1801

2015-2016 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

January 14, 2015

Introduced by Sen. DILAN -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Transportation

AN ACT to amend the highway law, the state finance law, the public authorities law and the education law, in relation to alternative project delivery methodologies

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Legislative finding and intent. The federal government and numerous states regularly engage in what is termed "alternative project delivery" options for the procurement and construction of both building and transportation projects. These methods, which include design-build, construction manager at-risk, public/private partnerships and integrated project delivery have become proven methods for the efficient and effective delivery of public construction projects. Such methodologies have often produced high-quality projects at lower costs and at greatly improved timeframes.

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Although design-bid-build should remain the primary method to deliver most public construction projects, the legislature declares that the department of transportation, the office of general services, the dormitory authority, the thruway authority, the state university construction fund, the city university construction fund, the New York city school construction authority and the metropolitan transportation authority should be permitted to engage in such "alternative project delivery" methods, subject to certain limitations and protections.

- S 2. Section 38 of the highway law is amended by adding a new subdivision 10 to read as follows:
- 10. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS SECTION OR THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW, THE DEPARTMENT MAY PROCURE SERVICES TO CONSTRUCT OR IMPROVE A STATE HIGHWAY PURSUANT TO AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY AS

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06760-01-5

3

7

8

9

10

13 14

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

26 27

28

29

30

31

1 PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE-C OF THE STATE FINANCE 2 LAW.

- S 3. The state finance law is amended by adding a new section 163-c to read as follows:
- 5 S 163-C. ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS. 1. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS 6 SECTION:
 - A. "ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY" SHALL MEAN ANY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY WHEREBY THE PROCUREMENT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IS OTHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS.
- 11 B. "STATE CONTRACTING AGENCY" OR "AGENCY" SHALL MEAN THE OFFICE OF 12 GENERAL SERVICES AND/OR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.
 - C. "EVALUATION TEAM" SHALL MEAN A TEAM OF NOT LESS THAN FIVE PERSONS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, TO BE FORMED BY A STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY TO EVALUATE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR THAT PROJECT.
 - 2. NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE OF THIS ARTICLE, SECTION THIRTY-EIGHT OF THE HIGHWAY LAW, OR ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL OR LOCAL LAW THAT MAY REQUIRE AN AGENCY TO AWARD CONTRACTS TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER AFTER ADVERTISING FOR SEALED BIDS, A STATE CONTRACTING AGENCY MAY PROCURE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES THROUGH AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY FOR ANY PROJECT HAVING A COST OF TWENTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS OR MORE, PROVIDED THAT THE STATE CONTRACTING AGENCY DETERMINES THAT SUCH ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, RATHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS, WILL PROVIDE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE. PRIOR TO UTILIZING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, THE AGENCY SHALL MAKE A WRITTEN DETERMINATION IDENTIFYING THE REASONS FOR USING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, AND THE METHODOLOGY SELECTED. SUCH REASONS MAY INCLUDE, BUT NEED NOT BE LIMITED TO, BENEFITS RELATING TO THE PROJECT SCHEDULE, COST OF THE PROJECT, AND PROJECT FEASIBILITY.
- 32 A STATE CONTRACTING AGENCY THAT ELECTS TO USE AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY SHALL AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SUCH SERVICES BY 33 34 COMPLETING A TWO STEP PROCESS: A. STEP ONE. THE AGENCY SHALL GENERATE A 35 THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE GENERAL CAPABILITY TO LIST OF ENTITIES PROVIDE THE ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY SERVICES CHOSEN FOR THE 36 37 PROJECT. SUCH LIST SHALL BE GENERATED BASED ON THE AGENCY'S EVALUATION 38 TEAM'S REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO A PUBLICLY ADVERTISED REQUEST FOR QUALI-39 FICATIONS. THE AGENCY'S REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS SHALL INCLUDE A 40 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT, THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ENTITIES TO BE INCLUDED ON THE LIST, AND THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED IN DETERMIN-41 ING WHICH ENTITIES ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS PURSU-42 43 ANT TO PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDIVISION. THE SELECTION CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH 45 CRITERIA. IN DETERMINING WHETHER AN ENTITY QUALIFIES FOR INCLUSION ON SUCH LIST, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE EXPERIENCE, EXPERTISE 47 AND PAST PERFORMANCE OF THE ENTITY, THE ENTITY'S ABILITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT, THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITY, RESPONSIBILITY AND RELIABILITY OF 48 49 ENTITY, AND SUCH OTHER QUALIFICATIONS AS THE AGENCY DEEMS APPROPRI-50 ATE. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL ALSO CONSIDER SKILLED LABOR FORCE AVAIL-51 ABILITY, WHETHER PROPOSED KEY PERSONNEL HAVE SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING TO COMPETENTLY MANAGE AND COMPLETE THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION 52 THE PROJECT, AND OTHER NON-PRICE RELATED FACTORS. IN ADDITION, THE 53 AGENCY SHALL CONSIDER THE ENTITY'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE 54 HISTORY AND WORKER SAFETY PROGRAMS. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL EVALUATE AND RATE ALL ENTITIES RESPONDING TO THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS.

