7842

IN SENATE

May 14, 2010

Introduced by Sen. THOMPSON -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes

AN ACT to amend the criminal procedure law, in relation to eyewitness identification procedures; and to repeal section 60.30 of such law relating thereto

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

The criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new 1 Section 1. 2 section 60.17 to read as follows: 3 S 60.17 RULES OF EVIDENCE; EXPERT TESTIMONY IN CERTAIN IDENTIFICATION 4 CASES. 5 IN ANY CRIMINAL PROCEEDING IN WHICH EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION TESTIMOб INTRODUCED, OR IN OTHER CASES WHERE THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE SO NY IS 7 REQUIRE, THE COURT MAY ADMIT EXPERT TESTIMONY REGARDING RELEVANT ASPECTS 8 OF IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO FACTORS THAT 9 AFFECT THE RELIABILITY AND ACCURACY OF EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION. 10 S 2. The criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new article 80 to read as follows: 11 12 ARTICLE 80 13 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES SECTION 80.10 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; DEFINITIONS. 14 15 80.20 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; GENERALLY. 80.30 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; EVIDENTIARY MATTERS. 16 17 80.40 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; PROFESSIONAL TRAINING. 18 S 80.10 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; DEFINITIONS. 19 AS USED IN THIS ARTICLE, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE THE FOLLOWING 20 MEANINGS: 21 1. "ADMINISTRATOR" MEANS THE PERSON CONDUCTING THE PHOTO OR LIVE LINE-22 UP. 23 "SUSPECT" MEANS THE PERSON BELIEVED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT TO BE THE 2. 24 POSSIBLE PERPETRATOR OF THE CRIME. 25 3. "BLIND" MEANS THE ADMINISTRATOR DOES NOT KNOW THE IDENTITY OF THE 26 SUSPECT. EXPLANATION--Matter in ITALICS (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [] is old law to be omitted.

LBD17224-01-0

1 4. "BLINDED" MEANS THE ADMINISTRATOR MAY KNOW WHO THE SUSPECT IS, BUT 2 DOES NOT KNOW WHICH LINEUP MEMBER IS BEING VIEWED BY THE EYEWITNESS.

3 5. "EYEWITNESS" MEANS A PERSON WHO OBSERVES ANOTHER PERSON AT OR NEAR 4 THE SCENE OF AN OFFENSE OR UPON SOME OTHER OCCASION RELEVANT TO THE 5 CASE.

6 6. "FILLER" MEANS EITHER A PERSON OR A PHOTOGRAPH OF A PERSON WHO IS 7 NOT SUSPECTED OF AN OFFENSE AND IS INCLUDED IN AN IDENTIFICATION PROCE-8 DURE.

9 7. "IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" MEANS A LIVE LINEUP, A PHOTO LINEUP, OR 10 A SHOWUP.

8. "LIVE LINEUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH A GROUP OF
 PERSONS, INCLUDING THE SUSPECTED PERPETRATOR OF AN OFFENSE AND OTHER
 PERSONS NOT SUSPECTED OF THE OFFENSE, IS DISPLAYED TO AN EYEWITNESS FOR
 THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES THE SUSPECT
 AS THE PERPETRATOR.

9. "PHOTO LINEUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH AN ARRAY
OF PHOTOGRAPHS, INCLUDING A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SUSPECTED PERPETRATOR OF
AN OFFENSE AND ADDITIONAL PHOTOGRAPHS OF OTHER PERSONS NOT SUSPECTED OF
THE OFFENSE, IS DISPLAYED TO AN EYEWITNESS EITHER IN HARD COPY FORM OR
VIA COMPUTER FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES THE SUSPECT AS THE PERPETRATOR.

10. "SHOWUP" MEANS AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IN WHICH AN EYEWITNESS IS PRESENTED WITH A SINGLE SUSPECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING WHETH-ER THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES THIS INDIVIDUAL AS THE PERPETRATOR. S 80.20 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; GENERALLY.

