5302

2013-2014 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

May 16, 2013

Introduced by Sen. NOZZOLIO -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Insurance

AN ACT to amend the insurance law, in relation to examination under oath of covered persons pursuant to the comprehensive motor vehicle insurance reparations act

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 5103 of the insurance law is amended by adding a new subsection (i) to read as follows:

3 (I) WITH REGARD TO ANY CLAIM FOR FIRST PARTY BENEFITS PURSUANT TO THIS ARTICLE, THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH OF A PERSON CLAIMING TO BE A COVERED SHALL BESCHEDULED BY THE INSURER DIRECTLY OR BY AN ATTORNEY APPOINTED BY THE INSURER FOR PURPOSES OF CONDUCTING SUCH EXAMINATION 7 UNDER OATH FOR WHOSE CONDUCT AND ACTIVITY THE INSURER SHALL BE DIRECTLY 8 RESPONSIBLE. THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH OF A CLAIMANT SHALL AND UNTIL AN APPLICATION FOR FIRST PARTY BENEFITS HAS 9 DEMANDED UNLESS BEEN RECEIVED BY THE INSURER. IN ANY EXAMINATION UNDER OATH, THE 10 SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL. THE EXAMINATION 11 SHALL BE CONDUCTED UPON OATH OR AFFIRMATION. THE EXAMINATION UNDER 12 SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN THE COUNTY WHERE THE CLAIMANT RESIDES OR, AT THE 13 CLAIMANT'S OPTION, IN THE OFFICE OF HIS OR HER REPRESENTATIVE UNLESS THE 14 CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE AND THE INSURER AGREE 15 OTHERWISE. 16 AND TIME THAT THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH IS SCHEDULED SHALL BE 17 AGREED UPON BY THE INSURER AND THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESEN-18 TATIVE. A DEMAND FOR AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH SHALL BE IN WRITING AND SHALL BE SERVED PERSONALLY OR BY REGISTERED OR CERTIFIED MAIL UPON 19 20 CLAIMANT UNLESS THECLAIMANT IS REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY, WHEN IT SHALL BE SERVED PERSONALLY OR BY MAIL UPON HIS OR HER 21 ATTORNEY. 22 DEMAND SHALL STATE THE PERSON BEFORE WHOM THE EXAMINATION IS TO BE HELD, 23 PLACE AND SUBJECT MATTER THEREOF. WRITTEN NOTICE OF AN EXAM-

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [ ] is old law to be omitted.

