



1 2. "SUSPECT" MEANS THE PERSON BELIEVED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT TO BE THE  
2 POSSIBLE PERPETRATOR OF THE CRIME.

3 3. "BLIND" MEANS THE ADMINISTRATOR DOES NOT KNOW THE IDENTITY OF THE  
4 SUSPECT.

5 4. "BLINDED" MEANS THE ADMINISTRATOR MAY KNOW WHO THE SUSPECT IS, BUT  
6 DOES NOT KNOW WHICH LINEUP MEMBER IS BEING VIEWED BY THE EYEWITNESS AT  
7 ANY GIVEN TIME.

8 5. "EYEWITNESS" MEANS A PERSON WHO OBSERVES ANOTHER PERSON AT OR NEAR  
9 THE SCENE OF AN OFFENSE OR UPON SOME OTHER OCCASION RELEVANT TO THE  
10 CASE.

11 6. "FILLER" MEANS EITHER A PERSON OR A PHOTOGRAPH OF A PERSON WHO IS  
12 NOT SUSPECTED OF AN OFFENSE AND IS INCLUDED IN AN IDENTIFICATION PROCE-  
13 DURE.

14 7. "IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" MEANS A LIVE LINEUP, A PHOTO LINEUP, OR  
15 A SHOWUP.

16 8. "LIVE LINEUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH A GROUP OF  
17 PERSONS, INCLUDING THE SUSPECTED PERPETRATOR OF AN OFFENSE AND OTHER  
18 PERSONS NOT SUSPECTED OF THE OFFENSE, IS DISPLAYED TO AN EYEWITNESS FOR  
19 THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES THE SUSPECT  
20 AS THE PERPETRATOR.

21 9. "PHOTO LINEUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH AN ARRAY  
22 OF PHOTOGRAPHS, INCLUDING A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SUSPECTED PERPETRATOR OF  
23 AN OFFENSE AND ADDITIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OF OTHER PERSONS NOT SUSPECTED OF  
24 THE OFFENSE, IS DISPLAYED TO AN EYEWITNESS EITHER IN HARD COPY FORM OR  
25 VIA COMPUTER FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS IDEN-  
26 TIFIES THE SUSPECT AS THE PERPETRATOR.

27 10. "SHOWUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH AN EYEWITNESS  
28 IS PRESENTED WITH A SINGLE SUSPECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETH-  
29 ER THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES THIS INDIVIDUAL AS THE PERPETRATOR.  
30 S 80.20 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; GENERALLY.

31 1. IF IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES (A) HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE  
32 WITH THIS SECTION AND UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES CONSISTENT WITH SUCH RIGHTS AS  
33 AN ACCUSED PERSON MAY DERIVE UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE OR OF  
34 THE UNITED STATES; AND (B) ARE PROPERLY DOCUMENTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
35 PARAGRAPHS (T), (U) AND (V) OF SUBDIVISION TWO OF THIS SECTION, TESTIMO-  
36 NY MAY BE GIVEN BY A WITNESS THAT HE OR SHE OBSERVED A PERSON OR PHOTO-  
37 GRAPH OF A PERSON ON AN OCCASION PRIOR TO HIS OR HER TESTIMONY WHOM HE  
38 OR SHE RECOGNIZES AS THE SAME PERSON WHOM HE OR SHE HAD OBSERVED ON THE  
39 FIRST OR INCRIMINATING OCCASION.

40 2. ANY CRIMINAL JUSTICE ENTITY CONDUCTING EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION  
41 PROCEDURES SHALL ADOPT SPECIFIC WRITTEN PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING PHOTO  
42 AND LIVE LINEUPS THAT COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:

43 (A) PRIOR TO A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, LAW ENFORCEMENT SHALL RECORD AS  
44 COMPLETE A DESCRIPTION AS POSSIBLE OF THE PERPETRATOR PROVIDED BY THE  
45 EYEWITNESS, IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS. THIS STATEMENT SHALL ALSO  
46 INCLUDE INFORMATION REGARDING THE WITNESS'S DEGREE OF ATTENTION DURING  
47 THE INCIDENT AND THE WITNESS'S OPPORTUNITY TO VIEW THE PERPETRATOR, AS  
48 WELL AS THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE EYEWITNESS OBSERVED THE PERPETRA-  
49 TOR, INCLUDING LOCATION, TIME, DISTANCE, OBSTRUCTIONS, LIGHTING, WEATHER  
50 CONDITIONS AND OTHER IMPAIRMENTS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ALCOHOL,  
51 DRUGS, STRESS AND VISUAL/AUDITORY DISABILITIES. THE EYEWITNESS ALSO  
52 SHALL BE ASKED IF HE OR SHE NEEDS GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AND WHETHER  
53 HE OR SHE WAS WEARING THEM AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE. THE ADMINISTRATOR  
54 SHALL NOTE WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS WAS WEARING GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES  
55 AT THE TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES;