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47 48

1 BASED UPON SUCH RATINGS, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL LIST THE ENTITIES 2 THAT SHALL RECEIVE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 3 B OF THIS SUBDIVISION.

- TWO. THE AGENCY SHALL ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO THE 5 ENTITIES LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION. IF SUCH AN ENTITY CONSISTS OF A TEAM OF SEPARATE ENTITIES, THE ENTITIES THAT 7 COMPRISE SUCH A TEAM MUST REMAIN UNCHANGED FROM THE ENTITY AS LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION, UNLESS APPROVED BY THE AGENCY. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SET FORTH THE PROJECT'S SCOPE OF 9 10 WORK, AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE AGENCY. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SPECIFY THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED TO EVALU-11 ATE THE RESPONSES AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITE-12 RION. THE RESPONSES SHALL THEN BE EVALUATED BASED UPON THE WEIGHTED 13 14 CRITERIA AND SELECTION PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST PROPOSALS. SUCH CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE THE PROPOSAL'S COST, THE QUALITY 16 OF THE PROPOSAL'S SOLUTION, THE QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TEAM, AND OTHER FACTORS DEEMED PERTINENT BY THE 17 AGENCY, WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE PROPOSAL'S 18 19 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION, ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE WORK IN A TIMELY, COST-20 EFFECTIVE AND SATISFACTORY MANNER, THE SIZE, TYPE, AND DESIRED DESIGN 21 CHARACTER OF THE PROJECT, PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS COVERING THE QUALI-OF MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, WORKMANSHIP, PRELIMINARY PLANS, AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT THE AGENCY DEEMS PERTINENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF 23 THE PROJECT. ANY CONTRACT AWARDED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE 25 AWARDED TO THE RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE ENTITY THAT SUBMITS THE 26 PROPOSAL, WHICH OFFERS THE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE, AS DETERMINED BY THE 27 EVALUATION TEAM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED SELECTION CRITERIA.
- 4. WITHIN NINETY DAYS FOLLOWING THE SELECTION, THE AGENCY SHALL PROVIDE A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS OF THE EVALUATION TEAM. THE REPORT SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR REVIEW.
 - 5. ANY CONTRACT ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL REQUIRE THAT ANY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REGULATED BY ARTICLES ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE, ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVEN AND ONE HUNDRED FORTY-EIGHT OF THE EDUCATION LAW SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A PROFESSIONAL LICENSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ARTICLES.
 - 6. ANY PROJECT UNDERTAKEN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE DEEMED A PUBLIC WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE EIGHT OF THE LABOR LAW AND SUBJECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.
 - 7. EACH CONTRACT ENTERED INTO BY THE AGENCY PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE OBJECTIVES AND GOALS OF MINORITY AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES PURSUANT TO ARTICLE FIFTEEN-A OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW AND, FOR PROJECTS RECEIVING FEDERAL AID, SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.
 - S 4. The public authorities law is amended by adding a new section 2880-b to read as follows:
 - S 2880-B. ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS. 1. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION:
- 49 A. "ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY" SHALL MEAN ANY 50 CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY WHEREBY THE PROCUREMENT FOR 51 THE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IS OTHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD 52 PROCESS.
- B. "STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY" OR "AUTHORITY" SHALL MEAN THE DORMI-TORY AUTHORITY, THE NEW YORK STATE THRUWAY AUTHORITY, THE NEW YORK CITY SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY, AND THE METROPOLITAN COMMUTER TRANSPORTA-TION AUTHORITY.

