2003

2011-2012 Regular Sessions

IN SENATE

January 14, 2011

Introduced by Sen. SKELOS -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Finance

AN ACT to amend the executive law, in relation to the collection of DNA samples from designated offenders, collection and preservation of biological evidence, and establishing the office of wrongful conviction review; to amend the criminal procedure law, in relation to the statute of limitations for criminal offenses, access by defendants to DNA evidence, and procedures for consideration of post-conviction relief; to amend the penal law, in relation to the conditions of probation and conditional discharge; to amend the court of claims act, in relation to claims for unjust conviction and imprisonment; to repeal subdivision 7 of section 995 of the executive law relating to the definition of "designated offender" for purposes of the DNA identification index; and providing for the repeal of certain provisions upon expiration thereof

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Subdivision 7 of section 995 of the executive law is REPEALED and a new subdivision 7 is added to read as follows:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 7. "DESIGNATED OFFENDER" MEANS A PERSON CONVICTED OF AND SENTENCED FOR A MISDEMEANOR DEFINED IN THE PENAL LAW OR A FELONY DEFINED IN THE PENAL LAW, OR A PERSON ADJUDICATED AND SENTENCED AS A YOUTHFUL OFFENDER PURSUANT TO ARTICLE SEVEN HUNDRED TWENTY OF THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW FOR ANY SUCH MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY, OR A PERSON WHO IS REQUIRED TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER PURSUANT TO ARTICLE SIX-C OF THE CORRECTION LAW.
- S 2. Subdivision 3 of section 995-c of the executive law, as amended by chapter 576 of the laws of 2004, is amended to read as follows:
- 3. (A) Any designated offender [subsequent to conviction and sentencing for a crime specified in subdivision seven of section nine hundred ninety-five of this article,] shall be required to provide a sample appropriate for DNA testing to determine identification characteristics

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06224-01-1

specific to such person and to be included in a state DNA identification index pursuant to this article.

