1	NEW YORK STATE SENATE
2	
3	
4	THE STENOGRAPHIC RECORD
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ALBANY, NEW YORK
10	March 26, 2013
11	5:49 p.m.
12	
13	
14	REGULAR SESSION
15	
16	
17	
18	SENATOR DAVID J. VALESKY, Acting President
19	FRANCIS W. PATIENCE, Secretary
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The
3	Senate will come to order.
4	I ask everyone present to please
5	rise and recite with me the Pledge of
6	Allegiance.
7	(Whereupon, the assemblage recited
8	the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.)
9	ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: In the
10	absence of clergy, may we bow our heads in a
11	moment of silence.
12	(Whereupon, the assemblage
13	respected a moment of silence.)
14	ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The
15	reading of the Journal.
16	The Secretary will read.
17	THE SECRETARY: In Senate, Monday,
18	March 25th, the Senate met pursuant to
19	adjournment. The Journal of Sunday, March 24th,
20	was read and approved. On motion, Senate
21	adjourned.
22	ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Without
23	objection, the Journal stands approved as read.
24	Presentation of petitions.
25	Messages from the Assembly.

```
1
                  Messages from the Governor.
 2
                  Reports of standing committees.
 3
                  Reports of select committees.
 4
                  Communications and reports from
     state officers.
 5
                  Motions and resolutions.
 6
 7
                  Senator Libous.
 8
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    Thank you,
9
     Mr. President.
10
                  I do have a motion, Mr. President.
     On behalf my friend and colleague Senator
11
     Zeldin, I move that the following bill be
12
13
     discharged from its respective committee and be
     recommitted with instructions to strike the
14
15
     enacting clause. It would be Senate Print 3709,
16
     Mr. President.
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               So
18
     ordered.
                  Senator Libous.
19
20
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, at
21
     this time could we have the noncontroversial
22
     reading of the calendar, please.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     Secretary will read.
24
25
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
```

```
113, by Senator Maziarz, Senate Print 1119A, an
 1
     act to amend the Environmental Conservation Law.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 3
                                               Read
     the last section.
 4
 5
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2.
                                                This
     act shall take effect immediately.
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Call
     the roll.
 8
 9
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
     Maziarz.
11
12
                  SENATOR MAZIARZ:
                                      Mr. President,
     just to very briefly explain my vote.
13
14
                  I appreciate my colleagues' support
15
     of this legislation. I just want to note that
     both the chair of the EnCon Committee,
16
     Senator Grisanti, the ranking Democratic member,
17
18
     Senator Avella, and the ranking Democratic
19
     member of the Energy Committee are cosponsors of
20
     this legislatiOn.
                  This legislation is very positive
21
     for business in the State of New York and it's
22
     very positive for the environment in the State
23
     of New York. You know, a few years ago I passed
24
25
     an Article 10 siting bill, and at that time
```

Senator LaValle remarked on the number of the memos of support that we had from environmental and business groups. This is the same type of legislation. This bill both helps the environment and it helps business.

Over 40-plus years ago, there was an accident on Staten Island with what they thought at the time was liquefied natural gas, and it was not, ironically enough. And the Legislature back then overreacted; they banned liquefied natural gas. Today New York is the only state, the only state that bans liquefied natural gas.

UPS wants to convert all of their trucks in Florida, Texas, Georgia, New Jersey, California and New York to liquefied natural gas to save the environment and to save their company money. Imagine, thousands of UPS trucks burning diesel fuel or liquefied natural gas, what that's going to do for the environment and save that company a whole lot of money.

They could do it in all those other states except New York. They were stunned to hear that. We can change that. Obviously I do not speak for the Governor, but the Governor, in some private conversations he had in the City of

```
Buffalo with one business organization, is very
 1
 2
     interested in this legislation.
                  So I vote in the affirmative.
 3
 4
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
     Maziarz in the affirmative.
 6
 7
                  Senator O'Mara.
 8
                  SENATOR O'MARA:
                                     I'd like to rise
 9
     in support of this legislation and thank
10
     Senator Maziarz for moving this long overdue
     legislation in New York to allow the
11
12
     transportation and storage of LNG.
13
                  We've been behind the curve in
     New York in allowing our trucking fleets to make
14
15
     the switch from diesel to cleaner-burning
16
     compressed natural gas and, in this case, liquid
     natural gas that provides much greatly reduced
17
18
     emissions in the transportation of goods and
     merchandise across our state.
19
20
                  We have locomotives that are turning
21
     to LNG alternatives for fueling of this, and it's
22
     the trend of the future and something that we
     definitely have needed to do here in New York for
23
     a long time.
24
25
                  So I vote aye.
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
 2
     O'Mara in the affirmative.
 3
                  Senator Little to explain her vote.
 4
                  SENATOR LITTLE:
                                     Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President.
                  I'd like to thank Senator Maziarz
 6
 7
     for putting forth this bill.
 8
                  In my district I have International
 9
     Paper, which employs over 600 people in Essex
10
     County, and they are awaiting a natural gas line
     that will be installed probably by 2014 coming
11
12
     down from Vermont and spending I believe it's
     over $70 million for that.
13
                  In the meantime, they have expressed
14
15
     an interest and almost a real necessity to put in
     one of these liquid natural gas provisions so
16
     that they could begin the transfer of oil to
17
18
     natural gas.
19
                  So I think this is a very important
20
     bill and certainly is going to help my district
21
     and those who are employed by International
22
     Paper. I vote aye.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
     Little to be recorded in the affirmative.
24
25
                  Announce the results.
```

```
1
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   In relation to
 2
     Calendar Number 113, those recorded in the
 3
     negative are Senators Gipson, LaValle and
     Martins.
 4
 5
                  Ayes, 52. Nays, 3.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
 6
 7
     is passed.
 8
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
 9
     193, by Senator Skelos, Senate Print 1905, an act
10
     to amend the Penal Law.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
11
     last section.
12
13
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 4. This act
     shall take effect on the first of November.
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
16
     roll.
17
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
     DeFrancisco.
19
20
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes, I'm
21
     going to vote aye on this bill.
22
                  And the importance of it was just
23
     seen in Onondaga County where a librarian and her
     10-year-old daughter were in their car in a mall
24
25
     frequented by everybody, a huge mall in the
```

area -- Great Northern Mall -- were abducted in 1 their car, driven to a location, the 10-year-old 2 3 girl was raped and the mother was killed. 4 And these crimes dealing with cars 5 with children in them and taking these cars, you know, we do have to increase penalties to make 6 7 that happen, to make the right thing happen. He should have been in jail for 8 9 another offense. And ironically, sadly, 10 horrifically, he had been on some type of probation in federal court. He was out of jail 11 with an ankle bracelet, and he was able to 12 disconnect the signal and no one noticed that. 13 14 I mean, you know, I don't know 15 what's going on in the world. But we do need stronger penalties for people who simply should 16 not be in the world committing these crimes, they 17 18 should be behind bars. 19 So this is an important bill, and I 20 vote aye. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 21 Senator DeFrancisco to be recorded in the affirmative. 22 Announce the results. 23 24 THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 54. Nays, 25 Senator Parker recorded in the negative.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
 2
     is passed.
 3
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     209, by Senator Larkin, Senate Print 284, an act
 4
 5
     to amend the General Business Law.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
 6
 7
     last section.
 8
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 3. This
9
     act shall take effect on the 180th day.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
     roll.
11
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
12
13
                  THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 55.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
15
     is passed.
16
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     251, by Senator Fuschillo, Senate Print 779, an
17
18
     act to amend the Penal Law.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
20
     last section.
21
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2.
                                               This
22
     act shall take effect on the first of November.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
     roll.
24
25
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
```

```
1
                  THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 55.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
 3
     is passed.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
 4
 5
     255, by Senator Golden, Senate Print 2244, an act
     to amend the Penal Law.
 6
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
 7
 8
     last section.
9
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2. This
10
     act shall take effect on the first of November.
11
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
     roll.
12
13
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
14
                  THE SECRETARY: Ayes, 55.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
16
     is passed.
17
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
18
     256, by Senator Klein, Senate Print 2261, an act
     to amend the Penal Law.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
     last section.
21
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 2. This
22
23
     act shall take effect immediately.
24
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
25
     roll.
```

```
1
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
 2
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Ayes, 54. Nays,
 3
     1. Senator Montgomery recorded in the negative.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The bill
 5
     is passed.
                  Senator Libous, that completes the
 6
 7
     noncontroversial reading of the calendar.
 8
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    Mr. President, the
 9
     Senate will stand at ease, but we will call a
10
     Finance Committee meeting in Room 332 at 8:15, a
     Finance Committee meeting at 8:15 in Room 332.
11
                  Until then, the Senate will stand at
12
13
     ease.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
14
                                               Meeting
15
     of the Senate Finance Committee at 8:15 in
16
     Room 332. The Senate stands at ease.
                  (Whereupon, the Senate stood at ease
17
18
     at 5:59 p.m.)
19
                  (Whereupon, the Senate reconvened at
20
     8:30 p.m.)
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
22
     Libous.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President,
     there will be a meeting of the Finance Committee
24
     at 9:00 a.m. in Room 332, a meeting of the
25
```

```
Finance Committee at 9:00 a.m. in Room 332.
 1
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: I think
 3
     you probably mean 9:00 p.m., Senator Libous.
 4
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    Nine p.m., I'm
 5
     sorry. No wonder Rebecca is looking at me like I
     have two heads.
 6
 7
                  (Laughter.)
 8
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: I'm already
9
     thinking about going home.
10
                  (Laughter.)
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
11
                                               The
12
     Senate Finance Committee will meet at 9:00 p.m.
     in Room 332 of the Capitol.
13
                  The Senate will stand at ease.
14
15
                  (Whereupon, the Senate stood at ease
16
     at 8:31 p.m.)
17
                  (Whereupon, the Senate reconvened at
18
     9:26 p.m.)
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
20
     Libous.
21
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President,
22
     could we go back to reports of standing
     committees. I believe there's a report of the
23
     Finance Committee at the desk.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               There is
```

```
1
     a report of the Finance Committee at the desk.
 2
                  The Secretary will read.
                  THE SECRETARY:
 3
                                    Senator
     DeFrancisco, from the Committee on Finance,
 4
 5
     reports the following bills:
                  Senate Print 2600E, Senate Budget
 6
 7
     Bill, an act making appropriations;
 8
                  Senate 2601A, Senate Budget Bill, an
9
     act making appropriations;
10
                  Senate 2603E, Senate Budget Bill, an
     act making appropriations;
11
                  Senate 2606D, Senate Budget Bill, an
12
     act to amend Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2011;
13
                  Senate 2607D, Senate Budget Bill, an
14
15
     act in relation in school district eligibility;
16
                  And Senate 2609D, Senate Budget
     Bill, an act to amend the Tax Law.
17
18
                  All bills ordered direct to third
     reading.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
21
     Libous.
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, can
22
23
     I now move that we accept the report of the
     Finance Committee.
24
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               All
```

```
those in favor of accepting the report of the
 1
 2
     Finance Committee signify by saying aye.
 3
                  (Response of "Aye.")
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Opposed,
 5
     nay.
                  (Response of "Nay.")
 6
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
 8
     report of the Finance Committee is accepted.
 9
                  Senator Libous.
10
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, I
     think we need to just chill for a minute as they
11
12
     put the supplemental calendar on everybody's
     desk, and then we'll get moving.
13
14
                  (Pause.)
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
16
     Libous.
17
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, if
18
     we could bring a little order to the house.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
     (Gaveling.) Senator Libous.
20
21
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: We will now have
22
     the noncontroversial reading of Supplemental
     Calendar 26A, please.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               The
25
     Secretary will read.
```

```
1
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Calendar Number
 2
     273, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2600E, an
     act making appropriations.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is high.
 6
 7
                  Senator Libous.
 8
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: The bill is high;
9
    please lay it aside.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is high and will be laid aside.
11
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
12
     274, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2601A, an
13
     act making appropriations.
14
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is laid aside.
17
18
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     275, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2603E, an
19
20
     act making appropriations.
21
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Lay it aside.
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    The bill is high;
22
     lay it aside, please.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
24
     is high and will be laid aside.
25
```

```
1
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
 2
     276, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2606D, an
     act to amend Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2011.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
                                      Lay it aside.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is laid aside.
 6
 7
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
     277, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2607D, an
 8
     act in relation --
9
10
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: The bill is high;
     lay it aside.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is high and will be laid aside.
13
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
14
15
     278, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2609D --
16
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
                                    That bill is also
    high. Could we lay it aside, please.
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The bill
     is high and will be laid aside.
19
20
                  Senator Libous, that completes the
21
    noncontroversial reading of Senate Supplemental
22
     Calendar 26A.
23
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, can
     we have the controversial reading of the
24
25
     calendar, please.
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              The
 2
     Secretary will ring the bells.
 3
                  The Secretary will read.
 4
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Calendar Number
 5
     274, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2601A, an
     act making appropriations.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Point of
 8
     clarification, Mr. President.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
10
     Gianaris, why do you rise?
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Because we've
11
12
    been dealing with a lot of bills tonight, I just
    want to clarify. This is the Legislature and
13
14
     Judiciary Budget?
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              That's
16
     correct.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS:
17
                                      Thank you.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Read the
     last section.
19
20
                  THE SECRETARY: Section 5. This
     act shall take effect immediately.
21
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
23
     Krueger.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
24
                                     Thank you.
25
                  Mr. President, the Budget Reform Law
```

Section 54 --1 2 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator 3 Krueger, are you speaking on the bill? 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: It's a point of 5 order on the bill, Mr. President. Thank you. We do have, unlike two nights ago, 6 7 the report on the enacted state fiscal year on our desks. But also the same law I was 8 referencing the other night requires that before 9 10 voting upon appropriation bills submitted by the Governor, each house shall have on its desks not 11 12 only the full report of such bill and then the full report on the entire budget. 13 14 So my question is, since this is an 15 appropriation bill and it has aged more than three days, do we have a report on the bill on 16 our desks? 17 18 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator DeFrancisco. 19 20 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: The answer to 21 that question is yes. 22 The report dealing with the Legislative and Judiciary Budget bill is on 23 page 60 and 61 of the booklet entitled "Report on 24 25 the Enacted State Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Executive

1 Budget." 2 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, Mr. President, I want to thank the sponsor. 3 4 I have a follow-up point of order 5 for you. Is it not the requirement of the Senate that a memo be on our desks describing the 6 substance of each bill? Is there a memo on this 7 8 bill on our desks? 9 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator 10 DeFrancisco. SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I don't know 11 what you define as "memo." But there are 61 12 concise pages outlining each of the budget bills 13 14 in this report. Whether this is called a report, 15 a memo or both, this satisfies the requirement that you just mentioned. 16 SENATOR KRUEGER: Through you, 17 18 Mr. President. Thank you. 19 Well, I accept that the Report on 20 the Enacted State Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Executive 21 Budget describes sections of each bill. And I accept, as my colleague just highlighted, that 22 the sections on the Legislative and Judiciary 23 Budget bill are on pages 60 and 61. 24 25 But I'm not sure I do agree that