3

7

9

11 12

13 14

16

17

18 19

20 21

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

53

54 55

56

C. "EVALUATION TEAM" SHALL MEAN A TEAM OF NOT LESS THAN FIVE PERSONS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, TO BE FORMED BY A STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY TO EVALUATE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR THAT PROJECT.

- 2. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISIONS OF THIS TITLE OR THE PROVISIONS OF ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL OR LOCAL LAW THAT MAY REQUIRE AN AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONTRACTS TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER AFTER ADVERTISING FOR SEALED BIDS, A STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY MAY PROCURE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES THROUGH AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY FOR ANY PROJECT HAVING A COST OF TWENTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS OR MORE, PROVIDED THAT THE STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY DETERMINES THAT SUCH ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, RATHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS, WILL PROVIDE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE. PRIOR TO UTILIZING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, THE AUTHORITY SHALL MAKE A WRITTEN DETERMINATION IDENTIFYING THE REASONS FOR USING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, AND THE METHODOLOGY SELECTED. SUCH REASONS MAY INCLUDE, BUT NEED NOT BE LIMITED TO, BENEFITS RELATING TO THE PROJECT SCHEDULE, COST OF THE PROJECT, AND PROJECT FEASIBILITY.
- 3. A STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY THAT ELECTS TO USE AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY SHALL AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SUCH SERVICES BY COMPLETING A TWO STEP PROCESS: A. STEP ONE. THE AUTHORITY SHALL GENERATE LIST OF ENTITIES THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE GENERAL CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE THE ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY SERVICES CHOSEN FOR THE PROJECT. SUCH LIST SHALL BE GENERATED BASED ON THE AUTHORITY'S EVALU-ATION TEAM'S REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO A PUBLICLY ADVERTISED REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. THE AUTHORITY'S REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS SHALL INCLUDE GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT, THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ENTITIES TO A BE INCLUDED ON THE LIST, AND THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED IN DETER-MINING WHICH ENTITIES ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDIVISION. THE SELECTION CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERIA. IN DETERMINING WHETHER AN ENTITY QUALIFIES FOR INCLUSION ON SUCH LIST, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE EXPERIENCE, EXPER-AND PAST PERFORMANCE OF THE ENTITY, THE ENTITY'S ABILITY TO UNDER-TISE TAKE THE PROJECT, THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITY, RESPONSIBILITY AND RELIABIL-ITY OF THE ENTITY, AND SUCH OTHER QUALIFICATIONS AS THE AUTHORITY DEEMS APPROPRIATE. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL ALSO CONSIDER SKILLED LABOR FORCE AVAILABILITY, WHETHER PROPOSED KEY PERSONNEL HAVE SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE TRAINING TO COMPETENTLY MANAGE AND COMPLETE THE DESIGN CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, AND OTHER NON-PRICE RELATED FACTORS. IN ADDITION, THE AUTHORITY SHALL CONSIDER THE ENTITY'S WORKERS' SATION EXPERIENCE HISTORY AND WORKER SAFETY PROGRAMS. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL EVALUATE AND RATE ALL ENTITIES RESPONDING TO THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. BASED UPON SUCH RATINGS, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL LIST THE ENTITIES THAT SHALL RECEIVE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDIVISION.
- B. STEP TWO. THE AUTHORITY SHALL ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO THE ENTITIES LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION. IF SUCH AN ENTITY CONSISTS OF A TEAM OF SEPARATE ENTITIES, THE ENTITIES THAT COMPRISE SUCH A TEAM MUST REMAIN UNCHANGED FROM THE ENTITY AS LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION, UNLESS APPROVED BY THE AUTHORITY. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SET FORTH THE PROJECT'S SCOPE OF WORK, AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE AUTHORITY. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SPECIFY THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED TO EVALUATE THE RESPONSES AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERION. THE RESPONSES SHALL THEN BE EVALUATED BASED UPON THE WEIGHTED

