14
15
     here, an excellent proposal that's going to open
     the sunlight on some of these organizations that
16
     want to save the horses in New York City and
17
18
     also, by the way, defeat one of the primary
19
     opponents of the person who's funding the Save
20
     the Horses.
21
                  I'd be happy to answer any
22
     questions.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Gianaris.
24
25
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                       Thank you,
```

1 Senator DeFrancisco. 2 Actually, I'm in agreement with you about the disclosure rules for independent 3 4 expenditures, and I'm glad to hear you say that. 5 And you are very good about pointing out a number of examples of horse advocacy groups or people 6 7 that support public financing spending money. But I would ask the Senator if he's 8 9 familiar with the group known as Common Sense 10 Principles. 11 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I have no 12 clue who they are. And I have a question of Senator Gianaris. 13 SENATOR GIANARIS: I'm not finished 14 15 with my question --16 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Okay, go ahead. 17 18 SENATOR GIANARIS: -- if Senator DeFrancisco would continue to yield. 19 20 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator DeFrancisco, do you continue to yield? 21 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: But I do know 22 that under this bill, Mr. President, I do know 23 under this bill that we will find out who those 24 25 people are and who donated to those particular

organizations.

2 | SENATOR GIANARIS: Exactly.

Exactly my point. If I can just finish up on the bill. Thank you, Senator DeFrancisco.

Which is why I agree it's an important part of this bill, but I did need to correct the record that Senator DeFrancisco may have us believe that it was all certain types of advocacy groups that were conducting this kind of expenditures in the last election.

But there's a huge difference. The groups he was talking about, at least insofar as it pertained to the state Senate elections, were disclosed publicly. Maybe not in filings till after the election, but certainly publicly in news accounts. However, there was a group what dwarfed the spending of all the groups he mentioned that not only didn't disclose who they were, made it impossible to find out who they were because they are registered through multiple LLCs in other states, and were condemned in the Moreland Commission report -- which we're shutting down, by the way, as part of this. It should not go unmentioned.

So let's be clear. To the extent

```
1
     there is money that shouldn't be in elections, it
 2
     tilts a lot more one way than the other in this
     state, and it's not on our side.
 3
 4
                  So I am glad, I am glad that the
 5
     disclosure rules are in here, but we should have
     gone a lot further and we still can.
 6
 7
                  Thank you.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Is there
     any other Senator wishing to be heard?
9
10
                  Seeing none and hearing none, the
     debate is closed and the Secretary will ring the
11
     bell.
12
13
                  Can I have some order in the
14
     chamber, please. The Secretary will read the
15
     substitution.
16
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   In relation to
     Calendar Number 372, Senator DeFrancisco moves to
17
18
     discharge, from the Committee on Finance,
19
     Assembly Bill Number 8555D and substitute it for
20
     the identical Senate Bill Number 6355D, Third
21
     Reading Calendar 372.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              The
     substitution is so ordered.
23
                  The Secretary will read the last
24
25
     section.
```

```
THE SECRETARY:
                                    Section 2.
                                                This
 1
 2
     act shall take effect immediately.
                                              Call the
 3
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
     roll.
 4
 5
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     Stewart-Cousins to explain her vote.
                  SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS:
 8
                                              Yes,
     thank you, Mr. President.
 9
10
                  I rise to explain my vote because,
     like everyone else, there are many things in this
11
     particular section that I would prefer to have
12
     different. Certainly the campaign finance
13
     reform, which we worked so hard to see some
14
15
     change and to have a result where it's only one
     race for one year, really not only is not worthy
16
     of being a pilot, but frankly I think will prove
17
18
     nothing.
