

# STATE OF NEW YORK

2865

2023-2024 Regular Sessions

## IN ASSEMBLY

January 27, 2023

Introduced by M. of A. SEAWRIGHT, ROZIC, TAYLOR, STECK, SIMON, EPSTEIN, CRUZ, GALLAGHER -- read once and referred to the Committee on Judiciary

AN ACT to amend the civil practice law and rules and the executive law, in relation to jury awards for unlawful discriminatory practices relating to employment

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (a) of rule 4404 of the civil practice law and rules, such rule as renumbered by chapter 315 of the laws of 1962, is amended to read as follows:

(a) Motion after trial where jury required. After a trial of a cause of action or issue triable of right by a jury, upon the motion of any party or on its own initiative, the court may set aside a verdict or any judgment entered thereon and direct that judgment be entered in favor of a party entitled to judgment as a matter of law or it may order a new trial of a cause of action or separable issue where the verdict is contrary to the weight of the evidence, in the interest of justice or where the jury cannot agree after being kept together for as long as is deemed reasonable by the court. No court shall order a new trial on the ground that the jury award was excessive, or otherwise act to reduce the jury's award in a case involving an unlawful discriminatory practice under section two hundred ninety-six of the executive law relating to employment, including a claim by a non-employee under section two hundred ninety-six-d of the executive law, without fully satisfying the pertinent standards set forth in paragraph two of subdivision (c) of section fifty-five hundred one of this chapter and paragraph (b) of subdivision nine of section two hundred ninety-seven of the executive law.

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD00427-01-3

§ 2. Subdivision (c) of section 5501 of the civil practice law and rules, as amended by chapter 474 of the laws of 1997, is amended to read as follows:

(c) Appellate division. 1. The appellate division shall review questions of law and questions of fact on an appeal from a judgment or order of a court of original instance and on an appeal from an order of the supreme court, a county court or an appellate term determining an appeal. The notice of appeal from an order directing summary judgment, or directing judgment on a motion addressed to the pleadings, shall be deemed to specify a judgment upon said order entered after service of the notice of appeal and before entry of the order of the appellate court upon such appeal, without however affecting the taxation of costs upon the appeal. In reviewing a money judgment in an action in which an itemized verdict is required by rule forty-one hundred eleven of this chapter in which it is contended that the award is excessive or inadequate and that a new trial should have been granted unless a stipulation is entered to a different award, the appellate division shall determine that an award is excessive or inadequate if it deviates materially from what would be reasonable compensation.

2. Where an award is made by a jury for any damages in a case involving an unlawful discriminatory practice under section two hundred ninety-six of the executive law relating to employment, including a claim by a non-employee under section two hundred ninety-six-d of the executive law, the jury's verdict is presumed to be correct and entitled to substantial deference. Any such award shall not be disturbed unless the court finds that the proponent has proven by clear and convincing evidence that the verdict compels the conclusion that the jury was influenced by partiality, prejudice, mistake or corruption, and remittitur or additur is necessary to avoid a complete miscarriage of justice. In making such determination, the court shall not substitute its judgment for the jury's, shall give primary weight to the remedial purpose of the law, and shall not limit any award by inclusion in or exclusion from any category of case or by using any characterizations of other damage awards. In reviewing any such verdict in any case filed after the effective date of this paragraph, the court shall not be bound by any precedent which utilized the concept of material deviation from what would be reasonable compensation, or a judge's personal knowledge or experiences from prior cases. The evidence shall be reviewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict. A court should exercise its authority to order a remittitur or additur only in the most exceptional of circumstances.