```
this is a memo to the bills, because this simply
 1
 2
     talks about what has changed or is different in
     the budget bills, not the full details of the
 3
 4
            So I'm not sure it does meet the standard
 5
     of a memo on the bill.
                  So I suppose it's a point of order
 6
 7
     and I'm asking you for your understanding of
     whether this meets the definition of memos on
 8
     specific bills.
 9
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Yes,
     Senator Krueger, specific to your point of order,
11
12
     I believe that this bill is appropriately before
     the Senate and we are moving it forward under the
13
     controversial calendar.
14
15
                  If you wish to appeal my ruling,
     you're certainly willing to do that.
16
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
17
                                      No, I would
18
     disagree with the ruling but I won't appeal it.
19
     Thank you, Mr. President.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Are
     there any other Senators who wish to be heard?
21
                  Seeing none, the debate is -- I'm
22
     sorry, Senator Parker. On the bill?
23
                                     Yes, on the bill.
24
                  SENATOR PARKER:
25
     Thank you, Mr. President.
```

```
ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
 1
 2
     Parker on the bill.
 3
                  SENATOR PARKER: And I'll try to be
 4
     brief.
 5
                  Where do you start with a bill like
     this?
           There is so much wrong. And I know that
 6
 7
     this bill is dealing with primarily the
     Legislature and Judiciary, and in many cases you
 8
     don't have a particular problem with this bill.
 9
10
                  But I find this whole -- both the
11
     process and this whole budget objectionable.
12
     process is objectionable to have, again, this
     process with four men in a room. To not have any
13
     of the leaders in the room -- not to have any
14
15
     African-Americans, Latinos, Asians or women
     involved in the process is objectionable.
16
                  To have a process in which the
17
18
     Governor bullies us through a budget that doesn't
19
     have the things that are important for our
20
     communities in it is objectionable.
21
                  I represent a community that's
     served by Downstate Medical Center in the heart
22
     of Brooklyn. We have not addressed the issues of
23
     the financial crisis or the privatization of that
24
25
     institution. We've failed as a legislature to
```

deal with high-needs districts across the state by not living up to our promise for the Campaign for Fiscal Equity lawsuit.

We also are cutting \$250 million to
New York City schools. We are cutting about
\$90 million to the developmentally disabled
communities, with only a partial restoration. A
lack of progress in terms of women and minority
business development. Although I applaud
Senator Smith and others who made sure that the
\$3 million is back in the budget for the
disparity study, we have yet to do what we need
to do to have enough cumulative resources in the
agencies around the state that would allow an
MWBE agenda to be successfully implemented by the
agencies.

The lack of marijuana decriminalization and the NYPD oversight and accountability through an independent inspector general needs to be done. And we can do that through the budget today and tomorrow.

Failing to provide a real living wage. We really, frankly, ought to be ashamed of ourselves for coming with a proposal that says that \$9 over three years is what we ought to be

```
doing for the people of the State of New York.
 1
 2
     They deserve better. We could do better.
 3
                  Pension-smoothing scheme -- and I
 4
     know they've corrected some of it, but it's just
 5
     really not the right thing for us to be doing.
     It's going to not only burden the next generation
 6
 7
     of retirees, but I think it's going to ultimately
     bankrupt our municipalities. I think it's not
 8
     the right way to go.
9
10
                  Failure to fix New York's
     unemployment insurance and taxing New Yorkers
11
12
     with an unnecessary utility tax. This 18-a
     assessment surcharge with a three-year phaseout
13
14
     that was supposed to phase out this year -- and
15
     we're now kicking the can down the road for three
     years -- is certainly not the way to go.
16
                  And lastly, without a DREAM Act, I
17
18
     cannot find a way to vote for this bill or any
     part of the budget. I vote nay.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
21
     you, Senator Parker.
                  The Secretary will ring the bells.
22
                  Read the last section.
23
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   Section 5.
                                               This
24
25
     act shall take effect immediately.
```

```
Call the
 1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 2
     roll.
 3
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
 5
     Kennedy to explain his vote.
                  SENATOR KENNEDY:
 6
                                     Thank you,
 7
     Mr. President.
 8
                  I rise to support this portion of
 9
     the budget and to speak on a very important
10
     program that I've been advocating for, along with
     many of my colleagues here in the chamber, for
11
12
     quite some time now. And I thank my colleagues
     for joining us in making this program a reality:
13
14
     CASA, court-appointed special advocates, the
15
     specially trained and supervised volunteers
     appointed by a Family Court judge to advocate for
16
     the best interests of children in foster care and
17
18
     in the Family Court system oftentimes in cases of
19
     abuse and neglect.
20
                  Think about that for a second,
21
     children who are abused and neglected.
                                              As the
     father of three, that hits home particularly
22
     strong. Those words are difficult to hear.
23
                  But the fact of the matter is these
24
25
     kids are out there. And without the CASA
```

program, they'd likely have no one standing up for them. When children are abused or severely neglected, they must rely on adults to help them obtain justice and services. That's the intent of the social service and Family Court systems. But all too often these systems are fraught with escalating caseloads and reduced resources. Vulnerable children slip through the cracks.

That's where CASA comes in. The program provides necessary training for over a thousand CASA volunteers serving nearly 4,000 children across New York State.

CASA's collective mission is a safe and permanent home for every child. CASA helps to secure loving homes for abused and neglected children by investigating and monitoring cases involving children in foster care. The CASA volunteer is very often the single constant in a child's life at the most crucial time, providing a powerful voice that says "I care" when no one else seems to.

Simply put, CASA volunteers are the guardian angels of our Family Court system and oftentimes the lone voice for these abused and neglected children.

1 Finally, April, which is right 2 around the corner, is Child Abuse Prevention Month. CASA volunteers work to prevent child 3 4 abuse every single day. On behalf of the 5 thousands of children they serve, I support them in their efforts. 6 7 Again, Mr. President, I vote aye. Thank you. 8 9 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator 10 Kennedy in the affirmative. 11 Senator DeFrancisco to explain his 12 vote. 13 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Since Yes. 14 most of you in this room sat through many of the 15 budget hearings, I just want to mention some of the things that were in this budget that people 16 advocated for and were changed from the 17 18 Governor's budget. 19 First of all, the legislative budget 20 is flat. Even though we have a 63rd Senator, an additional cost because of that, it's flat. That 21 22 also includes the Assembly as well, it's flat. 23 The Judiciary budget went up less 24 than 1 percent, and most of that was as a result 25 of costs of pensions. With respect to -- it was

```
mentioned already that CASA was restored.
 1
 2
     was a lot of support among this Legislature for
 3
     that. Also, there was an increase in funding for
 4
     civil legal services that is funded by the
 5
     judiciary and other programs that we had
 6
     requested.
 7
                  So I appreciate the comments of
     Senator Kennedy. I know many others have argued
 8
     about that same issue. And I think it's a great
 9
10
     judiciary and an even greater legislative budget
11
     in these very trying economic times.
12
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
13
     aye.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
15
     DeFrancisco in the affirmative.
                  Senator Gipson to explain his vote.
16
                  SENATOR GIPSON:
17
                                    Thank you,
18
     Mr. President.
19
                  I rise in support of this bill. But
     I do, however, want to point out that it is now
20
     9:45 in the evening. There is really no logical
21
22
     reason why we should have to be here at this hour
     of night voting on these important bills that
23
     contain over $142 billion of decisions that we
24
25
     will have to make before the sun comes up.
```

```
This is a vampire bill.
 1
                                           I believe
 2
     that we can do better. If we would support my
 3
     Vampire Voting Act bill that I presented to this
 4
     floor, there would be no reason for us to be here
 5
     now. And our constituents, who have had a long
     day's work and who are now headed off to bed,
 6
 7
     would be able to stay up and actually be a part
 8
     of these important deliberations.
 9
                  Thank you.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
     Gipson, how do you vote?
11
                  SENATOR GIPSON: As I said at the
12
     beginning, I am voting yes.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
14
15
     Gipson in the affirmative.
16
                  Announce the results.
17
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   In relation to
18
     Calendar Number 274, those recorded in the
19
     negative are Senators Espaillat, Hoylman,
20
     Latimer, Parker and Sampson.
21
                  Ayes, 55. Nays, 5.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              The bill
23
     is passed.
                  THE SECRETARY: Calendar Number
24
25
     276, Senate Budget Bill, Senate Print 2606D, an
```

```
act to amend Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2011.
 1
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 3
     Gianaris.
 4
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
 5
     believe there's an amendment at the desk.
     that a reading of the amendment be waived and
 6
 7
     that Senator Parker may be heard on the
 8
     amendment.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
10
     Gianaris, I have reviewed the amendment that is
     at the desk and rule it out of order.
11
12
     attempts to direct appropriations. As such, it
     is an impermissible substitution under Article 7
13
     of the Constitution.
14
15
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Mr. President, I
     appeal the decision of the chair, and I ask that
16
     Senator Parker be heard on the appeal in order to
17
18
     explain the amendment.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
19
                                              Senator
20
     Parker on the appeal.
21
                  SENATOR PARKER:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President.
22
                  The amendment I offer would prevent
23
     the closing of SUNY Downstate Hospital as
24
25
     proposed by this budget's legislation and provide
```

for a stabilization plan that actually stabilizes and preserves care.

It would eliminate language that would allow for the privatization of services at SUNY downstate and instead promote the continuation of care. This amendment also would vest the Legislature with authority to approve a stabilization plan proposed by the SUNY Board of Trustees.

Mr. President, as you know, the members of the Brooklyn delegation have worked day and night to try and save SUNY Downstate from closure. Years of poor management and difficult economic conditions have crippled the hospital. Yet now is not the time to give up on the fourth largest employer in Brooklyn. Now is not the time to sell the Long Island College Hospital, contract out essential primary care service, and hand over day-to-day administration to a for-profit entity as proposed by this bill.

This current bill in front of us also, as relates to Long Island College Hospital, intends on selling off a \$500 million asset without any legislative oversight. Never before have we done such a thing, and I think now is the

wrong time to start selling off large assets and eliminating legislative oversight.

Instead, the amendment I propose would prevent the closing of services, require legislative approval of a turnaround plan, and guarantee participation by all relevant stakeholders as the board of trustees works out with our communities to save SUNY Downstate.

This amendment will be paired with another amendment which would actually also -- we need to include \$150 million in stabilization funding necessary to stabilize the facility.

SUNY Downstate contributes \$1.3 billion to the state's economy and returns \$12 to the local economy for every dollar that's invested by the state.

One in three doctors in Brooklyn were trained at SUNY Downstate, and half of Brooklyn's medical specialists were trained at the facility. Eighty percent of SUNY Downstate's graduates live and practice in New York City. SUNY Downstate takes in over 80,000 visits per year. And this is, I think, more than enough reason for us to accept this amendment and to save this institution.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
 2
     you, Senator Parker.
 3
                  On the appeal of the ruling of the
     chair, all in favor of overruling the chair
 4
 5
     signify by saying aye.
                  SENATOR GIANARIS: Show of hands,
 6
 7
     please, Mr. President.
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Those in
 9
     a favor of overruling the chair signify by
10
     raising your hand.
                  (Show of hands.)
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     Secretary will announce the results.
13
14
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                    Ayes, 26.
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
16
     ruling of the chair stands.
17
                  Senator Rivera.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA: Mr. President, I
19
     wonder if the sponsor would yield for a few
20
     questions.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
     DeFrancisco, do you yield?
22
                                          Yes, I would.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
25
```

1 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, 2 Mr. President. 3 I wanted to take some time to -first of all, just for the record, I obviously 4 5 would have preferred what Senator Parker just 6 laid out to be something that we approved, 7 because, obviously, having -- this is a very important institution, SUNY Downstate. And so I 8 would have preferred that we resolved it in the 9 10 way that Senator Parker just proposed in his amendment. 11 12 But as we are speaking on this particular budget bill, I wanted to specifically 13 14 ask a few questions -- Mr. President, through 15 you -- of Part Q of this particular budget bill. I wanted to -- first of all, through you, 16 Mr. President, if the sponsor could just briefly 17 18 kind of state what the purpose of this particular 19 section is. Let's start there and then work our 20 way through the sections. SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 21 Well, the purpose of the section of the bill is to do our 22 best to not only save Downstate but also to make 23 it a vibrant facility for the same people that 24 25 Senator Parker mentioned it was important to have this institution a viable institution.

In the period of time in which there were budget negotiations, the determination by the negotiators was that we should get a report, a sustainability plan from SUNY, and that's got to be done by June 1st of this year, in just a couple of months, and submit that plan to the Legislature to come up -- to provide us recommendations on how to save it.

And it also calls for some funding to be made available by SUNY, and hopefully to be matched by the federal government through

Medicaid, to provide some initial funding to get

Downstate back operating. But that funding will not happen until we see what the report is, or until the report is finalized.

So rather than rush to a result and just throw money at it -- like LICH was thrown at it in the past, which caused more problems for Downstate than they had before LICH was merged into Downstate -- a more prudent plan would be to make sure that it's done in a deliberate way, and that's what's happening. I don't think anybody in this Legislature, no matter where we live, want to lose Downstate Hospital.

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
 2
     Rivera.
 3
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
 4
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 5
     yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 6
                                              Senator
 7
     DeFrancisco.
 8
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              The
10
     sponsor yields.
                                    Thank you,
11
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
     Mr. President.
12
13
                  I agree with you, no one in the
     Legislature and I don't think anybody in the
14
15
     State of New York would want an institution like
16
     this, that provides such basic services to an
     important part of our state, for it to go away.
17
18
                  But I actually want to dig a little
     bit deeper. Could you tell me, particularly on
19
20
     Section 2, there's a mention of a sustainability
     plan. You mentioned it yourself. Could you tell
21
     me what the definition of a sustainability plan
22
23
     is? What are the parameters for a sustainability
24
     plan?
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, I don't
```

```
think there's a definition in the bill. But
 1
 2
     "sustainability" and "plan" have plain meanings.
     The sustainability is to make sure it continues
 3
 4
     as a viable hospital. And a plan is how they
 5
     propose that this is going to happen.
 6
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
 7
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
 8
     yield.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
10
     DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                          Yes.
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
13
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
15
     Mr. President.
16
                  Do you not believe it is necessary
17
     for us to lay out some specifics as far as what
18
     the parameters of a sustainability plan should
19
     be, to make sure that -- everything that you've
20
     been saying about the institution and how
21
     important it is to maintain it, wouldn't it have
22
     been important to include language that was
23
     specific in that regard in the language itself of
     the bill?
24
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes, as far
```

```
as the more parameters that you lay in the bill,
 1
     the less flexibility SUNY has to try to find a
 2
     viable solution.
 3
 4
                  And I don't think anybody in this
 5
     Legislature really wants to limit SUNY from
     making a reasonable plan that they feel will
 6
 7
     work, since some of the money is going to come
     from the SUNY coffers, and since they have two
 8
     other hospitals that are currently existing and
 9
10
     they run hospitals in a specific way. I think
11
     the concept was to give them the most flexibility
     we could.
12
13
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
14
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
15
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
16
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
17
                                               Senator
18
     DeFrancisco yields.
19
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
20
     Mr. President.
                  I understand the issue of
21
     flexibility. But again, would it not have been
22
     wiser to at least set some parameters as far as
23
     perhaps services that should be maintained, as
24
25
     far as populations that should be served?
```

If we're talking about the impact that the close of this institution or changes to this institution would have, would it not have been prudent to include language that was -- I understand the issue about flexibility. We're not going to have a listing of three or four pages that outline exactly what a sustainability plan should have.

But at least some general parameters to make sure that the institution would continue to exist and in a way that would continue to provide the services that are necessary for the population that it serves. Through you,

Mr. President, would that not have been prudent?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: That's one school of thought. I just think the more flexibility, the better.

In Section 3 there's also some language that says that such sustainability plan shall include the elimination and/or reduction of acute ambulatory and supportive services that are not necessary or financially sustainable and any additional measures necessary to achieve such restructuring and achieve financial stability.

I think that's the primary word,

