

STATE OF NEW YORK

423

2019-2020 Regular Sessions

IN ASSEMBLY

(Prefiled)

January 9, 2019

Introduced by M. of A. STECK, M. G. MILLER, WILLIAMS, SEAWRIGHT, ENGLE-BRIGHT, D'URSO, GOTTFRIED, JAFFEE, ZEBROWSKI, WRIGHT -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. SIMON -- read once and referred to the Committee on Consumer Affairs and Protection

AN ACT to amend the general business law, in relation to unconscionable terms in standard form contracts

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. The general business law is amended by adding a new section
2 349-f to read as follows:

3 § 349-f. Unconscionable terms in standard form contracts. 1. Find-
4 ings. The inclusion of unconscionable terms in standard form contracts
5 regarding dispute resolution is unfair not only because any resulting
6 dispute resolution proceeding is unfair to the party forced to agree to
7 the unconscionable terms, but also because the unconscionable terms
8 discourage valid claims. Furthermore, when the provisions are chal-
9 lenged, courts may simply strike the unconscionable terms but enforce
10 the remainder of the agreement regarding dispute resolution. As a
11 result, businesses have little incentive not to include these terms.
12 Furthermore, it is unlikely that there is any meeting of the minds over
13 a dispute-resolution agreement that does not include severed unconscion-
14 able terms.

15 2. Definition. For the purposes of this section, "standard form
16 contract" shall mean any contract to which only one of the parties is an
17 individual and that individual does not draft the contract. In order to
18 be a standard form contract, the document constituting the contract need
19 not be a preprinted form nor need it contain language completely identi-
20 cal to any other contract.

21 3. Unconscionable terms. There is a rebuttable presumption that the
22 following contractual terms are substantively unconscionable when

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD03522-01-9

1 included in a standard form contract to which only one of the parties to
2 the contract is an individual and that individual does not draft the
3 contract:

4 (a) a requirement that resolution of legal claims takes place in an
5 inconvenient venue. An "inconvenient venue" is, for purposes of state
6 law claims, a place other than the county where the individual resides
7 or the contract was consummated, and for purposes of federal law claims,
8 a place other than the federal judicial district where the individual
9 resides or the contract was consummated;

10 (b) a waiver of the individual's right to assert claims or seek reme-
11 dies provided by state or federal statute;

12 (c) a waiver of the individual's right to seek punitive damages as
13 provided by law;

14 (d) a requirement that the individual bring an action prior to the
15 expiration of the applicable statute of limitations;

16 (e) a requirement that the individual pay fees and costs to bring a
17 legal claim substantially in excess of the fees and costs that this
18 state's courts require to bring such a state law claim or that federal
19 courts require to bring such a federal law claim; and

20 (f) the contract does not advise the individual that it is a legal
21 document, that the individual should consult with counsel of his or her
22 choosing concerning the meaning of its terms, and does not give the
23 individual a reasonable time in which to review the contract with his or
24 her counsel.

25 4. Relation to common law and the uniform commercial code. In deter-
26 mining whether the terms described in subdivision three of this section
27 are unenforceable, a court shall consider the principles that normally
28 guide courts in this state in determining whether unconscionable terms
29 are enforceable. Additionally, the common law and the uniform commercial
30 code shall guide courts in determining the enforceability of unfair
31 terms not specifically identified in such subdivision.

32 5. Severability. There is a rebuttable presumption that a term in a
33 standard form contract that is found to be unconscionable is not severa-
34 ble from the agreement in which it is situated. In determining whether
35 this presumption has been rebutted courts should consider general state
36 law principles regarding the severability of unenforceable terms.

37 6. Unfair and deceptive act and practice. It is an unfair and decep-
38 tive practice in violation of section three hundred forty-nine of this
39 article to include one of the presumptively-unconscionable terms identi-
40 fied in subdivision three of this section in a standard form contract to
41 which only one of the parties to the contract is an individual and that
42 individual does not draft the contract. Notwithstanding any other
43 provision of law to the contrary, a party who prevails in a claim under
44 this section shall be entitled to one thousand dollars in statutory
45 damages per violation. Additionally, such an action may be maintained by
46 an employee against his or her employer whether or not the labor law
47 otherwise allows for such claims.

48 § 2. This act shall take effect on the first of January next succeed-
49 ing the date on which it shall have become a law, and shall apply to
50 contracts entered into on or after such date.