- (B)(I) IN THE CASE OF A DESIGNATED OFFENDER WHO IS SENTENCED TO A TERM OF IMPRISONMENT, SUCH SAMPLE SHALL BE COLLECTED BY THE PUBLIC SERVANT TO WHOSE CUSTODY THE DESIGNATED OFFENDER HAS BEEN COMMITTED.
- (II) IN THE CASE OF A DESIGNATED OFFENDER WHO IS SENTENCED TO A TERM OF PROBATION, SUCH SAMPLE SHALL BE COLLECTED BY THE LOCAL PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISING THE DESIGNATED OFFENDER.
- (III) IN THE CASE OF A DESIGNATED OFFENDER WHO IS NEITHER SENTENCED TO A TERM OF IMPRISONMENT NOR PROBATION, SUCH SAMPLE SHALL BE COLLECTED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY IN WHICH SENTENCING TAKES PLACE, UNLESS AN ALTERNATE COLLECTION PROCEDURE HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED.
- (IV) PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT NOTHING IN THIS PARAGRAPH SHALL PROHIBIT THE COLLECTION OF A DNA SAMPLE FROM A DESIGNATED OFFENDER BY ANY COURT OFFICIAL, STATE OR LOCAL CORRECTION OFFICIAL OR EMPLOYEE, PROBATION OFFICER, PAROLE OFFICER, OR OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL OR PUBLIC SERVANT WHO HAS BEEN NOTIFIED BY THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES THAT THE DESIGNATED OFFENDER HAS NOT PROVIDED A DNA SAMPLE.
- (C) NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF ANY LAW TO THE CONTRARY, A CITY WITH A POPULATION OF ONE MILLION OR MORE OR ANY COUNTY ACTING THROUGH ITS LEGISLATIVE BODY, IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED AND EMPOWERED TO ADOPT, AMEND OR REPEAL A LOCAL LAW TO IMPOSE A DNA COLLECTION FEE NOT TO EXCEED FIFTY DOLLARS ON DESIGNATED OFFENDERS FROM WHOM ITS PROBATION DEPARTMENT IS REQUIRED BY SUBPARAGRAPH (III) OF PARAGRAPH (B) OF THIS SUBDIVISION TO COLLECT A DNA SAMPLE; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT THE FAILURE OF A DESIGNATED OFFENDER TO PAY SUCH DNA COLLECTION FEE, IF REQUIRED, SHALL NOT PREVENT THE COLLECTION OF THE OFFENDER'S DNA SAMPLE.
- (D) A PUBLIC SERVANT TO WHOSE CUSTODY A DESIGNATED OFFENDER WHO HAS NOT YET PROVIDED A DNA SAMPLE HAS BEEN COMMITTED MAY USE REASONABLE PHYSICAL FORCE TO COLLECT SUCH SAMPLE IF THE OFFENDER, AFTER WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST, REFUSES TO PROVIDE SUCH SAMPLE.
- (E) THE DETENTION, ARREST, INDICTMENT OR CONVICTION OF A PERSON BASED UPON DNA RECORDS CONTAINED IN THE STATE DNA IDENTIFICATION INDEX SHALL NOT BE INVALIDATED IF IT IS LATER DETERMINED THAT THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES INADVERTENTLY, BUT IN GOOD FAITH, COLLECTED OR PLACED THE PERSON'S DNA SAMPLE IN THE INDEX.
- (F) THE COMMISSIONER OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES SHALL PROMULGATE RULES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE PERIODIC REVIEW OF THE DNA IDENTIFICATION INDEX TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE INDEX CONTAINS DNA PROFILES THAT SHOULD NOT BE IN THE INDEX, INCLUDING THE STEPS NECESSARY TO EXPUNGE ANY PROFILES WHICH THE DIVISION DETERMINES SHOULD NOT BE IN THE INDEX.
- S 3. The opening paragraph of section 995-f of the executive law is designated subdivision 1 and a new subdivision 2 is added to read as follows:
- ANY DESIGNATED OFFENDER SUBJECT TO PROBATION OR PAROLE SUPERVISION WHO IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE A SAMPLE APPROPRIATE FOR DNA TESTING PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE, AND WHO FAILS TO PROVIDE SUCH SAMPLE UPON NOTIFICATION BY A COURT, STATE OR LOCAL CORRECTION OFFICIAL OR EMPLOYEE, PROBATION OFFICER, PAROLE OFFICER, OR OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL OR PUBLIC SERVANT OF HIS OR HER OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE SUCH A SAMPLE, SHALL BE DEEMED TO VIOLATE THE CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OR PAROLE, AND SUCH VIOLATION SHALL BE A BASIS FOR THE REVOCATION OF PROBATION OR PAROLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARTICLE FOUR HUNDRED TEN OF THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE LAW OR SECTION TWO HUNDRED FIFTY-NINE-I OF THIS CHAP-

2

3

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

TER. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS ARTICLE, "PAROLE SUPERVISION" SHALL BE DEEMED TO INCLUDE POST-RELEASE SUPERVISION.

