

STATE OF NEW YORK

6471

2025-2026 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

March 14, 2025

Introduced by Sen. CLEARE -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Investigations and Government Operations

AN ACT to amend the real property law, in relation to the use of automated decision tools to make housing decisions

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. The real property law is amended by adding a new section
2 227-g to read as follows:

3 § 227-g. Use of automated decision tools. 1. For the purposes of this
4 section, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

5 (a) "Automated decision tool" means any computational process, derived
6 from machine learning, statistical modeling, data analytics, or artificial
7 intelligence, that issues simplified output, including a score,
8 classification, or recommendation, that is used to substantially assist
9 or replace discretionary decision making for making housing decisions
10 that impact natural persons. "Automated decision tool" does not include
11 a tool that does not automate, support, substantially assist, or replace
12 discretionary decision-making processes and that does not materially
13 impact natural persons, including, but not limited to, a junk email
14 filter, firewall, antivirus software, calculator, spreadsheet, database,
15 data set, or other compilation of data.

16 (b) "Disparate impact analysis" means an impartial evaluation
17 conducted by an independent auditor. Such disparate impact analysis
18 shall include, but not be limited to, testing of the extent to which use
19 of an automated decision tool is likely to result in an adverse impact
20 to the detriment of any group on the basis of sex, race, ethnicity, or
21 other protected class under this article. A disparate impact analysis
22 shall differentiate between applicants who were selected and applicants
23 who were not selected by the tool.

24 (c) "Housing decision" means to screen applicants for housing.

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD05259-01-5

1 2. It shall be unlawful for a landlord to implement or use an auto-
2 mated decision tool that fails to comply with the following provisions:

3 (a) No less than annually, a disparate impact analysis shall be
4 conducted to assess the actual impact of any automated decision tool
5 used by any landlord to select applicants for housing within the state.
6 Such disparate impact analysis shall be provided to the landlord.

7 (b) A summary of the most recent disparate impact analysis of such
8 tool as well as the distribution date of the tool to which the analysis
9 applies shall be made publicly available on the website of the landlord
10 prior to the implementation or use of such tool. Such summary shall
11 also be made accessible through any listing for housing on a digital
12 platform for which the landlord intends to use an automated decision
13 tool to screen applicants for housing.

14 3. (a) Any landlord that uses an automated decision tool to screen
15 applicants for housing shall notify each such applicant of the follow-
16 ing:

17 (i) That an automated decision tool will be used in connection with
18 the assessment or evaluation of such applicant;

19 (ii) The characteristics that such automated decision tool will use in
20 the assessment of such applicant;

21 (iii) Information about the type of data collected for such automated
22 decision tool, the source of such data, and the landlord's data
23 retention policy; and

24 (iv) If an application for housing is denied through use of the auto-
25 mated decision tool, the reason for such denial.

26 (b) The notice required by paragraph (a) of this subdivision shall be
27 made no less than twenty-four hours before the use of such automated
28 decision tool and shall allow such applicant to request an alternative
29 selection process or accommodation.

30 4. The attorney general may initiate an investigation if a preponder-
31 ance of the evidence, including the summary of the most recent disparate
32 impact analysis establishes a suspicion of a violation. The attorney
33 general may also initiate in any court of competent jurisdiction any
34 action or proceeding that may be appropriate or necessary for correction
35 of any violation issued pursuant to this section, including mandating
36 compliance with the provisions of this section or such other relief as
37 may be appropriate.

38 § 2. This act shall take effect immediately.