

STATE OF NEW YORK

6748--A

2023-2024 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

May 8, 2023

Introduced by Sens. GIANARIS, SALAZAR, HOYLMAN-SIGAL, JACKSON, KAVANAGH, MAY, SEPULVEDA -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Consumer Protection -- recommitted to the Committee on Consumer Protection in accordance with Senate Rule 6, sec. 8 -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee

AN ACT to amend the general business law, in relation to actions or practices that establish or maintain a monopoly, monopsony or restraint of trade, and in relation to authorizing a class action lawsuit in the state anti-trust law

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the "Twenty-
2 First Century Anti-Trust Act".
3 § 2. Legislative findings. The legislature hereby finds and declares
4 New York's great concern with the growing accumulation of power in the
5 hands of dominant corporations that harms our marketplace, our democra-
6 cy, and that undermines the power of workers, consumers, and small busi-
7 nesses. It is time to update, expand, and clarify our laws, consistent
8 with the purposes of the anti-trust reforms of the early Twentieth
9 Century, to police abuses of power by dominant firms. The legislature
10 further finds and declares that unilateral actions which seek to create
11 a monopoly or monopsony are as harmful as contracts or agreements of
12 multiple parties to do the same and should be treated similarly under
13 the law. Firms with monopoly or monopsony power are contrary to the
14 public interest. The legislature further finds and declares that laws
15 governing multi-firm conduct should be updated, consistent with the
16 purposes of anti-trust law, to adequately address abuses of power by
17 dominant firms embodied in coercive vertical restraints on small busi-
18 nesses, workers, and consumers. The legislature further finds and
19 declares that effective enforcement against unfair competition, espe-

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD02939-03-4

cially on the part of dominant firms, has been impeded by courts, for example, applying narrow definitions of monopolies and monopolization, limiting the scope of unilateral conduct, making it excessively difficult to challenge unfair competition and unreasonably heightening the legal standards that plaintiffs and government enforcers must overcome to establish violations of those laws. The legislature further finds and declares that one of the purposes of the state's anti-trust laws is to ensure that our labor markets are open and fair. The legislature further finds and declares that anti-competitive practices harm great numbers of citizens and therefore must ensure that those harmed by monopolies or monopsonies may seek redress through private enforcement.

§ 3. Section 340 of the general business law, as amended by chapter 12 of the laws of 1935, subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 893 of the laws of 1957, subdivision 2 as amended by chapter 805 of the laws of 1984, subdivisions 3 and 4 as renumbered by chapter 502 of the laws of 1948, subdivision 5 as amended by chapter 333 of the laws of 1975 and subdivision 6 as amended by chapter 31 of the laws of 1999, is amended to read as follows:

§ 340. Contracts or agreements for monopoly, monopsony, or in restraint of trade illegal and void. 1. Every contract, agreement, arrangement or combination whereby

A monopoly or monopsony in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state, is or may be established or maintained, or whereby

Competition or the free exercise of any activity in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state is or may be restrained or whereby

For the purpose of establishing or maintaining any such monopoly, monopsony, or unlawfully interfering with the free exercise of any activity in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state any business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of any service is or may be restrained, is hereby declared to be against public policy, illegal and void.

2. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to monopolize or monopsonize, or attempt to monopolize or monopsonize, or combine or conspire with any other person or persons to monopolize or monopsonize any business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of any service in this state.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons with a dominant position in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce, in any labor market, or in the furnishing of any service in this state to abuse that dominant position. This paragraph shall not apply to a person or persons that are independently owned and operated and employ one hundred or fewer persons.

(i) In any action brought under this paragraph, a person's dominant position may be established by direct evidence, indirect evidence, or a combination of the two.