                  But I will be voting for this
19
20
     because part of this bill does also include some
21
     assistance for the City of Yonkers. And I want
     to really take this moment to thank the Governor
22
     for working with the city in the crisis that they
23
     had. About a month and a half ago, a $55 million
24
25
     error was discovered, a bookkeeping error, which
```

put the district in over a \$100 million deficit. 1 2 And I know the Governor and my 3 colleagues in the Majority Coalition worked to 4 try and put together a package that would make 5 sense for the city. The package includes being able to borrow. It also --6 7 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator Stewart-Cousins, excuse me. 8 9 Can we have some order in the house, 10 please. SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: 11 The city 12 has the option to borrow up to \$45 million, the city will receive some additional funding, and 13 14 certainly the school aid has been increased 15 significantly. 16 These are difficult times for the 17 city, and I know that without, again, the 18 collaboration between the mayor and the Governor and my colleagues here, that would not have 19 20 happened. So again, I will be voting for this bill, and I do hope that the city will be able to 21 22 see through its issues. 23 Thank you. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 24 Senator 25 Stewart-Cousins to be recorded in the

```
affirmative.
 1
 2
                  Senator Krueger to explain her vote.
 3
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 4
 5
                  I rise -- I feel I've been explicit
     about my major concerns about the bill, but I did
 6
 7
     rise to say, while voting no, I absolutely
     appreciate Senator DeFrancisco's final analysis
 8
     on the section of the bill on independent
 9
10
     expenditures. Because it is actually critical,
11
     as part of changing the culture up here and the
     problems we all do know exist, that we rein in
12
     non-transparency in spending. And that is an
13
     important section. And wouldn't it be lovely if
14
15
     the rest of the bill was also something that
16
     would accomplish the goals.
                  So I vote no, with being on record
17
18
     for strongly supporting the sections on
     independent expenditure reporting.
19
20
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
     Krueger to be recorded in the negative.
22
23
                  Senator Tkaczyk to explain her vote.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
24
                                     Thank you,
25
     Mr. President.
```

1 I'll be joining with my Republican 2 colleagues today on the floor in support of this 3 legislation. 4 There are some changes that I like. 5 I like that there are new robust disclosure and reporting requirements for independent 6 7 expenditure campaigns and ethics reform. 8 I am dismayed, though, that we 9 haven't included more things like lowering 10 campaign contributions, closing the LLC loophole, making sure our campaign contributions are used 11 12 for campaigns. Clearly I think the people of this state want us to take seriously the issues 13 around decreasing the influence of money in 14 15 politics. 16 Despite the fact that I see this as a missed opportunity that we didn't do more to 17 18 get the influence of money out of politics, I will be voting in the affirmative. 19 20 Thank you. 21 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Senator 22 Tkaczyk to be recorded in the affirmative. 23 Senator Smith to explain his vote. SENATOR SMITH: 24 Thank you, 25 Mr. President.

```
1
                  Notwithstanding all of the
 2
     discussion that's been on this bill, I rise to
     support the bill, in particular because of my
 3
 4
     area, which was ground zero for mortgage
 5
     foreclosure challenges. In this particular bill
     there is the mortgage settlement language in here
 6
 7
     that will allow the proceeds of that trust fund
     to be distributed throughout the community,
 8
     $613 million.
 9
10
                  Recognizing how hard hit Southeast
     Queens was, this is very important to my area, as
11
12
     I'm sure my colleagues understand very well.
13
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
14
                                              Senator
15
     Smith to be recorded in the affirmative.
                  Senator Marcellino to explain his
16
17
     vote.
18
                  SENATOR MARCELLINO:
                                         Thank you,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  I rise simply to say
21
     congratulations. I think we've done a good job.
     Four years in a row, an on-time budget. Four
22
     years in a row we've produced a spending plan for
23
     the State of New York that has moved the state in
24
25
     the right direction, from indebtedness to
```

solvency, from job loss to job creation. We're doing the right thing.

We're functioning, which is what we were sent here to do. The people didn't send us here to fight. They didn't send us here to bicker. They didn't send us here to point fingers and wave our hands in the air and espouse theories and philosophies. They sent us here to get it done.

We've done that. And you should be proud of yourselves. We should be proud of ourselves because we produced the product, an on-time, balanced budget.