1 (B) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, A BLIND ADMINISTRATOR SHALL CONDUCT THE LIVE  
2 OR PHOTO LINEUP;

3 (C) WHEN IT IS IMPRACTICABLE FOR A BLIND ADMINISTRATOR TO CONDUCT THE  
4 EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE, THE INVESTIGATOR SHALL STATE IN  
5 WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR, AND SHALL CONDUCT THE LINEUP BLINDED;

6 (D) THE EYEWITNESS SHALL BE INSTRUCTED, WITHOUT OTHER EYEWITNESSES  
7 PRESENT, PRIOR TO ANY LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUP THAT:

8 (I) THE PERPETRATOR MAY OR MAY NOT BE AMONG THE PERSONS IN THE IDEN-  
9 TIFICATION PROCEDURE;

10 (II) THE ADMINISTRATOR DOES NOT KNOW WHO THE PERPETRATOR IS;

11 (III) THE EYEWITNESS SHOULD NOT FEEL COMPELLED TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICA-  
12 TION;

13 (IV) THE INVESTIGATION WILL CONTINUE WHETHER OR NOT AN IDENTIFICATION  
14 IS MADE;

15 (V) THE PROCEDURE REQUIRES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO ASK THE EYEWITNESS TO  
16 STATE, IN HIS OR HER OWN WORDS, HOW CERTAIN HE OR SHE IS OF ANY IDEN-  
17 TIFICATION; AND

18 (VI) THE EYEWITNESS IS NOT TO DISCUSS THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE OR  
19 ITS RESULTS WITH OTHER EYEWITNESSES INVOLVED IN THE CASE AND SHOULD NOT  
20 SPEAK WITH THE MEDIA;

21 (E) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SUSPECT USED IN A  
22 PHOTO LINEUP SHALL BE CONTEMPORARY AND SHALL RESEMBLE HIS OR HER APPEAR-  
23 ANCE AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE. WHEN IT IS IMPRACTICABLE, THE INVESTI-  
24 GATOR SHALL STATE IN WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR;

25 (F) IN A PHOTO LINEUP, THERE SHALL BE NO CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PHOTO-  
26 GRAPHS THEMSELVES OR THE BACKGROUND CONTEXT IN WHICH THEY ARE PLACED  
27 WHICH SHALL MAKE ANY OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS STAND OUT;

28 (G) A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP SHALL BE COMPOSED SO THAT THE FILLERS  
29 GENERALLY RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR,  
30 WHILE ENSURING THAT THE SUSPECT DOES NOT STAND OUT FROM THE FILLERS;

31 (H) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, FILLERS SHALL POSSESS THE FOLLOWING  
32 CHARACTERISTICS:

33 (I) ALL FILLERS SELECTED SHALL RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S DESCRIPTION  
34 OF THE PERPETRATOR IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO  
35 FACE, WEIGHT, BUILD AND SKIN TONE, AND INCLUDING ANY UNIQUE OR UNUSUAL  
36 FEATURES TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY SCARS  
37 OR TATTOOS; IF THE SUSPECT DOES NOT RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S  
38 DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES, THE FILLERS  
39 SELECTED SHALL RESEMBLE THE SUSPECT IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES;

40 (II) AT LEAST FIVE FILLERS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN A PHOTO LINEUP, IN  
41 ADDITION TO THE SUSPECT;

42 (III) AT LEAST FOUR FILLERS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN A LIVE LINEUP, IN  
43 ADDITION TO THE SUSPECT; AND

44 (IV) IF THE EYEWITNESS HAS PREVIOUSLY VIEWED A PHOTO LINEUP OR LIVE  
45 LINEUP IN CONNECTION WITH THE IDENTIFICATION OF ANOTHER PERSON SUSPECTED  
46 OF INVOLVEMENT IN THE OFFENSE, THE FILLERS IN THE LINEUP IN WHICH THE  
47 INSTANT SUSPECT PARTICIPATES SHALL BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FILLERS USED IN  
48 ANY PRIOR LINEUPS;