(1) Examples of direct evidence include, but are not limited to, reduction in output or in quality of goods or services, the imposition of supracompetitive prices, or the ability to force, induce, or otherwise coerce a supplier to offer a lower price, discount, advertising allowance, or other service than what the supplier offers others. In labor markets, examples of direct evidence of a dominant position include, but are not limited to, the imposition of subcompetitive wages or working conditions; the repeated violation of laws protecting workers such as labor laws, wage-and-hour laws, and workplace health and safety

1 laws; or the interference with, restraint of, or coercion of workers in
2 the exercise of their full freedom of association to obtain acceptable
3 terms and conditions of employment, including through self-organization,
4 designation of workplace representatives, and engagement in concerted
5 activities for the purposes of collective bargaining or other mutual aid
6 or protection. Direct evidence of dominant position includes conduct
7 that is carried out directly or indirectly through another entity or
8 person such as an independent contractor or other intermediary.

9 (2) A person's dominant position may also be established by indirect
10 evidence such as the person's share of a relevant market. A person who
11 has a share of forty percent or greater of a relevant market as a seller
12 shall be presumed to have a dominant position in that market under this
13 paragraph. A person who has a share of thirty percent or greater of a
14 relevant market as a buyer shall be presumed to have a dominant position
15 in that market under this paragraph. When determining a relevant market,
16 courts shall examine factors including, but not limited to, industry or
17 public recognition of the market as separate and distinct, the product's
18 peculiar characteristics and uses, unique protection facilities,
19 distinct customers, distinct prices, sensitivity to price changes, and
20 specialized vendors.

21 (3) If direct evidence is sufficient to demonstrate that a person has
22 a dominant position or has abused such a dominant position, no court
23 shall require definition of a relevant market in order to evaluate the
24 evidence, find liability, or find that a claim has been stated under
25 this paragraph.

26 (ii) In any action brought under this paragraph, abuse of a dominant
27 position may include, but is not limited to, conduct that tends to fore-
28 close or limit the ability or incentive of one or more actual or poten-
29 tial competitors to compete, such as leveraging a dominant position in
30 one market to limit competition in a separate market; refusing to deal
31 with another person with the effect of unnecessarily excluding or handi-
32 capping actual or potential competitors; coercing the purchaser of one
33 product, service, or contract into purchasing or obtaining a separate
34 and distinct product, service, or contract; or engaging in, or coercing
35 a third party into, an exclusive agreement or contract that serves to
36 foreclose or increase costs for a competitor. In labor markets, abuse
37 may include, but is not limited to, imposing restraints, direct or indi-
38 rect, on the mobility of workers between employers, the ability of work-
39 ers to seek employment from multiple employers by restricting the free-
40 dom of workers and independent contractors to disclose wage and benefit
41 information, or wage discrimination based on any undisclosed or hidden
42 considerations.

43 (c) (i) Except as provided in subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph, the
44 following restraints are presumed to be illegal when engaged in by domi-
45 nant firms:

46 (1) Any restraint that requires another person to deal exclusively or
47 primarily with the firm imposing the restraint or another person speci-
48 fied by that firm or any restraint that has the necessary effect of
49 requiring another person to deal exclusively or primarily with the firm
50 imposing the restraint or another person specified by that firm;

51 (2) Any restraint that conditions the sale or purchase of any product
52 or services on an agreement to sell or purchase another product or
53 service;

54 (3) Any restraint on a person's ability to engage in a profession,
55 trade, or business of any kind, including any restraint on a person's
56 ability to employ another person;

1 (4) Any restraint on the prices or wages offered by another firm;

2 (5) Any restraint on another firm's right to independently decide
3 whether to recognize a union of its employees or to otherwise agree to
4 negotiate with its employees collectively over terms and conditions of
5 employment;

6 (6) Any restraint that the attorney general, through rulemaking,
7 determines poses a substantial risk of harming competition that is not
8 already presumed illegal;

9 (7) Any additional restraint that the attorney general determines,
10 through rulemaking, generally serves no legitimate business purpose that
11 cannot be achieved in some less restrictive way.

