AN ACT to amend the general business law, in relation to actions or practices that establish or maintain a monopoly, monopsony or restraint of trade, and in relation to authorizing a class action lawsuit in the state anti-trust law

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1. Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the "Twenty-First Century Anti-Trust Act".

2. Legislative findings. The legislature hereby finds and declares that there is great concern for the growing accumulation of power in the hands of large corporations. While technological advances have improved society, these companies possess great and increasing power over all aspects of our lives. Over one hundred years ago, the state and federal governments identified these same problems as big businesses blossomed after decades of industrialization. Seeing those problems, the state and federal governments enacted transformative legislation to combat cartels, monopolies, and other anti-competitive business practices. It is time to update, expand and clarify our laws to ensure that these large corporations are subject to strict and appropriate oversight by the state. The legislature further finds and declares that unilateral actions which seek to create a monopoly or monopsony are as harmful as contracts or agreements of multiple parties to do the same and should be treated similarly under the law. After monopolies or monopsonies have been established, it is typically too late to repair or mitigate the damage which has been done. Accordingly, mere attempts to create monopolies or monopsonies through anti-competitive conduct should also be

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [−] is old law to be omitted.
treated as actions contrary to the interests of the people of the state of New York and should be penalized accordingly. The legislature further finds and declares that effective enforcement against unilateral anti-competitive conduct has been impeded by courts, for example, applying narrow definitions of monopolies and monopolization, limiting the scope of unilateral conduct covered by the federal anti-trust laws, and unreasonably heightening the legal standards that plaintiffs must overcome to establish violations of those laws. The legislature further finds and declares that one of the purposes of the state's anti-trust laws is to ensure that our labor markets are open and fair. The legislature further finds and declares that anti-competitive practices harm great numbers of citizens and therefore must ensure that class actions may be raised in anti-trust suits.

§ 3. Section 340 of the general business law, as amended by chapter 12 of the laws of 1935, subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 893 of the laws of 1957, subdivision 2 as amended by chapter 805 of the laws of 1984, subdivisions 3 and 4 as renumbered by chapter 502 of the laws of 1948, subdivision 5 as amended by chapter 333 of the laws of 1975 and subdivision 6 as amended by chapter 31 of the laws of 1999, is amended to read as follows:

§ 340. Contracts or agreements for monopoly, monopsony, or in restraint of trade illegal and void. 1. Every contract, agreement, arrangement or combination whereby

A monopoly or monopsony in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state, is or may be established or maintained, or whereby

Competition or the free exercise of any activity in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state is or may be restrained or otherwise

For the purpose of establishing or maintaining any such monopoly or unlawfully interfering with the free exercise of any activity in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce or in the furnishing of any service in this state engaging in the conduct specified in this section any business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of any service is or may be restrained, is hereby declared to be against public policy, illegal and void.

2. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to monopolize or monopsonize, or attempt to monopolize or monopsonize, or combine or conspire with any other person or persons to monopolize or monopsonize any business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of any service in this state.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person or persons with a dominant position in the conduct of any business, trade or commerce, in any labor market, or in the furnishing of any service in this state to abuse that dominant position.

(i) In any action brought under this paragraph, a person's dominant position may be established by direct evidence, indirect evidence, or a combination of the two.

(1) Direct evidence may include, but is not limited to, the unilateral power to set prices, terms, conditions, or standards; the unilateral power to dictate non-price contractual terms without compensation; or other evidence that a person is not constrained by meaningful competitive pressures, such as the ability to degrade quality without suffering a reduction in profitability. In labor markets, direct evidence of a dominant position may include, but is not limited to, the use of non-
compete clauses or no-poach agreements, or the unilateral power to set
wages.

(2) A person’s dominant position may also be established by indirect
evidence such as the person’s share of a relevant market. A person who
has a share of forty percent or greater of a relevant market as a seller
shall be presumed to have a dominant position in that market under this
paragraph. A person who has a share of thirty percent or greater of a
relevant market as a buyer shall be presumed to have a dominant position
in that market under this paragraph.

(3) If direct evidence is sufficient to demonstrate that a person has
a dominant position or has abused such a dominant position, no court
shall require definition of a relevant market in order to evaluate the
evidence, find liability, or find that a claim has been stated under
this paragraph.

(ii) In any action brought under this paragraph, abuse of a dominant
position may include, but is not limited to, conduct that tends to fore-
close or limit the ability or incentive of one or more actual or poten-
tial competitors to compete, such as leveraging a dominant position in
one market to limit competition in a separate market, or refusing to
deal with another person with the effect of unnecessarily excluding or
handicapping actual or potential competitors. In labor markets, abuse
may include, but is not limited to, imposing contracts by which any
person is restrained from engaging in a lawful profession, trade, or
business of any kind, or restricting the freedom of workers and inde-
pendent contractors to disclose wage and benefit information.

(iii) Evidence of pro-competitive effects shall not be a defense to
abuse of dominance and shall not offset or cure competitive harm.