§ 3. Subdivision 9 of section 297 of the executive law, as amended by chapter 140 of the laws of 2022, is amended to read as follows:

9. (a) Any person claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful discriminatory practice shall have a cause of action in any court of appropriate jurisdiction for damages, including, in cases of employment discrimination related to private employers and housing discrimination only, punitive damages, and such other remedies as may be appropriate, including any civil fines and penalties provided in subdivision four of this section, unless such person had filed a complaint hereunder or with any local commission on human rights, or with the superintendent pursuant to the provisions of section two hundred ninety-six-a of this article, provided that, where the division has dismissed such complaint on the grounds of administrative convenience, on the grounds of untimeliness, or on the grounds that the election of remedies is annulled, such person shall maintain all rights to bring suit as if no complaint had been

1 filed with the division. At any time prior to a hearing before a hearing  
2 examiner, a person who has a complaint pending at the division may  
3 request that the division dismiss the complaint and annul his or her  
4 election of remedies so that the human rights law claim may be pursued  
5 in court, and the division may, upon such request, dismiss the complaint  
6 on the grounds that such person's election of an administrative remedy  
7 is annulled. Notwithstanding subdivision (a) of section two hundred four  
8 of the civil practice law and rules, if a complaint is so annulled by  
9 the division, upon the request of the party bringing such complaint  
10 before the division, such party's rights to bring such cause of action  
11 before a court of appropriate jurisdiction shall be limited by the stat-  
12 ute of limitations in effect in such court at the time the complaint was  
13 initially filed with the division. Any party to a housing discrimination  
14 complaint shall have the right within twenty days following a determi-  
15 nation of probable cause pursuant to subdivision two of this section to  
16 elect to have an action commenced in a civil court, and an attorney  
17 representing the division of human rights will be appointed to present  
18 the complaint in court, or, with the consent of the division, the case  
19 may be presented by complainant's attorney. A complaint filed by the  
20 equal employment opportunity commission to comply with the requirements  
21 of 42 USC 2000e-5(c) and 42 USC 12117(a) and 29 USC 633(b) shall not  
22 constitute the filing of a complaint within the meaning of this subdivi-  
23 sion. No person who has initiated any action in a court of competent  
24 jurisdiction or who has an action pending before any administrative  
25 agency under any other law of the state based upon an act which would be  
26 an unlawful discriminatory practice under this article, may file a  
27 complaint with respect to the same grievance under this section or under  
28 section two hundred ninety-six-a of this article. In cases of housing  
29 discrimination only, a person whose complaint has been dismissed by the  
30 division after investigation for lack of jurisdiction or lack of proba-  
31 ble cause may file the same cause of action in a court of appropriate  
32 jurisdiction pursuant to this section, unless judicial review of such  
33 dismissal has been sought pursuant to section two hundred ninety-eight  
34 of this article. The attorney general shall have the power to commence  
35 an action or proceeding in the supreme court of the state of New York,  
36 if, upon information or belief, the attorney general is of the opinion  
37 that an employer has been, is, or is about to violate the provisions  
38 regarding unlawful discriminatory retaliation pursuant to subdivision  
39 seven of section two hundred ninety-six of this article. Nothing in this  
40 section shall in any way limit rights or remedies which are otherwise  
41 available under law to the attorney general or any other person author-  
42 ized to bring an action under this section.

43 (b) A verdict involving an unlawful discriminatory practice under  
44 section two hundred ninety-six of this article relating to employment,  
45 including a claim by a non-employee under section two hundred ninety-  
46 six-d of this article, shall not be subject to remittitur or additur, or  
47 granting of a motion for new trial on the ground that the verdict was  
48 excessive or inadequate, or otherwise reduced or increased, unless the  
49 court finds that the party seeking remittitur or additur has made a  
50 clear and convincing showing that compels the conclusion that the jury  
51 was influenced by partiality, prejudice, mistake or corruption and that  
52 remittitur or additur is necessary to avoid a complete miscarriage of  
53 justice. In making such determination, a jury verdict shall be presumed  
54 to be correct and entitled to substantial deference. The court shall not  
55 substitute its judgment for the jury's, shall give primary weight to the  
56 remedial purpose of the law, and shall not limit any award by inclusion

1 in or exclusion from any category of case or any characterizations of  
2 other damage awards. In reviewing any verdict in any case filed after  
3 the effective date of this paragraph, the court shall not be bound by  
4 any precedent which utilized the concept of material deviation from what  
5 would be reasonable compensation. A court should exercise its authority  
6 to order a remittitur or additur only in the most exceptional of circum-  
7 stances. This provision is substantive in nature, as opposed to proce-  
8 dural, and shall be so applied in any employment discrimination action  
9 under this article, regardless of forum.

10 § 4. This act shall take effect immediately.