12 (ii) Subparagraph (i) of this paragraph shall not apply if the defend-
13 ant establishes, by clear and convincing evidence, that the pro-competi-
14 tive benefits of the challenged conduct (1) are achievable only through
15 that conduct and (2) outweigh that conduct's harm to competition. The
16 harm to competition in one market from the challenged conduct may not be
17 offset by purported benefits in a separate market; and the harm to a
18 person or persons from the challenged conduct may not be offset by
19 purported benefits to another person or persons.

20 (d) (i) The attorney general is hereby empowered to adopt, promulgate,
21 amend, and repeal rules, as such term is defined in paragraph (a) of
22 subdivision two of section one hundred two of the state administrative
23 procedure act, to carry out the purposes of paragraph (b) of this subdivi-
24 sion, including those considerations specified in the findings and
25 declarations of the legislature for this act.

26 (ii) Before any such rule shall take effect, at such time that the
27 attorney general is prepared to file a notice of adoption pursuant to
28 subdivision five of section two hundred two of the state administrative
29 procedure act, the attorney general shall transmit a copy of the rule in
30 its final form to the temporary president of the senate and the speaker
31 of the assembly and, in addition, shall provide any relevant information
32 regarding the need for such rule. Such proposed rule, or proposed repeal
33 of a rule, is subject to the denial by both houses of the legislature
34 and shall take the form of a resolution. Each house of the legislature
35 shall have sixty days following the transmission of such rule to issue
36 denial by resolution or take no action. Such rule shall not take effect
37 if both houses pass a resolution denying such proposed rule within the
38 time prescribed by this subparagraph.

39 (iii) The attorney general shall issue guidance on how it will achieve
40 the purposes of paragraph (b) of this subdivision. The attorney general
41 may issue other guidance with respect to paragraph (b) of this subdivi-
42 sion.

43 (iv) Nothing in this section shall be deemed to diminish the jurisdic-
44 tion of the public service commission.

45 3. Subject to the exceptions hereinafter provided in this section, the
46 provisions of this article shall apply to licensed insurers, licensed
47 insurance agents, licensed insurance brokers, licensed independent
48 adjusters and other persons and organizations subject to the provisions
49 of the insurance law, to the extent not regulated by provisions of arti-
50 cle twenty-three of the insurance law; and further provided, that noth-
51 ing in this section shall apply to the marine insurances, including
52 marine protection and indemnity insurance and marine reinsurance,
53 exempted from the operation of article twenty-three of the insurance
54 law.

55 ~~[3-]~~ 4. The provisions of this article shall not apply to cooperative
56 associations, corporate or otherwise, of farmers, gardeners, or dairy-

men, including live stock farmers and fruit growers, nor to contracts, agreements or arrangements made by such associations, nor to bona fide labor unions, nor to the creation, production, and dissemination of a single expressive work that is copyrighted, including but not limited to, a streaming series, television programs and/or motion pictures.

~~[4-]~~ 5. The labor of human beings shall not be deemed or held to be a commodity or article of commerce as such terms are used in this section and nothing herein contained shall be deemed to prohibit or restrict the right of workingmen, including employees and independent contractors, to combine in unions, organizations and associations, not organized for the purpose of profit, to establish or maintain union apprenticeship or training programs that may lead to any government-issued trade license, or to bargain collectively concerning their wages and the terms and conditions of their employment. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prevent or create liability with respect to any actions to comply with article eight or nine of the labor law. A bona fide collective bargaining agreement, project labor agreement or any other agreement lawful under 29 U.S.C. 158(f), as amended, or any term therein, shall not be considered evidence of a violation or dominance under this section. Project labor agreement shall have the meaning specified in section two hundred twenty-two of the labor law.

~~[5-]~~ 6. An action to recover damages caused by a violation of this section must be commenced within four years after the cause of action has accrued. The state, or any political subdivision or public authority of the state, or any person who shall sustain damages by reason of any violation of this section, shall recover three-fold the actual damages sustained thereby, as well as costs not exceeding ten thousand dollars, and reasonable attorneys' fees. At or before the commencement of any civil action by a party other than the attorney-general for a violation of this section, notice thereof shall be served upon the attorney-general. Where the aggrieved party is a political subdivision or public authority of the state, notice of intention to commence an action under this section must be served upon the attorney-general at least ten days prior to the commencement of such action. This section shall not apply to any action commenced prior to the effective date of this act.