- S 4. Subdivision 4 of section 995-c of the executive law, as amended by section 65 of part A of chapter 56 of the laws of 2010, is amended to read as follows:
- 4. The commissioner of [the division of] criminal justice services, in consultation with the commission, the commissioner of health, the division of parole, the director of the office of probation and correctional alternatives, and the department of correctional services, shall promulgate rules and regulations governing the procedures for notifying designated offenders of the requirements of this section. FURTHERMORE, THE COMMISSIONER OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES SHALL ALSO PROMULGATE RULES AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING A SAMPLE APPROPRIATE FOR DNA TESTING FROM A PERSON WHO IS REQUIRED TO REGISTER AS A SEX OFFENDER PURSUANT TO ARTICLE SIX-C OF THE CORRECTION LAW.
- S 5. Section 995-b of the executive law is amended by adding a new subdivision 3-a to read as follows:
- 3-A. THE COMMISSION, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE DNA SUBCOMMITTEE, SHALL DEVELOP, WITHIN ONE YEAR OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS SUBDIVISION, VOLUNTARY GUIDELINES REFLECTING BEST PRACTICES REGARDING THE COLLECTION AND PRESERVATION OF BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND FORENSIC LABORATORIES. SUCH VOLUNTARY GUIDELINES SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE MINIMUM PERIOD OF TIME THAT BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE OBTAINED FROM CRIME SCENES SHOULD BE RETAINED. AS USED IN THIS SUBDIVISION, THE TERM "BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE" SHALL MEAN SEMEN, BLOOD, SALIVA, HAIR, SKIN, TISSUE OR OTHER IDENTIFIED BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL, AND SHALL INCLUDE A SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC EXAMINATION KIT.
- 28 S 6. The executive law is amended by adding a new section 837-s to 29 read as follows:
- S 837-S. OFFICE OF WRONGFUL CONVICTION REVIEW. THERE SHALL BE 30 DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES AN OFFICE OF 31 LISHED WITHIN THE WRONGFUL CONVICTION REVIEW, HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO IN THIS SECTION 32 33 "OFFICE". THE OFFICE SHALL CONDUCT REVIEWS OF CRIMINAL AND JUVENILE CASES IN THIS STATE INVOLVING WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS AND CONSIDER 34 WHETHER 35 MAY BE POSSIBLE REFORMS THAT COULD PROTECT AGAINST SIMILAR WRONG-FUL CONVICTIONS OCCURRING IN THE FUTURE. 36 SUCH REVIEWS SHALL 37 PARTICIPATION BY PROSECUTORS, DEFENSE ATTORNEYS, FORMER JUDGES AND OTHER 38 EXPERTS IN RELEVANT FIELDS. WHENEVER A PERSON WHO HAS BEEN CONVICTED OF 39 A CRIME OR ADJUDICATED A YOUTHFUL OFFENDER IS SUBSEQUENTLY DETERMINED TO 40 INNOCENT OF SUCH OFFENSE AND EXONERATED, THE OFFICE SHALL REVIEW THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF SUCH CASE TO DETERMINE THE CAUSE OR CAUSES OF 41 SUCH WRONGFUL CONVICTION. THE OFFICE SHALL CONDUCT SUCH REVIEWS OF PAST CASES 42 43 INCLUDING, AT A MINIMUM, ALL CASES IN WHICH EXONERATION RESULTED FROM 44 DNA EVIDENCE, AND ON AN ONGOING BASIS, ALL CASES IN WHICH A DEFENDANT IS 45 EXONERATED. THE OFFICE SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE AN ANNUAL REPORT DETAILING, AT A MINIMUM, THE NUMBER OF CASES ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION, THE NUMBER 46 47 OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS AND THE STATUS OF PENDING INVESTIGATIONS. 48 THE REPORT SHALL INCLUDE THE OFFICE'S FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS AS TO THE 49 OR CAUSES OF WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS IN INVESTIGATIONS THAT IT HAS 50 COMPLETED. THE REPORT SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE GOVERNOR, ATTORNEY GENER-AL, CHIEF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, TEMPORARY PRESIDENT 51 THE ASSEMBLY AND TO GOVERNMENTAL UNITS OR AGENCIES 52 SPEAKER OF 53 THAT IT FINDS MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE INVESTIGATION OR ADJUDI-54 CATION OF WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW, THE OFFICE MAY REQUEST AND SHALL RECEIVE FROM ANY COURT, 56 MENT, DIVISION, BOARD, BUREAU, COMMISSION OR OTHER AGENCY OF THE STATE

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

17 18

20

21

23 24 25

26

28

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54 OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION THEREOF, OR ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY SUCH ASSIST-INFORMATION, RECORDS AND DATA AS WILL ENABLE IT EFFECTIVELY TO CARRY OUT ITS POWERS AND DUTIES.