```
financial stability. One plan just a couple of
 1
 2
     years ago was to acquire LICH. Well, that didn't
 3
     bring financial stability. The hospital,
 4
     Downstate, was hemorrhaging millions every
 5
     month.
 6
                  So I guess the guidelines are we
 7
     want it sustainable, we want it to be a viable
     part of our healthcare system. And secondly, we
 8
     want to make sure that the plan comes up with
 9
10
     something that's financially feasible so we don't
11
     have another problem two or three years down the
12
     road.
13
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
14
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
15
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
16
                                         Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
17
                                              The
18
     sponsor yields.
19
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
20
     Mr. President.
21
                  Through you, Mr. President.
     DeFrancisco, earlier you referred to a report --
22
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Pardon me?
                  SENATOR RIVERA: If I'm not
24
25
     mistaken -- we can go do the transcript -- you
```

```
1
     referred to a report that this language would
 2
     require to be produced at the end of this
 3
    process, something of that nature. I don't
 4
     recall finding language that referred to a
 5
     report.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I don't think
 6
 7
     there was a report. It's a plan, a
     sustainability plan. I don't remember using the
 8
     word. If I did, then I was mistaken.
 9
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA: You were referring
     to the plan itself.
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         The plan.
13
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
14
    Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
15
    yield.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
    DeFrancisco, do you yield?
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes, I do.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              The
20
     sponsor continues to yield.
21
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President.
22
23
                  I want to get to one part, which is
    we were talking -- well, I'm going to go by
24
25
     sections. Moving on to Section 5 for a second,
```

you referred to it earlier as far as the timeline is concerned. I want to talk about that timeline a little bit. If I'm not mistaken, the bill as written would require for a plan to be created by June 1st and then approved within two weeks by June 15th to be implemented. Not approved, but implemented.

If I'm not mistaken, that is the language that is included in the bill. I have a couple of questions about that. Let's start with this one, through you, Mr. President.

Do you feel that that is both enough time for a plan to be put together and, more importantly, for it to be implemented?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, I guess you're damned if you do and you're damned if you don't. On the one hand, you want something to be done, now so the negotiators put a short leash on it, so the report would be done by June 1 and that the plan would begin to be implemented two weeks later.

So I guess that's the compromise.

We can't do it right now, but we want to make

sure that this doesn't -- the situation doesn't

get worse before something concrete is done.

```
1
                                    Mr. President,
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 2
     through you, if the sponsor would continue to
 3
     yield.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                             Senator
 5
     DeFrancisco.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 6
                                         Yes.
 7
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
 8
     DeFrancisco yields.
 9
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    I want to make
10
     sure that I understand the exact context of
     "dammed if you do, damned if you don't." No,
11
12
     actually I don't, which is why I'm going to ask
     for clarification.
13
14
                  Do you mean to say that you would
15
     have written the language differently, or do you
     mean to say that the folks that are
16
     implementing or would be asked to implement --
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
19
     Libous.
20
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, if
21
     I could ask both Senators to direct everything
     through the chair, it would be a lot easier for
22
     us to follow. Thank you. I'm getting older, and
23
     it's harder for me to follow the debate.
24
25
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you, Senator
```

Libous. 1 2 Mr. President, through you. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 3 Senator 4 Rivera. 5 SENATOR RIVERA: Referring to an answer to a prior question that Senator 6 7 DeFrancisco made related to a comment "damned if you do, damned if you don't, " I just want to 8 clarify that term, since I did not understand 9 10 it. Perhaps you did, Mr. President. Does it relate either to how he 11 12 would have negotiated the language or would have put the language forth differently? Or does he 13 refer to the folks that would be implementing the 14 15 plan, would they be damned if they don't, damned if they do? I'm just repeating through you, 16 Mr. President, what was answered earlier. 17 18 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: What I meant by that was -- I thought it was clear. But what 19 20 I meant by that was on the one hand you're saying you're unhappy that there's nothing for Downstate 21 22 to keep the thing open in this budget. And on the other hand, when we have a short leash to get 23 a comprehensive financial and other plan by SUNY 24

by June 1 and then implement it by June 15, that

25

```
that's -- do we have enough time.
 1
 2
                  So on the one hand we're being
     criticized for not doing something now, and on
 3
 4
     the other hand we are being criticized or
 5
     questioned on such a short leash and a short time
     to begin implementation. You're either damned if
 6
 7
     you do or you're damned if you don't.
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 8
                                     Through you,
 9
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
10
     yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
11
                                               Senator
     DeFrancisco.
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
13
                                          Yes.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
15
     sponsor yields.
16
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
17
18
                  I would think, through you -- thank
     Senator DeFrancisco for that clarification. I
19
20
     will also just for the record state that I was
21
     making sure that I knew exactly what he meant and
22
     that really what I'm trying to establish is if
     you -- whether it is believed that this is a
23
     prudent timeline. I'm going to take it to mean
24
25
     that Senator DeFrancisco believes that it is a
```

prudent timeline.

But I want to actually move down a couple of sections to Section 6. And this is one that, Mr. President, I want to make sure that we really explore, because this is the one that, to be honest with you, causes me the most -- is one of the most concerning parts of this whole bill.

Through you, Mr. President, could the sponsor describe, generally speaking, the purpose of this particular section of the bill?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I'm sorry, I missed the last part of the question. Referring to paragraph 6, what would you like to know about that?

SENATOR RIVERA: Through you,
Mr. President, if Senator DeFrancisco, if the
sponsor could tell us, first of all, the purpose
of Section 6 of this bill.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, in the effort, once again, to move to a solution as quickly as possible, this section allows for the waiver of certain provisions of the law and allows Downstate or Upstate to enter contracts without competitive bidding or requests for proposals.

1 In other words, we want to get a 2 decision made quickly on the sustainability plan 3 and we want implementation to happen as quickly 4 as possible. And if we had to then, after a plan 5 was formed, then competitively bid, wait another 6 months, another 8 months while the hospital is 6 7 hemorrhaging money, there's less chance of it becoming a viable organization again. That's the 8 purpose of the language. 9 10 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, 11 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to yield. 12 13 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 14 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The 15 sponsor yields. SENATOR RIVERA: 16 Thank you, Mr. President. 17 18 Through you, there's language in this legislation, particularly in this section, 19 20 which refers to State Finance Law 112 to State Finance Law 163, and to Education Law 355. 21 wondering, through you, if the sponsor could tell 22 us what those particular sections of the law 23 refer to. 24 25 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: They refer

```
to, in each of those areas of the law, the
 1
 2
     requirement of competitive bidding or RFPs.
 3
     Depending upon the section, it has those
 4
     requirements for contracting by the state.
 5
                  This would, as it relates to each
     one of those sections, whether it's competitive
 6
 7
     bidding or RFPs, would give SUNY the opportunity
     to waive that competitive bidding process or RFP
 8
 9
     process.
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
11
12
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
13
                                          Yes.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
15
     sponsor yields.
16
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President. So in all these instances where
17
18
     we're talking about one of them -- for the
19
     record, State Finance Law 212 requires any
20
     contract of $50,000 or more for all agencies
21
     except OGS and $85,000 and above for OGS to be
22
     reviewed and approved by the Comptroller before
     it's effective. State Finance Law 163
23
     establishes standards for state agencies to
24
25
     follow in regards to procurement, including
```

operating principles for evaluating offers and awarding contracts. And Education Law 355 authorizes the board, as it refers to the SUNY Board of Trustees, authorizes the board to execute contracts and includes requirements to purchase the lowest purchase price under the competitive process.

In all of these instances -- through you, Mr. President. So what this section is does is exclude -- I want to make sure that I'm clear with this -- it excludes the institution of SUNY Downstate from any and all of these pieces of the law. Is that correct? Through you,

Mr. President.

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I don't have the Finance Law in hand, or the Education Law. Or the Economic Development Law, Section 142. But the intent of this was as to those sections as it relates to competitive bidding and RFP, Downstate won't have to do it. The reason why, it's an emergency situation. We've got a critical situation there, and everyone knows about it. And if you don't provide this flexibility to implement a plan quickly, the hospital might not survive. And we don't want

1 that to happen. 2 So of course competitive bidding is 3 a wonderful thing. So are RFPs, and we use them 4 all the time. But when you have an emergency, 5 you've got to do something outside the box. And for this case, this emergency authority is only 6 7 given to the Downstate situation. SENATOR RIVERA: 8 Through you, 9 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 10 yield. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 11 Senator DeFrancisco, do you yield? 12 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: 13 Yes. 14 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The 15 sponsor yields. SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, 16 Mr. President. So in this instance not only does 17 18 it remove it from these particular sections of the law, but as far as oversight, as far as 19 20 whether there would be an agency -- whether --21 it's actually, first of all, whether the Legislature would be able to look into what's 22 happening there, Mr. President. Or more 23 importantly, if the Comptroller would be able to 24 25 look into the expenditures that are made in the

1 middle of this process.

Does this section or does any other section of this law actually allow for either the Legislature or the Comptroller to look more specifically into the expenditures that are made through this emergency plan?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: The plan, first of all, has to be approved by the Commissioner of Health. So there's some oversight there. That's number one.

Number two, just like any other state expenditures, the Comptroller's office obviously has some oversight of state expenditures.

In addition, there was actually even a section in here that Downstate shall post on its website for a period of no less than 15 days a description of proposed goods and services to be provided under various contracts. The criteria for contractor selection.

So there's actually public disclosure of what they're doing in addition to the oversight of the Health Department as well as the Comptroller's office.

And by the way, for those in the

```
1
     state -- I know there's some in the state who
 2
     don't like the idea of this kind of flexibility
     generally. Again, it's only for downstate, and
 3
 4
     it's only for a period of one year, thinking that
 5
     that's the crucial period of time with which
     things have to be done. And by that time I
 6
 7
     imagine the state will know one way or the other
     what else has to be done to save the hospital and
 8
     go back to the regular procedures.
 9
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
11
12
     yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
13
                                               Senator
14
     DeFrancisco?
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
16
17
     sponsor yields.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
19
20
                  So on the issue specifically,
     Mr. President, of contracting -- and that's one
21
     that I want to make sure we establish for the
22
              So on issues of contracting, which may
23
     record.
     include anything and everything that could
24
25
     potentially happen in this institution, whether
```

```
it's providing medical services, whether it's
 1
 2
     maintenance of the facilities, whether it's
     renovation of the facilities, in any and all
 3
 4
     contracts that might be made by this institution
 5
     during this process of restructuring, I want to
     talk specifically about the oversight on those
 6
 7
     contracts.
 8
                  It seems to me -- and maybe I've
 9
     interpreted the language wrong, but it seems to
10
     me that the Department of Health and the
11
     Commissioner of Health have no oversight over
12
     those contracts. And if they do, I'd like --
     through you, Mr. President -- if the sponsor
13
     could correct me. But it seems to me that they
14
15
     do not have that authority.
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         I wasn't
17
     paying attention, I'm sorry.
18
                  (Laughter.)
19
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I was trying
20
     to get another question clarified. But I will
     pay attention this time.
21
22
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. President --
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               Please
25
     restate your question, Senator Rivera.
```

SENATOR RIVERA: I will absolutely restate my question, Mr. President.

As I understand it, the language does not allow for the Department of Health or the Commissioner of Health specifically to have oversight over the contracting that is done during this period of restructuring by this entity. And "contracts" understood to be anything and everything that this entity could do -- and I'll repeat again for the record -- whether we're talking about medical services directly, whether we're talking about remodeling facilities, whether we're talking about providing food or other types of services. In any and all of these instances, it seems to me that the Department of Health and the Commissioner of Health do not have oversight over the contracts.

And furthermore, if the contracts, as I heard the sponsor state earlier, that this period of this emergency plan would be only for one year, I do not find language in this section or in any other section that would bar any type of contract that could be made during this period when there is no oversight that goes beyond that period of a year. So if the sponsor could

clarify that for me, please. 1 2 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: As far as the 3 oversight, remember, the plan that's got to be 4 prepared by June 1 is a plan that has to be 5 approved by the Commissioner of Health. So as a practical matter, he can put whatever parameters 6 7 he wants on it that the Downstate has to follow. 8 And if he thinks he needs specific 9 authority to reject a part of the plan or not 10 allow them to go to a longer-term contract, that 11 can be handled in the rejection of the plan. And 12 that once the plan is in place, the commissioner oversees what they're doing to make sure that 13 14 they are in compliance with the plan. 15 So it's not that there's no 16 oversight, it's just that there's more flexibility for that emergency period. 17 18 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 19 20 yield. 21 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator 22 DeFrancisco. 23 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 24 The 25 sponsor yields.

1 SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you, 2 Mr. President. 3 The process as just described by the 4 sponsor referred to whether the plan is 5 accepted. As we discussed prior, an earlier section of the bill states that the process would 6 7 be within two weeks -- the damned if you don't, damned if you do part of the conversation -- two 8 9 weeks for the proposal to be put into effect and 10 be implemented. What if, during that period of time, 11 12 the Department of Health rejects the plan? would happen then? 13 14 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, I would 15 assume that SUNY would get back to the drawing board very quickly. And I don't think it's a 16 matter of -- what's planned here, I think, is a 17 18 submission. And while they're submitting it, I'm 19 sure there has to be discussions with the 20 Department of Health as the plan is being developed to make sure they're not going in the 21 wrong direction. 22 So again, the short leash is to try 23 to get things moving. I would imagine that if 24 25 they needed legislative authority, for example,

```
after the -- remember, it's while we're still in
 1
 2
     session, the Legislature. I imagine if it's
 3
     rejected on June 15th they could come back here
 4
     and say, Wait, we need two more weeks, or we need
 5
     whatever we need.
                  But hopefully there will be
 6
 7
     discussions as the plan is developed to make sure
     the planners are accommodating what the
 8
     Commissioner is really looking for. And I would
 9
10
     be surprised if that process hasn't already
     begun, quite frankly.
11
12
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
13
14
     yield.
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
16
                                               The
17
     sponsor yields.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA: So, Mr. President,
     let's play hypothetical for a second, just
19
20
     because I want to make sure that we understand
21
     what excluding this particular entity from these
22
     laws, these sections of the law that I mentioned
     before, exactly what it means. Let's say that
23
     there is a plan put forth by June 1st, it is
24
25
     approved and put into effect by June 15th, and on
```

July 30th, during this new -- the folks that have taken over, have put together this plan and they're putting it forth, then they enter into a contract which actually goes -- let's say it's a five-year contract. Which, as we stated before, would not be eligible for any type of oversight.

And as I understand it, because we're excluding it from State Finance Law 112, there would no longer be oversight from the Comptroller's office over that contract until, you know, until after it's ratified. And if the contract is ratified -- and I would like some clarification from the sponsor -- if the contract is ratified and it's a contract that goes way beyond the year that there's supposed to be restructuring, what happens then?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: First of all, we're in an emergency situation. And if we ask the presidents of SUNY Upstate and Stony Brook whether they would like more flexibility so they can get some things done for their colleges, they would jump for joy to have that ability. What we're doing is giving the most flexibility we can to get this done.

Now, of course there's always a risk