26 1. IF IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES (A) HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SECTION AND UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES CONSISTENT WITH SUCH RIGHTS AS 27 28 AN ACCUSED PERSON MAY DERIVE UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THIS STATE OR OF 29 THE UNITED STATES; AND (B) ARE PROPERLY DOCUMENTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPHS (T), (U) AND (V) OF SUBDIVISION TWO OF THIS SECTION, TESTIMO-30 NY MAY BE GIVEN BY A WITNESS THAT HE OR SHE OBSERVED A PERSON OR PHOTO-31 32 GRAPH OF A PERSON ON AN OCCASION PRIOR TO HIS OR HER TESTIMONY WHOM HE 33 OR SHE RECOGNIZES AS THE SAME PERSON WHOM HE OR SHE HAD OBSERVED ON THE 34 FIRST OR INCRIMINATING OCCASION.

35 2. ANY CRIMINAL JUSTICE ENTITY CONDUCTING EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION 36 PROCEDURES SHALL ADOPT SPECIFIC PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING PHOTO AND LIVE 37 LINEUPS THAT COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:

38 (A) PRIOR TO A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, LAW ENFORCEMENT SHALL RECORD AS 39 COMPLETE A DESCRIPTION AS POSSIBLE OF THE PERPETRATOR PROVIDED BY THE 40 EYEWITNESS, IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS. THIS STATEMENT SHALL ALSO INFORMATION REGARDING THE WITNESS'S DEGREE OF ATTENTION DURING 41 INCLUDE THE INCIDENT AND THE WITNESS'S OPPORTUNITY TO VIEW THE PERPETRATOR, 42 AS 43 WELL AS THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE EYEWITNESS OBSERVED THE PERPETRA-44 TOR, INCLUDING LOCATION, TIME, DISTANCE, OBSTRUCTIONS, LIGHTING, WEATHER 45 CONDITIONS AND OTHER IMPAIRMENTS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ALCOHOL, DRUGS, STRESS AND VISUAL/AUDITORY DISABILITIES. THE EYEWITNESS ALSO 46 47 SHALL BE ASKED IF HE OR SHE NEEDS GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AND WHETHER 48 HE OR SHE WAS WEARING THEM AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE. THE ADMINISTRATOR 49 SHALL NOTE WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS WAS WEARING GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES 50 AT THE TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES;

51 (B) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, A BLIND ADMINISTRATOR SHALL CONDUCT THE LIVE 52 OR PHOTO LINEUP;

53 (C) WHEN IT IS IMPRACTICABLE FOR A BLIND ADMINISTRATOR TO CONDUCT THE 54 EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE, THE INVESTIGATOR SHALL STATE IN 55 WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR, AND SHALL CONDUCT THE LINEUP BLINDED;

