7156--A

IN SENATE

May 1, 2014

- Introduced by Sen. HANNON -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Health -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee
- AN ACT to amend the public health law and the surrogate's court procedure act, in relation to restoring medical futility as a basis for both surrogate consent to a do not resuscitate order and for a do not resuscitate order for a patient without a surrogate

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Legislative findings. Under New York's former do not resus-1 citate (hereinafter "DNR") law, article 29-B of the public health law, a 2 3 surrogate could consent to a DNR order if the patient met any one of four clinical criteria, one of which was a finding by two physicians 4 that resuscitation was "medically futile," which was defined to mean 5 б that resuscitation "will be unsuccessful in restoring cardiac and 7 respiratory function or that the patient will experience repeated arrest in a short time period before death occurs." The former DNR law also allowed a DNR order to be entered for a patient who did not have a 8 9 10 surrogate on that basis. That law applied to all patients, including 11 developmentally disabled patients.

12 In 2010, the former DNR law was superseded by the Family Health Care 13 Decisions Act (hereinafter "FHCDA") which established standards for the withdrawal or withholding of a broad range of life-sustaining treat-14 15 ments. Accordingly, the FHCDA did not have a standard specifically relating to medically futile resuscitation. Similarly, Surrogate's Court 16 Procedure Act (hereinafter "SPCA") S1750-b does not have a standard 17 specifically relating to medically futile resuscitation for develop-18 mentally disabled patients. 19

The legislature finds that the broader FHCDA and SPCA S1750-b standards are difficult to apply to situations in which resuscitation would be medically futile. Accordingly, this bill restores the former DNR law's medical futility standard as an alternative basis for writing a DNR order under the FHCDA and under SCPA S1750-b.

EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[] is old law to be omitted.

LBD13627-05-4

1 S 2. Subparagraphs (i) and (ii) of paragraph (a) of subdivision 5 of 2 section 2994-d of the public health law, as added by chapter 8 of the 3 laws of 2010, are amended and a new subparagraph (iii) is added to read 4 as follows:

5 (i) Treatment would be an extraordinary burden to the patient and an 6 attending physician determines, with the independent concurrence of 7 another physician, that, to a reasonable degree of medical certainty and in accord with accepted medical standards, (A) the patient has an 8 illness or injury which can be expected to cause death within six 9 10 whether or not treatment is provided; or (B) the patient is months, permanently unconscious; [or] 11

(ii) The provision of treatment would involve such pain, suffering or other burden that it would reasonably be deemed inhumane or extraordinarily burdensome under the circumstances and the patient has an irreversible or incurable condition, as determined by an attending physician with the independent concurrence of another physician to a reasonable degree of medical certainty and in accord with accepted medical standards[.]; OR

19 (III) WITH RESPECT TO A DECISION TO ENTER AN ORDER NOT TO RESUSCITATE, AN ATTENDING PHYSICIAN DETERMINES, WITH THE INDEPENDENT CONCURRENCE OF A 20 SECOND PHYSICIAN, TO A REASONABLE DEGREE OF MEDICAL CERTAINTY, 21 THAT IN 22 OF A CARDIAC OR RESPIRATORY ARREST, RESUSCITATION WOULD BE THE EVENT UNSUCCESSFUL IN RESTORING CARDIAC AND RESPIRATORY FUNCTION OR 23 THAT THE 24 PATIENT WILL EXPERIENCE REPEATED ARREST IN A SHORT TIME PERIOD BEFORE 25 DEATH OCCURS.