16 17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

CRITERIA AND SELECTION PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS. SUCH CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE THE PROPOSAL'S COST, THE QUALITY OF THE PROPOSAL'S SOLUTION, THE QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TEAM, AND OTHER FACTORS DEEMED PERTINENT BY THE 5 AUTHORITY, WHICH MAY INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE 6 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION, ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE WORK IN A PROPOSAL'S 7 TIMELY, COST-EFFECTIVE AND SATISFACTORY MANNER, THE SIZE, TYPE, DESIRED DESIGN CHARACTER OF THE PROJECT, PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS COVERING THE QUALITY OF MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, WORKMANSHIP, PRELIMINARY 9 10 PLANS, AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT THE AUTHORITY DEEMS PERTINENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. ANY CONTRACT AWARDED PURSUANT TO THIS 11 12 SECTION SHALL BE AWARDED TO THE RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE ENTITY THAT SUBMITS THE PROPOSAL, WHICH OFFERS THE BEST VALUE TO THE 13 STATE, AS 14 DETERMINED BY THE EVALUATION TEAM IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED 15 SELECTION CRITERIA.

- 4. WITHIN NINETY DAYS FOLLOWING THE SELECTION, THE STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY SHALL PROVIDE A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS OF THE EVALUATION TEAM. THE REPORT SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR REVIEW.
- 5. ANY CONTRACT ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL REQUIRE THAT ANY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REGULATED BY ARTICLES ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE, ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVEN AND ONE HUNDRED FORTY-EIGHT OF THE EDUCATION LAW SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A PROFESSIONAL LICENSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ARTICLES.
- 6. ANY PROJECT UNDERTAKEN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE DEEMED A PUBLIC WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE EIGHT OF THE LABOR LAW AND SUBJECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.
- 7. EACH CONTRACT ENTERED INTO BY THE AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE OBJECTIVES AND GOALS OF MINORITY AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES PURSUANT TO ARTICLE FIFTEEN-A OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW AND, FOR PROJECTS RECEIVING FEDERAL AID, SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.
- S 5. The education law is amended by adding a new section 376-b to read as follows:
- S 376-B. ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS. 1. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION:
- A. "ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY" SHALL MEAN ANY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY WHEREBY THE PROCUREMENT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IS OTHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS.
- B. "EVALUATION TEAM" SHALL MEAN A TEAM OF NOT LESS THAN FIVE PERSONS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, TO BE FORMED BY A STATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY TO EVALUATE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR THAT PROJECT.
- 45 2. NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION THREE HUNDRED SEVENTY-SIX OF THIS ARTICLE, OR THE PROVISIONS OF ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL OR LOCAL LAW 46 47 THAT MAY REQUIRE AN AGENCY TO AWARD CONTRACTS TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE 48 BIDDER AFTER ADVERTISING FOR SEALED BIDS, THE FUND MAY 49 CONSTRUCTION SERVICES THROUGH AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOL-50 OGY FOR ANY PROJECT HAVING A COST OF TWENTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS OR 51 PROVIDED THAT THE FUND DETERMINES THAT SUCH ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, RATHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROC-52 ESS, WILL PROVIDE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE. PRIOR TO UTILIZING AN ALTER-53 54 PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, THE FUND SHALL MAKE A WRITTEN DETERMINATION IDENTIFYING THE REASONS FOR USING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT 56 DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, AND THE METHODOLOGY SELECTED. SUCH REASONS MAY

1 INCLUDE, BUT NEED NOT BE LIMITED TO, BENEFITS RELATING TO THE PROJECT SCHEDULE, COST OF THE PROJECT, AND PROJECT FEASIBILITY.