```
that a contract isn't going to be as good as it
 1
                       There's always going to be a
 2
     could have been.
 3
     risk that something extends longer than we want
 4
     it to extend. But if the program doesn't work,
 5
     Downstate won't be paying any of their bills
            So whoever is contracting with SUNY under
 6
     soon.
 7
     this plan I think would have to look carefully on
     whether or not this plan makes sense from both
 8
 9
     sides.
10
                  So we're taking a risk. Of course
11
     we are. But the alternative is making them go
12
     through months and months of bidding while
     Downstate goes further and further in a hole.
13
14
     When you balance those interests, you could come
15
     up with 15 hypotheticals of risks. The risk of
     something -- not doing anything or doing
16
17
     something on a slow pace is not worth it.
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
19
20
     yield.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
22
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
23
24
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
25
     Mr. President. I only have a few more questions
```

```
on this issue and then I'm moving briefly to
 1
 2
     another.
                  If we move to Section 9,
 3
     Mr. President, it adds a new section to the
 4
 5
     Public Health Law that would allow SUNY
     Downstate, among other institutions, to
 6
 7
     participate in the Delivery System Reform
     Incentive Payments program. That's DSRIP.
 8
                                                  Is
 9
     this a waiver program that New York State
10
     currently -- through you, Mr. President, is there
11
     a waiver program that currently New York State
12
     participates in?
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
15
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
     yield.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
17
                                               Senator
18
     DeFrancisco, do you yield?
19
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
21
     sponsor yields.
22
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
23
                  It is my understanding that this is
24
25
     part of a Medicaid 1115 waiver that the State of
```

```
New York has not currently submitted an
 1
 2
     application for. As I understand it, only the
     State of California and the State of Texas are
 3
 4
     currently participating in this current Medicaid
 5
     waiver.
                  So, Mr. President, through you, does
 6
 7
     the sponsor have any other information about
     whether the state has actually applied for this
 8
     particular waiver?
 9
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          I guess the
     answer is that we do this with various other
11
12
     waivers, this particular process. And you're
     absolutely correct. So when I say that we do
13
     these things now, we do.
14
15
                  On this particular waiver, you're
16
     correct, we haven't applied for it yet. But
     obviously this allows for what it says in the
17
18
     bill, assuming that we do obtain that approval by
19
     the federal government.
20
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor will continue to
21
22
     yield.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you,

Mr. President.

So am I to understand, then, that we are including a section here in the law which would allow this institution to get access to funding through a waiver program that we have not applied for? And, furthermore, that it is a part of a larger waiver proposal process that we have already been in for over a year, perhaps, that — and that we have.

So if already for over a year in this waiver process we've been asking for all sorts of money from the federal government, are we saying, then, that -- I did not find language here; maybe I can get clarification from the sponsor. But I did not find language that says that the state is going to apply for this. Are we going to then amend the process that's already been ongoing for a year to make sure that we would get access to money from this waiver?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: We need the authority for this because the -- what we're going to be asking for from the federal government is what is needed in furtherance of the sustainability plan. There's already

1 discussions with the Department of Health and the 2 federal government that we are going to make this 3 application, the reason we're going to make this 4 application. But depending upon what the plan is 5 will depend upon the exact nature of the waiver 6 request. 7 Now, I would assume we could also 8 just leave this out and, once the waiver is granted, come back, pass another bill to allow 9 10 for what we want to allow for here. But 11 obviously this just gives us the authority. If 12 we never get the waiver approval, this section would be moot. 13 14 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, 15 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to yield. 16 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 17 Senator 18 DeFrancisco? 19 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 20 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The 21 sponsor yields. 22 SENATOR RIVERA: I actually want to go back briefly to Section 6, because there's one 23 thing that I missed and I want to make sure that 24 25 I get clarification, Mr. President. Section 6,

again, is the one that deals with opting out of certain areas of the law which would allow for some level of oversight from different entities, the Comptroller being one of them. And also, as we said, to allow for more flexible -- utilizing the language of the sponsor, a more flexible process by which we could contract more quickly and more efficiently.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What is the consideration in this process -- I do not see language that refers to MWBEs, particularly whether there is any type of standard that will be set to make sure that -yes, flexibility is obviously important. we're talking about an institution that is in Brooklyn and is obviously surrounded by many minority and women-owned business enterprises that could perform some such services that we're talking about, is there something in the language -- through you, Mr. President -- that would allow or that establishes any type of standards as it refers to MWBEs for this particular institution and this particular plan? SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: The section that waives some of these provisions and gives flexibility from these provisions does not have a

section in the section dealing with minority and 1 2 women-owned businesses. 3 So we're not exempting the 4 university from any existing law or existing 5 executive order by the chief executive, of all of those laws. It just gives flexibility and waives 6 the provisions of the specific laws that are 7 listed here, sections of the laws that are listed 8 9 here. 10 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, 11 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to yield. 12 13 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 14 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: The 15 sponsor yields. SENATOR RIVERA: 16 Thank you, Mr. President. 17 So just to be clear, State Finance 18 Law 112, which requires any contract over \$50,000 19 20 for all agencies except OGS and \$85,000 for OGS to be reviewed and approved by the Comptroller 21 before it's effective -- for example, that 22 particular section of the law -- or State Finance 23 Law 63, which is about evaluating offers and 24 25 awarding contracts, so you're saying that even

```
1
     though there is no language in the legislation
 2
     that we're considering today to make sure that
     MWBEs are considered as far as the targets that
 3
 4
     we're trying to hit in different agencies across
 5
     the state, that that is going to be -- that we
     should assume that even though there is no
 6
 7
     requirement for the normal process to be
 8
     undertaken to make sure that the contracts that
     are entered into by a state agency or a state
 9
10
     entity are good, fair contracts, that even though
     all of those requirements are waived, that the
11
12
     requirement that refers to MWBEs -- through you,
     Mr. President -- is going to continue to be
13
     observed and respected?
14
15
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          That's my
16
     reading of this bill. I don't administer
     contracts and I don't enforce women- and
17
18
     minority-owned business regulations. I'm just
19
     saying the bill does not waive those other
20
     provisions that currently exist.
21
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
22
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
     yield.
23
24
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
```

```
sponsor yields.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
 3
     Mr. President.
 4
                  I skipped over a very important
 5
     section; I want to make sure we go back to it.
     And that's Section 7.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: I would hope
 8
     so.
 9
                  (Laughter.)
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA: You were thinking
     I was going to skip it, but that's the one that
11
12
     you're most ready -- I'm sorry, Mr. President,
     through you. I'm thinking that the sponsor might
13
     be particularly ready for questions regarding
14
15
     this section, so I shall, through you, ask them.
16
                  This particular section refers to
     allowing access to for-profit corporations to
17
18
     operate part or some of the entity. Through you,
19
     Mr. President, is that a correct interpretation?
20
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Correct.
21
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
22
     yield.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
24
                                          Yes.
25
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
```

Mr. President. 1 2 There is language in here that 3 refers specifically to allowing access for a 4 private institution to potentially run the 5 day-to-day operations of the entity. Is that a correct interpretation? Through you, 6 7 Mr. President. 8 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Potentially. However, there's a provided "however" in here as 9 10 well, which says that any such contracts with for-profit entities shall be authorized only upon 11 approval by the Commissioner of Health and the 12 Director of the Division of the Budget of a 13 14 request by the Chancellor demonstrating financial 15 need of the State University healthcare facility. 16 So it gives that flexibility, but there's a leash on that flexibility, the leash 17 18 being held by the Commissioner of Health as well as the Budget Director. 19 20 SENATOR RIVERA: Through you, 21 Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to 22 yield. 23 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes. 24 SENATOR RIVERA: Mr. President, as 25 far as that leash is concerned, that leash does

```
1
     not have one part of the leash that extends to us
 2
     as the Legislature or another one that extends
     itself to the Comptroller, is that correct?
 3
 4
     continue the metaphor.
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Excuse me, I
     won't use the word "leash," I won't use the words
 6
 7
     "damned if you do and damned if you don't."
 8
                  Very clearly, it has to be subject
     to the approval of both the Commissioner of
 9
10
     Health and the Budget Director. They are the
11
     administrators of the hospital as well as the
12
     overall state budget. So we don't normally
     administer anything. And I hope we never do
13
14
     administer trying to save a hospital, because I
15
     don't know that we'd ever get it done.
16
                  And so this is -- there is this
     oversight and there is this protection.
17
18
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
19
20
     yield.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
22
     DeFrancisco.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

```
I want to actually
 1
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
 2
     move on to a different part to ask a few
 3
     questions. I might come back briefly to the
 4
     Part Q, but I want to ask a couple of questions
 5
     about Part P that has to do with the Mental
     Hygiene Stabilization Fund. I think some of my
 6
 7
     colleagues are going to be going a little bit
     deeper into this, but I want to ask specifically
 8
     about the -- let's ask first -- I'm sorry.
 9
10
                  Through you, Mr. President, if the
11
     sponsor would please explain the overall purpose
12
     of the Mental Hygiene Stabilization Fund.
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Part?
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
15
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would take Part P,
     which refers to the Mental Hygiene Stabilization
16
     Fund -- would explain the purpose of the Mental
17
18
     Hygiene Stabilization Fund.
19
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Well, this
20
     one I have a tough time with because my Part P
21
     deals with OTB and assistance with the cost of
     Medicare premiums, et cetera, et cetera. Maybe I
22
     got the wrong bill here. Part P?
23
24
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
25
     Mr. President, I believe Part P as in Peter.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          I think Part
 2
     O, unless my numbers are wrong, relates to the
     stabilization fund.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
 5
     Mr. President, my apologies. I was mistaken.
                                                     Ιt
     is Part 0.
 6
 7
                  So yes, if the sponsor -- through
     you, Mr. President -- could explain the main
 8
 9
     purpose of Part O, the Mental Hygiene
10
     Stabilization Fund.
11
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Okay, I
     needed help on this one. And basically what it
12
     is is that the -- we have been charged by the
13
     federal government of a billion-one, or a little
14
15
     more than that, of overpayments on Medicaid.
16
                  So the purpose of the stabilization
     fund is to start saving for when we have to pay
17
18
     these bills back over time. And that is the
     reason for the bill.
19
20
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor will continue to
21
22
     yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
23
                                               Senator
     DeFrancisco, do you continue to yield?
24
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes, I do.
```

```
1
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
 2
     sponsor yields.
 3
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
 4
 5
                  So this refers to the conversation
     that we've had -- a lot of conversations that
 6
 7
     we've had over the last couple of weeks related
     to the Office for Persons With Developmental
 8
     Disabilities and the cuts to that particular
 9
10
     agency; is that correct?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                          That's
12
     correct. But that issue is in a different bill,
     the Aid to Localities bill.
13
14
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
15
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
     yield.
16
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
17
                                          Yes.
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
19
20
                  SENATOR RIVERA: If I understand it
21
     correctly, Mr. President, yes, he is correct, the
22
     sponsor is correct, Aid to Localities refers to
23
     the particular money that has been -- funds that
     have been allocated for that particular agency.
24
                  But if I'm not mistaken, this
25
```

```
particular fund in this bill deals with transfers
 1
 2
     that are to happen between the Department of
     Health and OPWDD for the purposes of covering
 3
 4
     some of the gap that's going to exist because of
 5
     the overpayments that the sponsor referred to
     earlier. So I want clarification on that to see
 6
 7
     if I am correct.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 8
                                          You're
 9
     correct.
10
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Through you,
     Mr. President, if the sponsor would continue to
11
12
     yield.
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
13
                                          Yes.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
15
     sponsor yields.
16
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                     Thank you,
     Mr. President.
17
18
                  There's two different periods that
     are covered, Mr. President, in this bill as it
19
20
     refers to -- and some of the analysis that we've
21
     made as far as the numbers that are going to go
22
     through the stabilization fund. This is funding
23
     that is going to be allocated from the Department
     of Health over to OPWDD to cover some of the
24
25
     shortages that are obviously going to exist at
```

1 that agency because of the cuts we're going to be 2 discussing much more of a little bit later, certainly during Aid to Localities. 3 4 I want to discuss specifically the 5 outyears, not only 2013-2014 but, more specifically, 2014 and 2015. Because it seems, 6 7 and I want clarification on this from the sponsor, Mr. President, it seems that we've 8 9 identified \$445 million in the outyears to be 10 appropriated for the Mental Hygiene Stabilization However, it seems that what we're doing is 11 Fund. 12 we're counting on money that perhaps would be coming from the federal government. 13 14 So it seems that the analysis that 15 we've made of the numbers tells us that what's happening, Mr. President, is that we're assuming 16 or we're wishing that in 2014 and 2015 this 17 18 \$445 million is given to us from the federal 19 government, and therefore we would be able to 20 plug the hole that would exist in this particular 21 fund that we're creating with this bill. 22 Is that correct? Mr. President, through you. 23 SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Well, we're 24 25 always trying to maximize reimbursement from the