Is it perfect? No. I don't know anything that's perfect except perhaps one being, and it certainly isn't me. But I do know we've done our job, and that's to create to this budget in a bipartisan manner, working together.

Sometimes not agreeing, sometimes getting a little testy, but we did it.

I thank the leaders, both sides of the aisle, for their efforts, because they worked hard to get this done. I thank the Governor for stepping in when he had to. I thank all the staff that have done the greatest job that I can

1 of, because they work the hardest of all of us. 2 But we've done it, and we should be 3 proud of it, and we should go back to our 4 districts and say "Ladies and gentlemen, we did 5 your business." And then in a couple of months they'll let us know if they approve. 6 7 Mr. Chairman, I vote aye. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 8 Senator Marcellino to be recorded in the affirmative. 9 10 Senator Rivera to explain his vote. 11 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, Mr. President. 12 13 As I stated clearly earlier, I do 14 believe that there is a big aspect of this bill 15 which is a poison pill that will not allow me to vote in the affirmative. 16 But I do think that there's a couple 17 18 of positive things in it, as I pointed out 19 earlier. One aspect that I forgot to point out 20 is something that is very akin to a bill that I introduced last year that relates to making sure 21 that if somebody is convicted of a public 22 corruption crime, they cannot lobby this body or 23 24 government. 25 I believe that that is an important

```
1
     piece of business that we have to do.
                                            It is one
 2
     of the good pieces of this particular piece of
     legislation. It is unfortunate that it does not
 3
 4
     outweigh the bad parts of it. I will be voting
 5
     in the negative, but I wanted to point that out.
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             Senator
 8
     Rivera to be recorded in the negative.
 9
                  Senator Díaz to explain his vote.
                  SENATOR DÍAZ: As I said before,
10
     Mr. President, I don't believe in campaign
11
12
     finance.
               I don't think, Senator, that the people
     sent me here to put more burden on them to use
13
14
     their money to pay for campaigns. I don't think
15
     they sent me here for that.
                  And by giving money to people, that
16
     doesn't mean that people are going to be more
17
18
     honest. Whoever is corrupt in their heart,
     they're going to be corrupt no matter how much
19
20
     money you give them. So by giving money to
21
     politicians or to us or to candidates so they
     could supply or fulfill or run for campaigns,
22
     that doesn't mean that they're going to be honest
23
     and the corruption is going to end.
24
25
                  Look at the City of New York. Look
```

```
at the City of New York, the campaign finance,
 1
     all the problems they have with all the people.
 2
     Look at John Liu. They stopped him from getting
 3
 4
     the money.
 5
                  So, ladies and gentlemen, I know
     there's good things in this bill. Some things
 6
 7
     that I wish it was not together with this bill.
     But because I don't like campaign finance,
 8
     because I don't want to use the money, public
 9
10
     money for political campaigns, I am voting no.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
11
                                              Senator
12
     Díaz to be recorded in the negative.
13
                  Senator Hassell-Thompson to explain
14
     her vote.
15
                  SENATOR HASSELL-THOMPSON:
                                               Thank
     you, Mr. President.
16
                  A lot of time has been spent
17
18
     discussing what is or is not campaign finance.
     But there's a great deal more to this bill, and
19
20
     those parts of the bill that I worked on with
     other members of this body I am greatly
21
22
     appreciative of.
                  In this bill there are going to be
23
     more Family Court judges. In this bill there are
24
25
     going to be some court reforms, which I think, to
```

me, are extremely important. And maybe not more 1 2 important. I don't believe that any bill that we 3 do here, based on the impact that it has on the 4 people of the State of New York, should be 5 measured by one greater or lesser than another. I think that particularly as we look 6 7 at our prison reform, as we look at our juvenile justice reform, that those are things that need 8 to be lauded at the same time that we discuss 9 10 some of the weaknesses that occur in humanity. So, Mr. President, I want to thank 11 12 those who continued to listen when I spoke during the resolution that I thought the OCA budget 13 should be intact, and it is. For those who heard 14 15 the concerns that we had about making sure that people are properly represented with our civil 16 legal services, our indigent services, our 17 18 domestic violence, please don't forget that there 19 are some marvelous things that we've done in this 20 budget, and we ought to all be commended for how 21 we have changed the justice system in the State 22 of New York. 23 Thank you, Mr. President. ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: 24 Senator 25 Hassell-Thompson, how do you vote?