- S 7. Paragraph (d) of subdivision 1 of section 160.50 of the criminal procedure law, as amended by chapter 169 of the laws of 1994, is amended to read as follows:
- (d) such records shall be made available to the person accused or to such person's designated agent, and shall be made available to (i) a prosecutor in any proceeding in which the accused has moved for an order pursuant to section 170.56 or 210.46 of this [chapter] PART, or law enforcement agency upon ex parte motion in any superior court, if such agency demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court that requires that such records be made available to it, or (iii) any state or local officer or agency with responsibility for the issuance of 15 licenses to possess guns, when the accused has made application for such license, or (iv) the [New York state] division of parole when the accused is on parole supervision as a result of conditional release or a parole release granted by the [New York] state board of parole, and the arrest which is the subject of the inquiry is one which occurred while 19 the accused was under such supervision, or (v) any prospective employer a police officer or peace officer [as those terms are defined in subdivisions thirty-three and thirty-four of section 1.20 of this chapter], in relation to an application for employment as a police officer or peace officer; provided, however, that every person who is an applifor the position of police officer or peace officer shall be furnished with a copy of all records obtained under this paragraph and afforded an opportunity to make an explanation thereto, or (vi) the probation department responsible for supervision of the accused when the 27 29 arrest which is the subject of the inquiry is one which occurred while the accused was under such supervision, OR (VII) THE DIVISION OF CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH INQUIRIES BY THE WRONGFUL CONVICTION REVIEW ESTABLISHED BY SECTION EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN-S OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW; and
  - S 8. Subdivision 4 of section 190.25 of the criminal procedure law amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:
  - NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF LAW, ALL GRAND JURY TESTI-MONY, EVIDENCE, DECISIONS, RESULTS AND OTHER MATTERS ATTENDING A GRAND JURY PROCEEDING SHALL BE DISCLOSED TO THE OFFICE OF WRONGFUL CONVICTION REVIEW ESTABLISHED BY SECTION EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVEN-S OF THE EXECU-TIVE LAW, UPON ITS REQUEST, IN CONNECTION WITH AN INQUIRY BY SUCH OFFICE INTO A WRONGFUL CONVICTION RELATED TO SUCH GRAND JURY PROCEEDING.
  - S 9. Paragraph (a) of subdivision 4 of section 30.10 of the criminal procedure law is amended to read as follows:
  - (a) Any period following the commission of the offense during which (i) the defendant was continuously outside this state or (ii) the whereabouts of the defendant were continuously unknown and continuously unascertainable by the exercise of reasonable diligence OR (III) THE IDENTI-TY OF THE DEFENDANT WAS CONTINUOUSLY UNKNOWN AND A DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID (DNA) RECORD OF THE DEFENDANT'S GENETIC CODE, OBTAINED BY FORENSIC EVIDENCE LOCATED AT A TIME OR PLACE RELEVANT TO THE COMMIS-TESTING OF SION OF THE OFFENSE, COULD NOT BE MATCHED TO AN INDIVIDUAL IDENTIFIED BY DNA RECORDS CONTAINED IN THE STATE DNA IDENTIFICATION INDEX BY THE EXER-CISE OF REASONABLE DILIGENCE. However, in no event shall the period of limitation be extended by more than five years beyond the period otherwise applicable under subdivision two OF THIS SECTION.

S. 2003 5

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

S 10. Section 240.40 of the criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new subdivision 1-a to read as follows:

- 3 1-A. UPON MOTION OF A DEFENDANT AGAINST WHOM AN INDICTMENT OR SUPERIOR COURT INFORMATION IS PENDING, THE COURT IN WHICH SUCH ACCUSATORY INSTRU-5 PENDING MAY ORDER A COMPARISON OF A DNA PROFILE DERIVED FROM 6 EVIDENCE RECOVERED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT TO THE DEFENDANT'S DNA OR TO A DNA 7 DATABANK UPON A SHOWING BY THE DEFENDANT THAT SUCH COMPARISON IS MATERI-AL TO THE PREPARATION OF A DEFENSE, AND THAT THE REQUEST IS REASONABLE, PROVIDED THAT THE COURT SHALL NOT DO SO IF IT IS SATISFIED THAT THE 9 10 PEOPLE HAVE SHOWN GOOD CAUSE WHY SUCH AN ORDER SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED. THE MOTION OF THE DEFENDANT IS FOR COMPARISON OF A GIVEN PROFILE DERIVED 11 FROM DNA EVIDENCE TO A DNA DATABANK, THE COURT MAY DIRECT A STATE OR 12 LOCAL PUBLIC FORENSIC LABORATORY TO ARRANGE 13 FOR SUCH PROFILE 14 ENTERED INTO AND SEARCHED AGAINST LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL DNA DATABANKS TO THE EXTENT AND IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND 15 REGULATIONS GOVERNING SUCH DATABANKS, INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS AS TO HOW 16 17 PROFILES FOR FORENSIC DNA ANALYSIS MUST BE GENERATED AND REQUIREMENTS FOR SEARCHING AND STORAGE IN THE DATABANK IN OUESTION. IF SUCH A DATA-18 19 BANK SEARCH REVEALS THAT THE DNA DERIVED FROM EVIDENCE RECOVERED BY LAW MATCHES A PROFILE IN THE DATABANK, THE DEFENDANT SHALL BE 20 ENFORCEMENT 21 NOTIFIED OF THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A MATCH WITH SOME SUCH PROFILE, 22 COURT SHALL GRANT REASONABLE ADJOURNMENTS SO AS TO ALLOW THE PEOPLE 23 TO PURSUE APPROPRIATE INVESTIGATIVE STEPS. NOTHING IN THIS 24 DEEMED TO ALLOW A DEFENDANT TO OBTAIN AN ORDER REQUIRING 25 COLLECTION OF A DNA SAMPLE FROM ANY OTHER PERSON.
  - S 11. The opening paragraph of subdivision 1 of section 440.10 of the criminal procedure law is amended to read as follows:
  - [At any time after the entry of a judgment, the] THE court in which [it] A JUDGEMENT OF CONVICTION was entered may, upon A TIMELY motion of the defendant, vacate such judgment upon the ground that:
  - S 12. Paragraph (d) of subdivision 2 of section 440.10 of the criminal procedure law is amended and two new paragraphs (e) and (f) are added to read as follows:
  - (d) The ground or issue raised relates solely to the validity of the sentence and not to the validity of the conviction[.]; OR
  - (E) THE DEFENDANT PREVIOUSLY BROUGHT A MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT, WHICH WAS DENIED BY THE COURT, AND THE DEFENDANT IS CURRENTLY SEEKING TO VACATE THE JUDGMENT ON A GROUND OTHER THAN NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE UNDER PARAGRAPH (G) OF SUBDIVISION ONE OF THIS SECTION; OR
  - (F) THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE TIME LIMITS SET FORTH IN SUBDIVISION NINE OF THIS SECTION.
  - S 13. The opening paragraph of paragraph (c) of subdivision 3 of section 440.10 of the criminal procedure law is amended to read as follows:
  - Upon a previous motion made pursuant to this section, the defendant was in a position adequately to raise the [ground or issue underlying the present motion] CLAIM OF NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE UNDER PARAGRAPH (G) OF SUBDIVISION ONE OF THIS SECTION but did not do so.
  - S 14. Section 440.10 of the criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new subdivision 9 to read as follows:
- adding a new subdivision 9 to read as follows:

  9. ANY MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT BY A DEFENDANT MUST BE FILED WITHIN

  ONE YEAR OF THE DATE ON WHICH A JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BECOMES FINAL BY

  THE CONCLUSION OF DIRECT REVIEW OR THE EXPIRATION OF THE TIME FOR SEEK
  ING SUCH REVIEW; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THAT A MOTION BY A DEFENDANT CLAIM
  ING NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE UNDER PARAGRAPH (G) OF SUBDIVISION ONE OF

  THIS SECTION MAY BE MADE AT ANY TIME FOLLOWING THE DISCOVERY OF THE

S. 2003 6

6

7

9

10

11

12 13 14

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE DEFENDANT'S CLAIM OF INNOCENCE. NOTHING IN THIS SUBDIVISION SHALL RELIEVE THE DEFENDANT OF THE OBLIGATION, SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH (G) OF SUBDIVISION ONE OF THIS SECTION, TO SHOW THAT HE OR SHE HAS MADE THE MOTION TO VACATE JUDGMENT WITH DUE DILIGENCE AFTER THE DISCOVERY OF THE ALLEGED NEW EVIDENCE.