EYEWITNESS SHALL BE INSTRUCTED, WITHOUT OTHER EYEWITNESSES 1 THE (D) 2 PRESENT, PRIOR TO ANY LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUP THAT: 3 PERPETRATOR MAY OR MAY NOT BE AMONG THE PERSONS IN THE IDEN-(I) THE 4 TIFICATION PROCEDURE; 5 (II) THE ADMINISTRATOR DOES NOT KNOW WHO THE PERPETRATOR IS; 6 (III) THE EYEWITNESS SHOULD NOT FEEL COMPELLED TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICA-7 TION; 8 THE INVESTIGATION WILL CONTINUE WHETHER OR NOT AN IDENTIFICATION (IV) 9 IS MADE; 10 (V) THE PROCEDURE REQUIRES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO ASK THE EYEWITNESS ΤO 11 STATE, IN HIS OR HER OWN WORDS, HOW CERTAIN HE OR SHE IS OF ANY IDEN-12 TIFICATION; AND (VI) THE EYEWITNESS IS NOT TO DISCUSS THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE 13 OR RESULTS WITH OTHER EYEWITNESSES INVOLVED IN THE CASE AND SHOULD NOT 14 ITS 15 SPEAK WITH THE MEDIA; (E) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, THE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE SUSPECT USED IN A 16 17 PHOTO LINEUP SHALL BE CONTEMPORARY AND SHALL RESEMBLE HIS OR HER APPEAR-ANCE AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE. WHEN IT IS IMPRACTICABLE, THE INVESTI-18 19 GATOR SHALL STATE IN WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR; (F) IN A PHOTO LINEUP, THERE SHALL BE NO CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PHOTO-20 21 GRAPHS THEMSELVES OR THE BACKGROUND CONTEXT IN WHICH THEY ARE PLACED 22 WHICH SHALL MAKE ANY OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS UNDULY STAND OUT; 23 (G) A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP SHALL BE COMPOSED SO THAT THE FILLERS 24 GENERALLY RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR, 25 WHILE ENSURING THAT THE SUSPECT DOES NOT UNDULY STAND OUT FROM THE FILLERS; 26 27 (H) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, FILLERS SHALL POSSESS THE FOLLOWING 28 CHARACTERISTICS: 29 (I) ALL FILLERS SELECTED SHALL RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO 30 FACE, WEIGHT, BUILD AND SKIN TONE, AND INCLUDING ANY UNIQUE OR UNUSUAL 31 32 INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY SCARS OR TATTOOS; IF THE FEATURES 33 SUSPECT DOES NOT RESEMBLE THE EYEWITNESS'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRA-34 TOR IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES, THE FILLERS SELECTED SHALL RESEMBLE THE 35 SUSPECT IN SIGNIFICANT FEATURES; (II) AT LEAST FIVE FILLERS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN A PHOTO LINEUP, 36 IΝ 37 ADDITION TO THE SUSPECT; 38 (III) AT LEAST FOUR FILLERS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN A LIVE LINEUP, IN 39 ADDITION TO THE SUSPECT; AND 40 (IV) IF THE EYEWITNESS HAS PREVIOUSLY VIEWED A PHOTO LINEUP OR LIVE LINEUP IN CONNECTION WITH THE IDENTIFICATION OF ANOTHER PERSON SUSPECTED 41 INVOLVEMENT IN THE OFFENSE, THE FILLERS IN THE LINEUP IN WHICH THE 42 OF 43 INSTANT SUSPECT PARTICIPATES SHALL BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FILLERS USED IN 44 ANY PRIOR LINEUPS; 45 (I) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE EYEWITNESSES: 46 (I) EACH EYEWITNESS SHALL VIEW PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUPS SEPARATELY; (II) THE SUSPECT SHALL BE PLACED IN A DIFFERENT POSITION IN THE 47 LIVE 48 LINEUP AND/OR PHOTO LINEUP FOR EACH EYEWITNESS; AND 49 (III) THE EYEWITNESSES SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED TO COMMUNICATE WITH EACH 50 OTHER UNTIL ALL IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES HAVE BEEN COMPLETED; 51 IN AN IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE, NO WRITINGS OR INFORMATION (J) CONCERNING THE INSTANT OR ANY PREVIOUS ARREST, INDICTMENT OR CONVICTION 52 OF THE SUSPECT SHALL BE VISIBLE OR MADE KNOWN TO THE EYEWITNESS; 53 54 (K) IN A LIVE LINEUP, ANY IDENTIFYING ACTIONS, SUCH AS SPEECH, 55 GESTURES OR OTHER MOVEMENTS, SHALL BE PERFORMED BY ALL LINEUP PARTIC-56 IPANTS;