```
1
                  SENATOR HASSELL-THOMPSON:
                                               Yes.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Senator
 3
     Hassell-Thompson to be recorded in the
     affirmative.
 4
 5
                  Is there any other Senator wishing
     to explain their vote?
 6
 7
                  Announce the results.
 8
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   In relation to
 9
     Calendar Number 372, those recorded in the
10
     negative are Senators Díaz, Grisanti, Hoylman,
     Krueger, Rivera, Sanders, Serrano and Squadron.
11
12
                  Ayes, 53. Nays, 8.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             The bill
     is passed.
14
                  Senator Libous, that completes the
15
16
     reading of the controversial calendar.
17
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                     Thank you,
18
     Mr. President.
19
                  Congratulations. At least we have
20
     done our job, and the budget is complete.
21
                  At this time if you would call on
     Leader Andrea Stewart-Cousins for some remarks,
22
23
     please.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              I would
24
25
     ask, please, for some quiet in the chamber as we
```

give the leaders an opportunity to address the 1 2 assembled body. 3 Senator Stewart-Cousins. 4 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Thank 5 you, Mr. President. And I know the hour is -- it's not 6 as late as it has been, but certainly this will 7 8 be brief. 9 And again, I think all of us are to 10 be commended. I want to thank the co-leaders, Senator Skelos and Senator Klein. And certainly 11 12 kudos to the Governor for, you know, again another on-time budget, fourth year in a row. 13 I also wanted to take a moment and 14 15 thank the staffs. Because I think, as Senator Marcellino said, clearly all of the work that 16 goes into a process like this is really, really 17 18 done by such an incredible, competent and 19 hardworking staff. And I really want to thank 20 staff on both sides of the aisle for all that you 21 do. About two weeks ago, I guess in the 22 middle of the night, it was around 2 o'clock, I 23 got up and spoke about the Senate one-house 24 25 budget resolution. And I talked about turning

wish lists into reality and a flawed process that we were working under. I talked about my hope in this budget, and I hoped that it would work better and that the final product would do more for everyday New Yorkers.

And as with every budget, and we heard about it tonight, there are good parts and there are bad parts. There are places where we deal with problems, and unfortunately there are places where we ignore problems.

We provide, for example, much-needed tax relief to thousands of New Yorkers, but we could still target it better and ensure that more middle- and working-class families get the relief they deserve.

We have increased school aid and provided unprecedented money for crucial programs like UPK. But we failed to pass the New York State DREAM Act and open up real opportunities for education and jobs for children who have only known New York as their home.

We're passing the Governor's Public
Trust Act, which helps address the ethics
problems that have unfortunately overshadowed the
good work that the majority of legislators do.

And yet we've failed to enact meaningful campaign finance reform, paying lip service to the idea by publicly financing just one race for just one year without taking the comprehensive approach our broken campaign system so desperately needs.

And we are not passing other common-sense ethics reforms like clawing back the pensions of corrupt lawmakers, enacting lower limits on campaign contributions, and closing loopholes that allow for outsized donations.

We are providing more money for many of our most vulnerable citizens, like our seniors, yet failed to provide adequate resources and compensation to some of the workers that take care of those populations.

Again, there are good things in this budget, and there are things that could be better.

In the time of the year right about now where we pat ourselves on the back for what we've accomplished, talk about the importance of on-time budgets, which I agree are important, let's not forget we still have a lot of work to do.