~~[6-]~~ 7. In any action pursuant to this section, the fact that the state, or any political subdivision or public authority of the state, or any person who has sustained damages by reason of violation of this section has not dealt directly with the defendant shall not bar or otherwise limit recovery; provided, however, that in any action in which claims are asserted against a defendant by both direct and indirect purchasers, the court shall take all steps necessary to avoid duplicate liability, including but not limited to the transfer and consolidation of all related actions. In actions where both direct and indirect purchasers are involved, a defendant shall be entitled to prove as a partial or complete defense to a claim for damages that the illegal overcharge has been passed on to others who are themselves entitled to recover so as to avoid duplication of recovery of damages.

8. Any person harmed by this section, whether harmed directly or indirectly by the purported violation, shall be entitled to bring suit.

9. Any damages recoverable pursuant to this section may be recovered in any action which a court may authorize to be brought as a class action pursuant to article nine of the civil practice law and rules.

10. An arrangement, as this term is used in this article, includes, but is not limited to, a contract, combination, agreement or conspiracy.

11. (a) Any person conducting business in the state which is required to file the Notification and Report Form for Certain Mergers and Acquisitions pursuant to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, 15 U.S.C. s. 18a (a), shall provide the same notice and documentation in its entirety to the attorney general at the same time that notice is filed with the Federal Trade Commission and the assistant attorney general in charge of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice.

(b) The following classes of transactions are exempt from the requirements of this section:

(i) acquisitions of goods or realty transferred in the ordinary course of business;

(ii) the creation, production, and dissemination of a single expressive work that is copyrighted, including but not limited to, a streaming series, television programs and/or motion pictures;

(iii) acquisitions of bonds, mortgages, deeds of trust, or other obligations which are not voting securities;

(iv) transfers to or from a federal agency or a state or political subdivision thereof;

(v) transactions specifically exempted from the provisions of this article;

(vi) transactions by, between, or among manufacturing, importing, or wholesale businesses that are directly regulated by the New York state liquor authority; and

(vii) such other acquisitions, transfers, or transactions, as may be exempted under paragraph (f) of this subdivision hereunder.

(c) Any information or documentary material filed with the attorney general pursuant to this subdivision shall be exempt from disclosure under article six of the public officers law, and no such information or documentary material may be made public, except as may be relevant to any administrative or judicial action or proceeding.

(d) Any person, or any officer, director, or partner thereof, who fails to comply with any provision of this subdivision shall be liable to the state for a civil penalty of not more than ten thousand dollars for each day during which such person is in violation of this section. Such penalty may be recovered in a civil action brought by the attorney general.

(e) In considering any transaction under this subdivision, the attorney general shall consider such transaction's effects on labor markets, including but not limited to effects on workers' countervailing leverage, by establishing a process for affected workers or representatives designated by affected workers to meaningfully comment on such transactions within ten days following the filing of the transacting parties' notice and documentation of their intended transaction pursuant to paragraph (a) of subdivision eleven of this section. The attorney general shall establish this new process within ninety days following the effective date of the chapter of the laws of two thousand twenty-four that amended this section.

(f) The attorney general is hereby empowered to:

(i) define the terms used in this subdivision;

(ii) exempt, from the requirements of this subdivision, classes of persons, acquisitions, transfers, or transactions which are not likely to violate the provisions of this article; and

(iii) adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind other rules and regulations to carry out the purposes of this subdivision.