```
federal government for Medicaid. So of course
 1
 2
     we're wishing that more funds come in. But the
     purpose of the stabilization fund is to make sure
 3
     that we will have the funds available from our
 4
 5
     own budget in the event that those additional
     funds don't come in from the federal government.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Mr. President, on
 8
     the bill.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
10
     Rivera on the bill.
                                    Thank you,
11
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
     Mr. President.
12
13
                  First of all, I'd like to thank
     Senator DeFrancisco, with his able help from his
14
15
     able staffers, who was able to answer some of the
     questions that I had. I'm a little bit clearer
16
     on some --
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Excuse me. I
     really knew all that stuff, but I asked every so
19
20
     often.
21
                  (Laughter.)
                  SENATOR RIVERA: Apologies to
22
23
     Senator DeFrancisco, through you, Mr. President.
     Of course you did.
24
25
                  So now that I have a little bit more
```

clarification, I can say right off the bat,

Mr. President, that I'll be voting in the

negative on this piece of legislation for a few
reasons.

First of all, I'd like to kind of go over -- when we're talking about SUNY Downstate, I would agree wholeheartedly with my colleague that no one in New York, no one in this Legislature, certainly, would want this institution to close. And I know many of my colleagues are going to be talking about that more at length, since it impacts them directly and their constituents directly.

But personally I believe that the proposal that we have in front of us is not the correct way to address it, for a couple of different reasons. Number one, it is stated specifically in the legislation that the hospital — that SUNY Downstate is a teaching hospital and that the purpose of the bill is to maintain its status as a teaching hospital. And I believe wholeheartedly that an institution that produces the majority of doctors of color in this particular area of the state should remain open and should continue to be viable.

However, I believe also that when we're talking about this institution we have to look at it both as -- not only as a teaching hospital, but also as a service provider. We're talking about what is it that this institution does. Certainly it gives us excellent doctors. But also it gives us or gives people in Brooklyn access to basic health services that would not be available if this institution didn't exist.

It is my belief that the language, in the way that it is organized right now, does not provide for that to be the case. As opposed to that, it allows for a level of flexibility -- and this is a word that was used over and over again by the sponsor -- which I believe ultimately is vagueness and does not guarantee that the services will continue to exist.

Furthermore, as has been pointed out by my colleague Senator Parker a few times during the last couple of days, it is the fourth-largest employer in Brooklyn. And that should also be taken into consideration when we're thinking about what's going to happen with this institution.

So to just refer to it -- we want to

make sure we maintain it as a teaching hospital, 1 2 certainly. But if there's nothing in the 3 language that gives us a sense of whether it will 4 maintain its position as a basic service provider 5 to millions of Brooklynites, that is a problem. And as being the fourth-largest employer, we 6 7 should be concerned about whether this institution is going to continue to exist. 8 9 Second, transparency and 10 accountability. We have been talking about this -- we talk about this very much on this 11 12 floor. And certainly I know that many of us are committed to making sure that the people that we 13 14 represent know exactly what it is that we are 15 doing up here. As it refers to what is happening 16 here and what I read the language to be, it 17 18 really reminds me a little bit of what's happening right now in Michigan. In Michigan, as 19 20 I'm sure that many of you folks are aware, there 21 is a conversation right now about emergency 22 These are people that in emergency financial situations are put in control -- in the 23 case of Michigan, of municipalities or cities, 24 25 like just happened to Detroit -- and then we're

talking about the ability of these particular individuals to do what they feel they should or what they feel they must without any level of accountability, any level of transparency, any level of oversight.

I have a problem with that. And I believe that we should all have a problem with that. While I certainly think that there is a need for an institution that is under duress to act in ways that it feels it needs to act to save itself, I believe that what is happening here is that we are taking away two leashes, to use some of the terms that were used by the sponsor, specifically to us as a Legislature and to the entity that in the State of New York is responsible for making sure that the dollars that are hard-earned tax dollars our constituents give us are used appropriately and well, and that is the Comptroller.

That is excised from this institution. It seems to be a bubble that is created where no transparency, no accountability and no oversight exists.

And finally, the issue of privatization. We are allowing -- again, for the

sake of flexibility, for the sake of trying to save this institution, which I believe we all must do -- for private entities to enter into any type of arrangements that they might with this institution.

But again, I have been very concerned about this and I have spoken about this on the floor before. We're talking about a long-standing tradition of nonprofit healthcare in the State of New York. And while I certainly believe that the people of Brooklyn deserve to have this institution continue to exist, we should be very concerned when we are allowing a private institution — which is unnamed at this time; we do not know what this organization is, what it could be. But we are creating a situation where this entity could potentially run the day-to-day operations of this hospital.

Now, the issue I have with that is that if you have a for-profit institution, a for-profit entity, it's right there in the name, "for profit" as opposed to "for care." If we allow this institution that, as I mentioned before, serves so many of our constituents in Brooklyn, and we're saying that we're going to

inject an institution that might be making decisions based on what kind of money they're going to make, as opposed to what kind of care they can provide, we should always be concerned that that is happening.

And finally, as it relates to the Mental Hygiene Stabilization Fund -- and I know many of my colleagues will speak about this as well, as it relates to the service of OPWDD and what I think ultimately we are doing as an entity in the Legislature, which ultimately is tragic, because we are taking services away from the folks that are the most needy in this state and we are not doing enough to make sure we continue to serve those folks. This Mental Hygiene Stabilization Fund makes certain assumptions about what kinds of money we're going to be getting in the future.

So we're talking about an agency that provides services that are absolutely necessary to the most needy New Yorkers, and we are saying, Well, we're thinking that maybe the federal government will perhaps give us this money in the future, and we're talking about \$445 million that we are leaving there.

Ladies and gentlemen, these are just two parts that I wanted to make sure that we went at length into because I believe that both of them are incredibly important for what we need to do in the State of New York to provide access to basic healthcare to New Yorkers all across the state.

I do not believe that in just these two instances -- in one we are just relying on the goodwill of the federal government for almost \$500 million of budget for this particular agency, and in the other we are opening up what I believe is ultimately an unwise decision to try to save this particular institution in this particular way.

I believe that there's better ways to do it. I wish that we were a part of the conversation more directly to make sure that this would have happened differently. I know that many of my colleagues here that live and represent Brooklyn and that represent millions of New Yorkers down there would have really wanted to be part of that conversation. It is unfortunate and disappointing that we were not.

The product that came out of those

```
1
     conversations I believe is inadequate, and
 2
     ultimately I will be voting in the negative.
 3
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
 5
     you, Senator Rivera.
                  Senator LaValle on the bill.
 6
 7
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                      Thank you,
 8
     Mr. President.
 9
                  First let me thank the chairman of
10
     the Finance Committee. He did really a stellar
     job, and it shows of his involvement in this
11
     issue that he was able to move through a very
12
     detailed set of questions.
13
                  Senator Hannon has been involved,
14
15
     myself, and a number of staff people. I would
16
     tell you that the meetings that have taken place
     were very comprehensive, very long, and every
17
18
     detail was looked at for not just a short period
     of time, for many, many months, six months.
19
20
                  I felt that Senator Golden was my
21
              Every time I turned around, he was there
     shadow.
22
     asking the same question.
23
                  I want to make comments that I think
     supplement and complement the remarks made by the
24
25
     chairman of the Finance Committee.
```

We are dealing, Senator Rivera and members of this body, with a problem that is a \$16 million hemorrhaging problem of Downstate.

Of that \$16 million, about \$4 million is from LICH. So it is a very dramatic problem. It has been the purpose of the negotiations -- and people know where we started -- that this hospital remain as a teaching hospital and that it remain as a public, public entity. And it's cited, and I'll talk about it when we get to that section.

I'm going to try, Senator Rivera, to go through your questions going through it by section.

One of the things that was mentioned about the restructuring, well, the restructuring is needed and a sustainability plan is needed and the language in here for the purpose of achieving fiscal viability while preserving its status as a teaching hospital, as a teaching hospital. So that language was put in there very deliberately. And as you know, we excluded language that would have made this a pilot for private or otherwise.

The sustainability plan that's going

1 to be developed by the chancellor is done in consultation with labor representatives, 2 3 community representatives, and other regional 4 stakeholders. And -- this is very important, 5 because I think you mentioned transparency -- and will allow for public comment and input from 6 7 consumers of healthcare services in the development of this plan, 8 9 We talked about the June 1st, and 10 the members of the respective chairs of Finance, 11 Health and Higher Education will have notice of 12 that plan. But the importance of the June 15th plan -- remember, we have a hospital that's 13 14 hemorrhaging, and we have to come up with a plan 15 that's going to draw down money to keep it open. So that's why the June 15th date was put in 16 there, so that we have a plan. 17 18 And by the way, this Legislature has asked the State University for a plan 19 20 repeatedly. At Senator DeFrancisco's hearing on SUNY, we got an outline of a plan Dr. Williams 21 22 talked about. And he talked about, you know, the reconfiguration and he talked about things that 23

Now, in order to get -- and we'll

he was doing to try and reduce that hemorrhaging.

24

25

1377

```
get to the money -- you have to have certain
 1
 2
     metrics. And we were very sensitive to labor and
 3
     maintaining the public aspect. But in order to
 4
     meet -- and this was, I think, agreed by all of
 5
     the parties, as we struggled with language, was
     that to meet those metrics you needed some
 6
 7
     flexibility. And so last year we gave SUNY
     flexibility in procurement of goods, not
 8
     services. There was a whole debate on that, and
 9
10
     we were very, very sensitive at that time.
11
                  Just to give you an idea, the
12
     contract, the contract for the hiring of a
     consultant took nine months through the process
13
14
     of OCS and the Attorney General, nine months.
15
     So, Senator, if you're hemorrhaging at
     $16 million a month, you can't sustain, you know,
16
     a process that's going to have the meter run:
17
18
     Ka-ching, ka-ching, ka-ching.
19
                  So it was done -- I'll yield when I
20
     get done. I'll yield when I get done.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
21
                                               Senator
     Rivera, Senator LaValle will yield after he
22
     finishes his remarks.
23
                                    Oh, after he
24
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
25
     finishes, yes. Thank you, Mr. President.
```

SENATOR LaVALLE: To show you the sensitivity, we limited the contracts. And they're here delineated in Section 6 that you talked about: Consultant services, revenue collection and billing services, electronic and medical health records, insurance eligibility and verification services. And we also allow for clinical services pursuant to the sustainability plan.

But here's the most important

language on page 3: That such contracts shall not be of such scope or nature as to alter the character of Downstate Hospital as a public hospital and shall be limited, shall be limited in terms of the percentage. Fifteen percent unless there's an exigency that takes place, and then it only can go up 5 percent, or limited to a total of 20 percent.

During the budget hearing

Dr. Williams was very, very specific in terms of talking about a plan. And one of the things that -- and Senator Hannon has talked about this -- is the change, the change in healthcare that has taken place. And so we're in a new world today. And in order to be competitive,

Dr. Williams, as part of his testimony before the Finance Committee, talked about relationships with other providers in the Brooklyn area and wherever, in order to provide to the people of that area continued quality healthcare and done in such a way that meets the economics in today's healthcare world.

The last thing I just want to say is that it is important, that June 15 date, because we have to start putting together a plan to access the monies that we need. And one of those things -- and I get a kick in the healthcare world, where everything is an acronym. And if I told you DSRIP, that's Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment.

So in order to get those monies and other monies, that we need to have a plan and be able to perform that plan. You have -- and Senator DeFrancisco has said this repeatedly -- you have the involvement of the Commissioner of Health and the Director of the Division of the Budget. And you know, if he were in this chamber, you could probably talk to him about the Q section and he could tell you with great specificity what is behind all of this.

```
1
                  Some of the things that are not here
 2
     in this bill are things that people are working
          Because you don't go from today's date to
 3
 4
     June 1st and have a plan and access of money.
 5
                  So this, I would tell you, was a
     priority. And as a matter of fact, in higher
 6
 7
     education I think we did great things for the
 8
     community colleges. And this issue has
     overshadowed everything that we have done in
 9
10
     higher education because of its importance. My
     colleague to the right, Senator Golden, has been
11
     like a tick.
12
13
                  (Laughter.)
14
                  SENATOR LaVALLE: And hopefully --
15
                  SENATOR GOLDEN:
                                    Is that the best
16
     word you could find?
17
                  SENATOR LaVALLE: I was going to
18
     say a burr, but --
19
                  (Laughter.)
20
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Senator, I'll
21
     yield.
                                    Mr. President, if
22
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
23
     Senator LaValle would yield for one question.
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
24
                                     Yes.
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
```

```
LaValle yields.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
 3
     Mr. President.
 4
                  While I certainly will not comment
 5
     on the insect qualities or nonqualities of
     Senator Golden, I think we can all agree that
 6
 7
     certainly he was very insistent on this.
 8
                  The question that I have either for
 9
     Senator LaValle or for the sponsor or anyone
10
     else, really, is why was the only Brooklyn
     Senator invited to these conversations Senator
11
     Golden? As I figure, I don't know if Senator
12
     Parker, Senator Adams, Senator Montgomery or
13
14
     Senator Squadron, either or any of them, were
15
     invited to any of these conversations.
     Specifically Senator Squadron, since it is in his
16
     district. I just want to know if the sponsor
17
18
     would yield for that. Oh, and Senator Sampson,
     too. Apologies.
19
20
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Senator, in part,
21
     as chairs, you are involved in this issue.
22
                  But I can tell you, I've had
     conversations with the ranking member of the
23
     committee, Senator Stavisky. And I would tell
24
25
     you, she was up-to-date on everything that was
```

1382

```
1
     going on. I had a conversation with
 2
     Senator Squadron, and he seemed very aware what
 3
     was going on and even had ideas.
                  So I don't think that this was
 4
 5
     done -- I think you have responsibilities as
     chair, and I think that's why, you know, we're
 6
 7
     involved to that degree.
 8
                  And Senator Golden's involved
 9
    because I'm telling you, for six months Senator
10
    Golden has been a shadow. So if anyone else was
11
     the same shadow, I would have shared whatever
     information I had.
12
13
                  SENATOR RIVERA:
                                    Thank you,
     Mr. President.
14
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
16
     Squadron on the bill.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
17
                                      Thank you,
18
    Mr. President. Actually, if the sponsor would
19
     yield. I'll wait.
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
21
    LaValle, do you yield?
22
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Yes.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
     LaValle yields.
24
25
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: If Senator
```

LaValle would yield, that's -- I appreciate that 1 2 as well. Thank you very much. 3 Senator LaValle, the sponsor when he was here referred to the fact that in the 2010 4 5 merger between Downstate and LICH, LICH, in his words, had money thrown at it. Do you remember 6 7 how much money, state money was given to help the merger between Downstate and LICH? 8 9 SENATOR LaVALLE: I'm going to get 10 you an accurate figure on that. 11 SENATOR SQUADRON: Thank you. 12 SENATOR LaVALLE: Now, Senator, just anticipating where you're going to go with 13 14 this questioning -- and I'll get you a number --15 you will know as part of our process that we in the Legislature, by law, define other entities or 16 individuals to be responsible for certain 17 18 transactions. And so SUNY, the board and the chancellor, certainly when it comes to 19 20 acquisition of properties -- wherever they are, 21 wherever they are in the SUNY system -- will make 22 those decisions. Perhaps the SENATOR SQUADRON: 23 24 sponsor -- I thank Senator LaValle for that. I'm 25 asking about state money, state HEAL grant money.