Let's continue to grow businesses,

```
1
     create jobs, ease the tax burden on hardworking
 2
     families throughout the state while also
     providing real mandate relief for our local
 3
 4
     governments. Let's ensure that we fund our
 5
     education system fairly. Let's stand up for
     women's rights and pass the 10-point Women's
 6
 7
     Equality Agenda. Let's pass even stronger ethics
 8
     reforms and create a real public financing system
     for campaigns. Let's do a real raise in the
 9
10
     minimum wage. And if we can't or if we won't,
     let's give our local governments the ability to
11
     do it for themselves.
12
13
                  And let's remember, as I'm sure we
14
     all do, we've done good work, but there's much
15
     more to do.
16
                  Thank you.
17
                  (Applause.)
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                              Thank
     you, Senator Stewart-Cousins.
19
20
                  Senator Klein.
21
                  SENATOR KLEIN:
                                   Thank you,
22
     Mr. President.
23
                  I want to thank my fellow leaders,
     Senator Skelos, Senator Cousins, Speaker Silver
24
25
     during this process for their spirit of
```

cooperation. This is a budget that delivers real 1 2 relief for working families across the state. 3 Earlier this year myself and my 4 colleagues of the Independent Democratic 5 Conference proposed an "Affordable New York" plan, and I'm happy to say that this budget 6 7 includes many of those common-sense proposals. Ι believe there's something in this budget for 8 families from Montauk to Monroe County, and these 9 10 plans truly close the gap between New Yorkers' paychecks and the reality check of what it costs 11 to live in this state. 12 13 Universal pre-K. Early on, I made a 14 commitment that we were going to meet Mayor of 15 New York Bill de Blasio's promise to 54,000 4-year-olds in the City of New York to 16 make sure they have full-time universal pre-K. 17 18 Well, this budget goes one step better, because 19 it recognizes that not only do New York City 20 4-year-olds need the benefits of UPK, but we need it statewide as well. 21 This is something that I think is 22

going to be a game-changer. I think everyone

23

24

25

shown time and time again if we can make sure 1 2 young people experience universal pre-K, it 3 really follows through with benefits their entire 4 They do better in school, get better jobs, 5 are less likely to have trouble with law enforcement, drugs, alcohol. This is a 6 7 game-changer, and I think all of us should be very, very proud that we're finally funding it 8 the way it should have been many years ago. 9 10 Education. This is a budget that increases education by over a billion dollars. 11 12 This is something that is very, very important because I think we create dozens of new types of 13 programs which will benefit millions of our 14 15 students across the state. One of the things I'm particularly 16 proud of is I made sure that this budget 17 contained dozens more community schools in 18 underserved neighborhoods in the coming years. 19 20 The community school concept is something that I advocated last year and continue 21 22 to advocate for this year. It's a common-sense approach, but it makes sure that our schools 23

become the focal point of their community,

working with businesses, working with local

24

25

nonprofits, really making sure that schools are utilized after school for parents and kids, making sure we have school-based health centers, school-based mental health centers, dental centers, making sure that the community school is something special and needed in our communities.

Over the years I think it's important to recognize that we never kept our commitment, our promise to many in our city and state who utilize childcare services. Over the last six years, the budget was slashed as far as daycare subsidies and enrollment programs. And I'm very proud to say that in this budget we restored \$55 million, making sure that 5,000 more working families will finally get the childcare subsidies they need so they can afford to work and raise a family.

This is something that's crucial.

We cannot expect families across our great state
to be able to go out into the workforce unless
they can rely on safe and affordable childcare.

So not only is this making sure that our young
people in this state have the childcare that they
need, but we're also making sure that working
families can go out and work at the same time and

not have to worry about childcare needs.

One of the commitments we made, again, in the Independent Democratic Conference is we were going to put forth a full-blown housing program for the State of New York. I'm proud to report there is going to be such a program that's actually going to make sure that we deal with homeless families, making sure they have housing, making sure we deal with victims of the foreclosure crisis. And something that was very near and dear to my heart, because we talked about it for many years, the re-creation of the Mitchell-Lama program.

The Mitchell-Lama program, many of you may know, is I believe the greatest housing program ever in the State of New York. It was a very simple concept, that we were going to supply middle-class housing for a whole range of New Yorkers. I'm proud to report that we're going to reestablish the Mitchell-Lama 2020 program and finally provide housing for New Yorkers around our great state.