S. 7842

1 2	(L) IN A LIVE LINEUP, ALL LINEUP PARTICIPANTS MUST BE OUT OF VIEW OF THE EYEWITNESS PRIOR TO THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;
∠ 3	(M) WHEN THERE ARE MULTIPLE SUSPECTS, EACH IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE
4	SHALL INCLUDE ONLY ONE SUSPECT;
4 5	(N) NOTHING SHALL BE SAID TO THE EYEWITNESS REGARDING THE SUSPECT'S
6	POSITION IN THE PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP;
7	(0) NOTHING SHALL BE SAID TO THE EYEWITNESS THAT MIGHT INFLUENCE THE
8	EYEWITNESS'S IDENTIFICATION OF ANY PARTICULAR LINEUP MEMBER;
9	(P) IF THE EYEWITNESS MAKES AN IDENTIFICATION, THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL
10	SEEK AND DOCUMENT A CLEAR STATEMENT FROM THE EYEWITNESS, AT THE TIME OF
11	THE IDENTIFICATION AND IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS, AS TO THE EYEWIT-
$12^{-1}$	NESS'S CONFIDENCE LEVEL THAT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED IN A GIVEN IDENTIFI-
13	CATION PROCEDURE IS THE PERPETRATOR;
14	(Q) IF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES A PERSON AS THE PERPETRATOR, THE
15	EYEWITNESS SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED ANY INFORMATION CONCERNING SUCH PERSON
16	BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR OBTAINS THE EYEWITNESS'S CONFIDENCE STATEMENT
17	ABOUT THE SELECTION;
18	(R) A RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE SHALL BE MADE THAT
19	INCLUDES ALL IDENTIFICATION AND NON-IDENTIFICATION RESULTS OBTAINED
20	DURING THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES, SIGNED BY THE EYEWITNESSES;
21	(S) EFFORTS SHALL BE MADE TO PERFORM A LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUP INSTEAD OF
22	A SHOWUP. IN ADDITION:
23	(I) SHOWUPS SHALL ONLY BE PERFORMED USING A LIVE SUSPECT AND IN
24	EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES THAT REQUIRE THE IMMEDIATE DISPLAY OF A SUSPECT TO
25	AN EYEWITNESS;
26	(II) IN THE EVENT OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF A SHOWUP PROCEDURE:
27	(A) A FULL AND DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PERPETRATOR SHALL BE
28	PROVIDED BY THE EYEWITNESS BEFORE THE EYEWITNESS OBSERVES THE SUSPECT.
29	THIS STATEMENT SHALL ALSO INCLUDE INFORMATION REGARDING THE WITNESS'S
30	DEGREE OF ATTENTION DURING THE INCIDENT AND THE WITNESS'S OPPORTUNITY TO
31	VIEW THE PERPETRATOR, AS WELL AS THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE EYEWIT-
32	NESS OBSERVED THE PERPETRATOR INCLUDING LOCATION, TIME, DISTANCE,
33	OBSTRUCTIONS, LIGHTING, WEATHER CONDITIONS AND OTHER IMPAIRMENTS,
34	INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ALCOHOL, DRUGS, STRESS AND VISUAL/AUDITORY
35	DISABILITIES. THE EYEWITNESS SHALL ALSO BE ASKED IF HE OR SHE NEEDS
36	GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AND WHETHER HE OR SHE WAS WEARING THEM AT THE
37	TIME OF THE OFFENSE. THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL NOTE WHETHER THE EYEWITNESS
38	WAS WEARING GLASSES OR CONTACT LENSES AT THE TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION
39	PROCEDURE.
40 41	(B) THE EYEWITNESS SHALL BE TRANSPORTED TO A NEUTRAL, NON-LAW ENFORCE- MENT LOCATION WHERE THE SUSPECT IS BEING DETAINED FOR THE PURPOSES OF A
41 42	SHOWUP PROCEDURE.
42 43	(C) EYEWITNESSES SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO THE
44	SHOWUP, INCLUDING THAT:
45	(1) THE PERPETRATOR MAY OR MAY NOT BE THE PERSON THAT IS PRESENTED TO
46	THE EYEWITNESS;
47	(2) THE EYEWITNESS SHOULD NOT FEEL COMPELLED TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICA-
48	TION;
49	(3) THE INVESTIGATION WILL CONTINUE WHETHER OR NOT AN IDENTIFICATION
50	IS MADE;
51	(4) THE PROCEDURE REQUIRES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO ASK THE EYEWITNESS TO
52	STATE, IN HIS OR HER OWN WORDS, HOW CERTAIN HE OR SHE IS OF ANY IDEN-
53	TIFICATION; AND
54	(5) THE EYEWITNESS IS NOT TO DISCUSS THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE OR
55	ITS RESULTS WITH OTHER EYEWITNESSES INVOLVED IN THE CASE AND SHOULD NOT
56	