- S 15. Paragraph (a) of subdivision 1-a of section 440.30 of the criminal procedure law, as amended by chapter 138 of the laws of 2004, is amended and a new paragraph (c) is added to read as follows:
- (a) Where the defendant's motion requests the performance of a forensic DNA test on specified evidence, and upon the court's determination that any evidence containing deoxyribonucleic acid ("DNA") was secured in connection with the [trial] PROCEEDINGS resulting in the judgment, the court shall grant the application for forensic DNA testing of such evidence upon its determination that if a DNA test had been conducted on such evidence, and if the results had been admitted in the trial resulting in the judgment, there exists a reasonable probability that the verdict would have been more favorable to the defendant. IN THE CASE OF DEFENDANT CONVICTED UPON A PLEA OF GUILTY, THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE APPLICATION ONLY UPON ITS DETERMINATION THAT IF THE RESULTS HAD TO THE DEFENDANT, THERE EXISTS A REASONABLE PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN CONVICTED BY PLEA OF GUILTY OR OTHER-AND IN MAKING THAT DETERMINATION, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER, AMONG OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION, THE PROCEEDINGS IN CONNECTION WITH DEFENDANT'S PLEA OF GUILTY.
- IN ADDITION TO REQUESTING THE PERFORMANCE OF A FORENSIC DNA TEST OF SPECIFIED EVIDENCE, AS SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH (A) OF THIS DEFENDANT MAY ALSO MOVE FOR A COMPARISON OF A DNA PROFILE DERIVED FROM EVIDENCE RECOVERED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT TO A DNA DATABANK. IN DECIDING WHETHER TO GRANT A MOTION FOR SUCH COMPARISON, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER WHETHER THE DEFENDANT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE FOR SUCH A COMPARISON PURSUANT TO SUBDIVISION ONE-A OF SECTION 240.40 OF THIS PART, BUT UNJUSTIFIABLY FAILED TO DO SO. IF THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION SUCH A COMPARISON, IT MAY DIRECT A STATE OR LOCAL PUBLIC FORENSIC LABO-RATORY TO ARRANGE FOR SUCH PROFILE TO BE ENTERED INTO AND **SEARCHED** LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL DNA DATABANKS TO THE EXTENT AND IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS SUCH DATABANKS, INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS AS TO HOW PROFILES FOR FORENSIC DNA ANALYSIS MUST BE GENERATED AND REQUIREMENTS FOR SEARCHING AND STOR-AGE IN THE DATABANK IN QUESTION. IF SUCH A DATABANK SEARCH REVEALS THAT DERIVED FROM EVIDENCE RECOVERED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT MATCHES A PROFILE IN THE DATABANK, THE DEFENDANT SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A MATCH WITH SOME SUCH PROFILE, AND THE COURT SHALL GRANT REASONABLE ADJOURNMENTS SO AS TO ALLOW THE PEOPLE TO PURSUE APPROPRIATE INVESTIGATIVE STEPS. NOTHING IN THIS ARTICLE SHALL BE DEEMED TO ALLOW A DEFENDANT TO OBTAIN AN ORDER REQUIRING COLLECTION OF A DNA SAMPLE ANY OTHER PERSON.
- S 16. Section 440.30 of the criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new subdivision 8 to read as follows:
- 8. AT ANY TIME AFTER THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT, IF THE PEOPLE BECOME AWARE OF EVIDENCE THAT SUBSTANTIALLY TENDS TO EXONERATE A CONVICTED DEFENDANT AND WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY KNOWN TO THE DEFENSE, THE PEOPLE SHALL NOTIFY THE COURT OF THE EXISTENCE OF SUCH EVIDENCE. UPON RECEIPT OF SUCH NOTIFICATION, THE COURT SHALL NOTIFY THE DEFENDANT TO THE SAME EFFECT AND, IF APPROPRIATE, APPOINT DEFENSE COUNSEL SO THAT THE DEFENDANT MAY SEEK ANY APPROPRIATE REMEDY UNDER THIS ARTICLE. THE PEOPLE MAY MAKE NOTIFICATION TO A COURT PURSUANT TO THIS SUBDIVISION WITHOUT TAKING THE

S. 2003 7

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

POSITION THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS IN FACT INNOCENT AND, IF THE DEFENDANT MOVES FOR RELIEF UNDER THIS ARTICLE, THE PEOPLE MAY TAKE ANY POSITION, INCLUDING CONSENT OR OPPOSITION, AS TO SUCH MOTION. THE COURT MAY CONSIDER, BUT NEED NOT GRANT, THE DEFENDANT'S RELEASE ON BAIL PENDING THE DETERMINATION OF A MOTION MADE FOLLOWING SUCH A NOTIFICATION.