```
1
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     By the way,
 2
     Senator Squadron, I'm told that that transaction
     was done in 2010. So you would probably have
 3
 4
     better knowledge than I in the year 2010.
 5
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: One of the few
     years on which I may have better knowledge,
 6
 7
     Senator LaValle.
 8
                  Through you, Mr. President, I do --
 9
     if the sponsor knows, it was $63 million in state
10
     HEAL grant money that went to the merger of SUNY
     Downstate and LICH, $63 million that was spent as
11
12
     part of a promise that healthcare in Brooklyn,
     from one part of the borough to another, for
13
14
     millions of people, would be preserved and
15
     expanded and would have the support of SUNY and
16
     of the state. And as Senator LaValle points out,
     it was in 2010.
17
18
                  If Senator LaValle would yield for
     another question.
19
20
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
21
     LaValle, do you continue to yield?
22
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                      Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
23
                                               Senator
     LaValle yields.
24
25
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       Thank you.
```

```
1
                  Through you, Mr. President, is
 2
     Senator LaValle aware of the valuation that the
 3
     Comptroller recently put on the LICH real estate?
 4
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Not until you
 5
     told me at 5:30 on Sunday night.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
 6
                                      If the sponsor
 7
     would continue to yield.
 8
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
10
     LaValle yields.
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
11
                                      Not to keep
12
     everyone in suspense, but Senator LaValle was not
     familiar with the valuation of the LICH real
13
     estate until Sunday night after this bill had
14
15
     printed?
16
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Let's go back to
     my response. When we talk about acquisition of
17
18
     property, that is a decision that the SUNY board
     and the chancellor make.
19
20
                  And as you know, the focus has been
21
     on the terrible failure that LICH was.
                                              And we in
22
     this Legislature did not make that deal or get
     into it, that was made by those individuals that
23
     by law we designate to make those decisions.
24
25
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       If Senator
```

```
LaValle would continue to yield.
 1
 2
                  SENATOR LaVALLE: Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator
 3
 4
     LaValle yields.
 5
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: Is Senator
 6
     LaValle suggesting -- through you,
 7
     Mr. President -- that it was SUNY dollars that
     were spent in order to acquire LICH and to create
 8
 9
     that merger?
10
                  SENATOR LaVALLE: Well, SUNY
     dollars or state dollars. Is that what you're
11
12
     inferring?
13
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      I'm just asking
14
     for a clarification from Senator LaValle, who has
15
     spoken about SUNY's responsibility to acquire
16
     real estate and/or assets. And I'm asking if the
     implication is that this was somehow SUNY
17
18
     dollars.
19
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     They are dollars
20
     that the State University had for a capital
21
     investment that at the time the board made a
22
     decision, based on a plan and based on what I
     talked about before about where we are with
23
     healthcare today, to try and expand the reach in
24
25
     providing healthcare services.
```

```
I would say most of the time our
 1
 2
     boards, the SUNY board makes good decisions.
 3
     This was a bad deal that went worse.
 4
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       If the sponsor
 5
     would continue to yield.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 6
                                               Senator
 7
     LaValle?
 8
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Yes.
 9
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
10
     LaValle continues to yield.
11
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                       Thank you.
                                                   And
12
     I thank Senator LaValle for his response.
13
                  It was $63 million in state HEAL
14
     funding, which is approved by the Commissioner of
15
     the Department of Health, that went to that
     merger, from the State Department of Health HEAL
16
     grants. And the valuation of the State
17
18
     Comptroller in the report that the State
19
     Comptroller did at the request of some members of
20
     this body that came out at the beginning of this
21
     year, the valuation for the real estate was in
22
     the neighborhood of $500 million. That's half a
23
     billion, with a B, dollars for LICH.
                  With that information, is the
24
25
     sponsor aware of the recent vote the SUNY board
```

took to apply to the State Department of Health 1 2 to close LICH? 3 SENATOR LaVALLE: Senator, yes, I'm 4 aware of it. But those dollars, when we make 5 decisions, we access -- as we will in allowing this sustainability plan and allowing Downstate 6 7 to be viable fiscally and remain open as a teaching hospital. Because we're using as -- the 8 state, in making a decision, is using or will use 9 10 federal dollars. So to just say, well, this is HEAL 11 grant money, well, SUNY made that decision 12 13 because they could access HEAL grant money to 14 make this deal happen -- and I don't think you're 15 suggesting -- I mean, it's great today, looking back, to be an armchair quarterback and say it 16 wasn't a good deal. I think the people of 17 18 Brooklyn felt that this was what they wanted, was a good deal to provide healthcare services to 19 20 that community. 21 So, you know, sometimes we look back 22

So, you know, sometimes we look back with the experience and the knowledge that we have today and say, well, it wasn't a good deal, we squandered \$63 million.

23

24

25

And by the way, if I recall, at 5:30

1 on Sunday you told me that the value of LICH was 2 \$400 million, not \$500 million. So we've upped it by \$100 million. 3 4 SENATOR SQUADRON: If the sponsor 5 would continue to yield. SENATOR LaVALLE 6 Yes. 7 ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Senator 8 LaValle yields. 9 SENATOR SQUADRON: Senator LaValle 10 is correct that the low conservative assessment 11 of the property would be \$400 million. The State 12 Comptroller's report said it was \$500 million, regardless. 13 14 And while I appreciate the answer 15 overall, there was not an answer to the question 16 to as to what the SUNY board recently voted to do. It recently voted to apply to the State 17 18 Department of Health to chose LICH. It has not 19 yet received that approval from the State 20 Department of Health. And we certainly are going 21 to do everything we can to forestall that 22 approval. But we know that it's on the table, 23 it's something the SUNY board has now voted twice to do, once outside of the law, once within the 24 25 law.

The question is, in the response 1 2 Senator LaValle suggested that it's going to be 3 federal money that's going to shore up SUNY 4 Downstate or whatever portion of SUNY Downstate 5 continues to survive. Senator LaValle is suggesting that it's not going to be any of the 6 7 real estate valued between \$400 million and \$500 million -- the \$400 million, let's call it 8 the conservative Sunday afternoon estimate; 9 10 \$500 million being the State Comptroller's estimate -- that none of that \$400 million to 11 \$500 million will be used to shore up SUNY 12 Downstate? 13 14 SENATOR LaVALLE: Senator, I would 15 say that I'm somewhat confused, because LICH is hemorrhaging at \$4 million a month and has for 16 some period of time. So I don't want to get into 17 18 the decision-making of whether LICH stays open or 19 not. But I have not heard anything that you have 20 said on how you're going to deal with that \$4 million. Now -- \$4 million a week, a week, 21 22 I'm told. 23 Now, in here we have a 24 sustainability plan. As part of that plan, 25 Senator, they could, they could, based on your

```
1
     remarks and your input -- and when we spoke on
 2
     Sunday, your input did not fall on deaf ears. I
 3
     mean, it sounded like when you're hemorrhaging
 4
     the way you are, anything should be on the table
 5
     for consideration.
                  But I -- well, I'll just end it
 6
 7
     there, put a period.
 8
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      If the Senator
 9
     would continue to yield.
10
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                     Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
11
                                               Senator
12
     LaValle yields.
13
                  SENATOR SQUADRON: I appreciate
14
     that.
15
                  To be clear, I have not posited
     anything in these questions other than just an
16
     understanding of the process and an understanding
17
18
     of what was known and considered in the room
19
     through the process that you described quite
20
     fully previously.
21
                  Let me just ask one more time, very
     directly, $400 million to $500 million worth of
22
     real estate in a hospital that's been around over
23
     a hundred years that may get shut down, is it the
24
25
     Senator's understanding that those dollars will
```

```
1
     go to SUNY Downstate, will not go to SUNY
 2
     Downstate? And if not, what is the Senator's
     understanding as to where those dollars will go?
 3
 4
                  SENATOR LaVALLE:
                                      Senator, the
 5
     restructuring says subject to the approval of the
     Commissioner of Health, the director of the
 6
 7
     Division of Budget. And of course there's
     involvement, as we talked, in the development of
 8
     the sustainability plan, the chancellor shall
 9
10
     consult with labor representatives, community
     representatives, and other regional stakeholders.
11
12
                  So the answer to your question is
     that in the process of the sustainability plan,
13
     and ultimately the June 15th date where we have
14
15
     to start putting real money on the table to keep
     things operating, that could evolve. So the
16
     answer is it could. Not our role, by statute.
17
18
     Other individuals are delegated to make that
19
     responsibility, that plan, which is to keep the
20
     hospital fiscally viable so that it can operate
21
     as a public teaching hospital.
22
                  SENATOR SQUADRON:
                                      Thank you.
                                                   On
     the bill.
23
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               Senator
25
     Squadron on the bill.
```

SENATOR SQUADRON: This is deeply, deeply concerning. The bill is deeply concerning. Tonight's conversation only adds to that concern. We're talking about an entire hospital system here with multiple campuses, one of which is currently at risk of being shut down within 90 days. In fact, its employees have received 90-day notices. One that has gotten a vote from the SUNY board to be shut down has not yet received approval from the Department of Health, and again, we will fight against that approval.

We're talking about a hospital system that's critical to Brooklyn, every one of its campuses. The relationship with the medical school, as Senator Rivera and Senator Parker have both spoken about tonight. We're talking about \$400 million to \$500 million of real estate and a hospital that has existed over 150 years nestled within a residential community.

In fact, the largest buildings within that community which were allowed to be built because of the community benefit attached to the building of those buildings. And we're having a conversation in which there is no

knowledge as to the relationship between that hospital and that campus, that \$400 million to \$500 million.

At what point would any member of this Legislature recommend that we take \$500 million in state assets and say, to any other entity, "Good luck, go on, do your best"? Especially when those assets are linked to an institution that, as Senator LaValle and Senator DeFrancisco and colleagues on my side of the aisle have so eloquently talked about, is at such serious risk at this moment. It makes no sense at all.

Senator LaValle twice quoted a provision of Part Q of this bill and twice excised a key phrase: "The chancellor shall, to the maximum extent practicable, allow for public comment and input from consumers of health services in the development of the plan." None is required. None, zero. None.

In Section 8 of the bill, it says:
"Notwithstanding the provision of any law, rule
or regulation to the contrary, a portion of such
monies credited" -- those are dollars that SUNY
gets -- "may be transferred to a State University

account as requested by the State University

chancellor or his or her designee." Do you know

what that means? Not one dollar has to go to

protecting SUNY Downstate overall, not one dollar

of that \$500 million of real estate.

You know what else? Not one

You know what else? Not one community voice has to be listened to. Not one ounce of concern about the value for the community if LICH shuts down has to be considered -- not by SUNY, not by the Executive.

"Don't worry, the Legislature will have a say." No, it won't. There's no legislative input in this at all. None.

No community input, no legislative input, \$500 million of real estate that the state paid for three years ago, \$63 million -- not SUNY dollars, state HEAL grants -- as part of this merger, in addition to other aid and to all the Medicaid dollars that flow here.

This is simply unacceptable. It's unacceptable for every corner of Brooklyn, from the heart of my district to central Brooklyn and across the state. It's not acceptable that we would say "Good luck with Downstate, see ya later, we're done." And that's what this bill

1 does. 2 I urge every one of my colleagues to 3 vote against it in order to protect healthcare in 4 our state, in order to protect health education, 5 and in order to protect transparency and the process by which we deal with state assets as a 6 7 legislature, as a legislative body. 8 And if none of that works, please 9 just briefly consider my district. Consider the 10 community that has playgrounds that exist and were promised as part of the development of this 11 hospital. Consider a community that depends on 12 the emergency services and the other medical care 13 14 that my community is able to get. And consider 15 if someone said to you \$500 million of state assets in your community were going to be sold to 16 the highest bidder with no regard for community 17 18 input and no voice for your representatives. 19 I urge a no vote on the entire 20 bill. Thank you, Mr. President. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 21 Thank 22 you, Senator Squadron.

Thank you,

Senator Parker on the bill.

SENATOR PARKER:

Mr. President. On the bill.

23

24

25

There are two kinds of people in the world. There are people right now who are sick, and then the rest of us who at some point are going to be sick. And the question is when the rest of us get sick, is there going to be an institution in our community that protects us?

There's frankly nothing more important than your health. Right? Senator Sampson likes to say "Your health is your wealth." It's been the biggest conversation we've had in this country over the last four years as we've had a President who's made it his ambition to in fact do a healthcare plan that incorporates as many people as possible.

And what do we do on the heels of that? On the heels of that we come into a community that needs healthcare badly, and we gut it. And I'm not even just talking about this bill. We started with the Berger Commission six years ago, eight years ago. That was the first Berger Commission. Then we went to Berger II, because that wasn't enough, we hadn't cut enough then. So instead of us looking and managing the process in which healthcare was changing in our state, we decided that we were going to go in and

instead of, you know, using the scalpel, we decided to use Berger and a hatchet. And so, willy-nilly, we started talking about closing institutions.

Mr. President, my objection to this bill is about everything that it takes away from our communities. And I want to thank Senator DeFrancisco and Senator LaValle for their work, and obviously Senator Golden for his input and doggedness in terms of raising this to an issue. I don't blame them for this. Because we knew this was a problem seven, eight months ago when the SUNY chair came to us and told us this was a problem.

And almost immediately we reached out to the Governor and said "Let's have a meeting." And up until this moment, I am yet to have a meeting with the Governor, and my colleagues are yet to have a meeting with the Governor to talk about this important issue.

And I get that I'm not a chair of a committee and we're not in the majority. But you know what? My district is impacted by this hospital and by the medical school. My constituents use that hospital and they go to the

medical school. The people who work at that hospital and the medical school live in my district.

And so how could you deny me and my colleagues a conversation and then walk around and act like this is a better process? That's what's so offensive. Walk around like, you know, all the dysfunction is gone. Yeah, the dysfunction is gone because you're getting an on-time budget and you're getting it three times in a row and you get to say, Yeah, you know, I did, you know, better than everybody else and, you know, everybody jump up and look at me because, you know, I can make everybody do what I want them to say.

But this is not what democracy is about. The whole process of this budget rips at the very fabric of our constitution, the very thing that's supposed to protect us and give our folks voice. I'm not here because Kevin Parker is important. I'm here because fortunately -- or unfortunately, depending on where you stand -- the people of the 21st Senatorial District thought that I was the best voice to come to Albany and represent them on issues. I'm not

standing here for me. I'm standing here for the 1 2 318,000 people I represent. And we have here a 3 document that takes away their right to be 4 involved in the process that affects their 5 healthcare. We thought a number of years ago 6 7 that we were having a problem. And understand, the problems with private hospitals, 8 9 Mr. President, has been going on for a number of 10 years. We almost closed Wyckoff. We had a 11 significant problem at Brookdale. Interfaith 12 right now is about to close. Brooklyn Hospital was about to close. We closed Caledonia. 13 14 closed St. Mary's. You know, we closed private 15 hospital after private hospital. Then we saw Long Island College Hospital on the block and 16 we're like, we can't let one more go down. 17 18 We spoke to the folks at SUNY, we spoke to the folks at Downstate and said, "Look, 19 20 is there something we could do to help?" 21 said yes. They said yes. 22 Now, as you know, I'm a very smart But I'll admit I don't know everything 23 about hospitals and how they run, particularly 24 25 hospital finance. So when a director of the

medical center says to me, "Yeah, we can do this, we can figure this out and we can make the economics work," a number of us in this chamber said we should make it work because healthcare anywhere in the borough of Brooklyn is good for healthcare everywhere in the borough of Brooklyn.

And we had learned both through our experience on 9/11 and, you know, other things that had gone on that in emergencies you oftentimes don't have enough access to quality healthcare around the borough. So we said yeah, let's do this. It wasn't me or Senator Daniel Squadron, you know, crunching the numbers and figuring this out. We got it from them.

And in the audit that we've gotten now from the State Comptroller, we find out that the exact thing that was the problem was lack of oversight. There wasn't enough oversight. There wasn't enough oversight of SUNY, there wasn't enough oversight of Downstate Medical Center, of the hospital. And we all agreed, when they made changes they came in and did the things that had to be done.

All we've been asking here,

Mr. Governor, is to be part of the process. And instead of being part of the process, you've cut us out of the process even more by not giving us enough money to figure this out and then saying, Okay, I'm going to give you a truncated timetable you have to come up with this plan -- oh, yeah, and by the way, you're not involved in it as a Legislature. So forget the 318,000 people I represent, the almost 320,000 that Senator Adams represents, the 316,000 people that Senator Sampson represents, the 320,000 people that Senator Montgomery represents, forget the 314,000 people -- no, it's actually more than that, like 322,000 people that Senator Dilan represents.

Forget all of those people that we represent; you're going to make all the decisions by yourself. That's how we got into this problem in the first place. So instead of creating more oversight, we've created now less oversight over the same thing. It has now, Mr. President, become the definition of insanity.

And your solution is to privatize everything, when I just finished telling you the hospitals that are going out of business in Brooklyn are the private hospitals. You can't

make this up. So all the private hospitals are going out of business, so what do we do to improve healthcare? Privatize it. And wonder why people have government jokes.

This does not even begin to address the needs of the people in our community. And part of what everybody is not talking about here is they're planning to lay off almost a thousand people. With the proposed closing of Long Island College Hospital, they have already put into effect laying off 500 people just at Long Island College Hospital, the vast majority of those being 1199 workers.

In addition to that, with this proposal there will be upwards of 200 people laid off at Downstate Medical Center. Somewhere, between 200, possibly up to 400 people laid off as a result.

So, you know, people want to vote for this, understand that you're voting to take away the jobs of somewhere between 600 to 900 people in Brooklyn. That's not a vote I can take. Not when there are alternatives. Not when, for \$150,000, we can figure this out. You have that much in HEAL money sitting around right

this minute.

So you can decide that the fourth-largest employer in Brooklyn is too big to fail, Mr. Governor, and say I'm going to take all the HEAL money, I'm going to give it to Downstate, let's save the hospital, let's work on this together, let's have a collaborative process. In the same way that we didn't have a collaborative process doing the entire budget, there was no collaborative process talking about Downstate.

And then we want to talk about how great the government is working. It works better when at least there's a conversation. We had a problem last year with Kingsboro, and this is what part of what surprised me. We had a problem with Kingsboro Psychiatric Center last year, and we went to the Governor and said we had a problem.

We met with the Governor
bipartisanly, and not just Brooklyn. It was
Brooklyn, it was Staten Island, it was Democrats,
it was Republicans. And we had like four or five
meetings, and we worked the issues at Kingsboro
Psychiatric Center. Which I thought was a much

more difficult situation.

I'm floored that the Governor and his staff could not find a way to bring people into a room and figure out a collaborative process to in fact help Downstate get through this. And instead what we get is more of the same. It's political bullying after legislative bullying after political bullying.

Mr. President, Downstate contributes \$1.3 billion to the state economy. That's a lot of money. Are we ready to let that go? Are we ready just to tell all of these health workers that they no longer can go to a school? Because again, without a teaching hospital, you're not going to have a medical school.

And as much as I know that I think that Chairman and Chairman LaValle were involved in this process and they think this is the right thing to do, they both have SUNY hospitals in their districts. And if this proposal was on your hospital, Senator LaValle, or this proposal was on Senator DeFrancisco's hospital, in Upstate, you wouldn't be voting for this. I can't imagine that you would vote for this proposal for your own hospital. I'm certainly

1 not going to vote for it for mine. 2 And I'm asking my colleagues an 3 accommodation, and to vote no on this budget. 4 Let's go back to the drawing board, let's fix 5 this. Because Downstate is too big to fail. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 6 Thank 7 you, Senator Parker. 8 Senator Golden on the bill. 9 SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, 10 Mr. President. I stand to express my support for 11 12 this year's state budget. I want to thank my colleagues Senator LaValle and Senator Hannon for 13 their commitment to SUNY and to healthcare. 14 15 And it's the conference here and the Republican Conference and the IDC and the Senate 16 Democratic leadership that will in fact fight to 17 18 make sure that there is public healthcare here in the State of New York. 19 20 This budget holds the line on taxes 21 and controls spending. And both of these 22 measures are critical to New York's economic 23 health and continues to move our state forward. This budget sends a clear and strong message of 24

New York's commitment to job creation.

25

And as chair of the Senate Select Committee on Science, Technology, Incubation and Entrepreneurship, I am excited that we will be expanding incubator development throughout this state. These incubators will allow inventors and small business people to make their visions a reality while creating jobs and increasing our state's local tax bases. This budget provides real opportunity to small business. And I am pleased that we're able to save the Brooklyn HealthWorks program, which helped over 1,000 small businesses get healthcare.

This budget helps create growth through the extension of the film tax credit for five more years. And it also puts \$942 million into education across this state. This budget restores prescription drug consumer choice for lifting a mandate to fill prescriptions via mail order if the prices are the same at your neighborhood pharmacy.

We have taken many steps forward on behalf of many New Yorkers with this year's state budget, but our work is not done. We should not consider this budget finished or complete by any means. This is not a time for the Senate or the

Assembly to pat themselves on the back for completing a state budget on time for the third year in a row. Our work is not yet done.

Ask any senior citizen if our job is done. Ask any parent or child with special needs if our job is done. Ask any doctor or nurse who works at SUNY Downstate if our job is done. They will give you the same answer as I am going to give you now, and that answer is no.

I am voting to support this budget.

I do not consider negotiations on this document over. In the days and weeks ahead, I will work with the members of both the Senate and the Assembly, I will work with the advocates of the healthcare professionals to revisit and discuss the funding agreements for the budget for the Office for People With Developmental Disabilities and for the State University of New York at Downstate.

But we need to do more than talk.

We need to pull together and achieve real results. To thousands of New Yorkers, this budget runs the risk of breaking up families and bankrupting homes. I, for one, pledge to you now that I will not ignore the plight of New Yorkers

with special needs. This chamber has a long and proud tradition of caring for our most vulnerable New Yorkers, from State Senator William Conklin, who sat right here in this body, and in 1958 he started the Guild for Exceptional Children in Brooklyn. And Senator Frank Padavan, who in 1976 authored the Padavan Law that allowed for group homes in our communities.

We owe it to these great statesmen to continue the tradition of caring for those who cannot care for themselves. I will not ignore the needs of the employees and the patients of SUNY Downstate.

And I challenge my colleagues,
everyone in this chamber, to continue the work we
are starting now with the passage of this year's
state budget and join with me in finding
practical, realistic, responsible solutions to
these problems. These are not situations that
will go away, and we cannot for one minute allow
these New Yorkers -- our friends, our neighbors
and our families -- to be forgotten just because
of the passage of these bills.

I will be voting aye on this bill, Mr. President, and hopefully we'll get a

