

STATE OF NEW YORK

5326

2017-2018 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

March 21, 2017

Introduced by Sen. LANZA -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Education

AN ACT to amend the education law, in relation to the use of student testing results in annual teacher evaluations

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. Paragraph a of subdivision 4 of section 3012-d of the
2 education law, as added by section 2 of subpart E of part EE of chapter
3 56 of the laws of 2015, subparagraph 1 as amended by section 3 of
4 subpart C of part B of chapter 20 of the laws of 2015, is amended to
5 read as follows:

6 a. Student performance category. Such category shall have at least one
7 subcomponent and an optional second subcomponent as follows:

8 (1) For the first subcomponent, [~~(A) for a teacher whose course ends~~
9 ~~in a state-created or administered test for which there is a state-pro-~~
10 ~~vided growth model, such teacher shall have a state-provided growth~~
11 ~~score based on such model, which shall take into consideration certain~~
12 ~~student characteristics, as determined by the commissioner, including~~
13 ~~but not limited to students with disabilities, poverty, English language~~
14 ~~learner status and prior academic history and which shall identify~~
15 ~~educators whose students' growth is well above or well below average~~
16 ~~compared to similar students for a teacher's or principal's students~~
17 ~~after the certain student characteristics above are taken into account,~~
18 ~~and (B) for a teacher whose course does not end in a state-created or~~
19 ~~administered test such teacher shall have]~~ a student learning objective

20 (SLO) consistent with a goal-setting process determined or developed by
21 the commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that,
22 for any teacher whose course ends in a state-created or administered
23 assessment for which there is no state-provided growth model, such
24 assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO;

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD10736-01-7

(2) For the optional second subcomponent, a district may locally select a second measure in accordance with this subparagraph. Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across the district and be [~~either, (A) a second state-provided growth score on a state-created or administered test under clause (A) of subparagraph one of this paragraph, or (B)~~] a growth score based on a state-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a state-provided or approved growth model. The optional second subcomponent shall provide options for multiple assessment measures that are aligned to existing classroom and school best practices and take into consideration the recommendations in the testing reduction report as required by section one of subpart F of [~~the~~] chapter ~~fifty-six~~ of the laws of two thousand fifteen [~~which added this section~~] regarding the reduction of unnecessary additional testing.

The commissioner shall determine the weights and scoring ranges for the subcomponent or subcomponents of the student performance category that shall result in a combined category rating. The commissioner shall also set parameters for appropriate targets for student growth for both subcomponents, and the department must affirmatively approve and shall have the authority to disapprove or require modifications of district plans that do not set appropriate growth targets, including after initial approval. The commissioner shall set such weights and parameters consistent with the terms contained herein.

§ 2. This act shall take effect immediately.