26 S 3. Paragraph (b) of subdivision 5 of section 2994-g of the public 27 health law, as added by chapter 8 of the laws of 2010, is amended to 28 read as follows:

29 (b) If the attending physician, with independent concurrence of a 30 second physician designated by the hospital, determines to a reasonable 31 degree of medical certainty that:

32 (i) (A) life-sustaining treatment offers the patient no medical bene-33 fit because the patient will die imminently, even if the treatment is 34 provided; and

[(ii)] (B) the provision of life-sustaining treatment would violate accepted medical standards, then such treatment may be withdrawn or withheld from an adult patient who has been determined to lack decision-making capacity pursuant to section twenty-nine hundred ninetyfour-c of this article, without judicial approval. This paragraph shall not apply to any treatment necessary to alleviate pain or discomfort; OR

(II) IN THE EVENT OF CARDIAC OR RESPIRATORY ARREST, RESUSCITATION WILL 41 42 UNSUCCESSFUL IN RESTORING CARDIAC AND RESPIRATORY FUNCTION OR THAT ΒE 43 THE PATIENT WILL EXPERIENCE REPEATED ARREST IN A SHORT TIME PERIOD 44 BEFORE DEATH OCCURS, THEN AN ORDER NOT TO RESUSCITATE MAY BE ENTERED FOR 45 AN ADULT PATIENT WHO HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO LACK DECISION-MAKING CAPACI-PURSUANT TO SECTION TWENTY-NINE HUNDRED NINETY-FOUR-C OF THIS ARTI-46 ΤY 47 CLE, WITHOUT JUDICIAL APPROVAL.

48 S 4. Subparagraphs (i) and (ii) of paragraph (b) of subdivision 4 of 49 section 1750-b of the surrogate's court procedure act, as added by chap-50 ter 500 of the laws of 2002, are amended to read as follows:

(i) the mentally retarded person has a medical condition as follows: A. a terminal condition, [as defined in subdivision twenty-three of section twenty-nine hundred sixty-one of the public health law] WHICH SHALL MEAN AN ILLNESS OR INJURY FROM WHICH THERE IS NO RECOVERY, AND WHICH CAN REASONABLY BE EXPECTED TO CAUSE DEATH WITHIN ONE YEAR; or

56 B. permanent unconsciousness; or

1 2 C. a medical condition other than such person's mental retardation which requires life-sustaining treatment, is irreversible and which will

3 continue indefinitely; [and] OR 4 D. IN THE CASE OF A DECISION TO ENTER AN ORDER NOT TO RESUSCITATE, 5 THAT IN THE EVENT OF CARDIAC OR RESPIRATORY ARREST SUCH RESUSCITATION 6 WOULD BE UNSUCCESSFUL IN RESTORING CARDIAC AND RESPIRATORY FUNCTION OR 7 THAT THE PATIENT WILL EXPERIENCE REPEATED ARREST IN A SHORT TIME PERIOD 8 BEFORE DEATH OCCURS; AND

9 (ii) EXCEPT IN THE CASE OF A DECISION TO ENTER AN ORDER NOT TO RESUS-10 CITATE BASED ON CLAUSE D OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH, the 11 life-sustaining treatment would impose an extraordinary burden on such 12 person, in light of:

13 A. such person's medical condition, other than such person's mental 14 retardation; and

15 B. the expected outcome of the life-sustaining treatment, notwith-16 standing such person's mental retardation; and

17 S 5. Subdivision 4 of section 1750-b of the surrogate's court proce-18 dure act is amended by adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

19 (F) IN THE CASE OF A PERSON FOR WHOM "GUARDIAN" MEANS A SURROGATE DECISION-MAKING COMMITTEE PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION, AN ORDER NOT TO 20 21 RESUSCITATE MAY BE ENTERED, WITHOUT REVIEW OR APPROVAL BY SUCH COMMIT-TEE, IF THE ATTENDING PHYSICIAN DETERMINES, WITH THE INDEPENDENT CONCUR-22 RENCE OF A SECOND PHYSICIAN, TO A REASONABLE DEGREE OF MEDICAL CERTAIN-23 TY, THAT IN THE EVENT OF A CARDIAC OR RESPIRATORY ARREST RESUSCITATION 24 25 WOULD BE UNSUCCESSFUL IN RESTORING CARDIAC AND RESPIRATORY FUNCTION OR 26 THAT THE PATIENT WILL EXPERIENCE REPEATED ARREST IN A SHORT TIME PERIOD 27 BEFORE DEATH OCCURS.

28 S 6. This act shall take effect on the ninetieth day after it shall 29 have become a law.