

4688

2013-2014 Regular Sessions

I N A S S E M B L Y

February 7, 2013

Introduced by M. of A. PERRY -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. COOK,
GOTTFRIED, JACOBS, RIVERA, ROBINSON -- read once and referred to the
Committee on Labor

AN ACT to amend the general business law, in relation to a right of
action for violation of statutes in bids on construction projects

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEM-
BLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

1 Section 1. The general business law is amended by adding a new section
2 399-ff to read as follows:

3 S 399-FF. FAIRNESS IN COMPETITIVE BIDDING. 1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS
4 SECTION:

5 (A) THE TERM "PERSON" SHALL INCLUDE ANY INDIVIDUAL, FIRM, ASSOCIATION,
6 PARTNERSHIP OR CORPORATION.

7 (B) THE TERM "CONSTRUCTION PROJECT" SHALL INCLUDE ANY PROJECT INVOLV-
8 ING THE CONSTRUCTION, MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, REHABILITATION,
9 REPAIR, MAINTENANCE, REPLACEMENT OR RENOVATION OF A BUILDING, ROAD OR
10 STRUCTURE, OR ANY PORTION OF SUCH A PROJECT PERFORMED PURSUANT TO A
11 SUBCONTRACTING ARRANGEMENT.

12 2. (A) ANY PERSON WHOSE COMPETITIVE BID FOR A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT HAS
13 BEEN REJECTED AND INSTEAD AWARDED TO ANOTHER PERSON MAY BRING AN ACTION
14 FOR DAMAGES IN A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION AGAINST THE WINNING
15 BIDDER IF THE WINNING BIDDER HAS VIOLATED THE LABOR LAW OR THE WORKERS'
16 COMPENSATION LAW BY FAILING TO PAY REQUIRED TAXES OR SECURE INSURANCE
17 PURSUANT TO SUCH LAWS FOR ANY REASON INCLUDING MISCLASSIFYING ONE OR
18 MORE OF ITS WORKERS OTHER THAN AN EMPLOYEE IN ITS BID COST.

19 (B) THE DETERMINATION WHETHER A WORKER ON A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT IS
20 PROPERLY CLASSIFIED AS AN EMPLOYEE SHALL BE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH
21 APPLICABLE STATE LAW.

22 3. (A) A PARTY UNSUCCESSFULLY BIDDING ON A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT WILL
23 BE DEEMED TO HAVE SUFFERED AN INJURY BY VIRTUE OF NOT HAVING BEEN

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[] is old law to be omitted.

LBD00081-01-3

1 AWARDED THE BID, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE WINNING BIDDER REALIZES A NET
2 PROFIT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTRACT.

3 (B) A WINNING BIDDER WHO IS A DEFENDANT IN AN ACTION BROUGHT PURSUANT
4 TO THIS SECTION SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES IF HE ESTABLISHES, AS AN
5 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THAT THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH STATE LAWS REQUIR-
6 ING EMPLOYERS TO PAY STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE OR SECURE WORKERS'
7 COMPENSATION COVERAGE RESULTING FROM THE MISCLASSIFICATION OF AN EMPLOY-
8 EE AS OTHER THAN AN EMPLOYEE IF THE BID WAS NOT A KNOWING VIOLATION.

9 4. ANY PARTY WHO SUCCESSFULLY MAINTAINS AN ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION
10 SHALL BE ENTITLED TO DAMAGES FROM THE WINNING BIDDER IN AN AMOUNT NOT
11 LESS THAN TEN PERCENT OF THE AMOUNT OF THE SUCCESSFUL BID, OR SUCH
12 GREATER AMOUNT AS SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT, AND IN ADDITION TO
13 ANY OTHER DAMAGES AWARDED, SHALL BE ENTITLED TO THE PAYMENT OF ALL OF
14 ITS COSTS AND REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES IN BRINGING THE ACTION.

15 S 2. This act shall take effect immediately.