The seniors. You know, one of the things we find time and time again, whether it's in my district in Bronx County or wherever we go

in this state, that it's becoming increasingly unaffordable for senior citizens to be able to retire here.

Well, one of the things that I hear all the time as well, and I'm sure my colleagues hear the same, is the high price of prescription drugs. Time and time again I hear from seniors that they would like to be part of our EPIC program, our prescription drug benefit program, but their income level is just a little bit too high, that their Social Security or maybe their pension -- certainly not wealthy individuals -- puts them above the threshold and they can't enjoy savings from this program.

Well, we expanded the EPIC program to really make sure that middle-income seniors as well can take part in this program. We raised the income level to \$70,000 for an individual, \$100,000 for a married couple. Because I want to tell you something. If you're taking many prescription drugs and you're paying five, six copays -- which many of our seniors do -- that money is dried up very, very quickly. So I'm glad that we're able to do that.

One of the other things that we did,

we made sure especially for the City of New York, and I hope we can expand this statewide, we have a program in the city called SCRIE, Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption. It freezes rents for senior citizens, seniors who don't know what they would do if they get a sudden increase in their rent that continues to grow and grow and grow.

Well, again, we expanded the program in the City of New York to a threshold for any senior citizen who makes under \$50,000, thus making sure that thousands and thousands of seniors in the City of New York get the help they need and not have to worry about an increase in their rent.

I know we talked about our renters tax credit. We talked about our circuit breaker. I think this is a very important program because over the next two years we're going to spend \$170 million just in New York City to make sure that our renters and our homeowners get the relief.

Outside of New York City, I think we put together a property tax relief plan that's second to none, making sure that local

governments and school districts do their part to live within the cap and show that they can even take it one step further, making sure that they can be more efficient, make sure they can make sure their taxpayer dollars are spent wisely and, in return, making sure that taxpayers get a little money back.

Again, I want to thank each and every one of you for your part in this budget.

But as I said before, it's a great budget, but I think there's certain things that we can move further on.

You know, I heard a lot tonight from my colleagues about campaign finance reform.

It's very easy to talk a good game and not getting anything done. I know I heard from two of our self-proclaimed good-government reformers who never miss an opportunity to demonize the other side, who never missed an opportunity to use every single good-government issue as a political wedge issue.

That's probably why we never got anything done when the Democrats controlled the majority. Because instead of finger pointing -- instead of reaching across the other side of the

aisle to find common ground, negotiate, everything becomes a campaign. That happens seven days a week, each and every day.

Well, I make a commitment today that we can do better. I believe what we voted on today is a good first step, but only a first step. I think what we need to do is make sure we have a robust public campaign finance system, and I think it's something we still can do before the end of session.

While I think it's important to have the pilot program for State Comptroller, I think it's even more important that everyone is covered through a campaign finance reform system with a public match. I think a public finance system will pave the way for that to happen, and I think as an added bonus it will draw a bigger pool of candidates and encourage candidate diversity that truly reflects our state's ever-changing population. In a democracy such as ours, anyone with good ideas and a fighting spirit should have the ability to run for office.

I know it was said before, but I personally want to thank my staff. This is the time of year where you don't know how these

people do it. They run around on a lot of 1 2 caffeine, a lot of pizza, not many hours of 3 sleep, and they really did a fantastic job. 4 I want to thank specifically the IDC 5 staff, my chief of staff, our chief of staff, John Emrick; our policy director, Dana 6 7 Carotenuto; our budget director, Francesc Marti; our counsel, Shelley Andrews; as well as 8 Sarah Bangs, David Frazier, and the entire staff. 9 10 I mean, the dedication and commitment to public service that you exhibit each and every day I 11 think makes all of us in the Independent 12 Democratic Conference and the Coalition look 13 14 really good. 15 I just want to end by saying that I think one of the most important things we did 16 here today is recognize that we have a middle 17 18 class that we have to continue to protect and nurture. And I think, in closing, I think the 19 20 middle class, the people who make this state, they are the foundation that supports our 21 22 communities and sustains our neighborhoods. And I think today we did right by the middle class in 23 New York. 24 25 Thank you, Mr. President.