49 (I) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE EYEWITNESSES:

50 (I) EACH EYEWITNESS SHALL VIEW PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUPS SEPARATELY;

51 (II) THE SUSPECT SHALL BE PLACED IN A DIFFERENT POSITION IN THE LIVE  
52 LINEUP AND/OR PHOTO LINEUP FOR EACH EYEWITNESS; AND

53 (III) THE EYEWITNESSES SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED TO COMMUNICATE WITH EACH  
54 OTHER UNTIL ALL IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES HAVE BEEN COMPLETED;

1 (J) IN AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE, NO WRITINGS OR INFORMATION  
2 CONCERNING THE INSTANT OR ANY PREVIOUS ARREST, INDICTMENT OR CONVICTION  
3 OF THE SUSPECT SHALL BE VISIBLE OR MADE KNOWN TO THE EYEWITNESS;

4 (K) IN A LIVE LINEUP, ANY IDENTIFYING ACTIONS, SUCH AS SPEECH,  
5 GESTURES OR OTHER MOVEMENTS, SHALL BE PERFORMED BY ALL LINEUP PARTIC-  
6 IPANTS;

7 (L) IN A LIVE LINEUP, ALL LINEUP PARTICIPANTS MUST BE OUT OF VIEW OF  
8 THE EYEWITNESS PRIOR TO THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;

9 (M) WHEN THERE ARE MULTIPLE SUSPECTS, EACH IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE  
10 SHALL INCLUDE ONLY ONE SUSPECT;

11 (N) NOTHING SHALL BE SAID TO THE EYEWITNESS REGARDING THE SUSPECT'S  
12 POSITION IN THE PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP;

13 (O) NOTHING SHALL BE SAID TO THE EYEWITNESS THAT MIGHT INFLUENCE THE  
14 EYEWITNESS'S IDENTIFICATION OF ANY PARTICULAR LINEUP MEMBER;

15 (P) IF THE EYEWITNESS MAKES AN IDENTIFICATION, THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL  
16 SEEK AND DOCUMENT A CLEAR STATEMENT FROM THE EYEWITNESS, AT THE TIME OF  
17 THE IDENTIFICATION AND IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS, AS TO THE EYEWIT-  
18 NESS'S CONFIDENCE LEVEL THAT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED IN A GIVEN IDENTIFI-  
19 CATION PROCEDURE IS THE PERPETRATOR;

20 (Q) IF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES A PERSON AS THE PERPETRATOR, THE  
21 EYEWITNESS SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED ANY INFORMATION CONCERNING SUCH PERSON  
22 BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR OBTAINS THE EYEWITNESS'S CONFIDENCE STATEMENT  
23 ABOUT THE SELECTION;

24 (R) A RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE SHALL BE MADE THAT  
25 INCLUDES ALL IDENTIFICATION AND NON-IDENTIFICATION RESULTS OBTAINED  
26 DURING THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES, SIGNED BY THE EYEWITNESSES;

27 (S) EFFORTS SHALL BE MADE TO PERFORM A LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUP INSTEAD OF  
28 A SHOWUP. IN ADDITION:

29 (I) SHOWUPS SHALL ONLY BE PERFORMED WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME OF AN  
30 OFFENSE, USING A LIVE SUSPECT AND IN EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES THAT REQUIRE  
31 THE IMMEDIATE DISPLAY OF A SUSPECT TO AN EYEWITNESS;

32 (II) IN THE EVENT OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF A SHOWUP PROCEDURE:

33 (A) A FULL AND DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR SHALL BE  
34 PROVIDED BY THE EYEWITNESS BEFORE THE EYEWITNESS OBSERVES THE SUSPECT.  
35 THIS STATEMENT SHALL ALSO INCLUDE INFORMATION REGARDING THE WITNESS'S  
36 DEGREE OF ATTENTION DURING THE INCIDENT AND THE WITNESS'S OPPORTUNITY TO  
37 VIEW THE PERPETRATOR, AS WELL AS THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE EYEWIT-  
38 NESS OBSERVED THE PERPETRATOR INCLUDING LOCATION, TIME, DISTANCE,  
39 OBSTRUCTIONS, LIGHTING, WEATHER CONDITIONS AND OTHER IMPAIRMENTS,  
40 INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ALCOHOL, DRUGS, STRESS AND VISUAL/AUDITORY  
41 DISABILITIES. THE EYEWITNESS SHALL ALSO BE ASKED IF HE OR SHE NEEDS  
42 GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AND WHETHER HE OR SHE WAS WEARING THEM AT THE  
43 TIME OF THE OFFENSE. THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL NOTE WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS  
44 WAS WEARING GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AT THE TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION  
45 PROCEDURE;