```
1
     resolution to Downstate and to those who are the
 2
     most needy across this great state, our
     developmentally disabled.
 3
 4
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
     you, Senator Golden.
 6
 7
                  Senator Adams on the bill.
                  SENATOR ADAMS:
 8
                                   Thank you,
9
     Mr. President.
                  I want to thank Senator Golden for
10
11
     his support around the Downstate issue. And I'm
     just going to be brief. And I agree with both
12
     Senator Golden and Senator LaValle. You know,
13
     this is a very important issue, particularly when
14
15
     you look at that district where the people that
     use the hospital, Downstate Hospital and Long
16
     Island College Hospital, which covers central
17
18
     Brooklyn as well as Cobble Hill, Red Hook, with
19
     LICH.
20
                  In the central Brooklyn area, you
     know, one out of five that people that live in
21
22
     that area are living in poverty. Two out of five
     are on Medicaid. And when you see the need,
23
     that's why you probably see so much passion
24
25
     around this issue.
```

And so I'm hoping that we can move forward and continue the fight as both Senator LaValle and Senator Golden indicated, that we have to ensure that the hospital remains open.

I'm concerned, and when you look at the bill to remove the Comptroller, the Comptroller out of the process of procurement, that raises a concern for me. I'm concerned that when you allow the one year of emergency procurement process, that there are not a lot of safeguards in place. And that's part of the problem on why we're here in the first place, because some of those safeguards were not followed.

And I'm concerned that the numbers that were thrown out by Senator Squadron and Senator LaValle was \$500,000 for LICH, but I'm hearing the numbers towards between \$700,000 to a billion dollars for that property. I know that area very well. I patrolled that area as a police officer, and I saw it turn the corner. I realize that it is a very attractive and lucrative real estate in the Borough of Brooklyn.

So the question becomes we should

all be part of the conversation. I'm encouraged when Senator Golden stated that the next step is to be inclusive and ensure that all those who are impacted by the decision of what's going to happen to Downstate, it should be a conversation that we should all be a part of.

One thing is for sure. The sustainability study, I don't see a final comment on what happens with the sustainability study. Are we saying the hospital is not going to close? And I don't think that's laid out in the bill after the sustainability study is done of what happens at the conclusion of that.

We need Downstate. We need

Downstate not only to be an effective hospital

and to turn the corner, but we also need it

because of what it produces. It produces a

quality product. The number of healthcare

professionals that leave Downstate, they don't

flee, they remain inside the Borough of Brooklyn

and provide much needed services.

And I think we can't dismiss what Senator Parker stated. We're creating a model. That model cannot only impact Downstate, but it can impact Upstate when you look at Syracuse

1 Hospital. It can impact Long Island when you look at Stony Brook. So the model that we're 2 creating is a model that can be duplicated and 3 4 impact all of us that know how important it is to 5 have a hospital in our districts that's going to provide a vital service. 6 7 So I'm not comfortable with the language of the bill. I'm comfortable with the 8 conversation that came from both my colleagues 9 who were intimately involved in how this is going 10 11 to shake out. I'm going to vote no on the bill, 12 but I'm going to participate, yes, in organizing with both Senator LaValle, Senator Golden, 13 Senator Stavisky and my colleagues who represent 14 15 both LICH and both Downstate, so we can come to some form of a solution that we won't lose these 16 hospitals, because they provide a vital service. 17 18 Thank you, Mr. President. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Thank 19 you, Senator Adams. 20 Senator Peralta on the bill. 21 22 SENATOR PERALTA: Thank you, Mr. President. 23 The gutting of SUNY Downstate and 24 25 the march toward hospital privatization are

travesties. And that's what several of my colleagues have been addressing tonight. But I'd like to discuss a budget item that appears not to have made the final version, standard wages for nursing home workers.

This commonsense measure would have helped create a smooth transition to a managed-care system. It would have ensured quality care for vulnerable and ailing seniors who need and deserve the best we can give them. It would have helped make sure that our seniors can forge stable, long-term relationships with caregivers that they know and trust, which has been shown to demonstrably improve their quality of life.

It would have served to prevent the kind of high staff turnover that jeopardizes the quality of care and even the very safety of nursing home residents. It also would have made certain that the hardworking and dedicated individuals who care for our seniors are paid a fair wage sufficient to keep them on the job.

Mr. President, we're not talking about people who are getting rich, we're talking about individuals who do vitally important but

often thankless jobs in exchange for an average 1 of just over \$30,000 a year. This provision did 2 3 not require these workers to be paid exorbitantly; in fact, it didn't even specify a 4 dollar amount at all. All it did was ask the 5 Commissioners of Labor and Health to make an 6 7 informed annual determination as to what standard wage would be needed to "ensure the retention of 8 a qualified workforce capable of providing 9 10 high-quality care to the residents of nursing homes." Best of all, we could have had all these 11 benefits for seniors and workers without an extra 12 dime in Medicaid spending. 13 14 Now, here's the interesting part, 15 Mr. President. Unlike some other provisions that I would have liked to see in this budget, this 16 was actually already in the Governor's proposal. 17 18 And you know what? I'm pretty sure it wasn't the Assembly who fought to get it out of the 19 20 proposal, because they included it in their 21 one-house budget. So according to basic deductive reasoning, the only answer is that the 22 majority members of this chamber decided not to 23 help our seniors and the people who dedicate 24 25 their lives for caring for them.

```
Who decided that a race to the
 1
 2
     bottom was preferable to ensuring a qualified
     workforce? I can't even begin to guess the
 3
 4
     reasons why they expended political capital to
 5
     make sure this was removed from the budget. But
     I can tell you that this decision was absolutely
 6
 7
     wrong. Our seniors and our workers deserve
 8
     better.
 9
                  So I agree with Senator Golden when
10
     he says our job is not done. And that's why I'm
11
     voting nay on this bill and I'm asking all my
     colleagues to do the same.
12
13
                  Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
14
                                               Thank
15
     you, Senator Peralta.
16
                  Senator Tkaczyk on the bill.
17
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you,
18
     Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield to a few
19
     questions in the mental health, OASAS, and
20
     developmental disabilities portion of the
21
     budget.
22
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Hold for
23
     one moment, Senator.
                  Senator DeFrancisco, do you yield to
24
25
     Senator Tkaczyk?
```

```
1
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
 2
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              The
     sponsor yields.
 3
 4
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you,
 5
     Mr. President.
                  On Part A of the mental hygiene
 6
 7
     Article 7 bill --
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
 8
                                        Can you speak
 9
     up just a bit, please? Thank you.
10
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: On Part A of the
     mental hygiene budget there's a section related
11
12
     to prescriber prevails. It says that language is
13
     included that requires managed-care providers to
14
     offer prescriber prevails for prescription drugs
15
     in the antidepressant, antiretroviral,
     antirejection, seizures, epilepsy, endocrine,
16
     hematologic and immunologic therapeutic classes.
17
18
                  My question is, the antidepressants
     are included. Are antipsychotics also included
19
20
     in this?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
21
                                         Yes.
22
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Yes, they are.
     Even though they're not listed, they are
23
     included?
24
                Through you.
25
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: You said Part
```

```
A?
 1
 2
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Yes.
 3
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Yes, it's my
 4
     understanding that they are included.
                                            If I can
 5
     find the bill, that would be helpful. (Pause.)
                  Okay, there's a little confusion --
 6
 7
     may I answer?
 8
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Yes.
 9
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         There's a
10
     little confusion. The Governor chose to
     eliminate provider prevails, and that was
11
12
     rejected. So we're the same as we were before
     this year. In other words, existing law is still
13
     in effect.
14
15
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Okay, thank you.
16
                  Will the sponsor yield for another
     question.
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Yes.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                              Senator
20
     DeFrancisco yields.
21
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Thank you.
22
                  The bill also includes seizure and
23
     epilepsy medications that are allowed to be
     prescribed as written. As you probably know,
24
25
     many patients with depression and bipolar
```

```
disorder, for example, receive a regimen of
 1
 2
     medications. Many are receiving these
     medications in combination with their
 3
 4
     antipsychotic and/or antidepressants, quote,
 5
     unquote, off-label prescriptions of antiseizure
     or epilepsy drugs.
 6
 7
                  Is the off-label prescribing of
     these medications to meet people's mental health
 8
     needs included in prescriber prevails?
 9
10
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         All I can
     tell you, the law has not changed. If they were
11
     included before this budget period, they're still
12
     included now. I don't know chapter and verse
13
     what the former law was, all I know is that we
14
15
     wanted to change the Governor's recommendation
     with respect to provider prevails, and we did.
16
     So current law is still in existence.
17
18
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
                  Will the sponsor yield for another
19
20
     question?
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
22
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
23
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     This is on Part H
24
     on the mental health bill that covers the
25
```

```
1
     Community Mental Health Reinvestment Act.
 2
     budget includes an extension of the Community
 3
     Mental Health Support and Workforce Reinvestment
 4
     Program.
 5
                  We currently have 2800 beds in 17
     psychiatric facilities. So during the course of
 6
 7
     the coming fiscal year, if there is a bed
 8
     reduction -- a few beds, a floor, a unit -- what
     will happen to those savings? Will they go into
 9
10
     community mental health services?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
11
                                         That's an
12
     academic question, if I understood it correctly,
     because there's no additional beds authorized to
13
     be closed in this budget.
14
15
                  So the question if it were closed
     what would happen, I don't think -- it can't
16
     happen, since we didn't authorize any more bed
17
18
     reductions.
19
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Will the sponsor
20
     continue to yield.
21
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
22
                                               The
23
     sponsor yields.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
24
                                     It's my
25
     understanding that we're still in the process of
```