(Applause.) 1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO: Thank 3 you, Senator Klein. 4 Senator Skelos. 5 SENATOR SKELOS: Thank you, Mr. President. 6 7 Before I make my comments, I just received a call from the Governor. He says thank 8 you to everybody for good work, and invites 9 10 everybody over to his home the Mansion, if you would care to go over I think maybe for a slice 11 12 of pizza or something. 13 (Laughter.) SENATOR SKELOS: 14 Not that we 15 haven't had too much pizza over here in this 16 chamber. But let me just say that, you know, 17 18 as all of us discuss things with our constituents 19 and other individuals that we come in contact, 20 the most important thing in their minds is that 21 government functions and you get results. 22 expect lively debate between Republicans and 23 Democrats, they expect lively debate between people that may be a little bit more conservative 24 25 and people that may be a little bit more liberal,

but in the end they want us to put party labels aside, work together, and deliver real results that make a positive difference in the lives of the people we represent.

Working with Governor Cuomo, my

Co-Leader Jeff Klein, our Assembly colleagues, we
have really replaced the dysfunction of the past
with a new spirit of bipartisan cooperation.

Let's look at the results.

Today we are passing the state's fourth consecutive on-time budget. And I thank all my colleagues for that, and I thank Governor Cuomo for his leadership.

We've enacted a new property tax rebate program that gives hardworking taxpayers more of the relief they need and deserve.

We have provided businesses with the savings they need to create new jobs, like a faster phaseout of the energy tax surcharge and elimination of the tax on manufacturers, which will be a real shot in the arm for the upstate economy.

I know that, listening to the debate today, many of my friends on the other side of the aisle railed again credits. Well, let's look

at probably what's one of the largest credits in 1 2 New York State. It's the Earned Income Tax Credit. And what that does is it affords a 3 4 bonus, in a sense, to individuals that are 5 working, not paying taxes, but we're investing a billion dollars a year in these individuals so 6 7 that they be can be successful and care for their 8 families. 9 That's a great credit. And what 10 they're looking for is a hand up, not a hand down, and that's why this Earned Income Tax 11 Credit is so critical in this state. 12 13 And by reforming the estate tax, 14 we've given farmers the ability to hand down 2800 15 family farms from one generation to the next. And we'll save money for small businesses who are 16 the backbone of our economy. 17 18 Today, again listening to the debate, I heard many individuals vilify the "tax 19 20 the rich" mantra we hear so often, unfortunately. 21 But when somebody's sick and they have to get 22 world-class medical treatment, I'm glad Ken Langone was successful and we have the 23 Langone Medical Center. And I'm glad that 24 25 Sandy Weill was successful in his life so we have

Weill Cornell Medical Center.

And if you want world-class cancer treatment, world-class cancer treatment, you go to Sloan-Kettering, where the Koch brothers have contributed a hundred million dollars to that hospital so there can be world-class treatment and there can be world-class research, not just there but in all the institutions that I mentioned.

Listening to my colleagues on the other side, they indicated nobody leaves the state because they're taxed. Well, go to Florida and see where the Golisano Children's Hospital is now. It's not here. It's in Naples. Because he got to the point where he said: No more taxes. I've worked hard, I want to spend my money, I want to contribute to charity, and that's how I want to do.

So he's now in Florida, and Florida is benefiting from his contributions to charities. No estate tax, no income tax.

We know that there's more to do to revitalize the economy in this state, and we're not going to stop until everyone who wants a good job can find one.

This budget also gives our children a strong future. Every student now has the tools that they need to get a first class education.