(c) (i) The attorney general is hereby empowered to adopt, promulgate,
amend, and repeal rules, as such term is defined in paragraph (a) of
subdivision two of section one hundred two of the state administrative
procedure act, to carry out the purposes of paragraph (b) of this subdi-
vision, including those considerations specified in the findings and
declarations of the legislature for this act.

(ii) Before any such rule shall take effect, at such time that the
attorney general is prepared to file a notice of adoption pursuant to
subdivision five of section two hundred two of the state administrative
procedure act, the attorney general shall transmit a copy of the rule in
its final form to the temporary president of the senate and the speaker
of the assembly and, in addition, shall provide any relevant information
regarding the need for such rule. Such proposed rule, or proposed repeal
of a rule, is subject to the denial by either house of the legislature
and shall take the form of a resolution. Each house of the legislature
shall have sixty days following the transmission of such rule to issue
denial by resolution or take no action. Such rule shall not take effect
if either house passes a resolution denying such proposed rule within
the time prescribed by this subparagraph.

(iii) The attorney general shall issue guidance on how it will inter-
pret market shares and other relevant market conditions to achieve the
purposes of paragraph (b) of this subdivision while taking into account
the important role of small and medium-sized businesses in the state’s
economy. The attorney general may issue other guidance with respect to
paragraph (b) of this subdivision.

3. Subject to the exceptions hereinafter provided in this section, the
provisions of this article shall apply to licensed insurers, licensed
insurance agents, licensed insurance brokers, licensed independent
adjusters and other persons and organizations subject to the provisions
of the insurance law, to the extent not regulated by provisions of arti-

cle twenty-three of the insurance law; and further provided, that noth-
ing in this section shall apply to the marine insurances, including
marine protection and indemnity insurance and marine reinsurance,
exempted from the operation of article twenty-three of the insurance
law.

4. The provisions of this article shall not apply to cooperative
associations, corporate or otherwise, of farmers, gardeners, or dairy-
men, including live stock farmers and fruit growers, nor to contracts,
agreements or arrangements made by such associations, nor to bona fide
labor unions.

5. The labor of human beings shall not be deemed or held to be a
commodity or article of commerce as such terms are used in this section
and nothing herein contained shall be deemed to prohibit or restrict the
right of workingmen, including employees and independent contractors, to
combine in unions, organizations and associations, not organized for the
purpose of profit, or to bargain collectively concerning their wages and
the terms and conditions of their employment. A bona fide collective
bargaining agreement, or any term therein, shall not be considered
evidence of a violation or dominance under this section.

6. An action to recover damages caused by a violation of this
section must be commenced within four years after the cause of action
has accrued. The state, or any political subdivision or public authority
of the state, or any person who shall sustain damages by reason of any
violation of this section, shall recover three-fold the actual damages
sustained thereby, as well as costs not exceeding ten thousand dollars,
and reasonable attorneys' fees. At or before the commencement of any
civil action by a party other than the attorney-general for a violation
of this section, notice thereof shall be served upon the attorney-gener-
al. Where the aggrieved party is a political subdivision or public
authority of the state, notice of intention to commence an action under
this section must be served upon the attorney-general at least ten days
prior to the commencement of such action. This section shall not apply
to any action commenced prior to the effective date of this act.

7. In any action pursuant to this section, the fact that the
state, or any political subdivision or public authority of the state, or
any person who has sustained damages by reason of violation of this
section has not dealt directly with the defendant shall not bar or
otherwise limit recovery; provided, however, that in any action in which
claims are asserted against a defendant by both direct and indirect
purchasers, the court shall take all steps necessary to avoid duplicate
liability, including but not limited to the transfer and consolidation
of all related actions. In actions where both direct and indirect
purchasers are involved, a defendant shall be entitled to prove as a
partial or complete defense to a claim for damages that the illegal
overcharge has been passed on to others who are themselves entitled to
recover so as to avoid duplication of recovery of damages.

8. Any damages recoverable pursuant to this section may be recovered
in any action which a court may authorize to be brought as a class
action pursuant to article nine of the civil practice law and rules.

9. An arrangement, as this term is used in this article, includes, but
is not limited to, a contract, combination, agreement or conspiracy.


(a) Any person acquiring, directly or indirectly, any voting securi-
ties or assets of any other person, shall file notification with the
attorney general pursuant to rules under paragraph (h) of this subdivision hereunder if:

(i) as a result of such acquisition, the acquiring person would hold an aggregate total amount of the voting securities and assets of the acquired person in excess of ten per centum of the current thresholds specified by the United States Federal Trade Commission pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 18a(a)(2); and

(ii) the acquiring or acquired person has assets or annual net sales within the state in excess of two and one-half per centum of the current thresholds specified by the United States Federal Trade Commission pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 18a(a)(2)(A).

(b) The notification required under paragraph (a) of this subdivision shall be filed no later than sixty calendar days before the closing of the acquisition.