```
1
     downsizing 2800 beds. And we may not have a
 2
     facility close, but we could potentially see a
     few beds or a unit or a floor close and be
 3
     downsized. And each of these beds costs the
 4
 5
     state about $370,000 per year.
                  So my question is, if we for example
 6
     have 20 beds, 20 out of 2800 close this year, we
 7
 8
     could potentially save $7.4 million.
     Theoretically, if that happens, how much of that
 9
10
     money would go through to the Community
11
     Reinvestment Act to community mental health
     services?
12
13
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         It would be
14
     100 percent in the following fiscal year that the
15
     closure took place.
16
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                      So any beds we
     closed this year would -- we would recover?
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          The monies
     would go back in the community the following
19
20
     fiscal year.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
21
                                      Okay.
                                             Thank
22
     you. Will the sponsor continue to yield.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Sure.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
24
                                               The
25
     sponsor yields.
```

```
1
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Under Part N, the
 2
     human services COLA, how many years have we been
 3
     deferring the human services COLA.
 4
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: Four or five
 5
     years.
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
 6
                                     Thank you.
 7
                  Would the sponsor continue to yield
 8
     for another question.
 9
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
10
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
11
12
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: There's another
     part in the budget that covers OASAS. We have
13
14
     expanded access to gambling across the state in
15
     the last few years, and our racinos are among the
16
     most successful in the country. Do we have in
     this budget any additional funding for compulsive
17
18
     and problem gambling?
19
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         No, not any
20
     additional money.
21
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
22
                  Would the sponsor continue to
23
     yield?
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
24
                                          Yes.
25
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
```

sponsor yields.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Regarding the developmental disabilities portion of the budget, at the budget hearings we discussed with the Commissioner the potential impact of a 6 percent cut that was proposed by the Governor. She could not explain what impact that cut would have on the developmental disabilities providers.

This budget includes a 4.5 percent cut. What is the impact on the developmental disability providers under that cut?

SENATOR DeFRANCISCO: What effect? It depends on how the cut takes place.

I think the Governor's intent is to try to make sure it only occurs in the administrative costs of the system, as opposed to direct patient care, because the reason the money had to be cut to pay back the federal government for overpayments, one of the main things cited was salaries of hundreds of thousands of dollars, in some cases \$500,000 to some of the operators.

So we tried, by the way, in the Senate and the Assembly budgets both, to restore the entire amount, but the Governor felt that that would not be the right thing to do since it

```
1
     would almost be showing that we were not taking
 2
     seriously our violations in the past.
                  So, to me, he would like to do --
 3
 4
     there's several things he mentioned, was reducing
 5
     provider administrative costs, achieving
     administrative efficiencies, pursuing audit
 6
 7
     recoveries of misuse of funds by providers,
     providing alternative payment models, services
 8
 9
     and programming to improve or transform
10
     services.
                  So he's trying to reform how the
11
12
     delivery is made rather than simply ignoring the
     fact that we had to pay back the federal
13
     government because we did not do things properly
14
15
     in this area in the budget.
16
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Will the sponsor
     continue to yield.
17
18
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
19
                                               The
20
     sponsor yields.
21
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Is there any
22
     language in this budget that describes how those
     cuts will be implemented? Specifically.
23
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
24
                                          There are
25
     references to the cuts in the Aid to Localities
```

```
1
     bill which will be coming up tomorrow.
 2
                  (Laughter.)
 3
                  SENATOR TKACZYK: Will the sponsor
 4
     continue to yield?
 5
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          Yes.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 6
                                               The
 7
     sponsor yields.
 8
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Before we get to
 9
     tomorrow, is there -- I would like to know if you
10
     have any response to what is the impact this cut
     will have on the provider communities.
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                         Well, I can
     tell you it's 4.5 percent. How that affects an
13
14
     individual provider, I don't know the answer to
15
     that. But we will be -- I'll try to look into it
     more carefully when we get to the Aid to
16
     Localities bill to see if I can get a more
17
18
     precise response for you.
19
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
                                     Thank you.
20
                  On the bill, Mr. President.
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
22
     Tkaczyk on the bill.
                                     I would like to
23
                  SENATOR TKACZYK:
     share with the chamber how these cuts will affect
24
25
     the OPWDD provider community in my district.
```

In Albany County, a recent census of Albany County shows we have 1,808 people served by the Medicaid-funded OPWDD programs. Medicaid services paid for in 2012 was \$74.8 million. This 4.5 percent cut means they will lose \$3.4 million. Combine that with the elimination of the 2 percent the COLA, it will cost them \$1.5 million this year, as it did last year and the year before. For the 2013-2014 fiscal year, Albany County developmental disability providers and the people they serve will lose \$4.8 million in funds this year in this budget. 

In Greene County, 188 people are served by OPWDD programs. Medicaid services paid for was \$7.3 million. This will 4.5 percent cut means they will lose \$327,000. The elimination of the 2 percent COLA will cost them \$140,000 this year, as it did last year and the year before. So for the 2013-2014 fiscal year, Greene County providers and the people they serve will lose \$473,000 in this budget.

Montgomery County. The census shows 429 people served in Medicaid-funded OPWDD programs. The services paid for in 2012 was \$21 million. This 4.5 percent cut means they

1427

```
will lose almost a million dollars. The
 1
 2
     elimination of the 2 percent COLA will cost them
     $432,000. So for the 2013-2014 fiscal year,
 3
 4
     Montgomery County providers and the people they
 5
     serve will lose $1.43 million in funds this
 6
     year.
                  In Schenectady County, 1,219 people
 7
     served in Medicaid-funded OPWDD programs.
 8
     Medicaid services paid for was $49.6 million.
 9
10
     This 4.5 percent cut means they will lose
     $2.2 million. The elimination of the 2 percent
11
     COLA will cost them almost a million, as it did
12
     last year and the year before. So for 2013-2014,
13
14
     Schenectady providers and the people they serve
15
     will lose $3.2 million in funds this year in this
     budget.
16
                  In Ulster County, almost 825 people
17
18
     are served.
                  They are getting services of
19
     $338 million. This will 4.5 percent cut means
20
     they will lose $1.77 million. The 2 percent COLA
     will cost them $770,000. And so for the
21
     2013-2014 fiscal year, Ulster County providers
22
     and the people they serve will lose $2.5 million
23
     in funds this year.
24
25
                  These are real cuts. These impact
```

```
real people, real providers, real jobs. And we
 1
 2
     still don't have the answers on how is this going
 3
     to impact the people we're serving in this
 4
     agency, in this budget, in this state. These are
 5
     people who rely on us to care for them. We have
     to make sure we are not hurting our ability to
 6
 7
     care for people who have no other option. We
     need to make sure we're covering the services and
 8
     the group homes that keep these people in an
 9
10
     environment that we think is one that sustains
     their quality of life.
11
12
                  I will be voting no on this budget
     bill.
13
           Thank you.
14
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
15
     you, Senator Tkaczyk.
                  Senator Stavisky.
16
17
                  SENATOR STAVISKY:
                                      Thank you,
18
     Mr. President.
19
                  First, let me be very clear: I was
20
     not part of the negotiations on this bill.
     However, I do appreciate the discussions that I
21
     had with Senator LaValle. The information that I
22
     received, quite frankly, is what I read in the
23
24
     newspapers.
25
                  But let me just be very, very brief
```

and say that there are several parts of this bill that have me troubled.

Number one obviously is the future not just of Downstate but of SUNY and medical education in New York State. Because if SUNY Downstate has a problem today, tomorrow I'm afraid it may well be Upstate and Stony Brook.

Secondly, these are problems involving our educational institutions but also the healthcare delivery system in the State of New York. And I come from a county that has lost many hospitals in the last four or five years.

We are terribly underbedded. And I don't want to see this extend to other parts of the state.

The SUNY re -- it's not a restructuring of SUNY, as I see it; it's more a dismemberment. We're putting SUNY Downstate into a separate class. And privatization is one area that's already been discussed. But I am troubled by the lack of accountability in the whole restructuring or the whole dismemberment process, the lack of competitive bidding. All of these issues I think are a problem.

The other reason I am going to vote against this bill is the lack of support for the

```
developmentally disabled, the people with
 1
 2
     developmental disabilities. The 6 percent cut is
 3
     unconscionable and absolutely must be reversed.
 4
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Thank
 6
     you, Senator Stavisky.
 7
                  Senator Krueger.
 8
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      Thank you.
 9
                  It's hard to imagine not all the
10
     questions were asked, but I would like to ask a
     question of the sponsor.
11
12
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
     DeFrancisco, do you yield?
13
14
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
     sponsor yields.
16
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
17
                                      Thank you.
18
                  Through you, Mr. President, is there
     a section in this bill that creates a basic
19
20
     health plan, a BHP, in New York State? Because
21
     there's been several studies showing that if we
     were to establish the basic health plan, we would
22
     potentially be saving the State of New York
23
     between $467 million and a billion dollars in the
24
25
     next several years. And that New York basic
```

```
1
     health plan would assure that people between 139
 2
     and 200 percent of poverty could be eligible for
     benefits under the ACA, provide lawful immigrants
 3
 4
     from zero to 200 percent of poverty to be able to
 5
     participate, and an estimated 617,000 enrollees
     in New York State could be provided healthcare
 6
 7
     benefits in New York State while we were
     collecting 95 percent of a premium subsidy from
 8
     the federal government.
 9
10
                  So does this bill have in it the
     establishment of a basic health plan?
11
12
                  SENATOR DeFRANCISCO:
                                          No.
                                               It's
     optional by the federal government. There's a
13
     work group that's called for under this budget
14
15
     bill to study whether we should do that or not.
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      I want to thank
16
17
     the sponsor.
18
                  On the bill.
19
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
20
     Krueger on the bill.
21
                  SENATOR KRUEGER:
                                      So many people
22
     pointed out so many issues over the last several
     hours about reasons not to support this bill.
23
     But I do throw in at this late hour the fact that
24
25
     originally a basic health plan was supposed to be
```

part of this budget bill. And in fact, New York
State is several years behind the ball of where
we should be in maximizing our opportunities to
draw down federal money and ensuring that as many
people as possible get health insurance in
New York State.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But when you realize that New York State's savings could be between \$467 million and nearly \$1 billion, citing two studies, one by the Community Service Society and one by the Urban Institute in Washington -- the Urban Institute only being half a billion, Community Service Society being nearly a billion -- that when we talk about all these things we're cutting -services for people with developmental disabilities, closing of critical hospital core services in the borough of Brooklyn, an inability to explain how we're actually going to fund the second year of a new created fund to try to get us through the first year -- and yet for all the things we're doing in this bill that people have argued so articulately do great harm to our communities, specifically special-need and low-income communities, we're not taking advantage of an ability to increase our savings

and maximize money to provide healthcare to people, we're studying it.

Except it's already been studied to death. We don't need to study it, we should do it. And then maybe we would have less arguments over what we have to cut because we don't have the money.

So I could tell you there are things in this bill I like, and I do. And I can tell you some people have raised, Well, how could you vote against a bill that implies you're voting against all this healthcare funding in the State of New York, because of course there is funding for healthcare in this bill. But there are so many mistakes.

And I can't be more articulate than my colleagues already have been. But we could have done better. And in fact on some key issues, we did better in the original bill and then it was pulled out by my colleagues in the Senate. And on other critical issues, we forgot to ask the people in the communities who will be most impacted.

So for me, it's actually pretty easy, Mr. President. I can't vote yes on this

```
bill. I'll vote no.
 1
 2
                  Thank you.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
 3
                                               Thank
 4
     you, Senator Krueger.
 5
                  Seeing no other Senators who wish to
     be heard, the debate is closed.
 6
 7
                  The Secretary will ring the bells.
 8
     Senators are asked to proceed immediately to the
 9
     chamber so that we may move forward with the roll
10
     call.
                  Read the last section.
11
12
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                    Section 3.
                                                This
     act shall take effect immediately.
13
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: Call the
14
15
     roll.
16
                  (The Secretary called the roll.)
17
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
18
     Dilan to explain his vote.
19
                  SENATOR DILAN:
                                    Yes,
20
     Mr. President. I rise to indicate that I voted
21
     no on this bill because I cannot vote for a bill
22
     that authorized giving away Long Island College
     Hospital to SUNY without legislative involvement
23
     or oversight on an asset that's estimated to be
24
25
     worth anywhere from $500 million to a billion
```

1 dollars. Without this involvement, I cannot vote 2 for this bill. This is not acceptable. Further, I cannot vote for this bill 3 4 because I believe it's just the first step to the 5 closure of the Downstate Medical Center and/or its privatization. 6 7 For these reasons, I vote no. ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY: 8 Senator 9 Dilan to be recorded in the negative. 10 Senator Gipson to explain his vote. 11 SENATOR GIPSON: Thank you, Mr. President. 12 13 I will be voting no on this bill. This is the health and mental hygiene bill. 14 15 is the bill where we are supposed to be helping all the people in this state that are in 16 desperate need of our help. And instead what we 17 18 are doing, because of the bad decisions that were 19 clearly made in this bill, is we are sucking the 20 lifeblood out of those who need our help the 21 most. This is a vampire bill. It is now 22 two minutes after midnight. There is no reason 23 we should be here this late. Certainly voting on 24 25 a bill that is so important to all the people of

1436

```
this state, I cannot bring myself to vote any
 1
 2
     other way than no.
 3
                  Thank you, Mr. President.
 4
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Senator
 5
     Gipson to be recorded in the negative.
 6
                  Announce the results.
 7
                  THE SECRETARY:
                                   In relation to
 8
     Calendar Number 276, those recorded in the
     negative are Senators Adams, Addabbo, Avella,
 9
10
     Breslin, Dilan, Espaillat, Gianaris, Gipson,
11
     Hassell-Thompson, Hoylman, Kennedy, Krueger,
12
     Latimer, Montgomery, O'Brien, Parker, Peralta,
     Perkins, Rivera, Sampson, Sanders, Serrano,
13
14
     Squadron, Stavisky, Stewart-Cousins and Tkaczyk.
15
                  Ayes, 34. Nays, 26.
16
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The bill
     is passed.
17
18
                  Senator Libous, that completes the
     controversial reading of Senate Supplemental
19
20
     Calendar 26A.
21
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: Mr. President, is
     there any other business that the Senate must
22
23
     take up on this legislative day?
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               There is
24
25
     none.
```

1437

```
1
                                     There being no
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
 2
     further business that the Senate may conduct on
     March 26th, and pursuant to Senate rule -- can I
 3
 4
     have some order, Mr. President?
 5
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
     (Gaveling.)
 6
 7
                  SENATOR LIBOUS: -- and pursuant to
 8
     Senate Rule 6, Section 2, I move that we adjourn
     until Wednesday, March 27th, at 12:06, at which
 9
10
     time we will take up the remaining budget bills.
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
11
                                               All in
12
     favor of adjourning until Wednesday, March 27, at
     12:06 --
13
                                     12:06 a.m.
14
                  SENATOR LIBOUS:
15
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
     12:06 a.m., signify by saying aye.
16
                  (Response of "Aye.")
17
18
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               Opposed,
19
     nay.
20
                  (Response of "Nay.")
21
                  ACTING PRESIDENT VALESKY:
                                               The
22
     motion is accepted.
23
                  The Senate stands adjourned until
     Wednesday, March 27th, at 12:06 a.m., at which
24
25
     time we will take up the remaining budget bills.
```

```
(Whereupon, at 12:04 a.m., the
 1
 2
     Senate adjourned.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```