§ 4. Section 341 of the general business law, as amended by chapter 333 of the laws of 1975, is amended to read as follows:

§ 341. Penalty. Every person or corporation, or any officer or agent thereof, who shall ~~[make or attempt to make or enter into any such contract, agreement, arrangement or combination or who within this state shall]~~ do or attempt to do, within this state, any act ~~[pursuant thereto]~~ declared unlawful under subdivision one and paragraph (a) of subdivision two of section three hundred forty of this article, or in, toward or for the consummation thereof~~[, wherever the same may have been made]~~, is guilty of a class ~~[E]~~ D felony, and on conviction thereof shall, if a natural person, be punished by a fine not exceeding one ~~[hundred thousand]~~ million dollars, or by imprisonment for not longer than four years, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and if a corporation, by a fine of not exceeding one hundred million dollars. An indictment or information based on a violation of any of the provisions of this section must be found within ~~[three]~~ five years after its commission. No criminal proceeding barred by prior limitation shall be revived by this act.

§ 5. Section 342-a of the general business law, as amended by chapter 275 of the laws of 1962, is amended to read as follows:

§ 342-a. Recovery of civil penalty by attorney-general. In lieu of any penalty otherwise prescribed for a violation of a provision of this article and in addition to an action pursuant to section three hundred forty-two of this article, the attorney-general may bring an action in the name and in behalf of the people of the state against any person, trustee, director, manager or other officer or agent of a corporation, or against a corporation, foreign or domestic, to recover a penalty in the sum specified in section three hundred forty-one of this article for the doing in this state of any act ~~[herein]~~ declared to be illegal in this article, or any act in, toward or for the making or consummation of any contract, agreement, arrangement or combination ~~[herein]~~ prohibited by this article, wherever the same may have been made. The action must be brought within ~~[three]~~ five years after the commission of the act upon which it is based.

§ 6. Section 342-b of the general business law, as amended by chapter 420 of the laws of 1975, is amended to read as follows:

§ 342-b. Recovery of damages by attorney general. In addition to existing statutory and common law authority to bring such actions on behalf of the state, ~~[and]~~ public authorities, and resident persons and entities, the attorney general may also bring action on behalf of any political subdivision or public authority of the state upon the request of such political subdivision or public authority, or in the name of the state, as parens patriae, on behalf of persons and other entities residing in the state of New York, to recover damages for violations of section three hundred forty of this article, or to recover damages provided for by federal law for violations of the federal antitrust laws. In any class action the attorney general may bring on behalf of ~~[these or other subordinate]~~ governmental entities, any governmental entity that does not affirmatively exclude itself from the action, upon due notice thereof, shall be deemed to have requested to be treated as a member of the class represented in that action. The attorney general, on behalf of the state of New York, shall be entitled to retain from any moneys recovered in such actions the costs and expenses of such services.

§ 7. The general business law is amended by adding a new section 342-d to read as follows:

§ 342-d. Recovery of expert witnesses' fees and costs by attorney general and private litigants. In any action alleging a violation of a provision of this article, including actions brought under subdivision twelve of section sixty-three of the executive law, the attorney general and private litigants shall recover reasonable fees and costs for its expert witnesses and consultants if the attorney general or private litigants prevail in such action.

§ 8. Non-compete clauses.

1. Definitions. For purposes of this section:

(a) (1) "Non-compete clause" means a contractual term between an employer and a worker that prevents the worker from seeking or accepting employment with a person, or operating a business, after the conclusion of the worker's employment with the employer.

(2) The term "non-compete clause" includes a contractual term that is a de facto non-compete clause because it has the effect of prohibiting the worker from seeking or accepting employment with a person or operating a business after the conclusion of the worker's employment with the employer. The following types of contractual terms, among others, may be de facto non-compete clauses:

i. A non-disclosure agreement between an employer and a worker that is written so broadly that it effectively precludes the worker from working in the same field after the conclusion of the worker's employment with the employer.

ii. A contractual term between an employer and a worker that requires the worker to pay the employer or a third-party entity for training costs if the worker's employment terminates within a specified time period, where the required payment is not reasonably related to the costs the employer incurred for training the worker.

(b) "Employer" means a person, as defined in 15 U.S.C. 57b-1(a)(6), that hires or contracts with a worker to work for the person.

(c) "Employment" means work for an employer, as the term employer is defined in paragraph (b) of this subdivision.