(c) The notification required under paragraph (a) of this subdivision shall identify:

(i) All parties to the acquisition.

(ii) The assets being transferred in the acquisition.

(iii) The anticipated closing date of the acquisition.

(iv) Persons subject to the requirements of this paragraph who file a notification with the United States department of justice and the United States federal trade commission pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 18a et seq. shall comply with the requirements of this subdivision by filing with the attorney general the same materials filed with the aforementioned federal agencies, at the same time that they file those materials with those federal agencies.

(d) The following classes of transactions are exempt from the requirements of this section:

(i) acquisitions of goods or realty transferred in the ordinary course of business;

(ii) acquisitions of bonds, mortgages, deeds of trust, or other obligations which are not voting securities;

(iii) transfers to or from a federal agency or a state or political subdivision thereof;

(iv) transactions specifically exempted from the provisions of this article; and

(v) such other acquisitions, transfers, or transactions, as may be exempted under paragraph (h) of this subdivision hereunder.

(e) Any information or documentary material filed with the attorney general pursuant to this subdivision shall be exempt from disclosure under article six of the public officers law, and no such information or documentary material may be made public, except as may be relevant to any administrative or judicial action or proceeding.

(f) Any person, or any officer, director, or partner thereof, who fails to comply with any provision of this subdivision shall be liable to the state for a civil penalty of not more than ten thousand dollars for each day during which such person is in violation of this section. Such penalty may be recovered in a civil action brought by the attorney general.

(g) In considering any transaction under this subdivision, the attorney general shall consider such transaction’s effects on labor markets.

(h) The attorney general is hereby empowered to:

(i) define the terms used in this subdivision;

(ii) exempt, from the requirements of this subdivision, classes of persons, acquisitions, transfers, or transactions which are not likely to violate the provisions of this article; and
(iii) adopt, promulgate, amend, and rescind other rules and regulations to carry out the purposes of this subdivision.

§ 4. Section 341 of the general business law, as amended by chapter 333 of the laws of 1975, is amended to read as follows:

§ 341. Penalty. Every person or corporation, or any officer or agent thereof, who shall [make or attempt to make or enter into any such contract, agreement, arrangement or combination or who within this state shall do or attempt to do, within this state, any act [pursuant there- to] declared unlawful under subdivision one and paragraph (a) of subdivision two of section three hundred forty of this article, or in, toward or for the consumption thereof[, wherever the same may have been made], is guilty of a class [E] D felony, and on conviction thereof shall, if a natural person, be punished by a fine not exceeding one [hundred thousand] million dollars, or by imprisonment for not longer than four years, or by both such fine and imprisonment; and if a corporation, by a fine of not exceeding one hundred million dollars. An indictment or information based on a violation of any of the provisions of this section must be found within [three] five years after its commission. No criminal proceeding barred by prior limitation shall be revived by this act.

§ 5. Section 342-a of the general business law, as amended by chapter 275 of the laws of 1962, is amended to read as follows:

§ 342-a. Recovery of civil penalty by attorney-general. In lieu of any penalty otherwise prescribed for a violation of a provision of this article and in addition to an action pursuant to section three hundred forty-two of this article, the attorney-general may bring an action in the name and in behalf of the people of the state against any person, trustee, director, manager or other officer or agent of a corporation, or against a corporation, foreign or domestic, to recover a penalty in the sum specified in section three hundred forty-one of this article for the doing in this state of any act [herein declared to be illegal in this article, or any act in, toward or for the making or consummation of any contract, agreement, arrangement or combination [herein] prohibited by this article, wherever the same may have been made]. The action must be brought within [three] five years after the commission of the act upon which it is based.

§ 6. Section 342-b of the general business law, as amended by chapter 420 of the laws of 1975, is amended to read as follows:

§ 342-b. Recovery of damages by attorney general. In addition to existing statutory and common law authority to bring such actions on behalf of the state, [and] public authorities, and resident persons and entities, the attorney general may also bring action on behalf of any political subdivision or public authority of the state upon the request of such political subdivision or public authority, or in the name of the state, as parens patriae, on behalf of persons and other entities residing in the state of New York, to recover damages for violations of section three hundred forty of this article, or to recover damages provided for by federal law for violations of the federal antitrust laws. In any class action the attorney general may bring on behalf of governmental entities, any governmental entity that does not affirmatively exclude itself from the action, upon due notice thereof, shall be deemed to have requested to be treated as a member of the class represented in that action. The attorney general, on behalf of the state of New York, shall be entitled to retain from any moneys recovered in such actions the costs and expenses of such services.
§ 7. The general business law is amended by adding a new section 342-d to read as follows:

§ 342-d. Recovery of expert witnesses' fees and costs by attorney-general and private litigants. In any action alleging a violation of a provision of this article, including actions brought under subdivision twelve of section sixty-three of the executive law, the attorney general and private litigants shall recover reasonable fees and costs for its expert witnesses and consultants if the attorney general or private litigants prevail in such action.

§ 8. This act shall take effect immediately.