INATION UNDER OATH MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE CLAIMANT OR HIS OR HER REPRE-

24

LBD01777-01-3

S. 5302 2

SENTATIVE NOT LESS THAN FOURTEEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION DATE BE EFFECTIVE TO REQUIRE CLAIMANT TO APPEAR. THE NOTICE SHALL ADVISE THE CLAIMANT OF THE RIGHT TO VIDEO OR OTHERWISE RECORD THE EXAMINATION. SHALL BE ALLOWED REASONABLE ADJOURNMENTS WHICH ACCEPTED UP TO NO LESS THAN TWENTY-FOUR HOURS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION. IF THE CLAIMANT TO BE EXAMINED DOES NOT UNDERSTAND THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE, 7 UPON REASONABLE NOTICE TO THE INSURER SEEKING THE EXAMINATION, THE INSURER SHALL, AT ITS OWN EXPENSE, PROVIDE A TRANSLATION OF ALL QUES-TIONS AND ANSWERS, AND MAY USE THE SERVICES OF AN INTERPRETER WHOSE 9 10 COMPENSATION SHALL BE PAID BY THE INSURER SEEKING THE EXAMINATION. EXAMINATION UNDER OATH SHALL BE TRANSCRIBED BEFORE A NOTARY PUBLIC 11 COMMISSIONED TO ADMINISTER OATHS IN THIS STATE. ANY EXAMINATION UNDER 12 OATH THAT IS NOT SO TRANSCRIBED SHALL NOT BE CITED AS THE BASIS OF ANY 13 14 DENIAL OF A CLAIM FOR FIRST PARTY BENEFITS. THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE EXAM-INATION SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO OR AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION, 16 EXCEPT UPON COURT ORDER UPON GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, BUT SHALL BE FURNISHED TO THE CLAIMANT OR HIS OR HER REPRESENTATIVE WITHIN SIXTY DAYS OF THE EXAM-17 INATION. THE CONDUCT OF EXAMINATIONS UNDER OATH SHALL BE GOVERNED BY THE 18 19 UNIFORM RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF DEPOSITIONS, PART 221 OF THE UNIFORM 20 RULES FOR THE NEW YORK STATE TRIAL COURTS. AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH OF 21 CLAIMANT MAY BE REQUESTED WHERE (1) THE INSURER SUSPECTS FRAUD PERPETRATED BY THE CLAIMANT WHICH MUST BE CLEARLY CONVEYED TO THE CLAIM-ANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE. THE INSURER SHALL SUPPLY THE CLAIMANT 23 OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE WITH ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING ITS 25 CLAIM OF FRAUD NOT LESS THAN TEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH SO AS TO PRESERVE THE CLAIMANT'S FEDERAL FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT 26 27 AGAINST BEING COMPELLED TO TESTIFY AGAINST HIMSELF OR HERSELF; (2) SUSPECTS THAT THE CLAIMANT IS RECEIVING UNNECESSARY TREATMENT 28 29 WHICH SHALL BE CLEARLY CONVEYED TO THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESEN-30 TATIVE. THE INSURER SHALL SUPPLY THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESEN-TATIVE WITH ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING ITS CLAIM THAT THE TREATMENT 31 32 IS UNNECESSARY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PEER REVIEWS NOT LESS THAN TEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH; (3) THE INSURER SUSPECTS THAT THE TREATING PROVIDER OR FACILITY IS BILLING FOR TREATMENT THAT THE 34 35 CLAIMANT IS NOT RECEIVING WHICH SHALL BE CLEARLY CONVEYED TO THE CLAIM-ANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE. THE INSURER SHALL PROVIDE THE CLAIM-36 OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE WITH ANY AND ALL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING 37 38 ITS CLAIM THAT THE BILLING IS NOT COMMENSURATE WITH THE ACTUAL TREATMENT RECEIVED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE BILLS SUBMITTED BY 39 40 TREATING PROVIDER NOT LESS THAN TEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH; OR (4) THE INSURER HAS A SUSPICION THAT THE CLAIM NEEDS TO 41 VERIFIED FOR A REASON NOT ENUMERATED ABOVE. THE JUSTIFICATION MUST BE 42 RELEVANT TO THE VERIFICATION OF THE CLAIM AND THE REASON MUST BE CLEARLY 43 CONVEYED TO THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE. THE INSURER SHALL 44 45 PROVIDE SUPPORTING EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT ITS CLAIM TO THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE NOT LESS THAN TEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXAMINA-TION UNDER OATH. IF THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE WISHES 47 48 THE REQUEST FOR AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH BECAUSE CLAIMANT OR 49 CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE BELIEVES THE REQUEST TO BE PRE-TEXTURAL OR 50 SUSPECTS ABUSE IN REQUESTING THE EXAMINATION, HE OR SHE SHALL SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO THE DEPARTMENT FOR REVIEW WITHIN FIVE BUSINESS DAYS OF 51 RECEIPT OF THE WRITTEN REQUEST FROM THE INSURER. NO INSURER SHALL DENY A CLAIM BASED UPON A TREATING PROVIDER'S FAILURE TO APPEAR AT AN EXAMINA-53 54 TION UNDER OATH OTHER THAN BILLS SUBMITTED BY SUCH PROVIDER, NOR SHALL IT FORM THE BASIS OF ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ANY PROVIDER OR CLAIM-56 ANT FOR PAYMENT PREVIOUSLY MADE RELATING TO THE CLAIM IN OUESTION.