46 (B) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE THE EYEWITNESS SHALL BE TRANSPORTED TO A  
47 NEUTRAL, NON-LAW ENFORCEMENT LOCATION WHERE THE SUSPECT IS BEING  
48 DETAINED FOR THE PURPOSES OF A SHOWUP PROCEDURE;

49 (C) EYEWITNESSES SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO THE  
50 SHOWUP, INCLUDING THAT:

51 (1) THE PERPETRATOR MAY OR MAY NOT BE THE PERSON THAT IS PRESENTED TO  
52 THE EYEWITNESS;

53 (2) THE EYEWITNESS SHOULD NOT FEEL COMPELLED TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICA-  
54 TION;

55 (3) THE INVESTIGATION WILL CONTINUE WHETHER OR NOT AN IDENTIFICATION  
56 IS MADE;

1 (4) THE PROCEDURE REQUIRES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO ASK THE EYEWITNESS TO  
2 STATE, IN HIS OR HER OWN WORDS, HOW CERTAIN HE OR SHE IS OF ANY IDEN-  
3 TIFICATION; AND

4 (5) THE EYEWITNESS IS NOT TO DISCUSS THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE OR  
5 ITS RESULTS WITH OTHER EYEWITNESSES INVOLVED IN THE CASE AND SHOULD NOT  
6 SPEAK WITH THE MEDIA;

7 (D) MEASURES SHALL BE TAKEN BY INVESTIGATORS AT THE SHOWUP, INCLUDING  
8 THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE SHOWUP, TO REDUCE POTENTIALLY DAMAGING OR  
9 PREJUDICIAL INFERENCES THAT MAY BE DRAWN BY THE EYEWITNESS, INCLUDING:

10 (1) REFRAINING FROM SUGGESTING, THROUGH STATEMENTS OR NON-VERBAL  
11 CONDUCT, THAT THE SUSPECT IS OR MAY BE THE PERPETRATOR OF THE CRIME;

12 (2) REMOVING THE SUSPECT FROM A SQUAD CAR; AND

13 (3) WHEN PRACTICABLE, REMOVING HANDCUFFS FROM THE SUSPECT;

14 (E) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE EYEWITNESSES, ONLY ONE EYEWITNESS AT A TIME  
15 SHALL PARTICIPATE IN THE SHOWUP PROCEDURE. ONLY ONE OF THE EYEWITNESSES  
16 SHALL BE PRESENT AT THE LOCATION OF THE SHOWUP PROCEDURE. IF A POSITIVE  
17 IDENTIFICATION IS MADE, AND AN ARREST IS JUSTIFIED, ADDITIONAL EYEWIT-  
18 NESSES SHALL BE SHOWN LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUPS;

19 (F) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE SUSPECTS, THESE SUSPECTS SHALL BE SEPARATED  
20 AND SUBJECTED TO SEPARATE SHOWUP PROCEDURES; AND

21 (G) IF THE EYEWITNESS MAKES AN IDENTIFICATION, THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL  
22 SEEK AND DOCUMENT A CLEAR STATEMENT FROM THE EYEWITNESS, AT THE TIME OF  
23 THE IDENTIFICATION AND IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS, AS TO THE EYEWIT-  
24 NESS'S CONFIDENCE LEVEL THAT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED IN A GIVEN IDENTIFI-  
25 CATION PROCEDURE IS THE PERPETRATOR. IF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES A  
26 PERSON AS THE PERPETRATOR, THE EYEWITNESS SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED ANY  
27 INFORMATION CONCERNING SUCH PERSON BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR OBTAINS THE  
28 EYEWITNESS'S CONFIDENCE STATEMENT ABOUT THE SELECTION;

29 (T) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, A VIDEO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCE-  
30 DURE SHALL BE MADE THAT INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

31 (I) ALL IDENTIFICATION AND NON-IDENTIFICATION RESULTS OBTAINED DURING  
32 THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES, SIGNED BY THE EYEWITNESSES, INCLUDING THE  
33 EYEWITNESSES' CONFIDENCE STATEMENTS;

