

# STATE OF NEW YORK

9430

## IN ASSEMBLY

March 14, 2024

Introduced by M. of A. OTIS -- read once and referred to the Committee on Science and Technology

AN ACT to amend the state technology law, in relation to automated decision-making by state agencies

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. Short title. This act shall be known and may be cited as  
2 the "legislative oversight of automated decision-making in government  
3 act (LOADing Act)".

4 § 2. The state technology law is amended by adding a new article 4 to  
5 read as follows:

### ARTICLE IV

#### AUTOMATED DECISION-MAKING IN STATE GOVERNMENT

##### Section 401. Definitions.

##### 402. Use of automated decision-making systems by agencies.

##### 403. Impact assessments.

##### § 401. Definitions. For the purpose of this article:

12 1. "Automated decision-making system" shall mean any software that  
13 uses algorithms, computational models, or artificial intelligence tech-  
14 niques, or a combination thereof, to automate, support, or replace human  
15 decision-making and shall include, without limitation, systems that  
16 process data, and apply predefined rules or machine learning algorithms  
17 to analyze such data, and generate conclusions, recommendations,  
18 outcomes, assumptions, projections, or predictions without meaningful  
19 human review and discretion. "Automated decision-making system" shall  
20 not include any software used primarily for basic computerized proc-  
21 esses, such as calculators, spellcheck tools, autocorrect functions,  
22 spreadsheets, electronic communications, or any tool that relates only  
23 to internal management affairs such as ordering office supplies or proc-  
24 essing payments, and that do not materially affect the rights, liber-  
25 ties, benefits, safety or welfare of any individual within the state.

26 2. "State agency" shall mean any department, public authority, board,  
27 bureau, commission, division, office, council, committee or officer of  
28 the state. Such terms shall not include the legislature or judiciary.

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets  
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD11734-04-4

1 3. "Public assistance benefit" shall mean any service or program with-  
2 in the control of the state, or benefit provided by the state to indi-  
3 viduals or households, including but not limited to public assistance,  
4 cash assistance, grants, child care assistance, housing assistance,  
5 unemployment benefits, transportation benefits, education assistance,  
6 domestic violence services, and any other assistance or benefit within  
7 the authority of the state to grant to individuals within the state.  
8 This shall not include any federal program that is administered by the  
9 federal government or the state.

10 § 402. Use of automated decision-making systems by agencies. 1. Any  
11 state agency, or any entity acting on behalf of such agency, shall be  
12 prohibited from, directly or indirectly, utilizing or applying any auto-  
13 mated decision-making system in performing any function that: (a) is  
14 related to the delivery of any public assistance benefit; (b) will have  
15 a material impact on the rights, civil liberties, safety or welfare of  
16 any individual within the state; or (c) affects any statutorily or  
17 constitutionally provided right of an individual; unless such utiliza-  
18 tion or application of the automated decision-making system is specif-  
19 ically authorized in law.

20 2. No state agency shall authorize any procurement, purchase or acqui-  
21 sition of any service or system utilizing, or relying on, automated  
22 decision-making systems prohibited in subdivision one of this section,  
23 except where the use of such system is specifically authorized in law.

24 § 403. Impact assessments. 1. No state agency shall utilize or apply  
25 any automated decision-making system unless the state agency, or an  
26 entity acting on behalf of such state agency, shall have conducted an  
27 impact assessment for the application and use of such automated deci-  
28 sion-making system. Following the first impact assessment, an impact  
29 assessment shall be conducted at least once every two years. An impact  
30 assessment shall be conducted prior to any material change to the auto-  
31 mated decision-making system that may change the outcome or effect of  
32 such system. Such impact assessments shall include:

33 (a) a description of the objectives of the automated decision-making  
34 system;

35 (b) an evaluation of the ability of the automated decision-making  
36 system to achieve its stated objectives;

37 (c) a description and evaluation of the objectives and development of  
38 the automated decision-making including:

39 (i) a summary of the underlying algorithms, computational modes, and  
40 artificial intelligence tools that are used within the automated deci-  
41 sion-making system; and

42 (ii) the design and training data used to develop the automated deci-  
43 sion-making system process;

44 (d) testing for:

45 (i) accuracy, fairness, bias and discrimination, and an assessment of  
46 whether the use of the automated decision-making system produces discri-  
47 minatory results on the basis of a consumer's or a class of consumers'  
48 actual or perceived race, color, ethnicity, religion, national origin,  
49 sex, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, familial status, biom-  
50 etric information, lawful source of income, or disability and outlines  
51 mitigations for any identified performance differences in outcomes  
52 across relevant groups impacted by such use;

53 (ii) any cybersecurity vulnerabilities and privacy risks resulting  
54 from the deployment and use of the automated decision-making system, and  
55 the development or existence of safeguards to mitigate the risks;

1 (iii) any public health or safety risks resulting from the deployment  
2 and use of the automated decision-making system;

3 (iv) any reasonably foreseeable misuse of the automated decision-mak-  
4 ing system and the development or existence of safeguards against such  
5 misuse;

6 (e) the extent to which the deployment and use of the automated deci-  
7 sion-making system requires input of sensitive and personal data, how  
8 that data is used and stored, and any control users may have over their  
9 data; and

10 (f) the notification mechanism or procedure, if any, by which individ-  
11 uals impacted by the utilization of the automated decision-making system  
12 may be notified of the use of such automated decision-making system and  
13 of the individual's personal data, and informed of their rights and  
14 options relating to such use.

15 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of this article or any other law, if  
16 an impact assessment finds that the automated decision-making system  
17 produces discriminatory or biased outcomes, the state agency shall cease  
18 any utilization, application, or function of such automated decision-  
19 making system, and of any information produced using such system.

20 3. Any impact assessment conducted pursuant to this subdivision shall  
21 be submitted to the governor, the temporary president of the senate, and  
22 the speaker of the assembly at least thirty days prior to the implemen-  
23 tation of the automated decision-making system that is the subject of  
24 such assessment. The impact statement of an automated decision-making  
25 system that is approved and utilized, shall be published on the website  
26 of the relevant agency. If the state agency makes a determination that  
27 the disclosure of any information required in the impact assessment  
28 would result in a substantial negative impact on health or safety of the  
29 public, infringe upon the privacy rights of individuals, or significant-  
30 ly impair the state agency's ability to protect its information technol-  
31 ogy or operational assets, it may redact such information, provided that  
32 an explanatory statement on the process by which the state agency made  
33 such determination is published along with the redacted impact assess-  
34 ment.

35 § 3. Disclosure of existing automated decision-making systems. Any  
36 state agency, that directly or indirectly, utilizes an automated deci-  
37 sion-making system, as defined in section 401 of the state technology  
38 law, shall submit to the legislature a disclosure on the use of such  
39 system, no later than one year after the effective date of this section.  
40 Such disclosure shall include:

41 (a) a description of the automated decision-making system utilized by  
42 such agency;

43 (b) a list of any software vendors related to such automated deci-  
44 sion-making system;

45 (c) the date that the use of such system began;

46 (d) a summary of the purpose and use of such system, including a  
47 description of human decision-making and discretion supported or  
48 replaced by the automated decision-making system;

49 (e) whether any impact assessments for the automated decision-making  
50 system were conducted and the dates and summaries of the results of such  
51 assessments where applicable; and

52 (f) any other information deemed relevant by the agency.

53 § 4. This act shall take effect immediately, provided that section two  
54 of this act shall take effect one year after it shall have become a law.