(d) "Substantial owner, substantial member, and substantial partner" mean an owner, member, or partner holding at least a 25 percent ownership interest in a business entity.

(e) "Worker" means a natural person who works, whether paid or unpaid, for an employer. The term includes, without limitation, an employee, individual classified as an independent contractor, extern, intern, volunteer, apprentice, or sole proprietor who provides a service to a client or customer. The term worker does not include a franchisee in the context of a franchisee-franchisor relationship; however, the term worker includes a natural person who works for the franchisee or franchisor. Non-compete clauses between franchisors and franchisees would remain subject to federal antitrust law as well as all other applicable law.

2. Unfair method of competition. It is an unfair method of competition for an employer to enter into or attempt to enter into a non-compete clause with a worker; maintain with a worker a non-compete clause; or represent to a worker that the worker is subject to a non-compete clause where the employer has no good faith basis to believe that the worker is subject to an enforceable non-compete clause.

3. Existing non-compete clauses. (a) To comply with subdivision 2 of this section, which states that it is an unfair method of competition for an employer to maintain with a worker a non-compete clause, an employer that entered into a non-compete clause with a worker prior to the compliance date must rescind the non-compete clause no later than the compliance date.

(b) (1) An employer that rescinds a non-compete clause pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subdivision must provide notice to the worker that the worker's non-compete clause is no longer in effect and may not be enforced against the worker. The employer must provide the notice to the worker in an individualized communication. The employer must provide the notice on paper or in a digital format such as, for example, an email or text message. The employer must provide the notice to the worker within 45 days of rescinding the non-compete clause.

(2) The employer must provide the notice to a worker who currently works for the employer. The employer must also provide the notice to a worker who formerly worked for the employer, provided that the employer has the worker's contact information readily available.

(3) The following model language constitutes notice to the worker that the worker's non-compete clause is no longer in effect and may not be enforced against the worker, for purposes of subparagraph one of this paragraph. An employer may also use different language, provided that the notice communicates to the worker that the worker's non-compete clause is no longer in effect and may not be enforced against the worker. "A new state law makes it unlawful for us to maintain a non-compete clause in your employment contract. As of {DATE 180 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT OF THIS LAW}, the non-compete clause in your contract is no longer in effect. This means that once you stop working for {EMPLOYER NAME}:

You may seek or accept a job with any company or any person-even if they compete with {EMPLOYER NAME}.

You may run your own business-even if it competes with {EMPLOYER NAME}.

You may compete with {EMPLOYER NAME} at any time following your employment with {EMPLOYER NAME}.

This law does not affect any other terms of your employment contract."

(c) An employer complies with the rescission requirement in paragraph (a) of this subdivision where it provides notice to a worker pursuant to paragraph (b) of this subdivision.

4. Applicability. The requirements of this section shall not apply to a non-compete clause that is entered into by a person who is selling a business entity or otherwise disposing of all of the person's ownership interest in the business entity, or by a person who is selling all or substantially all of a business entity's operating assets, when the person restricted by the non-compete clause is a substantial owner of, or substantial member or substantial partner in, the business entity at the time the person enters into the non-compete clause. Non-compete clauses covered by this exception would remain subject to federal anti-trust law as well as all other applicable law.

§ 9. The general business law is amended by adding a new section 348 to read as follows:

§ 348. Prohibition on unfair methods of competition. 1. Unfair methods of competition are hereby declared unlawful. As used in this section, "unfair methods of competition" shall mean and include any act or practice that threatens an incipient violation of an anti-trust law, or violates the policy or spirit of an anti-trust law because its effects are comparable to or the same as a violation of the law, or otherwise significantly threatens or harms competition.

2. The attorney general shall have the authority through rulemaking to declare certain conduct or practices as unfair methods of competition.

§ 10. Severability. If any provision of this act, or the application thereof to any person or circumstances, is held invalid or unconstitutional, that invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect other

1 provisions or applications of this act that can be given effect without
2 the invalid or unconstitutional provision or application, and to this
3 end the provisions of this act are severable.
4 § 11. This act shall take effect immediately.