3. IF THE FUND ELECTS TO USE AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOL-THE FUND SHALL AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SUCH SERVICES BY COMPLETING A TWO STEP PROCESS: A. STEP ONE. THE FUND SHALL GENERATE A LIST OF TIES THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE GENERAL CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE THE ALTER-7 NATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY SERVICES CHOSEN FOR THE PROJECT. SUCH LIST SHALL GENERATED BASED ON THE FUND'S EVALUATION TEAM'S REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO A PUBLICLY ADVERTISED REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. THE FUND'S REQUEST 9 10 FOR QUALIFICATIONS SHALL INCLUDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT, 11 THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ENTITIES TO BE INCLUDED ON THE 12 SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED IN DETERMINING WHICH ENTITIES ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDI-13 14 VISION. THE SELECTION CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE RELA-TIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERIA. IN DETERMINING WHETHER AN 16 ENTITY QUALIFIES FOR INCLUSION ON SUCH LIST, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL 17 CONSIDER THE EXPERIENCE, EXPERTISE AND PAST PERFORMANCE OF THE ENTITY, THE ENTITY'S ABILITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT, THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITY, 18 19 RESPONSIBILITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE ENTITY, AND SUCH OTHER QUALIFICA-20 TIONS AS THE FUND DEEMS APPROPRIATE. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL ALSO CONSIDER SKILLED LABOR FORCE AVAILABILITY, WHETHER PROPOSED KEY PERSON-21 NEL HAVE SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING TO COMPETENTLY MANAGE AND COMPLETE THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, AND OTHER NON-PRICE 23 RELATED FACTORS. IN ADDITION, THE FUND SHALL CONSIDER THE ENTITY'S WORK-COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE HISTORY AND WORKER SAFETY PROGRAMS. THE 26 EVALUATION TEAM SHALL EVALUATE AND RATE ALL ENTITIES RESPONDING 27 REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. BASED UPON SUCH RATINGS, THE EVALUATION TEAM 28 THE ENTITIES THAT SHALL RECEIVE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS IN SHALL LIST ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDIVISION. 29

B. STEP TWO. THE FUND SHALL ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO THE ENTI-30 TIES LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION. IF 31 32 ENTITY CONSISTS OF A TEAM OF SEPARATE ENTITIES, THE ENTITIES THAT COMPRISE SUCH A TEAM MUST REMAIN UNCHANGED FROM THE ENTITY AS 34 PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION, UNLESS APPROVED BY THE FUND. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SET FORTH THE PROJECT'S SCOPE 35 WORK, AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE FUND. THE REQUEST FOR 36 37 PROPOSALS SHALL SPECIFY THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED TO EVALUATE 38 RESPONSES AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERION. 39 THE RESPONSES SHALL THEN BE EVALUATED BASED UPON THE WEIGHTED CRITERIA 40 SELECTION PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS. SUCH INCLUDE THE PROPOSAL'S COST, THE QUALITY OF 41 CRITERIA SHALL PROPOSAL'S SOLUTION, THE QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE DESIGN AND 42 CONSTRUCTION TEAM, AND OTHER FACTORS DEEMED PERTINENT BY THE FUND, WHICH 43 INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE PROPOSAL'S PROJECT IMPLE-45 MENTATION, ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE WORK IN A TIMELY, COST-EFFECTIVE AND SATISFACTORY MANNER, THE SIZE, TYPE, AND DESIRED DESIGN CHARACTER OF THE 47 PROJECT, PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS COVERING THE QUALITY OF MATERIALS, 48 EQUIPMENT, WORKMANSHIP, PRELIMINARY PLANS, AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION 49 THAT THE FUND DEEMS PERTINENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. ANY 50 CONTRACT AWARDED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE AWARDED TO THE 51 RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE ENTITY THAT SUBMITS THE PROPOSAL, WHICH OFFERS THE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE, AS DETERMINED BY THE EVALUATION TEAM 52 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED SELECTION CRITERIA. 53

4. WITHIN NINETY DAYS FOLLOWING THE SELECTION, THE FUND SHALL PROVIDE A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS OF THE EVALUATION TEAM. THE REPORT SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR REVIEW.