S 17. The section heading of section 440.40 of the criminal procedure law is amended and a new subdivision 7 is added to read as follows:

Motion to set aside sentence OR TO VACATE JUDGMENT; by people.

- 7. AT ANY TIME AFTER THE ENTRY OF A JUDGMENT, THE PEOPLE MAY, IN LIEU OF THE NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN SUBDIVISION EIGHT OF SECTION 440.30 OF THIS ARTICLE, TO VACATE A DEFENDANT'S MOVE CONVICTION UPON THE GROUND THAT THE DEFENDANT IS ACTUALLY INNOCENT OF THE CHARGES UNDERLYING THE JUDGMENT. IN SUCH A MOTION, THE PEOPLE FORTH EVIDENTIARY FACTS AND INFERENCES SUPPORTING THE CONTENTION THAT THE DEFENDANT IS INNOCENT. UPON RECEIPT OF SUCH A MOTION, THE COURT SHALL ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT BE PRODUCED BEFORE THE COURT SUCH A COURT APPEARANCE, THE COURT MAY SUMMARILY GRANT THE AΤ MOTION BASED ON THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE PEOPLE'S MOTION AND RESPONSIVE PAPERS FILED ON THE DEFENDANT'S BEHALF, AND BASED ON ANY ORAL ARGUMENTS MADE ON THE MOTION. IF THE COURT DOES NOT SUMMARILY GRANT THE MOTION: (A) IT MUST APPOINT COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT IF THE DEFENDANT ALREADY REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, (B) IT MUST CONSIDER, BUT NEED NOT GRANT, A DEFENDANT'S RELEASE ON BAIL PENDING THE DETERMINATION OF THE MOTION, AND (C) IT MUST GRANT A PROMPT HEARING, AT WHICH THE PARTIES CALL WITNESSES AND OFFER DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE, BEFORE RENDERING ITS DECISION TO GRANT OR DENY THE MOTION. IF THE COURT DENIES THE MOTION, IT SHALL SET FORTH FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW SUPPORTING ITS DECISION.
- S 18. Section 65.10 of the penal law is amended by adding a new subdivision 4-b to read as follows:
- 4-B. MANDATORY CONDITION FOR DNA DESIGNATED OFFENDERS. WHEN IMPOSING A SENTENCE OF PROBATION OR CONDITIONAL DISCHARGE UPON A PERSON CONVICTED OF AN OFFENSE SPECIFIED IN SUBDIVISION SEVEN OF SECTION NINE HUNDRED NINETY-FIVE OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW, THE COURT SHALL REQUIRE, AS A MANDATORY CONDITION OF SUCH SENTENCE, THAT SUCH PERSON PROVIDE A DNA SAMPLE AS REQUIRED BY SECTION NINE HUNDRED NINETY-FIVE-C OF THE EXECUTIVE LAW.
- S 19. Paragraph (b) of subdivision 3 and paragraph (b) of subdivision 5 of section 8-b of the court of claims act, as added by chapter 1009 of the laws of 1984, are amended to read as follows:
- (b) (i) he has been pardoned upon the ground of innocence of the crime crimes for which he was sentenced and which are the grounds for the complaint; or (ii) his judgment of conviction was reversed or vacated, and the accusatory instrument dismissed or, if a new trial was ordered, either he was found not guilty at the new trial or he was not retried the accusatory instrument dismissed; provided that the judgement of conviction was reversed or vacated, and the accusatory instrument was dismissed, on any of the following grounds: (A) paragraph [(a),] (b), (c), [(e)] or (g) of subdivision one of section 440.10 of the procedure law; or (B) subdivision one (where based upon grounds set forth in item (A) [hereof] OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH), two, three (where the count dismissed was the sole basis for the imprisonment complained of) or five of section 470.20 of the criminal procedure law; or (C) comparable provisions of the former code of criminal procedure or subsequent law; or (D) SUBDIVISION SEVEN OF SECTION 440.40 OF THE CRIMINAL PROCE-DURE LAW; OR (E) the statute, or application thereof, on which the accusatory instrument was based violated the constitution of the United