S. 5302

THE TREATING PROVIDER FAILS TO APPEAR AT AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH, CLAIMANT SHALL NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE TO THE PROVIDER FOR SERVICES RENDERED BY THAT PROVIDER. WHEN AN INSURER REQUIRES AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH OF A CLAIMANT TO ESTABLISH PROOF OF CLAIM, SUCH REQUIREMENT SHALL BE BASED ON THE APPLICATION OF OBJECTIVE STANDARDS SO THAT THERE SPECIFIC JUSTIFICATION FOR THE USE OF SUCH EXAMINATION. INSURER STAND-7 ARDS SHALL BE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY DEPARTMENT EXAMINERS, AS WELL AS THE CLAIMANT AND HIS OR HER REPRESENTATIVE. THE SCOPE OF THE EXAM-INATION UNDER OATH SHALL BE NARROWLY TAILORED TO THE REASONS OR JUSTI-9 10 FICATION FOR SEEKING THE EXAMINATION AS SET FORTH IN THE INSURER'S WRIT-TEN REQUEST. ANY QUESTION THAT GOES BEYOND THE SCOPE MAY BE OBJECTED TO 11 AND SUCH OBJECTED TO QUESTION SHALL BE SUBMITTED BY THE INSURER WITHIN 12 SEVEN DAYS OF THE COMPLETION OF THE SCHEDULED AND CONDUCTED EXAMINATION 13 14 TO THE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE IF THE OBJECTED TO QUESTION IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE EXAMINATION. IF ANY QUESTION IS DETERMINED TO BE BEYOND THE LEGITIMATE SCOPE OF THE EXAMINATION AND ITS ORIGINAL WRITTEN JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SAME, THE CLAIMANT SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THE 16 17 OUESTION AND CANNOT FORM THE BASIS OF A DENIAL. IF THE OBJECTED TO OUES-18 19 TION IS DETERMINED BY THE DEPARTMENT TO BE LEGITIMATE AND NARROWLY 20 TAILORED TO MEET THE OBJECTIVES CONTAINED IN THE WRITTEN REQUEST FOR THE 21 EXAMINATION UNDER OATH INITIALLY GIVEN BY THE INSURER WHEN THE EXAMINA-TION WAS SCHEDULED, THE EXAMINATION MAY BE RESCHEDULED IN THE MANNER SET FORTH ABOVE FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE OF RECEIVING RESPONSES TO THE 23 IMPROPERLY OBJECTED TO QUESTIONS, AS DETERMINED BY THE DEPARTMENT, AND RESPONSES TO OTHER QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT NATURALLY FLOW FROM THE CLAIM-ANT'S RESPONSES THAT ARE LIKEWISE NARROWLY TAILORED TO INVESTIGATE THE 27 LEGITIMATE JUSTIFICATION FOR CONDUCTING THE EXAMINATION GIVEN IN THE 28 INSURER'S ORIGINAL WRITTEN REQUEST, WITH THE SAME RULES FOR OBJECTIONS APPLYING AS SET FORTH ABOVE. AN INSURER SHALL NOT DENY A CLAIM BASED 29 30 UPON AN OBJECTION AT AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH UNLESS THE INSURER COMPLETES THE ABOVE OBJECTION APPEALS PROCEDURE, WINS SAID APPEAL, AND 31 32 CLAIMANT THEREAFTER FAILS TO COMPLY WITH THE DEMAND FOR A FURTHER EXAMINATION UNDER OATH. EACH EXAMINATION UNDER OATH SHALL NOT EXCEED 33 THREE HOURS FROM THE TIME THE FIRST QUESTION IS ASKED UNTIL THE LAST 34 QUESTION IS ASKED UNLESS REASONABLE CAUSE EXISTS. FOR EXAMINATION 35 CONSTRUCTED WITH THE AID OF AN INTERPRETER, THE TIME SHALL NOT EXCEED 36 FOUR HOURS UNLESS REASONABLE CAUSE EXISTS. THE CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S 38 REPRESENTATIVE SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO TERMINATE THE EXAMINATION UPON THE PASSAGE OF THE ABOVE TIME LIMITS. THE CLAIM SHALL NOT BE DENIED IF 39 40 CLAIMANT OR CLAIMANT'S REPRESENTATIVE TERMINATES THE EXAMINATION AFTER THE ALLOTTED TIME HAS EXPIRED. ISSUES OF LIABILITY RELATED TO ANY 41 ONGOING OR POTENTIAL THIRD PARTY ACTION ARISING FROM THE SUBJECT CLAIM 42 43 MAY BE ADDRESSED AT THE EXAMINATION UNDER OATH. THE EXAMINATION UNDER 44 AND ANY INVESTIGATION RELATED THERETO SHALL BE CONFIDENTIAL AND 45 SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY OR USE IN ANY THIRD PARTY ACTION ARIS-ING OUT OF THE INCIDENT THAT SERVES AS THE BASIS OF THE CLAIM FOR FIRST 47 PARTY BENEFITS, AND SHALL NOT BE USED AGAINST THE CLAIMANT IN ANY SUCH ABSENT AN ADMISSION OF FRAUD BY A CLAIMANT DURING 48 THIRD PARTY ACTION. 49 EXAMINATION UNDER OATH, OR ALLEGATION OF FRAUD PERPETRATED BY THE 50 CLAIMANT SUPPORTED BY THE TESTIMONY ELICITED AT THE EXAMINATION, 51 EXAMINATION UNDER OATH ALONE SHALL NOT FORM THE BASIS OF A DENIAL OF FIRST PARTY BENEFITS. ANY DENIAL OF FIRST PARTY BENEFITS BASED IN PART UPON AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH, INCLUDING ONE BASED ON FRAUD BY THE 53 54 CLAIMANT, SHALL BE ACCOMPANIED BY ANY OTHER WRITTEN REPORTS, INCLUDING INVESTIGATIVE, THAT IN WHOLE OR IN PART FORM THE BASIS OF THE DENIAL. THE CLAIMANT AND HIS OR HER REPRESENTATIVE SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO

S. 5302 4

CONDUCT AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH, UPON WRITTEN REQUEST TO THE INSURER, OF ANY INDIVIDUAL, INCLUDING THE PERSON OR ATTORNEY CONDUCTING OR REVIEWING THE EXAMINATION, WHOSE REPORTS OR OPINIONS FORM THE BASIS OF ANY DENIAL OF FIRST PARTY BENEFITS BASED IN WHOLE OR IN PART ON THE 5 EXAMINATION. ONCE AN INSURER HAS DENIED FURTHER FIRST PARTY BENEFITS THE CLAIMANT FOR ANY REASON, IT SHALL BE BARRED FROM SEEKING ANY FURTHER VERIFICATION OF THE CLAIM INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, CONDUCTING 7 MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS AND/OR FURTHER EXAMINATIONS UNDER OATH. THE DENIAL 8 OF A CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO ATTEND AN EXAMINATION UNDER OATH SHALL NOT BE 9 10 RETROACTIVE TO THE DATE OF THE CLAIM, BUT SHALL ONLY RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF ALL BENEFITS RECEIVED AFTER THE DATE OF THE EXAMINATION UNDER 11

OATH.

S 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply to all claims for first party benefits pending on or after such date.