(D) MEASURES SHALL BE TAKEN BY INVESTIGATORS AT THE SHOWUP, INCLUDING 1 2 THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE SHOWUP, TO REDUCE POTENTIALLY DAMAGING OR 3 PREJUDICIAL INFERENCES THAT MAY BE DRAWN BY THE EYEWITNESS, INCLUDING: 4 (1) REFRAINING FROM SUGGESTING, THROUGH STATEMENTS OR NON-VERBAL 5 CONDUCT, THAT THE SUSPECT IS OR MAY BE THE PERPETRATOR OF THE CRIME; 6 (2) REMOVING THE SUSPECT FROM A SQUAD CAR; AND 7 (3) WHEN PRACTICABLE, REMOVING HANDCUFFS FROM THE SUSPECT. 8 (E) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE EYEWITNESSES, ONLY ONE EYEWITNESS AT A TIME SHALL PARTICIPATE IN THE SHOWUP PROCEDURE. ONLY ONE OF THE EYEWITNESSES 9 10 SHALL BE PRESENT AT THE LOCATION OF THE SHOWUP PROCEDURE. IF A POSITIVE 11 IDENTIFICATION IS MADE, AND AN ARREST IS JUSTIFIED, ADDITIONAL EYEWIT-12 NESSES SHALL BE SHOWN LIVE OR PHOTO LINEUPS; (F) IF THERE ARE MULTIPLE SUSPECTS, THESE SUSPECTS SHALL BE SEPARATED 13 14 AND SUBJECTED TO SEPARATE SHOWUP PROCEDURES; AND 15 (G) IF THE EYEWITNESS MAKES AN IDENTIFICATION, THE ADMINISTRATOR SHALL 16 SEEK AND DOCUMENT A CLEAR STATEMENT FROM THE EYEWITNESS, AT THE TIME OF 17 THE IDENTIFICATION AND IN THE EYEWITNESS'S OWN WORDS, AS TO THE EYEWIT-

18 NESS'S CONFIDENCE LEVEL THAT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED IN A GIVEN IDENTIFI-19 CATION PROCEDURE IS THE PERPETRATOR. IF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFIES A 20 PERSON AS THE PERPETRATOR, THE EYEWITNESS SHALL NOT BE PROVIDED ANY 21 INFORMATION CONCERNING SUCH PERSON BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR OBTAINS THE 22 EYEWITNESS'S CONFIDENCE STATEMENT ABOUT THE SELECTION;

23 (T) UNLESS IMPRACTICABLE, A VIDEO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCE-24 DURE SHALL BE MADE THAT INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

25 (I) ALL IDENTIFICATION AND NON-IDENTIFICATION RESULTS OBTAINED DURING 26 THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES, SIGNED BY THE EYEWITNESSES, INCLUDING THE 27 EYEWITNESSES' CONFIDENCE STATEMENTS;

(II) THE NAMES OF ALL PERSONS PRESENT AT THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;
(III) THE DATE AND TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE;

30 (IV) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, ANY EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATIONS OF ANY 31 FILLERS; AND

32 (V) IN A PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, THE NAMES OF THE LINEUP MEMBERS AND 33 OTHER RELEVANT IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, AND THE SOURCES OF ALL PHOTO-34 GRAPHS OR PERSONS USED IN THE LINEUP;