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

45

47

48

49

state of New York; OR (F) THE CLAIMANT'S CONVICTION WAS States or the VACATED UNDER ANOTHER SECTION OF LAW NOT ENUMERATED IN THIS 3 APPLICATION TO CLAIMANT'S CONVICTION WHOSE INVOLVED FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES THAT DIRECTLY SUPPORT CLAIMANT'S ASSERTION OF INNOCENCE; 5 AND PROVIDED THAT, IN CASES WHERE THE CONVICTION MAY HAVE BEEN VACATED 6 ON MORE THAN ONE GROUND, INCLUDING ONE OF THE GROUNDS ENUMERATED IN THIS 7 THE COURT OF CLAIMS SHALL NOT BE BOUND BY A DECISION OF THE 8 CRIMINAL COURT VACATING THE CONVICTION BASED ONLY ON A GROUND 9 ENUMERATED IN THIS PARAGRAPH; and

(b) (i) he has been pardoned upon the ground of innocence of the crime crimes for which he was sentenced and which are the grounds for the complaint; or (ii) his judgment of conviction was reversed or vacated, and the accusatory instrument dismissed or, if a new trial was ordered, either he was found not quilty at the new trial or he was not the accusatory instrument dismissed; provided that the judgement of conviction was reversed or vacated, and the accusatory instrument was dismissed, on any of the following grounds: (A) paragraph [(a),] (b), (c), [(e)] or (g) of subdivision one of section 440.10 of the procedure law; or (B) subdivision one (where based upon grounds set forth in item (A) [hereof] OF THIS PARAGRAPH), two, three (where the count dismissed was the sole basis for the imprisonment complained of) or five of section 470.20 of the criminal procedure law; or (C) comparable provisions of the former code of criminal procedure or subsequent or (D) SUBDIVISION SEVEN OF SECTION 440.40 OF THE CRIMINAL PROCE-DURE LAW; OR (E) the statute, or application thereof, on which the accusatory instrument was based violated the constitution of or the state of New York; OR (F) THE CLAIMANT'S CONVICTION WAS VACATED UNDER ANOTHER SECTION OF LAW NOT ENUMERATED IN THIS PARAGRAPH, INVOLVED FACTS AND WHOSE APPLICATION TO CLAIMANT'S CONVICTION CIRCUMSTANCES THAT DIRECTLY SUPPORT CLAIMANT'S ASSERTION OF INNOCENCE; PROVIDED THAT, IN CASES WHERE THE CONVICTION MAY HAVE BEEN VACATED ON MORE THAN ONE GROUND, INCLUDING ONE OF THE GROUNDS ENUMERATED IN THIS PARAGRAPH, THE COURT OF CLAIMS SHALL NOT BE BOUND BY A DECISION OF CRIMINAL COURT VACATING THE CONVICTION BASED ONLY ON A GROUND NOT ENUMERATED IN THIS PARAGRAPH; and

S 20. This act shall take effect November 1, 2011; provided, however, that the amendments to paragraph (a) of subdivision 3 of section 995-c of the executive law, made by section two of this act, shall apply to any person who is convicted of a crime, adjudicated a youthful offender, incarcerated or subject to probation or parole supervision, or subject to a requirement to register as a sex offender, on or after such effective date; provided, further, that the amendments to paragraph (a) of subdivision 4 of section 30.10 of the criminal procedure law, made by section nine of this act, shall apply to offenses where the applicable period of limitation, including any extension of such period of limitation pursuant to law in effect before such effective date, has not expired on such effective date; and provided, further, that, sections six, seven and eight of this act shall take effect April 1, 2012, and shall expire and be deemed repealed September 1, 2015.