5. ANY CONTRACT ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL REQUIRE THAT ANY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REGULATED BY ARTICLES ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE, ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVEN AND ONE HUNDRED FORTY-EIGHT OF THIS CHAPTER SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A PROFESSIONAL LICENSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ARTICLES.

- 6. ANY PROJECT UNDERTAKEN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE DEEMED A PUBLIC WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE EIGHT OF THE LABOR LAW AND SUBJECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.
- 7. EACH CONTRACT ENTERED INTO BY THE FUND PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE OBJECTIVES AND GOALS OF MINORITY AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES PURSUANT TO ARTICLE FIFTEEN-A OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW AND, FOR PROJECTS RECEIVING FEDERAL AID, SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.
- S 6. The education law is amended by adding a new section 6284 to read as follows:
- S 6284. ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODS. 1. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION:
- A. "ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY" SHALL MEAN ANY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY WHEREBY THE PROCUREMENT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IS OTHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS.
- B. "EVALUATION TEAM" SHALL MEAN A TEAM OF NOT LESS THAN FIVE PERSONS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, TO BE FORMED BY THE FUND TO EVALUATE THE QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR THAT PROJECT.
- 2. NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF ANY GENERAL, SPECIAL OR LOCAL LAW THAT MAY REQUIRE AN AGENCY TO AWARD CONTRACTS TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER AFTER ADVERTISING FOR SEALED BIDS, THE FUND MAY PROCURE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES THROUGH AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY FOR ANY PROJECT HAVING A COST OF TWENTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS OR MORE, PROVIDED THAT THE FUND DETERMINES THAT SUCH ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, RATHER THAN THE TRADITIONAL DESIGN-BID-BUILD PROCESS, WILL PROVIDE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE. PRIOR TO UTILIZING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, THE FUND SHALL MAKE A WRITTEN DETERMINATION IDENTIFYING THE REASONS FOR USING AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOLOGY, AND THE METHODOLOGY SELECTED. SUCH REASONS MAY INCLUDE, BUT NEED NOT BE LIMITED TO, BENEFITS RELATING TO THE PROJECT SCHEDULE, COST OF THE PROJECT, AND PROJECT FEASIBILITY.
- 3. IF THE FUND ELECTS TO USE AN ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY METHODOL-OGY, THE FUND SHALL AWARD A CONTRACT FOR SUCH SERVICES BY COMPLETING A STEP PROCESS: A. STEP ONE. THE FUND SHALL GENERATE A LIST OF ENTI-TIES THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE GENERAL CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE THE ALTER-NATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY SERVICES CHOSEN FOR THE PROJECT. SUCH LIST SHALL BE GENERATED BASED ON THE FUND'S EVALUATION TEAM'S REVIEW OF A PUBLICLY ADVERTISED REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. THE FUND'S REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS SHALL INCLUDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT, MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ENTITIES TO BE INCLUDED ON THE LIST, AND THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED IN DETERMINING WHICH ENTITIES ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDI-VISION. THE SELECTION CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE TIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERIA. IN DETERMINING WHETHER AN ENTITY QUALIFIES FOR INCLUSION ON SUCH LIST, THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL CONSIDER THE EXPERIENCE, EXPERTISE AND PAST PERFORMANCE OF THE ENTITY, THE ENTITY'S ABILITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT, THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITY, RESPONSIBILITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE ENTITY, AND SUCH OTHER QUALIFICA-TIONS AS THE FUND DEEMS APPROPRIATE. THE EVALUATION TEAM SHALL ALSO