(U) IF A VIDEO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE IS IMPRACTICA-BLE, THE OFFICER CONDUCTING THE LINEUP SHALL DOCUMENT THE REASON THERE-FOR, AND AN AUDIO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE SHALL BE MADE WHICH INCLUDES THE ITEMS SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (T) OF THIS SUBDIVISION. THE AUDIO RECORD SHALL BE SUPPLEMENTED BY ALL OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS USED IN A PHOTO LINEUP, AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF ALL OF THE INDIVIDUALS USED IN A LIVE LINEUP OR SHOWUP; AND

BOTH A VIDEO AND AUDIO RECORD OF THE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE 42 (V)ΙF ARE IMPRACTICABLE, THE OFFICER CONDUCTING THE LINEUP SHALL DOCUMENT IN 43 44 WRITING THE REASON THEREFOR, AND A WRITTEN RECORD OF THE LINEUP SHALL BE 45 MADE WHICH INCLUDES THE ITEMS SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (T) OF THIS SUBDI-VISION. THE WRITTEN RECORD SHALL BE SUPPLEMENTED BY ALL OF THE PHOTO-46 47 GRAPHS USED IN A PHOTO LINEUP, AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF ALL OF THE INDIVIDUALS 48 USED IN A LIVE LINEUP OR SHOWUP.

49 S 80.30 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; EVIDENTIARY MATTERS.

50 FOR ANY PHOTO OR LIVE LINEUP, OR SHOWUP PROCEDURE THAT WAS ADMINIS-51 TERED AFTER THE DATE UPON WHICH THIS ARTICLE TOOK EFFECT:

1. IF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OR PROSECUTING AGENCIES DO NOT
SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE, THE TRIAL
COURT SHALL, ON MOTION OF THE DEFENDANT, CONDUCT A HEARING AS TO WHETHER
AN IDENTIFICATION BY THE WITNESS OF THE DEFENDANT AT THE CRIMINAL
PROCEEDING IS NEVERTHELESS SUFFICIENTLY RELIABLE.

1 2. EVIDENCE OF A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS 2 ARTICLE SHALL BE CONSIDERED BY TRIAL COURTS IN ADJUDICATING MOTIONS TO 3 SUPPRESS EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION.

4 3. EVIDENCE OF A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS 5 ARTICLE SHALL BE ADMISSIBLE IN SUPPORT OF CLAIMS OF EYEWITNESS MISIDEN-6 TIFICATION AS LONG AS SUCH EVIDENCE IS OTHERWISE ADMISSIBLE.

4. IF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OR PROSECUTING AGENCIES FAIL TO COMPLY
WITH ANY OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE, THE TRIAL COURT SHALL
INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT SUCH PROVISIONS WERE DESIGNED TO REDUCE THE RISK
OF MISIDENTIFICATION AND THAT IT MAY CONSIDER CREDIBLE EVIDENCE OF
NONCOMPLIANCE WHEN ASSESSING THE RELIABILITY OF EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATIONS.

5. WHEN EVIDENCE OF A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE PROVISIONS OF
THIS ARTICLE, OR OF ANY OTHER UNNECESSARILY SUGGESTIVE PROCEDURES, HAS
BEEN PRESENTED AND HAS UNDERMINED THE COURT'S CONFIDENCE IN THE RELIABILITY OF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION, THE COURT MAY INSTRUCT THE
JURY THAT IT SHOULD VIEW THE IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE WITH DISTRUST.
8 80.40 IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES; PROFESSIONAL TRAINING.

THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES SHALL CREATE, ADMINISTER AND CONDUCT TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR PROFESSIONALS INVOLVED IN LAW ENFORCEMENT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO POLICE AND OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AND RECRUITS, PROSECUTORS, JUDGES AND DEFENSE COUNSEL, REGARDING THE METHODS, TECHNICAL ASPECTS AND SCIENTIFIC FINDINGS REGARDING THE BASIS OF THE EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES REFERENCED IN THIS ARTICLE.

26 S 3. Section 60.30 of the criminal procedure law is REPEALED.

27 S 4. This act shall take effect on the one hundred eightieth day after 28 it shall have become a law, and shall apply to all identification proce-29 dures that take place on or after such date.