34 (II) THE NAMES OF ALL PERSONS PRESENT AT THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;

35 (III) THE DATE AND TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;

36 (IV) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, ANY EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATIONS OF ANY  
37 FILLERS; AND

38 (V) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, THE NAMES OF THE LINEUP MEMBERS AND  
39 OTHER RELEVANT IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, AND THE SOURCES OF ALL PHOTO-  
40 GRAPHS OR PERSONS USED IN THE LINEUP;

41 (U) IF A VIDEO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IS IMPRACTICA-  
42 BLE, THE OFFICER CONDUCTING THE LINEUP SHALL DOCUMENT THE REASON THERE-  
43 FOR, AND AN AUDIO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE SHALL BE MADE  
44 WHICH INCLUDES THE ITEMS SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (T) OF THIS SUBDIVISION.  
45 THE AUDIO RECORD SHALL BE SUPPLEMENTED BY ALL OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS USED IN  
46 A PHOTO LINEUP, AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF ALL OF THE INDIVIDUALS USED IN A LIVE  
47 LINEUP OR SHOWUP; AND

48 (V) IF BOTH A VIDEO AND AUDIO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE  
49 ARE IMPRACTICABLE, THE OFFICER CONDUCTING THE LINEUP SHALL DOCUMENT IN  
50 WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR, AND A WRITTEN RECORD OF THE LINEUP SHALL BE  
51 MADE WHICH INCLUDES THE ITEMS SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (T) OF THIS SUBDI-  
52 VISION. THE WRITTEN RECORD SHALL BE SUPPLEMENTED BY ALL OF THE PHOTO-  
53 GRAPHS USED IN A PHOTO LINEUP, AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF ALL OF THE INDIVIDUALS  
54 USED IN A LIVE LINEUP OR SHOWUP.

55 S 80.30 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; EVIDENTIARY MATTERS.

1 FOR ANY PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, OR SHOWUP PROCEDURE THAT WAS ADMINIS-  
2 TERED AFTER THE DATE UPON WHICH THIS ARTICLE TOOK EFFECT:

3 1. IF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OR PROSECUTING AGENCIES DO NOT  
4 SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE, THE DEFENDANT  
5 MAY MOVE TO SUPPRESS EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO  
6 ARTICLE SEVEN HUNDRED TEN OF THIS CHAPTER.

7 2. COURTS SHALL CONSIDER EVIDENCE OF A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY OF  
8 THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 80.20 OF THIS ARTICLE WHEN ADJUDICATING  
9 MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE.

10 3. IF THE COURT DOES NOT SUPPRESS EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE  
11 BUT FINDS THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OR PROSECUTING AGENCIES FAILED  
12 TO SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE, THE COURT  
13 SHALL INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE  
14 REQUIREMENTS AS SET FORTH IN THIS CHAPTER WERE DESIGNED TO REDUCE THE  
15 RISK OF MISIDENTIFICATION AND THE JURY MAY CONSIDER CREDIBLE EVIDENCE OF  
16 NON-COMPLIANCE WHEN ASSESSING THE RELIABILITY OF EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICA-  
17 TIONS.

18 4. ADDITIONALLY, IF THE COURT FINDS THAT SUCH SUBSTANTIAL NON-COMPLI-  
19 ANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE HAVE UNDERMINED THE COURT'S  
20 CONFIDENCE IN THE RELIABILITY OF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION, THE  
21 COURT SHALL ALSO INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT IT SHOULD VIEW THE IDENTIFICA-  
22 TION EVIDENCE WITH DISTRUST.

23 S 80.40 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; PROFESSIONAL TRAINING.

24 THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES SHALL CREATE, ADMINISTER AND  
25 CONDUCT TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR PROFESSIONALS INVOLVED IN LAW ENFORCEMENT,  
26 INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO POLICE AND OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS  
27 AND RECRUITS, PROSECUTORS, JUDGES AND DEFENSE COUNSEL, REGARDING THE  
28 METHODS, TECHNICAL ASPECTS AND SCIENTIFIC FINDINGS REGARDING THE BASIS  
29 OF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES REFERENCED IN  
30 THIS ARTICLE.

31 S 3. This act shall take effect on the one hundred eightieth day after  
32 it shall have become a law, and shall apply to all identification proce-  
33 dures that take place on or after such date.