And Reverend Díaz, you're right about charter schools. They provide opportunity and choice in a public school system so that kids can succeed. And one of the charter schools that was going to be closed, over 90 percent of the children in that school are minorities and 76 percent below the poverty rate, yet those youngsters, with the fifth-grade math exam, fifth-grade math exam, achieved higher than any other school district in the State of New York. So why would you want to ruin that.

Thanks to Senate Republicans, we've taken a major step forward in doing away with the Gap Elimination Adjustment. Every Senate Republican voted no when the Gap Elimination Adjustment was first approved by the Democrat Legislature here -- the Assembly, I think Senator Malcolm Smith was the majority leader at the time -- and Governor Paterson.

This year we're righting a wrong by reducing the GEA by \$602 million. That's seven times more than the Assembly proposed and

86 percent higher that what the Governor proposed. We listened, and we're giving our school districts the help they asked for.

Our budget takes meaningful steps to strengthen New York's finances. We've held spending under 2 percent. It's the fourth year in a row we've enacted a low- or no-growth budget. And we're to be congratulated for that, and the Governor for his leadership.

A \$10 billion deficit we inherited from the Senate Democrats has been eliminated, and now a surplus exists. You won't read about this budget being balanced with 124 taxes and fees, like the MTA payroll tax or the energy tax.

And I heard some of my good friends indicated about things being discussed behind closed doors. Those are the taxes that were negotiated behind closed doors when you were in the majority, when Speaker Silver was the speaker and David Paterson was the governor.

There's more to do, but our New York has come a long way in four short years. This bipartisan budget will keep New York State moving in the right direction, building and shaping a brighter future for everyone.

```
1
                  I want to start off by thanking
 2
     Senator DeFrancisco, chair of the Finance
 3
     Committee, who sat through all those hearings.
 4
     John, you have the patience of a saint. I'm not
 5
     going to call you a saint, but you do have the
     patience of a saint.
 6
 7
                  I want to thank Beth Garvey, our
               She's done a great job her first year.
 8
     counsel.
     Congratulations, Beth, a great job.
 9
10
                  And in particular, I want to thank
11
     Robert Mujica. Because Robert Mujica is
12
     secretary of the Finance Committee, chief of the
     of staff, but he takes his responsibility so
13
14
     seriously not just for the Republican Conference,
15
     but for every single one of us in this room.
16
                  There has never been one person who
     has come to me and said Robert Mujica has not
17
18
     treated me with respect and has not given me the
     right information. And that includes Governor
19
20
     Cuomo, who I believe has the utmost respect for
21
     Robert.
22
                  So, Robert, we thank you for your
     good work.
23
24
                  (Applause.)
25
                  SENATOR SKELOS:
                                    Now, they get the
```

```
applause. Where's everybody else?
 1
 2
                  (Laughter.)
                  SENATOR SKELOS: Our Finance staff,
 3
     our counsel staff, all of our individual staffs
 4
 5
     do just a great job. So I thank you all.
 6
                  (Applause.)
 7
                  SENATOR SKELOS: We're going to
 8
     give everyone a short break, and then we'll be
 9
     back to work on some of our unfinished business,
10
     including teacher evaluation, the education
     investment tax credit, and initiatives to create
11
     new jobs across the state.
12
                  Have a blessed holiday season, a
13
14
     great break, and be safe.
15
                  Thank you, everybody.
16
                  (Applause.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
17
                                             Senator
18
     Libous.
19
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, is
20
     there any further business before the Senate this
21
     evening?
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
                                             There is
     no further business before the Senate.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
24
                                    There being no
25
     further business, I move that the Senate adjourn
```

```
until Wednesday, April 23rd, at 3:00 p.m.,
 1
 2
     intervening days being legislative days.
 3
                  ACTING PRESIDENT GRIFFO:
     motion, the Senate stands adjourned until
 4
     Wednesday, April 23rd, at 3:00 p.m., intervening
 5
     days being legislative days.
 6
 7
                  The Senate stands adjourned.
 8
                   (Whereupon, at 10:49 p.m., the
9
     Senate adjourned.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```