S. 1801

CONSIDER SKILLED LABOR FORCE AVAILABILITY, WHETHER PROPOSED KEY PERSONNEL HAVE SUFFICIENT EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING TO COMPETENTLY MANAGE AND
COMPLETE THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, AND OTHER NON-PRICE
RELATED FACTORS. IN ADDITION, THE FUND SHALL CONSIDER THE ENTITY'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE HISTORY AND WORKER SAFETY PROGRAMS. THE
EVALUATION TEAM SHALL EVALUATE AND RATE ALL ENTITIES RESPONDING TO THE
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS. BASED UPON SUCH RATINGS, THE EVALUATION TEAM
SHALL LIST THE ENTITIES THAT SHALL RECEIVE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS IN
ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH B OF THIS SUBDIVISION.

- 10 B. STEP TWO. THE FUND SHALL ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO THE ENTI-TIES LISTED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION. IF 11 ENTITY CONSISTS OF A TEAM OF SEPARATE ENTITIES, THE ENTITIES THAT 12 COMPRISE SUCH A TEAM MUST REMAIN UNCHANGED FROM THE ENTITY AS LISTED 13 14 PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH A OF THIS SUBDIVISION, UNLESS APPROVED BY THE FUND. THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SHALL SET FORTH THE PROJECT'S SCOPE OF WORK, AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE FUND. THE REQUEST FOR 16 PROPOSALS SHALL SPECIFY THE SELECTION CRITERIA TO BE USED TO 17 EVALUATE THE RESPONSES AND THE RELATIVE WEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED TO EACH CRITERION. 18 19 THE RESPONSES SHALL THEN BE EVALUATED BASED UPON THE WEIGHTED CRITERIA 20 AND SELECTION PROCEDURES IDENTIFIED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS. SUCH CRITERIA SHALL INCLUDE THE PROPOSAL'S COST, THE QUALITY OF 21 PROPOSAL'S SOLUTION, THE QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION TEAM, AND OTHER FACTORS DEEMED PERTINENT BY THE FUND, WHICH 23 INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE PROPOSAL'S PROJECT IMPLE-25 MENTATION, ABILITY TO COMPLETE THE WORK IN A TIMELY, COST-EFFECTIVE AND SATISFACTORY MANNER, THE SIZE, TYPE, AND DESIRED DESIGN CHARACTER OF THE 26 27 PROJECT, PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS COVERING THE QUALITY OF MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, WORKMANSHIP, PRELIMINARY PLANS, AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION 28 THE FUND DEEMS PERTINENT TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. ANY 29 CONTRACT AWARDED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE AWARDED TO THE 30 RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE ENTITY THAT SUBMITS THE PROPOSAL, WHICH 31 32 OFFERS THE BEST VALUE TO THE STATE, AS DETERMINED BY THE EVALUATION TEAM 33 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ESTABLISHED SELECTION CRITERIA.
- 4. WITHIN NINETY DAYS FOLLOWING THE SELECTION, THE FUND SHALL PROVIDE A REPORT OF THE FINDINGS OF THE EVALUATION TEAM. THE REPORT SHALL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC FOR REVIEW.
 - 5. ANY CONTRACT ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL REQUIRE THAT ANY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REGULATED BY ARTICLES ONE HUNDRED FORTY-FIVE, ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SEVEN AND ONE HUNDRED FORTY-EIGHT OF THIS CHAPTER SHALL BE PERFORMED BY A PROFESSIONAL LICENSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ARTICLES.
 - 6. ANY PROJECT UNDERTAKEN PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE DEEMED A PUBLIC WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE EIGHT OF THE LABOR LAW AND SUBJECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF PREVAILING WAGE REQUIREMENTS BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.
 - 7. EACH CONTRACT ENTERED INTO BY THE FUND PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE OBJECTIVES AND GOALS OF MINORITY AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES PURSUANT TO ARTICLE FIFTEEN-A OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW AND, FOR PROJECTS RECEIVING FEDERAL AID, SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.
 - S 7. This act shall take effect immediately.

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

51