

2242

2013-2014 Regular Sessions

I N   S E N A T E

January 15, 2013

---

Introduced by Sens. YOUNG, BONACIC, DeFRANCISCO, RANZENHOFER -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes

AN ACT to amend the criminal procedure law, in relation to the use of video monitoring equipment to conduct arraignments; and to repeal article 185 of such law relating thereto

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

1     Section 1. Article 185 of the criminal procedure law is REPEALED and a  
2     new article 185 is added to read as follows:

3                                     ARTICLE 185  
4                     ALTERNATE METHOD OF ARRAIGNMENT

5     SECTION 185.10 DEFINITION OF TERMS.  
6             185.20 ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT.  
7             185.30 CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS ON ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT.  
8             185.40 APPROVAL BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS.

9     S 185.10 DEFINITION OF TERMS.  
10     AS USED IN THIS ARTICLE:

11     1. "INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM" MEANS AN ELECTRONIC SYSTEM FOR  
12     THE TRANSMISSION AND RECEIVING OF AUDIO AND VISUAL SIGNALS, ENCOMPASSING  
13     ENCODED SIGNALS, FREQUENCY DOMAIN MULTIPLEXING OR OTHER SUITABLE MEANS  
14     TO PRECLUDE THE UNAUTHORIZED RECEPTION AND DECODING OF THE SIGNALS BY  
15     COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE TELEVISION RECEIVERS OR MONITORS, CHANNEL  
16     CONVERTERS, OR OTHER AVAILABLE RECEIVING DEVICES.

17     2. "ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT" MEANS AN ARRAIGNMENT IN WHICH VARIOUS  
18     PARTICIPANTS, INCLUDING THE DEFENDANT, ARE NOT PERSONALLY PRESENT IN THE  
19     COURT BUT IN WHICH ALL OF THE PARTICIPANTS ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY ABLE TO  
20     SEE AND HEAR REPRODUCTIONS OF THE VOICES AND IMAGES OF THE JUDGE, COUN-

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets  
[ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06844-01-3

1 SELS, DEFENDANT, POLICE OFFICER AND ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE PARTICIPANT,  
2 BY MEANS OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM.

3 3. "SECURE LOCATION" MEANS ANY FACILITY USED BY THE STATE, COUNTY,  
4 CITY, TOWN OR VILLAGE LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTITY TO TEMPORARILY HOLD A  
5 PERSON NOT RELEASED ON HIS OR HER OWN RECOGNIZANCE AND GIVEN AN APPEAR-  
6 ANCE TICKET OR SUMMONS PENDING ARRAIGNMENT ON AN ACCUSATORY INSTRUMENT.  
7 S 185.20 ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT.

8 NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF SUBDIVISION NINE OF SECTION 1.20,  
9 SECTIONS 110.10, 120.10, 120.40, 120.90, 140.20, 140.27, 140.40, 170.10  
10 AND 180.10 OF THIS CHAPTER OR ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW AS THEY PERTAIN  
11 TO A DEFENDANT'S PERSONAL APPEARANCE AT ARRAIGNMENT, THE COURT IN ITS  
12 DISCRETION MAY DISPENSE WITH THE DEFENDANT'S PERSONAL APPEARANCE AT THE  
13 ARRAIGNMENT WHENEVER SUCH DEFENDANT IS BEING HELD AT A SECURE LOCATION  
14 OUTSIDE THE COURT HOUSE AND CONDUCT AN ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT, PROVIDED  
15 THAT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS HAS AUTHORIZED THE USE OF  
16 ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENTS FOR THE COURT, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF  
17 SECTION 185.40 OF THIS ARTICLE.

18 S 185.30 CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS ON ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT.

19 WHENEVER A PERSON IS ARRAIGNED BY MEANS OF AN ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT,  
20 THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS SHALL APPLY:

21 1. THE DEFENDANT MAY NOT ENTER A PLEA OF GUILTY;

22 2. NO ELECTRONIC RECORDING OF AN ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENT MAY BE MADE,  
23 VIEWED OR INSPECTED EXCEPT AS MAY BE AUTHORIZED BY RULES OF THE CHIEF  
24 ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS; AND

25 3. STENOGRAPHIC RECORDING OF THE ARRAIGNMENT SHALL BE MADE TO THE SAME  
26 EXTENT AS IF IT WERE AN ORDINARY ARRAIGNMENT RATHER THAN AN ELECTRONIC  
27 ARRAIGNMENT.

28 S 185.40 APPROVAL BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS.

29 1. THE APPROPRIATE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE SHALL SUBMIT TO THE CHIEF  
30 ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS A WRITTEN PROPOSAL FOR THE USE OF ELECTRONIC  
31 ARRAIGNMENTS FOR A PARTICULAR COURT AND THE PRECINCTS UNDER THE JURIS-  
32 DICTION OF THAT COURT. IF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS APPROVES  
33 THE PROPOSAL, INSTALLATION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM MAY  
34 BEGIN.

35 2. UPON COMPLETION OF THE INSTALLATION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL  
36 SYSTEM, THE COMMISSION ON CABLE TELEVISION SHALL INSPECT, TEST AND EXAM-  
37 INE THE INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM AND CERTIFY TO THE CHIEF ADMIN-  
38 ISTRATOR OF THE COURTS WHETHER THE SYSTEM COMPLIES WITH THE DEFINITION  
39 OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM AND IS TECHNICALLY SUITABLE FOR  
40 THE CONDUCTING OF ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENTS AS INTENDED.

41 3. THE USE BY A COURT OF AN APPROVED INDEPENDENT AUDIO-VISUAL SYSTEM  
42 FOR THE PURPOSE OF AUTHORIZED ELECTRONIC ARRAIGNMENTS, SHALL BE  
43 INSPECTED SUBJECT TO RENEWAL EVERY TWO YEARS FROM THE DATE OF AUTHORI-  
44 ZATION BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS.

45 4. THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COURTS MAY WITHDRAW APPROVAL OF THE  
46 AUTHORIZATION AT ANY TIME.

47 S 2. This act shall take effect on the first of November next succeed-  
48 ing the date on which it shall have become a law.